Docket No. TV-190299 - Vol. I

In the Matter of: Triaogo Simpore d/b/a TS Moving Services

June 11, 2019



1325 Fourth Avenue • Suite 1840 • Seattle, Washington 98101

206.287.9066

www.buellrealtime.com

email: info@buellrealtime.com



1	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON
2	UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
3	
4	In the Matter of the)DOCKET TV-190299 Investigation of)
5	TRIAOGO SIMPORE DBA TS)
6	MOVING SERVICES)
7	For Compliance with WAC) 480-15-560 and WAC)
8	480-15-570)
9	
10	BRIEF ADJUDICATIVE PROCEEDING, VOLUME I
11	Pages 1-32
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE LAURA CHARTOFF
13	
14	June 11, 2019
15	9:30 a.m.
16	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
17	1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest Olympia, Washington 98504
18	
19	
20	REPORTED BY: TAYLER GARLINGHOUSE, CCR 3358
21	Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC
22	1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840 Seattle, Washington 98101
23	(206) 287-9066 Seattle (360) 534-9066 Olympia
24	(800) 846-6989 National
25	www.buellrealtime.com

1	APPEARANCES
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:
3	LAURA CHARTOFF
4	Utilities and Transportation Commission
5	1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, Washington 98504
6	(360) 664-1160 laura.chartoff@utc.wa.gov
7	
8	FOR COMMISSION STAFF:
9	JOE DALLAS Assistant Attorney General
10	1400 South Evergreen Park Drive SW P.O. Box 40128
11	Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 664-1192
12	joe.dallas@utc.wa.gov
13	FOR TS MOVING:
14	TRIAOGO SIMPORE
15	Owner
16	ALSO PRESENT:
17	JASON SHARP
18	Motor Carrier Safety Supervisor
19	EDWARD STEINER
20	Special Investigator, Transportation Safety
21	
22	* * * *
23	
24	
25	

1	EXAMINATION INDEX	
2	EDWARD STEINER	PAGE
3	By Mr. Dallas	
4	JASON SHARP	
5	By Mr. Dallas	
6 7	Judge Chartoff 21	
8	EXHIBIT INDEX	
9	EXHIBITS FOR ADMISSION	PAGE
LO	ES-1 Compliance Review Report	12
L1		
L2		
L3		
L4		
L5		
L6		
L7 L8		
L8 L9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JUNE 11, 2019
2	9:30 A.M.
3	PROCEEDINGS
4	
5	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Let's be on the
6	record. Good morning. This is Docket TV-190299, which
7	is captioned In the Matter of the Investigation of
8	Triaogo Simpore, doing business as TS Moving Services
9	for compliance with Washington Administrative Code
10	480-15-560 and 570.
11	My name is Laura Chartoff. I am an
12	administrative law judge presiding over today's brief
13	adjudicative proceeding.
14	Today is Tuesday, June 11th, 2019, and the
15	time is approximately 9:30 a.m.
16	So let's start by taking appearances
17	starting with a short appearance from Staff.
18	MR. DALLAS: Good morning, Judge Chartoff.
19	I am Joe Dallas, and I am an assistant attorney general
20	with the Utilities and Transportation division, and I am
21	here today on behalf of Commission Staff.
22	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you.
23	And, the Company, can you please state your
24	name, spelling your last name for the record.
25	MR. SIMPORE: Good morning. My name is

1	Triaogo Simpore, T-r-i-a-o-g-o, and Simpore,
2	S-i-m-p-o-e, doing business as TS Moving Services.
3	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you.
4	Okay. So we are here because on
5	April 30th, 2019, the Commission issued a notice of
6	intent to cancel permit as a household goods carrier
7	notice of brief adjudicative proceeding setting time for
8	oral statements.
9	So the Commission issued this notice
LO	following a compliance review conducted by Commission
L1	Staff in April 2019, which resulted in a proposed
L2	conditional safety rating. The Commission oh, the
L3	business has 60 days from the date it received the
L4	notice of the proposed conditional safety rating to
L5	obtain an approved safety management plan showing that
L6	your business has taken corrective action to address the
L7	violations. And if your business fails to come into
L8	compliance, the Commission will cancel your household
L9	goods permit effective June 23rd, 2019.
20	I also want to address the penalty
21	assessment in Docket TV-190298 in the amount of \$5,000.
22	Although TS Moving has not yet filed an application for
23	mitigation in that docket, I think it makes sense to
24	address that penalty today, and that would give you an

opportunity to explain any corrective actions you have

1	taken to prevent the violations from recurring. And
2	Staff can make a recommendation about whether or not the
3	penalty should be reduced.
4	So did you Mr. Simpore, did you get that
5	assessment?
6	MR. SIMPORE: I after the investigation,
7	I've been taking steps to eliminate those issue of
8	basically why it occurred and moving forward with not
9	actually happen again.
10	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Oh, so did you get the
11	penalty assessment?
12	MR. SIMPORE: I did get
13	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Are you aware of that?
14	MR. SIMPORE: I did get the email, I am
15	aware of that and yes, I did.
16	JUDGE CHARTOFF: And would you like to
17	address that today?
18	MR. SIMPORE: That would be part of today,
19	please, yes, I will.
20	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Okay. And I'm
21	assuming Staff has no objection to consolidating those
22	dockets?
23	MR. DALLAS: At this time, I'm unaware if
24	the Company has responded to the penalty assessment
25	requesting mitigation, but if the Company would like to

1	request mitigation, Staff can provide a recommendation
2	at this time.
3	MR. SIMPORE: It's all so new to me.
4	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Oh.
5	MR. SIMPORE: It's basically my first time
6	pretty much. I I
7	JUDGE CHARTOFF: I think what he's asking
8	is, when you get the penalty assessment, you have 15
9	days to either pay the assessment or ask for you can
10	deny the violations or you can admit the violations but
11	ask that the penalty be reduced.
12	MR. SIMPORE: I I believe, yes, I did get
13	that, but I thought I was going to come to the court
14	today, then we can I could go through that. But yes,
15	I did actually admit the violation and would ask the
16	penalty to be reduced.
17	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Is so,
18	Mr. Dallas, he's asking is that acceptable? He is
19	asking that the penalty be reduced. So can we
20	consolidate the petition I mean, the dockets?
21	MR. DALLAS: Yes, no objection.
22	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Okay. So those
23	matters are consolidated. That means we will address
24	both
25	MR_SIMPORE: Okay

JUDGE CHARTOFF: -- matters today. I'm just taking a moment to take some notes. Okay. So I'll give you some instructions about how this is -- how this proceeding is going to go. So when I call on each party to testify, I will swear you in with the oath of witness so that anything you tell the Court will be under oath and can be considered sworn testimony.

For the court reporter's benefit, please speak slowly and clearly and also, please use the microphone on the table in front of you. Once you're sworn in, you can introduce any exhibits you have prefiled and you can present your testimony and call witnesses.

So let's first have Staff address the safety management plan and safety rating. Following Staff's presentation, the Company will have the opportunity to ask Staff's witnesses any questions, and then, Mr. Simpore, you can present your testimony. At that time, you can address the violations in the penalty assessment. And once you're done testifying, Staff's attorney may have some questions for you and then Staff will make a recommendation on the penalty.

Do you have any questions before we get started?

MR. DALLAS: No, Judge.

1	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you.
2	Okay. So, Mr. Dallas, you can proceed when
3	you're ready.
4	MR. DALLAS: Thank you. Washington
5	Administrative Code 480-15-450 provides that the
6	Commission may cancel a household goods carrier's permit
7	and operating authority for good cause including where
8	the carrier fails to comply with safety requirements.
9	In April 2019, Staff completed a compliance
LO	review where it documented eight safety violation types.
L1	On April 23, Staff notified the Company of
L2	its proposed safety rating change to that of a
L3	conditional safety rating.
L4	On April 30th, the Commission issued a
L5	notice of intent to cancel a household goods carrier
L6	permit against TS Moving due to this proposed safety
L7	rating change.
L8	For the purposes of this hearing today,
L9	Staff intends to present sufficient evidence to prove
20	the safety violations, proposed safety rating change,
21	and that although the Company has submitted a safety
22	management plan, Staff cannot recommend an upgrade to
23	the Company's proposed safety rating at this time.
24	Staff will also provide a recommendation for

mitigation to the consolidated penalty assessment.

1	Staff intends to present this evidence through the
2	testimony of Edward Steiner and Jason Sharp, who are
3	seated next to me today. This evidence will show that
4	Staff documented eight safety violation types as a
5	result of a compliance investigation that was completed
6	in April. Thereafter, Staff assessed these violations
7	in light of the applicable legal standard in 49 CFR
8	387.7 and proposed an overall safety rating of
9	conditional.
10	Mr. Steiner will testify as to the eight
11	documented violation types, and Mr. Sharp will testify
12	as to why Staff proposed a conditional safety rating in
13	light of these violations and applicable legal standard.
14	Mr. Sharp will also provide a recommendation as to
15	mitigation of the accompanied penalty assessment.
16	At this time, I would call Mr. Steiner to
17	testify.
18	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay.
19	
20	EDWARD STEINER, witness herein, having been
21	first duly sworn on oath,
22	was examined and testified
23	as follows:
24	/////
25	////

EXAMINATION OF STEINER / DALLAS

1		EXAMINATION	
2	BY MR. DALLAS:		
3	Q.	Mr. Steiner, would you please state your name	
4	and s	spell your last name for the record?	
5	A.	Edward Steiner, S-t-e-i-n-e-r.	
6	Q.	And what is your current occupation?	
7	A.	Motor carrier safety investigator.	
8	Q.	And how long have you been in that position?	
9	A.	A little over two years.	
10	Q.	And what does that position involve?	
11	A.	Involves conducting safety compliance reviews of	
12	vario	ous motor carrier companies.	
13	Q.	Are you familiar with the company TS Moving?	
14	A.	Yes, I am.	
15	Q.	And how are you familiar with them?	
16	A.	I conducted a safety compliance review on the	
17	com	pany.	
18	Q.	I am showing you a document that has been	
19	identified as proposed Exhibit ES-1.		
20		MR. DALLAS: Judge, I have two copies of the	
21	proposed exhibits on the bench if you'd like to follow		
22	along	J .	
23		Then I also have a Company a copy for the	
24	Com	pany if you'd like one.	
25		MR. SIMPORE: Sure.	

	EXAI	MINATION OF STEINER / DALLAS
1	BY M	IR. DALLAS:
2	Q.	Mr. Steiner, what is Exhibit ES-1?
3	A.	It is the compliance review report.
4	Q.	Are you familiar with this exhibit?
5	A.	Yes, I am.
6	Q.	And how?
7	A.	I conducted the review and this is the report I
8	com	oleted.
9	Q.	Do you agree with the information contained
LO	withir	n this exhibit?
L1	A.	Yes.
L2	Q.	Do you believe it's truthful and correct?
L3	A.	Yes.
L4	Q.	Do you have any changes you'd like to make to
L5	this exhibit?	
L6	A.	No.
L7		MR. DALLAS: At this time, I move for
L8	propo	osed Exhibit ES-1 to be entered into evidence.
L9		JUDGE CHARTOFF: Mr. Simpore, do you have
20	any c	bjection to admitting this exhibit into evidence?
21		MR. SIMPORE: I as far as I know, no.
22		JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Exhibit ES-1 is
23	admi	tted.
24		(Exhibit ES-1 admitted.)

BY MR. DALLAS:

	EXAMINATION OF STEINER / DALLAS	
1	Q.	I would like to ask some questions of Exhibit
2	ES-1	Could you please reference page 3 and 4 of this
3	exhibit?	
4	A.	Okay.
5	Q.	Could you please explain what these two pages
6	consi	st of?
7	A.	These are the violations that were discovered
8	durin	g the safety review.
9	Q.	And how many total safety violation types did
10	you d	ocument during your compliance review?
11	A.	Total of eight.
12	Q.	I'd like to go through the eight violation types
13	you d	iscovered during your compliance review, and I will
14	be going in chronological order starting from the top of	
15	page 3 of Exhibit ES-1.	

Can you please discuss the violation of CFR Section 398.8, Subsection (a)(1)?

- A. That violation is for Company failed to complete records of duty status.
- Q. Can you please discuss the violation of CFR Section 390.19T, Subsection (b)(2)?
- A. The motor carrier failed to update every 24 months their MCS-150.
- Q. Can you please discuss the violation of CFR 391.45(a)?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

EXAMINATION OF STEINER / DALLAS

1	A.	Carrier failed to have a medical exam completed.
2	Q.	Can you please discuss the violation of CFR
3	391.5	51(a)?
4	A.	Driver qualification files are required, and the
5	carri	er failed to have the driver qualification file.
6	Q.	Can you please discuss the violation of
7	Wash	nington Administrative Code 480-15-555?
8	A.	Employee criminal background checks are
9	requ	ired, which the Company failed to have.
LO	Q.	Can you please discuss the violation of CFR
L1	396.3	B, Subsection B?
L2	A.	Records of inspection and vehicle maintenance
L3	are r	equired. The Company failed to have that.
L4	Q.	Can you please discuss the violation of CFR
L5	Secti	on 396.17(a)?
L6	A.	DOT periodic inspection required which the
L7	Com	pany failed to have.
L8	Q.	And lastly, could you please describe the
L9	violat	tion of Washington Administrative Code 480-15-550?
20	A.	Cargo insurance is required, the Company failed
21	to ha	ive it.
22	Q.	Thank you.
23		Did the Company submit a safety management plan
24	to res	solve these violations last weekend?
25	A.	Yes, they did.

EXAMINATION OF STEINER / DALLAS

1	Q. And have you been providing aid and guidance to
2	the Company in creating a safety management plan?
3	A. Yes, I have.
4	MR. DALLAS: Thank you. No further
5	questions.
6	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Mr. Simpore, do you have
7	any questions for Mr. Steiner?
8	MR. SIMPORE: At this point, no, I do not
9	have any questions for for him based on what this
10	report.
11	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Okay. So,
12	Mr. Dallas, this is for you. So your next witness is
13	going to discuss the safety going to discuss whether
14	the safety management plan is acceptable or not?
15	MR. DALLAS: Yes.
16	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Then I don't have
17	any questions.
18	MR. DALLAS: I'd like to call Jason Sharp to
19	testify.
20	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay.
21	
22	JASON SHARP, witness herein, having been
23	first duly sworn on oath,
24	was examined and testified
25	as follows:

	EXAI	MINATION OF SHARP / DALLAS
1		JUDGE CHARTOFF: Please be seated.
2		
3		EXAMINATION
4	BY M	IR. DALLAS:
5	Q.	Mr. Sharp, would you please state your name and
6	spell	your last name for the record?
7	A.	Jason Sharp, S-h-a-r-p.
8	Q.	And what is your current occupation?
9	A.	I am the motor carrier safety supervisor at the
LO	Utilit	ies and Transportation Commission.
L1	Q.	And how long have you been in that position?
L2	A.	A little over a year and a half.
L3	Q.	And what does that position involve?
L4	A.	I oversee the work of the motor carrier safety
L5	inves	stigators, I review the investigative reports that
L6	they	submit, and issue recommendations based on their
L7	findi	ngs.
L8	Q.	I am handing you Exhibit ES-1. Can you please
L9	refere	ence pages 13 and 14?
20	A.	Okay.
21	Q.	Do these pages document the 49 CFR 385.7
22	analy	sis Staff used to determine TS Moving safety
23	rating	gs in light of the Company's safety violations?
24	A.	Yes, these are the safety fitness rating

explanation based off of the violations discovered in

EXAMINATION OF SHARP / DALLAS

Mr. Steiner	's report.
-------------	------------

2.0

- Q. And after conducting this analysis, what safety rating did Staff assign to TS moving?
- A. TS Moving received a proposed conditional safety rating.
- Q. Since notifying TS Moving of its proposed safety rating, has the Company been able to submit a safety management plan?
- A. I did receive a copy of the Company's submission this morning. At this point, I am unable to issue a recommendation on it as it is currently inadequate for the standards of a safety management plan. Staff would continue to work with Mr. Simpore up until June 23rd to get that plan in an acceptable fashion. I do believe that potentially some more one-on-one time today would be advantageous to Mr. Simpore to meet with Investigator Steiner and myself following this proceeding to get him where he needs to be with that.
 - Q. Thank you.
- Can Staff at this time provide a recommendation as to mitigation of the penalty assessment?
- A. Yes. In review of the penalty assessment and the documents that Mr. Simpore submitted in his initial draft of the safety management plan, I would like to address each violation as it's laid out in the penalty

EXAMINATION OF SHARP / DALLAS

assessment with my recommendation.

As it relates to the 24 violations of WAC 480-15-550 in which the Company was found to be operating without cargo insurance, the Commission assessed a penalty of \$100 for each occurrence of this violation for a total of \$2,400.

On May 8th, the Commission received a Form E of the Company's cargo insurance plan showing that the Company now has the required insurance in effect, and my recommendation would be that the penalty of twenty -- or \$2,400 be mitigated down to \$1200.

The second violation addressed is of WAC 480-15-555 requiring criminal background checks for prospective employees. The Commission assessed a penalty of \$100 for this violation. Of this violation, I recommend no mitigation.

The next penalty addressed is for 24 violations of CFR 391.45(a), using a driver not medically examined and certified. The Commission assessed the penalty of \$100 for each occurrence of 24 identified occurrences for a total of \$2,400.

As part of the documents submitted over the weekend by Mr. Simpore, he submitted a medical certificate as proof of correction, which was issued on June 6th. In addition to that information, he also

EXAMINATION OF SHARP / DALLAS

1	submitted time sheets covering the span following the
2	closing interview of the safety investigation with
3	Mr. Steiner. Taking into consideration that there were
4	17 additional trips identified in between that time
5	prior to Mr. Simpore getting his medical certificate, I
6	would issue no mitigation of the \$2400 penalty.
7	And then the final violation addressed in the
8	penalty assessment was for 30 violations of CFR part
9	395.8(a)(1) for failing to require a driver to prepare a
10	record of duty status. The penalty assessment assessed
11	a per category penalty of \$100 for all 30 occurrences.
12	And my recommendation would be to leave that \$100
13	penalty in place, which would take the so in total,
14	the initial penalty of \$5,000, my recommendation would
15	be to mitigate down to \$3,800 and then suspend \$2,500 of
16	that for a period of two years.
17	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Oh, can you repeat that?
18	MR. SHARP: Yes. So the initial penalty of
19	\$5,000 mitigated down to \$3,800. And then Staff would
20	recommend a suspension of \$2,500 of that remaining \$3800
21	for a period of two years on the condition that the

A. And Staff would be open to working with the

Company does not have any repeat critical violations

Company on a payment arrangement on the additional

upon reinspection.

22

23

```
1
    $1,300 that would be payable and due.
 2
            MR. DALLAS: No further questions.
 3
            JUDGE CHARTOFF: Do you have any questions
 4
    for Mr. Sharp?
 5
            MR. SIMPORE: Yes, I actually do. Thank you
 6
    for your time, and at this point, I would like to say
    that all these violation has occurred not by negligence
    or by not -- as a simple fact that yes, it's kind of not
    my -- I didn't know. I shouldn't be in this business,
10
    but if I knew like most should know more than where I
11
    might not be in this business. My -- when I first
12
    started this business --
13
            JUDGE CHARTOFF: Mr. Simpore, it sounds like
14
    you're going into your testimony, and I will give you an
15
    opportunity to do that. This is just if you have any
16
    questions for him.
17
            MR. SIMPORE: No, I don't have questions for
18
    him.
19
            JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay.
2.0
            MR. DALLAS: Can I have a very quick
21
    redirect?
22
            JUDGE CHARTOFF: Yes.
23
    /////
24
    /////
25
    /////
```

EXAMINATION OF SHARP / DALLAS

1	EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. DALLAS:
3	Q. Mr. Sharp, has the Company ever attended a
4	Commission-sponsored household goods training?
5	A. Yes, Mr. Simpore attended the household goods
6	training that was held here at the Commission on August
7	15th of 2018.
8	MR. DALLAS: No further questions.
9	
10	EXAMINATION
11	BY JUDGE CHARTOFF:
12	Q. Okay. So so so Mr. Simpore submitted a
13	safety management plan, did you say, this morning?
14	A. Mr. Simpore emailed his plan to Investigator
15	Steiner over the weekend. Investigator Steiner works a
16	flexible schedule and this is his first day. And so I
17	received a copy of it this morning and was able to
18	review the records in it.
19	Q. Okay. And at this time, it is not acceptable?
20	A. That's correct.
21	Q. But you think that with additional conversations
22	with Mr. Simpore, there's the possibility that you could
23	get to an acceptable plan?
24	A. At this point, I'm not positive in that, but
25	Staff would continue to extend its technical assistance

EXAMINATION OF SHARP / CHARTOFF

l	to the Compan	y to work towards	that conclusion.
---	---------------	-------------------	------------------

Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure I understand what the process is. If -- if there is a -- an acceptable safety management plan, my understanding, correct me if I'm wrong, is that the safety rating would remain conditional, but we would extend the provisional period of the permit for additional amount of time?

A. That's correct.

Q. Okay. And I assume -- so let's just talk about the timing for a minute. The -- the permit would expire -- or would be cancelled on June 23rd. With the office move, we're going to be on limited operations on the -- I'm going to get my calendar open. We're going to be on limited operations on the 21st, so I would need to get an order out on the 20th. So what's a reasonable -- if Mr. Simpore submits an acceptable plan, what's the latest he could submit that? And how much time would you need to review that and send me a recommendation?

A. I generally need at least a day to get the recommendation in from the point of receiving an acceptable plan. So if -- your time frame indicates the 20th that -- when you need to get it out, I -- I'm -- apologize, I don't have a calendar in front of me to...

EXAMINATION OF SHARP / CHARTOFF

1	Q. Oh, okay. So the 20th is Thursday, so I think
2	the very latest that Mr. Simpore could get that plan
3	finished would be Monday, the 17th; does that
4	A. That should provide me enough time to do an
5	evaluation, yes.
6	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. So okay. Do you
7	have anything to add?
8	MR. DALLAS: No, Your Honor.
9	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Oh, okay. I have no
LO	further questions.
L1	Okay. So I'll go ahead. So now it is your
L2	turn to testify.
L3	
L4	TRIAOGO SIMPORE, witness herein, having been
L5	first duly sworn on oath,
L6	was examined and testified
L7	as follows:
L8	
L9	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Please be seated.
20	Okay. So I did not receive any exhibits
21	from you in advance of the hearing, so you can proceed
22	with your testimony. And I can we're going to talk
23	about the violations and the penalty assessment, but if
24	you'd like to go ahead and make any statements you had

planned to make.

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

-

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

MR. SIMPORE: Okay. Follow as we go and I can possibly ask question and I mean, state whether -- what I have to say, but...

JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. So why don't we -I'll start by going through the penalty assessment and
you can explain why these violations occurred and what
you have done or what you are doing to prevent them from
occurring again, what you're going to do to fix that,
okay?

MR. SIMPORE: Okay.

JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. So the first one is failure to have cargo insurance.

MR. SIMPORE: Okay. For that, first I applied for the business license, they asked me to have proof of insurance. I did have the proof, I just -- the liability insurance, but for me, I didn't even know what the difference between the cargo insurance and the liability. I think Mr. Steiner if -- he's probably going to say something, when he called me, he asked me and I told him I have it. And he's the one call my insurance agent and try to figure out.

Come to find out, I don't have the cargo insurance. I didn't even know what the difference between cargo insurance and liability. And I had worked with my agent with -- through some emails and ended up

going to the office, finally get the cargo insurance instate. So I knew that that was actually required. I would have had that in the first place not only to save my business, but also save me -- I have lost so much money and not having that because I can't -- I just told

them because I don't have that.

And you know when M

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

And, you know, when Mr. Steiner called and told me that, that's where I pretty much stop calling my agent. He -- she couldn't get me that and I ended up going to different cargo company, insurance company, where they're going to get -- cancel on me, then I go back and forth. It take me time to get the cargo insurance, but I got it and it's something that I'm going to try to keep it as long as I am doing the business. So, you know, that's my reason why I didn't have it.

JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. And so the next violation is failure to get a criminal background check for an employee.

MR. SIMPORE: Yes, I -- for that, I -- I explained to Mr. Steiner that yes, I didn't -- like I said, I didn't really know, you know, especially have this guy that I know from childhood, he's pretty much as myself. I didn't know it's really, you know, worth.

You know, I could have the, you know -- I could have

1 that in the records. The reason I didn't have it is 2 because I didn't know I had to have it. You know, I 3 mean, the other company do, but for me since it's just 4 me and my truck, it's not as big, you know, big 5 corporation. So just have your guys and get the truck, 6 get the job done. 7 And when -- when Mr. Steiner come and tell 8 me about no, I have to -- even though I know him, he's not a criminal by law, I can't take it, you know, people 10 without having background check. I say okay, and I did 11 it and actually have the background check, and he's 12 clean, and I have it on file, and also I have copy of 13 that background check report here with me. 14 So yes, and I also send it through my email. 15 And if now, moving forward, if I intended to hire 16

And if now, moving forward, if I intended to hire somebody, I will first make sure I have all this check and have on file before proceed to -- to the hiring process. That's where my plan as far for that.

JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. So the next violation was using a driver not medically examined and certified.

MR. SIMPORE: You know, I -- I'm the boss -- I'm the owner, I'm driver. For me, I don't know. Like I said, I do absolutely all of these things. It's something that I never know for the -- I had to have as

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	a you know, a record of it. Because I mean, I pay
2	myself, I am doing the job, so why do I need to do this?
3	You know, that's why I never had. And since the
4	investigation, then it is not only he is
5	investigator, but he's also helped me to understand that
6	this is what I need to be doing and this is what needs
7	to be done in order to keep up.
8	And I tell him moving forward, I try my best
9	to do what I can. If something I don't understand
10	again, I will send an email and ask question if he can
11	help. And that's pretty much what I've been doing. And
12	as far as I you know, I know but yeah. And I keep
13	the a record of the driver duty, and I have all this
14	copy in here with me.
15	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Mr. Simpore, do you have
16	anything else to add?
17	MR. SIMPORE: I what I would like to add
18	is that I I allow I did not allow this violation
19	to happen by ignoring the fact that I'm running a
20	business. It actually happened because yes, I only have
21	Cameron to do training one time, but most importantly,
22	English is not my first language. I'm trying. I mean,
23	I might speak well a little bit, but it might sound a
24	little bit different story.

And as for actually me to just get

1 everything straight like I'm supposed to be like someone 2 who's like fully understand English my -- and I am 3 trying. I will do it, but all I'm trying to say is I 4 need a chance here and opportunity to get it right. I'm 5 not trying to just come and -- not asking you to let me 6 run my business, illegally run my business, but I need a 7 chance and opportunity to make it right so hopefully I can get, you know, to the right track and that's all I'm asking. 10 JUDGE CHARTOFF: Would it be helpful to you 11 to have instructions in another language? 12 MR. SIMPORE: I think English probably what 13 I need, the English probably be the closest that you can 14 get that I can understand. 15 JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. 16 MR. SIMPORE: As far as written, but the 17 other language, might not going to find. But I think 18 from the day that we have this conversation to now, it's 19 probably opened my eyes a lot more to what I should be 20 doing. And like I say, as two -- two month that were 21 given, I think is -- for me is a short time for me, 22 because coming from not knowing anything, not knowing 23 where to start to come and fully required in couple week 24 or two months. So yes, I might get there, but I don't

know how soon. It's not something that can just go and

1 grab a real guick thing. I have to plan, I have to have 2 safety -- like safety plan and I need time. And that's 3 all I'm saying. 4 JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Okay. Mr. Dallas, 5 do you have any questions for Mr. Simpore? 6 MR. DALLAS: Staff has no questions. 7 MR. SIMPORE: And also want to add that 8 since I started this, I started this business I -- like I said, I'm trying to do the business as normal business 10 owner, you know, here, supporting some of the local. 11 And, you know, my community and also local State Patrol 12 to give as much as I could to some of the program. Ever 13 since I started business every year, little bit 14 donation. 15 So just trying to be doing the right thing. 16 Not like I am trying to just do the obvious, do the 17 business on -- no, that's my plan. I mean, I don't have 18 the -- the resources to be -- to be -- have like hired 19 to do people. So I basically am the one who is going to 20 be out in the field at the job and also be at the house 21 and do the paperwork. 22 The more business is growing, I will 23 definitely probably have someone that can help so that 24 way things can be supposed to be. But as far as now,

it's only one guy's in a truck, trying to make a living

1 and trying to do the right thing. That's what I have to 2 say. 3 JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Thank you. 4 MR. SIMPORE: You're welcome. 5 JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. So -- so Staff has 6 indicated that they will continue to work with you to 7 see if you -- if you -- you can come up with a safety 8 management plan that is acceptable. They did offer to work with you today in person; is that correct? I would 10 encourage you to do that if -- we're running out of 11 time. 12 Like I explained, I will have to cancel your 13 permit on June 23rd if you're unable to come up with an 14 approved plan. But in order to have enough time, the 15 plan really needs to be complete by June 17th, which is 16 Monday. So I would encourage you to -- to stay after 17 this hearing, talk to Staff, figure out what needs to be 18 improved so you can have an approved management plan. 19 And that will give them enough time to report to me that 20 they are -- they are satisfied with it and to recommend 21 that you keep your permit. So please do the work to get 22 that done on time because otherwise, I won't have any 23 option but to sus- -- to cancel your permit. 24 MR. SIMPORE: Okay. 25

JUDGE CHARTOFF: Is there anything else --

1	anything else I need to do before we go off the record?
2	MR. DALLAS: No, Your Honor.
3	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. So thank you all for
4	coming here today. I will wait to see if I I will
5	wait for Staff's recommendation next week, so the but
6	I think that's it. So okay. We are adjourned.
7	(Adjourned at 10:15 a.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON
4	COUNTY OF THURSTON
5	
6	I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand
7	Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby
8	certify that the foregoing transcript is true and
9	accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
10	
11	Tayler Garlinghouse, CCR 3358
12	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	