# Law Office of Richard A. Finnigan

Richard A. Finnigan (360) 956-7001 B. Seth Bailey (360) 956-7211 Suite B-1
Olympia, Washington 98502
Fax (360) 753-6862

Kathy McCrary (360) 753-7012 Paige Lemcke (360) 753-4679 Paralegals

September 3, 2003

Ms. Carole J. Washburn, Executive Secretary Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, WA 98504-7250

Re:

Docket No. UT-033011

Dear Ms. Washburn:

Enclosed are the original and nineteen copies of SBC Telecom, Inc.'s Answer to the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission's Amended Complaint and Notice of Prehearing Conference.

ŘICHARD A. FANNIGAN

RAF/km Enclosures

cc:

Service List

Steve Strickland

RECORDS MANAGEMENT

03 SEP -3 PM 4: 28

STATE OF WASH.

OTHL. AND TRANSP.

COMMISSION

## BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,	) DOCKET NO. UT-033011 )
Complainant,	)
v.	)
ADVANCED TELECOM GROUP, INC.; ALLEGIANCE TELECOM, INC.; AT&T CORP., COVAD COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY; ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE, INC.; ESCHELON TELECOM, INC. f/k/a ADVANCED TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.; FAIRPOINT COMMUNICATIONS SOLUTIONS, INC.; GLOBAL CROSSING LOCAL SERVICES, INC.; INTEGRA TELECOM, INC.; MCI WORLDCOM, INC.; McLEODUSA, INC.; SBC TELECOM, INC.; QWEST CORPORATION; XO COMMUNICATIONS, INC. f/k/a NEXTLINK COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,	) SBC TELECOM, INC.'S ANSWER ) TO THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES ) AND TRANSPORTATION ) COMMISSION'S AMENDED ) COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF ) PREHEARING CONFERENCE ) ) ) ) )
Respondents.	)
	_)

COMES NOW Defendant, SBC Telecom, Inc. ("SBC"), by and through The Law Office of Richard A. Finnigan, and submits this Answer to the Washington Utilities and Transportation

SBC'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO WUTC'S AMENDED COMPLAINT - 1

	- ! }
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	П

. ' II ·

Commission's ("WUTC" or "Commission") Amended Complaint and Notice of Prehearing Conference (hereafter "Complaint"). Defendant admits, denies, answers and alleges as follows:

### ANSWER

- 1. Paragraph 1 states matters which need not be admitted or denied.
- 2. SBC enters a general denial of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 2 through 9. SBC asserts that the documents cited in Paragraphs 2 through 9 speak for themselves, and therefore need not be admitted or denied. SBC further asserts that the allegations in Paragraphs 2 through 9 contain misstatements of law. SBC also alleges that Paragraphs 2 through 9 contain statements about which SBC is without knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or accuracy of the allegations, and SBC therefore denies these allegations.
- 3. SBC admits the allegations of Paragraph 10.
- 4. With respect to Paragraph 11, SBC admits that it is a telecommunications company subject, in certain respects, to regulation by the WUTC. SBC asserts that RCW 80.01.040(3) speaks for itself and need not be admitted or denied. Further, SBC is without knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or accuracy of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 11, and SBC therefore denies these allegations.
- 5. SBC denies that the WUTC has jurisdiction over the SBC contract at issue and therefore denies that the WUTC has jurisdiction over this dispute as alleged in Paragraph 12.
- 6. With respect to Paragraph 13, SBC admits that it is a telecommunications company authorized to provide telecommunications service in the state of Washington. SBC is without knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or accuracy of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 13, and SBC therefore denies these allegations.

25

26

22

23

24

SBC'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO WUTC'S AMENDED COMPLAINT - 2

25 || 26 || 3

SBC'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO WUTC'S AMENDED COMPLAINT - 3

SBC'S ANSWER AND

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO

WUTC'S AMENDED COMPLAINT - 4

26

Richard A. Finnigan

2405 Evergreen Park Dr. SW

Suite B-1

Olympia, WA 98502 (360) 956-7001

24

25

26

- 26. Paragraphs 38 through 40 contain allegations that are not applicable to SBC, and SBC is without knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or accuracy of the allegations of Paragraphs 38 through 40, and SBC therefore denies these allegations.
- 27. SBC re-admits and re-denies as delineated above the allegations of Paragraphs 2 through 17 of the Complaint re-alleged by the WUTC in Paragraph 41.
- 28. Paragraphs 42 through 45 contain allegations that are not applicable to SBC, and SBC is without knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or accuracy of the allegations of Paragraphs 42 through 45, and SBC therefore denies these allegations.
- 29. Paragraphs 46 through 56 contain statements of procedure that need not be admitted or denied.
- 30. To the extent not admitted above, SBC specifically denies all other allegations in Paragraphs2 through 56 of the Complaint.

#### AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

BY WAY OF FURTHER ANSWER, and by way of affirmative defenses, SBC hereby alleges the WUTC's claims should be dismissed, in whole or in part, based on the following affirmative defenses:

- 1. The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
- 2. The Commission lacks subject matter jurisdiction over the agreement in question as identified in the Complaint.
- 3. Any obligation to file the agreement in question rested and still rests exclusively with Qwest as the incumbent local exchange carrier and not with SBC.

SBC'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO WUTC'S AMENDED COMPLAINT - 5

### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, SBC prays that this matter be dismissed as to any allegations against SBC.

Dated this 3rd day of September, 2003.

RICHARD A. FINNIGAN, WSBA #6443 Attorney for Respondent, SBC Telecom, Inc.

SBC'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO WUTC'S AMENDED COMPLAINT - 6 Law Office of Richard A. Finnigan 2405 Evergreen Park Dr. SW Suite B-1 Olympia, WA 98502 (360) 956-7001