EXHIBIT NO. \_\_\_(DEG-1CT)
DOCKET NO. UE-07\_\_\_
2007 PSE PCORC
WITNESS: DONALD E. GAINES

## BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

| WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, |                  |
|---|------------------|
| Complainant,  |                  |
| v.  | Docket No. UE-07 |
| PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.,                           |                  |
| Respondent.   |                  |

# PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY (CONFIDENTIAL) OF DONALD E. GAINES ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.

REDACTED VERSION

**MARCH 20, 2007** 

### PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.

### PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY (CONFIDENTIAL) OF **DONALD E. GAINES**

| I.  | INTRODUCTION           | 1 |
|-----|------------------------|---|
| II. | HEDGING LINE OF CREDIT | 2 |
| Ш   | CONCLUSION             | 4 |

# PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC. PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY (CONFIDENTIAL) OF DONALD E. GAINES I. INTRODUCTION Q. Please state your name, business address, and position with Puget Sound Energy, Inc. A. My name is Donald E. Gaines. My business address is 10885 NE Fourth Street, P.O. Box 97034. Bellevue, Washington 98009-9734. I am the Vice President

- P.O. Box 97034, Bellevue, Washington 98009-9734. I am the Vice President Finance & Treasurer for Puget Sound Energy, Inc. ("PSE" or "the Company").
- Q. Have you prepared an exhibit describing your education, relevant employment experience, and other professional qualifications?
- A. Yes, I have. It is Exhibit No. \_\_\_(DEG-2).
- 13 Q. What are your duties as Vice President Finance and Treasurer for PSE?
  - A. I have overall responsibility for raising capital in the financial markets. I am also responsible for maintaining relations with credit rating agencies, commercial and investment banks. In addition, I oversee the Company's financial planning, tax and energy credit activities.

18 /////

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

Q.

5

8

# 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

Prefiled Direct Testimony

(Confidential) of Donald E. Gaines

### What is the nature of your testimony in this proceeding?

A. My testimony describes PSE's progress in establishing a separate credit line to support its wholesale energy market transactions. I also describe the Company's plans to recover these costs of this credit facility in the same manner as other power and gas commodity costs, as was approved by the Commission in the Company's 2006 general rate case, Docket No. UE-060266 and UG-060267 (the "2006 GRC").

### II. HEDGING LINE OF CREDIT

### Q. How was the line of credit sized?

A. The Company subjected the augmented power and Core Gas hedging strategies to changes in price and compared the results to the amount of trade credit granted by PSE's hedging counterparties. Although not included in the mathematical modeling, the Company also considered the effects that changes in volumes would have on the hedging strategies. The amount of collateral needed in excess of the trade credit granted was used to determine the size of the credit facility. This analysis suggested that a credit facility in the amount of \$\text{million dollars would} be sufficient approximately percent of the time, while a credit facility of \$ million would be sufficient approximately percent of the time. The analysis suggested a credit facility in the amount of \$ million would be sufficient approximately percent of the time. In the end, the Company determined that a \$350 million credit facility, with an option to extend the facility to \$525 million (otherwise referred to as an "accordion" feature), would be a level it could

> Exhibit No. (DEG-1CT) Page 2 of 5

REDACTED VERSION

reasonably expect banks to commit and would be an adequate line of credit to support the augmented and extended hedging activities.

### Q. Please describe how the accordion feature works.

A. The accordion feature notifies the banks that are parties to the facility that the Company may desire to increase the size of the facility during its five-year term. Although they cannot object to the increase, the banks then providing the facility may, but are not obligated to, increase their commitment to the higher level. The Company retains the right to include additional banks in the facility to achieve the higher level, if needed. The benefit to customers is that the Company only pays for a \$350 million facility until it decides it is appropriate to increase the size of the facility. The Company would exercise the accordion feature if there were substantial use of the \$350 million base level or if substantial additional use were projected.

### Q. How would the Company use the credit facility?

A. At the time the Company enters into a hedge, it is "at the market"; as a result, the value of the hedge is then neutral. As prices or exposures change, the value of the hedge will change as it is marked to current market. To the extent the value of a hedge exceeds the amount of trade credit granted by the counterparty, the Company will be asked to post collateral in the amount by which the value of the hedge exceeds the amount of trade credit granted. If a counterparty makes a valid request for collateral, the Company would provide that collateral by either posting a letter

6

4

8

10

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

of credit or providing cash borrowed under the facility.

### Q. What are the type and magnitude of costs that would be related to such a line of credit?

- A. The up-front costs for such a facility are expected to be about \$275,000, which will be amortized over the five year term of the facility. The annual commitment fee is 12.5 basis points, or \$437,500, and the annual administrative agent fee is \$12,500. The rate for funds drawn from the line would be between 52.5 and 62.5 basis points above LIBOR (the variable London Inter-Bank Overnight Rate). For letters of credit, there is a 12.5 basis point fronting fee that is added to these spreads, resulting in letters of credit costing a total of 65 to 75 basis points. The total annual cost for this line of credit, absent the cost of letters of credit draws and fronting fees, is \$505,000. These costs are substantially lower than those expected when the Company proposed this facility in its 2006 GRC.
- How will the costs of this new line of credit be allocated between the Power and Q. Core Gas books?
- A. In this proceeding, the Company has allocated up-front costs to Core Gas and Power based upon the results of reviewing several energy costs scenarios. In the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile of the scenarios, the ratio of Power portfolio trade credit need compared to Core Gas portfolio trading credit need was 61:39. This suggests a line of credit cost allocation of 61% to Power and 39% to Core Gas. In addition, as draws are made under the line of credit, those costs will be allocated directly to the