1	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON
2	UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
3	
4	WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND ) TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, )
5	)
6	Complainant, )
7	v. ) Docket No. TE-151906 ) (Volume IV,
8	RIDE THE DUCKS OF SEATTLE, ) Pages 189 - 198) L.L.C. d/b/a SEATTLE DUCK ) TOURS, )
9	) Respondent. )
10	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
11	
12	PREHEARING CONFERENCE
13	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE GREGORY J. KOPTA
14	
15	9:30 A.M.
16	JANUARY 27, 2016
17	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest
	Olympia, Washington 98504-7250
18	
19	REPORTED BY: LISA BUELL, RPR, CRR, CCR #2204  Realtime Systems Administrator
20	- -
21	
22	Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC
23	1325 Fourth Avenue Suite 1840
24	Seattle, Washington 98101 206.287.9066   Seattle
25	360.534.9066 Olympia 800.846.6989 National

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:
4	GREGORY J. KOPTA Washington Utilities and
5	Transportation Commission 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW
6	Olympia, Washington 98504
7	FOR COMMISSION STAFF:
8	JULIAN H. BEATTIE
9	Assistant Attorney General P.O. Box 40128
10	Olympia, Washington 98504 360.664.1225
11	jbeattie@utc.wa.gov
12	FOR THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL:
13	(Appearing via Bridge Line)
14	SIMON J. FFITCH Senior Assistant Attorney General
15	Chief, Public Counsel Division 800 Fifth Avenue
16	Suite 2000, TB-14 Seattle, Washington 98104
17	206.389.2055 simonf@atg.wa.gov
18	FOR RESPONDENT:
19	DUNCAN K. FOBES
20	JOSEPH P. DERRIG Patterson Buchanan Fobes
21	& Leitch, Inc., P.S. 2112 Third Avenue
22	Suite 500 Seattle, Washington 98121
23	206.462.6700 dkf@pattersonbuchanan.com
24	jpd@pattersonbuchanan.com
25	
-	

1	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JANUARY 27, 2016
2	9:34 A.M.
3	
4	
5	
6	JUDGE KOPTA: Thank you. Let's be on the
7	record in Docket TE-151906, caption Washington Utilities
8	and Transportation Commission vs. Ride the Ducks of
9	Seattle, LLC.
10	We are here on January 27th, 2016, for a
11	prehearing conference with respect to the complaint that
12	the Commission initiated against the Company.
13	My name is Gregory J. Kopta. I'm the
14	Administrative Law Judge who's assigned to preside over
15	this proceeding.
16	And we will begin this morning by taking
17	appearances, starting with the Company.
18	MR. FOBES: Good morning, Your Honor.
19	Duncan Fobes for Ride the Ducks of Seattle, LLC.
20	MR. DERRIG: Good morning, Your Honor. Joe
21	Derrig for Ride the Ducks of Seattle as well.
22	JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Thank you.
23	Commission Staff?
24	MR. BEATTIE: Appearing on behalf of
25	Commission Staff, Julian Beattie, Assistant Attorney

1 General.

8

- JUDGE KOPTA: And for Public Counsel?
- MR. FFITCH: For Public Counsel, Simon
- 4 | ffitch, Senior Assistant Attorney General.
- 5 JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Thank you.
- Is there anyone else who wishes to make an appearance? Hearing none, we will proceed with our
- 9 First is interventions. No one has
- 10 petitioned to intervene, and I don't see anyone in the
- 11 hearing room. No one's asked for an appearance. So is
- 12 anyone seeking to intervene in this proceeding?
- Hearing nothing, we will say that the
- 14 parties are all assembled as they are currently
- 15 constituted.
- Staff has filed a motion for an amended
- complaint. I wanted to take that up here. Mr. Fobes,
- 18 have you had a chance to review that amended complaint
- and have any opinion on it?

procedural issues.

- MR. FOBES: Yes, Your Honor. We have no
- objection to the amendment of the complaint. Naturally
- we reserve the right to dispute the violations and
- proposed sanctions when we learn of them, but not to the
- amendment of the pleading itself.
- JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Then we will allow

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 1 that amendment to the complaint.
- 2 Is it your anticipation, Mr. Fobes, that the
- 3 Company will be filing an answer?
- 4 MR. FOBES: No, we will not.
- 5 JUDGE KOPTA: Okay. It's not required under 6 our rules, but it is an option that I just wanted to 7 clarify. So we will not expect an answer from you.
  - Discovery. Do the parties want to have the Commission's discovery rules available?
  - MR. BEATTIE: Staff anticipates no further discovery in this case, so I think from our perspective, it's not necessary to impose those rules. I suppose if a need arose, we could come back to the Commission and ask for the rules to be imposed, but I think at this time, there's no need for that.
- 16 MR. FOBES: Same for the Company, Your 17 Honor. We don't anticipate any discovery.
- 18 JUDGE KOPTA: Okay. There's been a lot of 19 information provided in this docket, so I'm not 20 surprised.
- 21 Yeah. Your Honor, this is -- I MR. FFITCH: 22 think we have a similar view; however, it may just be a 23 bit more efficient to have it be available in case 24 unforeseen circumstances arise, where there's a need for somebody to send out a DR so that there's no need for 25

- 1 further motions and process to get discovery.
- JUDGE KOPTA: Yes. That's a good point,
- 3 Mr. ffitch. I think I will go ahead and make the
- 4 | discovery rules available, and if needed, that they're
- 5 there, and if not, then no harm, no foul.
- 6 Consent to electronic service, the
- 7 | Commission is in the process of converting from paper to
- 8 electronic service. We're not there yet, but in case we
- 9 are able to do that in this proceeding, I would like to
- 10 get the consent of all parties to receive notices and
- orders solely electronically from the Commission. Do I
- 12 | have that consent from the parties?
- MR. FOBES: The Company consents to the
- 14 electronic service.
- MR. BEATTIE: Staff consents.
- JUDGE KOPTA: Mr. ffitch?
- MR. FFITCH: Public counsel consents. We do
- 18 request the continued request that, amongst themselves,
- 19 the parties do also provide a paper service copy in
- 20 addition to electronic service.
- JUDGE KOPTA: I will allow the parties to
- 22 work that out among themselves.
- So we will move on to the next thing, which
- is the schedule. Mr. Beattie was kind enough to provide
- 25 me with a proposed schedule. I note on here that

1 there's nothing with respect to prefiled testimony. Is it your anticipation that we would be having all 2 3 testimony presented live at the hearing, Mr. Beattie? 4 MR. BEATTIE: That's the assumption in this 5 schedule. I think we are -- we're going to try our best 6 to come to a negotiated resolution, and then I think the 7 plan is to at that time judge whether that settlement, 8 if there is one, is best presented through joint 9 testimony, live testimony. I guess what I'm saying is 10 we're hoping to reserve that issue until we see how 11 negotiations go. 12 JUDGE KOPTA: Okay. And is that the 13 Company's expectation as well? 14 MR. FOBES: Your Honor, I think I would 15 propose that maybe if we just have -- we have the 16 deadline for filing of exhibits and the witness list and 17 we just include prefiled testimony at that time, so if 18 prefiled testimony is used, then it would be due then. 19 JUDGE KOPTA: Well, my assumption is that if 20 you would be filing exhibits, then that would be 21 included in any kind of filing like that, so I suppose 22 that is one possibility. 23 My preference obviously with prehearing --24 prefiled testimony is that if we're going to have it,

25

that we have a schedule that contemplates additional

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 rounds. It's a little late in the game I think to try 2 and have prefiled testimony a week before the hearing, 3 but I appreciate the parties' desire to see if this can 4 be worked out amicably before we have to go to an 5 adjudication. So at this point, I'm fine with leaving 6 the schedule as you have proposed. I confirmed that the 7 Commissioners and I are all available on the 18th of 8 May, and so I will go ahead and adopt the schedule for 9 now and may note in the prehearing conference order that 10 it is subject to later revision should the parties find it necessary as a result of their further discussions. 11

MR. BEATTIE: Thanks. I think the assumption here is that if we get to March 25th and it's clear that a settlement is not possible, that leaves plenty of time to come up with a schedule for prefiled testimony in the month of April leading up to a hearing, if that's the way it looks like we should go.

JUDGE KOPTA: Yes.

MR. BEATTIE: That's why the ADR deadline was set so far in advance of the hearing, to allow us to come up with an alternate schedule should we reach that point.

JUDGE KOPTA: Right. Well, I know that the -- believe it or not, even this early -- the Commission's calendar, hearing calendar for the summer

1	is starting to fill up, so if the May 18th date doesn't
2	work, then we may have some difficulties at that point
3	finding another date where everyone is available. But
4	that's just kind of down the road, and we will all think
5	positively and anticipate that at least by the 25th,
6	you'll have a better sense of how we want to proceed.
7	All right. Is there anything else that we
8	need to discuss at this point? Hearing nothing, that's
9	all I have on my list.
10	MR. FOBES: Oh, Your Honor, I just wanted to
11	confirm there's not going to be an evidentiary hearing
12	on the Safety Management Plan on the proposed upgrade
13	tomorrow morning?
14	JUDGE KOPTA: That is correct, yes, we will
15	not be having a hearing on that.
16	MR. FOBES: Thank you.
17	JUDGE KOPTA: All right. If that's it, then
18	we're done and adjourned. Thank you very much.
19	MR. FFITCH: Thank you, Your Honor.
20	(Hearing concluded at 9:43 a.m.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON
4	COUNTY OF KING
5	
6	I, Lisa Buell, a Certified Shorthand Reporter and
7	Notary Public in and for the State of Washington, do
8	hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the
9	prehearing conference on January 27, 2016, is true and
10	accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereby set my hand and
12	seal this 10th day of February, 2016.
13	
14	
15	
16	LISA BUELL, RPR, CRR, CCR
17	
18	My commission expires:
19	DECEMBER 2018
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	