Exhibit No. ___ (TWZ-3)
Docket No. UT-040788
Witness: Timothy W. Zawislak

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

DOCKET NO. UT-040788

v.

VERIZON NORTHWEST INC.,

Respondent.

EXHIBIT TO TESTIMONY
OF
TIMOTHY W. ZAWISLAK
REGARDING INTERIM RATE RELIEF

STAFF OF WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Verizon NW Response to Staff Data Request No. 271

July 14, 2004

Before the

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Docket No. UT-040788

VERIZON NORTHWEST INC.

REVISED
RESPONSE TO STAFF DATA REQUEST NO. 271

July 9, 2004

(Replaces Response to Data Request No. 271 dated June 15, 2004)

Docket No. UT-040788 – General Rate Case Verizon Revised Response to WUTC Staff Data Request No. 271 July 9, 2004

DATA REQUEST 271: (Banta testimony):

With respect to Mr. Banta's testimony in Exhibit No. ____(SMB-2T), page 9, lines 16-19, and Exhibit ____(SMB-3), regarding the exemption of WTAP customers from the \$3.54 interim surcharge:

- a. How many WTAP lines does Verizon serve? In your answer, please indicate the date of the line count provided.
- b. Were WTAP client lines excluded from the line counts used to calculate the \$3.54 surcharge?
- c. Is it Verizon's intent to charge only the current permanent rates to WTAP customers and forgo collection from the WTAP fund the \$3.54 surcharge on those lines?
- d. Does Verizon anticipate any impact on the WTAP fund itself if the requested interim relief is granted?

REVISED RESPONSE:

- a. For Verizon NW-Washington, the WTAP access line count as of September 2003 is 21,722.
- b. Yes.
- c. Yes.
- d. No.

Prepared By: Gregg Diamond

Date: July 9, 2004 Witness: Steve Banta