1	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE
2	UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
3	
4	In the Matter of the Penalty) Assessment Against)
5	WOODLAND INDUSTRIES GENERAL) Docket No. DG-160174
6	CONTRACTING, INC.
7	In the Amount of \$2,000
8	
9	
10	BRIEF ADJUDICATIVE PROCEEDING, VOLUME I
11	Pages 1-65
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE RAYNE PEARSON
13	
13 14	9:38 a.m.
	9:38 a.m. June 21, 2016
14	June 21, 2016 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
14 15	June 21, 2016
14 15 16	June 21, 2016 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest
14 15 16 17	June 21, 2016 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest
14 15 16 17 18	June 21, 2016 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest
14 15 16 17 18	June 21, 2016 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest Olympia, Washington 98504-7250 REPORTED BY: ANITA W. SELF, RPR, CCR #3032 Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC.
14 15 16 17 18 19	June 21, 2016 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest Olympia, Washington 98504-7250 REPORTED BY: ANITA W. SELF, RPR, CCR #3032 Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC. 1325 Fourth Avenue Suite 1840
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	June 21, 2016 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest Olympia, Washington 98504-7250 REPORTED BY: ANITA W. SELF, RPR, CCR #3032 Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC. 1325 Fourth Avenue Suite 1840 Seattle, Washington 98101 206.287.9066 Seattle
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	June 21, 2016 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest Olympia, Washington 98504-7250 REPORTED BY: ANITA W. SELF, RPR, CCR #3032 Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC. 1325 Fourth Avenue Suite 1840 Seattle, Washington 98101

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:
4	RAYNE PEARSON Washington Utilities and
5	Transportation Commission 1300 So. Evergreen Park Drive SW
6	P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504
7	360.664.1136 rpearson@utc.wa.gov
8	
9	FOR WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION:
10	BRETT SHEARER
11	Assistant Attorney General 1400 So. Evergreen Park Drive SW
12	P.O. Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504
13	360.664.1187 bshearer@utc.wa.gov
14	FOR WATTERSON EXCAVATION:
15	Brad Boren
16	Brad Boren
17	ALSO PRESENT:
18	Anthony Dorrough Scott Anderson
19	Derek Norwood Lynda Holloway
20	* * * * *
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	HEARING VOLUME I: INDEX	
2		AGE
3	BRAD BOREN (for Watterson Excavation) Direct Testimony by Mr. Boren	8
4	ANTHONY DORROUGH	J
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Shearer	11
6 7	LEE ROGERS (for Woodland Industries) Direct Testimony by Mr. Rogers Cross-Examination by Mr. Shearer	18 26
8	SCOTT ANDERSON Direct Examination by Mr. Shearer	28
10	LARRY MOORE (for Town & Country) Direct Testimony by Mr. Moore Cross-Examination by Mr. Shearer	40 49
12	DEREK NORWOOD Examination by Mr. Shearer	51
13	EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION MARKED/ADMI	TTED
14 15	AD-1 - UTC Incident Investigation Form	13
16	AD-2 - Damage Report	14
17	SA-1 - UTC Incident Investigation Form	30
18	SA-2 - Damage Report 1999937	31
19	SA-3 - Damage Report 2344578	32
20	SA-4 - Washington One Call Ticket No. 15179407	34
21	SA-5 - Washington One Call Ticket No. 15283209	35
22	DN-1 - UTC Incident Investigation Form	54
23	DN-2 - Damage Report ID 2329650	55
24	DN-3 - Cascade Natural Gas Report	56
25	DN-4 - Washington One Call Ticket No. 15181685	57

OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JUNE 21, 2016

9:38 A.M.

PROCEEDINGS

2.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Good morning. My name is Rayne Pearson. I'm an administrative law judge with the Utilities and Transportation Commission, and I am the presiding officer for today's hearing being held at the Commission's headquarters in Olympia.

Today is Tuesday, June 21st, 2016, and the time is approximately 9:38 a.m.

Today's proceeding involves a total of three companies that have requested hearings to mitigate penalties they received for failing to request a dig ticket prior to performing an excavation. And the first thing I'll do is take a roll call to determine which companies are present.

And because there is a court reporter here today creating a record of today's proceedings, please give a verbal response to the roll call, like "here" or "present" in addition to raising your hand when I call your company's name.

The first is Docket DG-160164, Watterson Excavation, Inc.

MR. BOREN: Yes, present.

1 JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. And next is 2 Docket DG-160174, Woodland Industries General 3 Contracting, Inc. 4 MR. ROGERS: Present. 5 JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. And finally 6 Docket DG-160328, Town & Country Tree Service. 7 MR. MOORE: Here. It says Woodland Industries on mine. 8 9 JUDGE PEARSON: Maybe you were given a copy of someone else's exhibits. Mr. Shearer has a copy for 10 11 you, though, of -- if you represent Town & Country Tree 12 Service, he has that for you. 13 MR. SHEARER: Yeah. I think there's just a 14 typo on the service letter. 15 JUDGE PEARSON: Oh, okay. 16 MR. SHEARER: Our office accidentally used 17 the same fill-in on the subject line. 18 JUDGE PEARSON: Gotcha. Okay. 19 MR. SHEARER: But the actual exhibits and 20 dockets are all --21 JUDGE PEARSON: They're all correct. Okay. 22 So the purpose of today's proceeding is to 23 allow each of you an opportunity to explain the 24 circumstances that led to the violations and why you believe the penalty should be reduced. So I will call 25

each of you up to do that individually. And once you're finished, Staff will make a recommendation, and then you will have an opportunity to briefly respond to that recommendation.

So in a few minutes I will call each company forward, and at that time I will swear you in with the oath of witness, so anything you tell the Court will be under oath and will be considered sworn testimony.

And again, with the court reporter recording everything that's said today, please speak slowly and clearly, and please also be sure to use the microphone that's on the table here. You'll know the microphone is on when the red light is illuminated.

Once you're sworn in, you can present your case. And I won't be making any decisions today, but I will take everything under advisement and issue an order in each docket within ten days. So once you've presented your case and Staff makes a recommendation, you're free to leave and then we will move on to the next docket.

So first let's take an appearance from Commission staff.

MR. SHEARER: Good morning, your Honor. My name is Brett Shearer. I'm Assistant Attorney General on behalf of Commission staff.

1	JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. Does Staff have
2	any general opening statement or testimony to give this
3	morning?
4	MR. SHEARER: No. The documents are fairly
5	straightforward, and the cases are fairly
6	straightforward, and we're just going to give you all
7	the facts we have.
8	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Sounds good.
9	So the first docket is DG-160164, Watterson
LO	Excavation, Inc.
L1	If you could step forward to this seat.
L2	MR. BOREN: This one?
L3	JUDGE PEARSON: Yes. If you could just make
L4	sure that that microphone is turned on and the red light
L5	comes on.
L6	MR. BOREN: Okay.
L7	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So can you state your
L8	name and address for the record, spelling your last name
L9	for the court reporter, please?
20	MR. BOREN: Brad Boren, B-O-R-E-N, and my
21	address or the office address?
22	JUDGE PEARSON: The office address.
23	MR. BOREN: Okay. 718 Griffin Avenue,
24	No. 90, Enumclaw.
25	JUDGE DEADSON' Okay And a phone number?

DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. BOREN

1	MR. BOREN: (253) 334-2674.
2	JUDGE PEARSON: And an e-mail address?
3	MR. BOREN: Brad@wattersonexcavating.com.
4	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And what position do
5	you hold with the company?
6	MR. BOREN: General manager.
7	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So at this
8	point I will swear you in. If you would please stand
9	and raise your right hand.
10	
11	BRAD BOREN, witness herein, having been
12	first duly sworn on oath,
13	was examined and testified
14	as follows:
15	
16	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. You can have a seat
17	and go ahead and proceed when you're ready.
18	*** DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. BOREN ***
19	MR. BOREN: Okay. Pretty quick, we're not
20	denying that we didn't have the locates. I just wanted
21	to we had been had a visit from Anthony
22	Dorrough
23	JUDGE PEARSON: Um-hmm.
24	MR. BOREN: from the Utility Commission,
25	and kind of went through some things. And I just wanted

DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. BOREN

to make sure that the two prior no locates were from 2013; the last one, the third one, was in 2015.

We've gone through major changes in our office, hired a person that does nothing but takes care of this for at least four to six hours a day, between talking to the utility people to get them to the right, correct spot, because a lot of work that we do is new construction, hard-to-find addresses, things like that, numerous meetings with our guys.

We've made changes -- huge changes to make sure this doesn't happen through paperwork, through just making sure our guys understand what's going on. We went through some stuff with PSE, just wanted to make sure that our side of it was -- this was unfortunate that it was our third time, but it was -- and hasn't happened since. Unfortunately, a new employee doing something that he wasn't supposed to do, but with our two previous infractions in 2013, this was the third one.

So I understand -- I just wanted to plead my case and say that we're not taking it lightly and we're not just pushing it to the side. We've made huge strides to make sure it doesn't happen again.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Shearer, do you have any questions for

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. BOREN

```
1
    Mr. Boren?
 2
                 MR. SHEARER: No, your Honor.
                 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And is Staff prepared
3
4
    to make a recommendation?
5
                 MR. SHEARER: Yes, your Honor.
6
                 JUDGE PEARSON:
                                 Okay. You can do that at
7
    this time. Or did you want to call a witness?
8
                 MR. SHEARER: I would call Mr. Dorrough to
9
    explain his recommendation.
                 JUDGE PEARSON: Perfect. And I will just
10
11
    swear you in.
12
                 Can you turn your microphone on?
13
                 MR. DORROUGH: Sure.
14
                 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. If you will raise
15
    your right hand.
16
17
                              witness herein, having been
    ANTHONY DORROUGH,
18
                              first duly sworn on oath,
19
                              was examined and testified
20
                              as follows:
21
22
                 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. You may be seated.
23
    Go ahead.
24
    / / /
25
    / / /
```

4

7

8

9

14

15

16

18

19

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SHEARER / DORROUGH 11

- 1 *** DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SHEARER ***
- 2 BY MR. SHEARER:
 - Q. Can you please state your name and spell your last name for the record?
- A. My name is Anthony Dorrough, spelled D-O-R-R-O-U-G-H.
 - Q. And Mr. Dorrough, what is your role here at the Commission?
 - A. I'm a pipeline safety engineer.
- Q. And what was your role here in this docket,
 Docket 160164?
- 12 A. Investigation of an incident where there were 13 alleged violations of the dig law.
 - Q. And you heard the previous testimony this morning. Is that an accurate -- was that testimony accurate from your perspective?
- 17 A. Yes, it was.
 - Q. Okay. And this was the company's third violation; is that correct?
- A. I believe so. From my report -- actually, it says here, if I remember, on July 20th, 2015, was the violation, but in our previous -- it said there were three previous damage incidents before this one.
- Q. Well, let me go back then.
- 25 A. Sure.

- 1 Q. I'll hand you a document here.
- 2 A. Yeah.

3

7

8

- Q. Do you recognize this document, Mr. Dorrough?
- 4 A. Yes, I do.
- 5 0. What is that document?
- 6 A. This is our investigation incident form.
 - Q. And does this document accurately summarize your investigation and findings in the course of this docket, Docket DG-160164?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Thank you.
- MR. SHEARER: Your Honor, and I would move to have that admitted in this case.
- JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Boren, do you have any objection to admitting that?
- MR. BOREN: No. I don't know if I've seen
- 17 | it, but --
- JUDGE PEARSON: Did you give him a copy?
- MR. SHEARER: Yes.
- JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. It's in the packet.
- MR. SHEARER: And I have another copy if you
- 22 need it.
- MR. BOREN: It's in this one? Yeah, it's
- 24 not --
- JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So I will admit that

- 1 and mark it as Exhibit AD-1.
- 2 MR. SHEARER: Thank you.
- 3 (Exhibit No. AD-1 was marked and
- 4 admitted into evidence.)
- MR. SHEARER: And while we're doing this,
- 6 | we'll authenticate the second document, Judge Pearson,
- 7 | if that's all right.
- JUDGE PEARSON: Sure.
- 9 BY MR. SHEARER:
- 10 Q. I'm going to hand you a second document,
- 11 Mr. Dorrough.
- 12 A. Okay.
- Q. Do you recognize that document?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And what is that document?
- 16 A. It is a dirt damage report.
- Q. Did you rely on this document and review this document in the course of your investigation?
- 19 A. Yes, I did.
- MR. SHEARER: Your Honor, I'd move to have
- 21 | this -- the dirt ticket admitted as well as
- 22 Exhibit AD-2.
- JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And Mr. Boren, do you
- 24 | have any objection?
- MR. BOREN: No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SHEARER / DORROUGH 14

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then I will admit
that and mark it as Exhibit AD-2.

(Exhibit No. AD-2 was marked and admitted into evidence.)

BY MR. SHEARER:

Q. Now, Mr. Dorrough, what was your recommended penalty in this case?

A. Okay. My report, because of three previous violations resulting in a \$4,000 penalty assessment, and a demonstrated lack of understanding about following procedure -- proper procedure in regard to public safety related to Washington State law --

(Interruption by the reporter.)

MR. DORROUGH: Because of three previous violations resulting in a \$4,000 penalty assessment, a demonstrated lack of understanding about following proper procedure regarding to public safety related to Washington State law, and a short span of time between their penalty assessment in April 2015, Staff recommends that Watterson be issued a \$10,000 penalty for the latest violation.

22 BY MR. SHEARER:

Q. And you base your investigation on -- and your recommendation on the dirt report, contact with the utility and contact with the company; is that correct?

1 Α. Correct. 2. Thank you, Mr. Dorrough. MR. SHEARER: 3 MR. DORROUGH: Okay. 4 MR. SHEARER: I have no further questions, 5 your Honor. 6 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I have just one 7 question. I was curious if the dig safety training is still available. I know that was going on, and that is 8 9 probably a question for Mr. Dorrough. 10 MR. DORROUGH: Say that again. 11 JUDGE PEARSON: Is the dig law safety 12 training still available? 13 MR. DORROUGH: I'm not quite sure. 14 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. 15 MR. DORROUGH: I think it was available, but 16 I don't know what the status is right now. 17 JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. Holloway is nodding yes, 18 that it is still available. 19 MR. DORROUGH: All right. 20 JUDGE PEARSON: Is that available on an 21 ongoing basis, Ms. Holloway? 22 MS. HOLLOWAY: They don't have set dates, 23 but they usually try to do two, three, four of them a 24 Just depends on the schedule of the trainer. 25 that's through the NUCA Dig Safe training, and they

1 | can --

7

8

12

13

19

20

2 JUDGE PEARSON: Through the what?

MS. HOLLOWAY: NUCA.

4 JUDGE PEARSON: N-U-C-A?

5 MS. HOLLOWAY: Yes.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.

And Mr. Boren, did you want to respond to

Staff's recommendation?

9 MR. BOREN: I just had a question on that.

10 On the training, was that through the 811? I've been in

11 contact with Don Evans, and I don't know if that's

something totally separate, but he's through 811, and

PSE is how I was contacted.

MS. HOLLOWAY: So Don Evans does training

with Washington 811; NUCA Dig Safe training is separate

16 from that. You could have Don come in and do training

on-site, and then if you want to schedule training,

18 | like, a full day NUCA Dig Safe training, you can

schedule that through NUCA. And sometimes the safety

committee will also offer Dig Safe training through

21 NUCA, too.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you,

23 | Ms. Holloway. I'm glad you're here.

Okay. Did you have anything further,

25 Mr. Boren?

1 MR. BOREN: No. 2 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. All right. Nothing further from Staff? 3 4 MR. SHEARER: Nothing further from Staff, 5 your Honor. 6 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Then 7 Mr. Boren, you are free to go. 8 MR. BOREN: Okay. 9 JUDGE PEARSON: And thank you for coming 10 this morning. Okay. 11 And I'll call the next docket, DG-160174, 12 Woodland Industries General Contracting, Inc. If you 13 could please come forward. If you could just go ahead 14 and take a seat at the table and make sure that the 15 microphone is turned on. 16 If you could state your name for the record, 17 spelling your last name. 18 MR. ROGERS: Lee Rogers, R-O-G-E-R-S. 19 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And what's your 20 position with the company? 21 MR. ROGERS: I'm the general manager. 22 Okay. Can you give us an JUDGE PEARSON: 23 address, phone number and e-mail address? MR. ROGERS: Company address is 10715 66th 24

25

Avenue East, Puyallup, Washington 98373.

DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. ROGERS

1	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And a phone number?
2	MR. ROGERS: (253) 770-9663.
3	JUDGE PEARSON: And an e-mail address?
4	MR. ROGERS: Lee@woodlandindustries.net.
5	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. And if
6	you could please stand and raise your right hand, I will
7	swear you in.
8	
9	LEE ROGERS, witness herein, having been
10	first duly sworn on oath,
11	was examined and testified
12	as follows:
13	
14	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Go ahead and be
14 15	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Go ahead and be seated. And you can go ahead whenever you're ready.
15	seated. And you can go ahead whenever you're ready.
15 16	seated. And you can go ahead whenever you're ready. *** DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. ROGERS ***
15 16 17	seated. And you can go ahead whenever you're ready. *** DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. ROGERS *** MR. ROGERS: So we were notified about two
15 16 17 18	seated. And you can go ahead whenever you're ready. *** DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. ROGERS *** MR. ROGERS: So we were notified about two situations that happened I'm not positive on the
15 16 17 18 19	seated. And you can go ahead whenever you're ready. *** DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. ROGERS *** MR. ROGERS: So we were notified about two situations that happened I'm not positive on the dates over the last two years. The first one is a
15 16 17 18 19 20	seated. And you can go ahead whenever you're ready. *** DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. ROGERS *** MR. ROGERS: So we were notified about two situations that happened I'm not positive on the dates over the last two years. The first one is a site in the Lakewood area. I think most people are
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	seated. And you can go ahead whenever you're ready. *** DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. ROGERS *** MR. ROGERS: So we were notified about two situations that happened I'm not positive on the dates over the last two years. The first one is a site in the Lakewood area. I think most people are familiar with the site. It's adjacent to the I can't
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	seated. And you can go ahead whenever you're ready. *** DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. ROGERS *** MR. ROGERS: So we were notified about two situations that happened I'm not positive on the dates over the last two years. The first one is a site in the Lakewood area. I think most people are familiar with the site. It's adjacent to the I can't remember the name of it Army surplus store.

were working on another adjacent property for a different owner, and we were contacted about demolishing a maybe 80-year-old home that had been vacant for, I think, nearly 30 years. And it wasn't new construction. Everything above the ground. No foundation, no footings or anything on this place.

Anyway, so we -- we were in communication with the owner. We'd also walked the site. Because we had the gas company out and the water company out at the neighboring properties, we were in communication with Lakewood Water and we were in communication with Puget Sound Energy, and there were no utilities on this site. They'd been abandoned some 30 plus years ago.

And in the process, I think just a couple short -- maybe an hour into doing the project, we came in with our excavator and there was no -- there was no gas utility pipe exposed because it came up inside the house, in that they had done an addition, so it was -- so we didn't even have any idea that there was a pipe there. But regardless, we were under the understanding that everything was abandoned at the street.

In the process of bringing the equipment around, we rotated the equipment, setting up at one corner of house, and when we did, it pulled up some shrubbery, and that shrubbery exposed the

DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. ROGERS

1	I-don't-know-how-many-year-old many, many,
2	many-year-old gas pipe that was literally at the
3	surface, within one inch of the top of the surface. And
4	when we disrupted that shrub, it broke the rotten gas
5	pipe off. And that's it for that location. That's the
6	Lakewood address.
7	JUDGE PEARSON: So how deep did you excavate
8	there?
9	MR. ROGERS: We didn't excavate.
10	JUDGE PEARSON: You didn't excavate?
11	MR. ROGERS: No.
12	JUDGE PEARSON: You just pulled out a shrub?
13	MR. ROGERS: No well, we were demolishing
14	the house, but the house was it was just an old an
15	old house that was all above grade.
16	JUDGE PEARSON: So you weren't actually
17	digging?
18	MR. ROGERS: We didn't dig anything.
19	JUDGE PEARSON: Which is why you didn't call
20	for a locate; is that
21	MR. ROGERS: Well, typically we do anyway,
22	just out of habit, and I don't know why it didn't happen
23	there. But coincidentally, on that one, it we
24	didn't. At the house next-door we did.
25	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.

DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. ROGERS

1	MR. ROGERS: At this one we didn't.
2	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And you said that the
3	gas pipe was just an inch underground?
4	MR. ROGERS: If that. Where it came out of
5	the ground, it was it was at the surface
6	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
7	MR. ROGERS: and completely rusted
8	through. You could have a child could have broken
9	it.
LO	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay. Go ahead.
L1	MR. ROGERS: And that's it for the Lakewood
L2	address.
L3	The second address is where they're doing
L4	the construction near the Emerald Queen in Tacoma. We
L5	were doing some work for the Puyallup Tribe at the
L6	property where they're doing their new parking garage.
L7	I think this is let's see 20
L8	JUDGE PEARSON: Is it near the parking lot?
L9	MR. ROGERS: The address is 2802 East R
20	Street, intersecting with East 32nd.
21	JUDGE PEARSON: Sorry. And what were you
22	doing there?
23	MR. ROGERS: Same thing. We were
24	demolishing a home.
25	JUDGE DEADSON: Near a parking garage at the

DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. ROGERS

1 casino?

MR. ROGERS: There's massive excavation for a new parking garage going on now --

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.

MR. ROGERS: -- not by us.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Go ahead.

MR. ROGERS: Okay. June 19th we called for locates for work to begin that following week. We worked there -- there were multiple structures on-site -- it's a large piece of property -- and we had demolished the house shortly after that.

And then on 9/21, there was an incident where we were digging and we -- I mean, we weren't digging very deep, but we were digging to remove some of the debris from on-site -- I think large boulders and old concrete that had been left over from years before -- and on September 21st we hit a gas line.

We called construction services with PSE, they came out and the crews were baffled. They actually spent a couple hours there that night, and they came back -- I think they were there for just about a week straight, and they were frustrated because there were zero records of this gas pipe being in the location where it was. They had -- they actually had no record of this gas pipe being there.

DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. ROGERS

1	JUDGE PEARSON: Are you talking about PSE?
2	MR. ROGERS: Yes.
3	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And so what you're
4	saying is you called for locates on June 19th for that
5	same location where
6	MR. ROGERS: Yes.
7	JUDGE PEARSON: the violation ended up
8	occurring?
9	MR. ROGERS: Yes. And we were very familiar
10	with it. We knew where the where the locates were,
11	and there was nothing on the site. And we were working
12	there for I don't know. We ended up working on the
13	site for about four and a half months.
14	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And that's why you
15	didn't call for a new locate prior to digging,
16	because
17	MR. ROGERS: There were there was nothing
18	on the site.
19	JUDGE PEARSON: So what I asked was, when
20	you called on June 19th and there were no locates,
21	nothing was located, you relied on that when you did the
22	excavation in September?
23	MR. ROGERS: There was only water on the
24	site, and we knew where that was.

25

Okay.

JUDGE PEARSON:

DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. ROGERS

	MR. ROGERS: And there were no other
2	utilities on the site, as far as the information that we
3	were provided. And as it turned out, I don't know if
4	you have access to those records, but Puget Sound Energy
5	did not know the gas line was on the site.
6	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Was that
7	it for now?
8	MR. ROGERS: That's it. We do tons of
9	locates, and so it's not the first one. Very, very
10	rarely, you know, are we doing a demolition that we
11	don't. That was kind of an oddity that we didn't, but
12	there was no excavation on the site.
13	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And is it your
14	MR. ROGERS: I just recently let go of
15	pictures. I mean, we had several pictures from this
16	project. I didn't know this was coming up.
17	JUDGE PEARSON: Is it your position that, in
18	demolishing a house where you're not doing any
19	excavation, even though you do routinely call for
20	locates, it wouldn't be technically required in that
21	situation?
22	MR. ROGERS: Well, we also do site cleanup
23	where we're this was this was a
24	dilapidated, collapsed roof home. It was more of a site
25	cleanup. We do a lot of site cleanups where it's not

DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. ROGERS

1	this was appearably still a bases. It was I'll I
	this was apparently still a house. It was like I
2	said, it had been abandoned for well over 30 years.
3	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. But that didn't
4	answer my question.
5	So my question was, is your position that
6	this type of work that you did didn't technically
7	require a locate because you weren't intending to
8	MR. ROGERS: My understanding is technically
9	it did not require a locate.
10	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
11	MR. ROGERS: Typically we would anyway
12	because it's similar to a similar to a demolition
13	project. But we knew there was no excavation on this
14	site, and maybe that's why maybe that's why we
15	didn't.
16	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.
17	MR. ROGERS: But I think there are I'm
18	hoping Puget Sound Energy or someone has pictures, but
19	this was this was a surface pipe, and it was like
20	I said, it was well over 30 years abandoned.
21	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
22	MR. ROGERS: Okay.
23	JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you.
24	Mr. Shearer?
25	MR. SHEARER: Just a few brief questions,

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SHEARER / ROGERS

1 your Honor.

5

6

7

8

- 2. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
- *** CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SHEARER *** 3
- 4 BY MR. SHEARER:
 - I'll split this into the two instances. 0. The first instance I'll call the Lakewood instance for everybody's comprehension.
 - Now, you testified you usually call on locates, is that correct --
- 10 Α. Correct.
- 11 0. -- for these types of jobs?
- 12 And you testified that you used an excavator on 13 the site; is that correct?
- 14 That's correct. That's what we used to do a lot Α. 15 of our site cleanups.
- 16 And you pulled out a bush out of the ground; is 17 that correct?
- 18 T --Α.
- 19 Or a bush came out of the ground? 0.
- 20 Α. A bush came out of the ground --
- 21 A bush came out of the ground due to the work 22 you were performing; is that correct?
- 23 Α. In mobilizing the equipment, a bush came 24 out of the ground.
- 25 0. And you agree that you didn't call in for a

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SHEARER / ROGERS

- locate ahead of time; is that correct?
- A. Records indicate we did not call in for a locate.
 - Q. Thank you. That's all on the first instance.

On the second instance, the Tacoma -- I'll refer to it as the Tacoma instance, you testified that the address at the location was 2802 East R Street, Tacoma, Washington; is that correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And was that the same address that was given in the call-in log?
- A. I'm sorry. It's 28 -- for some reason, my secretary had handwritten down 2802, but all of our records on the project previous to that, our permits and whatnot, are 2800 --
 - Q. Thank you.
- 17 A. -- and that's the address that we called in.
- MR. SHEARER: Thank you. I have no further questions, your Honor.
- JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Did you want to call your witness?
- MR. SHEARER: Yes. Mr. Scott Anderson.
- JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Anderson, if you
- could raise your right hand.
- 25 / / /

1 SCOTT ANDERSON, witness herein, having been 2 first duly sworn on oath, 3 was examined and testified 4 as follows: 5 6 JUDGE PEARSON: Go ahead and have a seat, 7 sir. 8 And Mr. Shearer, you can proceed when you're 9 ready. 10 MR. SHEARER: Thank you, your Honor. 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SHEARER *** 12 BY MR. SHEARER: 13 Could you state your name, Mr. Anderson, and Q. 14 spell your last name for the record? 15 Scott Anderson, A-N-D-E-R-S-O-N. Α. 16 And what is your position here at the 0. 17 Commission, Mr. Anderson? 18 Pipeline safety engineer. Α. 19 And what is your role in this docket, Docket 20 DG-160174? 21 We did the investigation of a dig law violation. Α. 22 So you have personal knowledge of this docket 0. 23 and the information involved? 24 Α. Yeah. 25 Would you please summarize your investigation --0.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 So --Α.
 - -- and findings? Excuse me.
 - Basically for the incident that occurred in Tacoma, the East R address, the 2802, I was contacted by Dana Schmidt from Woodland Industries. She basically told me that the address was incorrect, and there were also dig tickets that were called in for that location.

And then as for the Lakewood address, Dana had also -- had told me that there were -- they didn't call in locates, and she said it was a stump, but it sounds like it was a bush. But yeah, when it was -- when it was moved, it pulled the gas line out. And there were no known locates.

Q. And what information did you rely on in the course of your investigation?

So we check the dirt report, which is submitted by PSE. Also there's a website that we check, the National Ticket Management System, and you can look up dates, company names, and it basically just gives you information of did they call in for that date for that location. And I also -- also contact with company.

MR. SHEARER: So I'm going to go through and authenticate the documents now.

> JUDGE PEARSON: Sure.

MR. SHEARER: We'll get that out of the way.

- 1 BY MR. SHEARER:
- Q. Mr. Anderson, I'm going to hand you a document
- 3 here.

- Do you recognize this document?
- 5 A. Yeah. This is the incident investigation form.
- 6 | It's the -- basically, it's the form we fill out to
- 7 | basically tell our chief engineer that these companies,
- 8 this happened with the dig law. I mean, as a
- 9 description, facts, causes, and then regulatory analysis
- 10 and then what Staff recommends.
- Q. And does this document accurately reflect your
- 12 investigation and findings in this docket?
- 13 A. Yes.
- MR. SHEARER: Your Honor, I'll move to admit
- 15 this dirt ticket as Exhibit -- or excuse me -- this
- 16 investigation report as Exhibit SA-1.
- JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Do you have any
- 18 | objection?
- MR. ROGERS: No.
- JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I will go ahead and
- 21 admit that and mark it as Exhibit SA-1.
- 22 (Exhibit No. SA-1 was marked and
- admitted into evidence.)
- 24 BY MR. SHEARER:
- Q. Okay. Mr. Anderson, I'm going to hand you a

1 second document now, and if you could take a moment and tell me if you recognize that document. 2 This is the dirt report which is submitted by 3 4 PSE for the Lakewood address. 5 0. And did you rely on this document in the course 6 of your investigation? 7 Α. Yes. 8 MR. SHEARER: I'll move to admit this document as Exhibit SA-2, your Honor. 9 10 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Rogers, do you 11 have any objection? 12 MR. ROGERS: Can I see them so I know what 13 I'm accepting? 14 JUDGE PEARSON: Yes. 15 MR. SHEARER: We did serve -- I have an 16 extra copy. 17 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. 18 MR. SHEARER: We did serve copies. 19 (Brief discussion off the record.) 20 MR. ROGERS: Yes. I'm okay with that. 21 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then I will go ahead 22 and admit that and mark it as Exhibit SA-2. 23 (Exhibit No. SA-2 was marked and 24 admitted into evidence.) 25 / / /

1 BY MR. SHEARER: 2 Q. I'm going to hand you a third document now, 3 Mr. Anderson. 4 Do you recognize this document? 5 Α. Yeah. This is another dirt report submitted by 6 PSE for the Tacoma address. 7 And what address is indicated on there? Q. 8 2800 East R Street, Tacoma. Α. 9 And did you rely on this document in the course Q. of your investigation? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 MR. SHEARER: I move to admit that document 13 as Exhibit SA-3, your Honor. 14 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Rogers, do you have any objection? 15 16 MR. ROGERS: No. 17 JUDGE PEARSON: Hmm? 18 MR. ROGERS: I have no objection. 19 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then I will go ahead 20 and admit that exhibit and mark it as SA-3. 21 (Exhibit No. SA-3 was marked and 22 admitted into evidence.) 23 BY MR. SHEARER: 24 I'm going to hand you a fourth document now, 0.

Mr. Anderson.

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 1 Do you recognize this document?
 - Α. Yeah. This is the first dig ticket dated June 19, 2015, for the Tacoma address.
 - And this stems from a national database that 0. records all the dig call-in requests for -- in the Call Before You Dig program; is that correct?
 - Α. Yes.
 - And did you review this document and rely on Q. this document in the course of your investigation?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 MR. SHEARER: I would move to admit that 12 document as Exhibit SA-4, your Honor.
- 13 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Rogers?
- 14 MR. ROGERS: My only concern here is, I
- 15 think this was the confusion with the 2800 and 2802.
- 16 Like I said, my secretary had handwritten on my notes
- 17 here 2802. I think that's the address you guys are
- 18 using. All of our documents, our permits, everything
- 19 for this site, including the owner-provided information,
- 20 is 2800. Either way, it's the same address.
- 21 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So you have no
- 22 objection then?
- 23 MR. ROGERS: No.
- 24 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then I will admit
- that and mark it as Exhibit SA-4. 25

1 (Exhibit No. SA-4 was marked and admitted into evidence.) 2 JUDGE PEARSON: It looks like SA-5 is 3 4 identical to SA-4 in the copy that I have. 5 MR. SHEARER: The dates are different, 6 your Honor --7 JUDGE PEARSON: Oh, okay. 8 MR. SHEARER: -- that recorded the call-in. 9 JUDGE PEARSON: I see. Okay. 10 MR. SHEARER: There was a second call-in. 11 BY MR. SHEARER: 12 I'm going to hand you a fifth document now, Q. 13 Mr. Anderson. 14 Do you recognize this document? 15 This is the locate ticket for -- it's Α. Yeah. 16 September 22nd, 2015, which is when the damage occurred. 17 So this document indicates a second dig -- Call Q. 18 Before You Dig request that was filed on the date 19 indicated in this document; is that correct? 20 Α. Yes. 21 And did you rely on this document and review 22 this document in the course of your investigation, 23 Mr. Anderson? 24 Α. Yes. 25 MR. SHEARER: Your Honor, I would move to

- 1 admit this document as Exhibit SA-5, please.
- 2 JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Rogers, any objection?
- 3 MR. ROGERS: I'm confused. Are we referring
- 4 to the document that says original call-in date
- 5 June 19th?
- 6 MR. SHEARER: No.
- 7 JUDGE PEARSON: No. It's the next document
- 8 that says original call date 9/22.
- 9 MR. ROGERS: Did I miss -- did we include
- 10 the document previous to that that was June 19th?
- 11 JUDGE PEARSON: We did.
- 12 MR. ROGERS: Okay. Sorry. I missed that.
- 13 Yes, I'm okay with this.
- 14 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then I will admit
- 15 that and mark it as Exhibit SA-5.
- 16 (Exhibit No. SA-5 was marked and
- 17 admitted into evidence.)
- BY MR. SHEARER: 18
- 19 Now, I'll quickly recap your investigation,
- 20 Mr. Anderson.
- 21 On the first instance, the Lakewood instance as
- 22 we're referring to it, you relied on the dirt ticket
- 23 submitted by the utility, correct?
- 24 Α. Yes.
- The -- a conversation with Ms. Schmidt from the 25 0.

- 1 company here today; is that correct?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. And you relied on the national database that indicated the call-in records; is that correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And what -- and for the instance -- the
 Tacoma instance -- well, I'll let you say. What
 documents did you rely on and what information did you
 rely on?
- 10 A. The same -- same type of documents. The dirt
 11 report, the National Ticket Management System website,
 12 contact with the company.
- Q. Thank you. And what is your penalty recommendation in this case, Mr. Anderson?
- 15 A. The penalty was \$2,000.
- Q. And why?
- A. For -- we fined 1,000 for the first dig and 1,000 for the second, for a total of 2,000.
- 19 Q. And what was -- why 2,000?
- 20 A. That's --
- Q. Why 1,000 each time? Excuse me.
- A. That's what was established in our procedures for violating the dig law.
- Q. For the first time?
- 25 A. Yes.

MR. SHEARER: Thank you, Mr. Anderson. I have no further questions.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Rogers, did you want to respond to Staff's recommendation?

MR. ROGERS: And regarding the Lakewood site, the disruption that happened, it wasn't -- it wasn't a negligent act, but it was a -- it happened. I don't know if we would have located -- hopefully, if we would have had locates, it would have made a difference. I want to believe that that's the fix to it. We try not to let that happen, but it wasn't a negligent act. We weren't out there digging. It was not a clearing project by any means. It was intended to have excavation done.

On the second project, I -- I feel like we were -- the site in Tacoma, the 2800 or 2802, it was the only house on -- it was the only house on East R Street, the only structure, period, on East R Street. It's a -- it's a two-block piece of property. The entire piece of property is two square full blocks that we were working on.

And this house was -- we did what we were supposed to do. We did our locates in June, and it turned up nothing on the site. And the -- the gas line that they found was not affiliated with our house. It

```
1
    was -- it was with something else that was on the
 2
    property, or it was just too close to the surface or
 3
    whatever. But I do remember Puget Sound Energy telling
 4
    us they had no information of this particular gas line.
 5
                 JUDGE PEARSON:
                                Okay.
                                        Thank you.
 6
                 Anything else, Mr. Shearer?
 7
                 MR. SHEARER: No, your Honor.
8
                 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Rogers, thank you
9
    for taking the time to come here today, and you are free
10
    to go.
11
                MR. ROGERS: Okay.
12
                 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And before I call the
13
    next docket, I just want to take a very brief recess, so
14
    we will go off the record and be back at 10:20.
15
                        (A break was taken from
16
                         10:18 a.m. to 10:22 a.m.)
17
                 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Shearer, are you
18
    ready?
19
                 MR. SHEARER: I am, your Honor.
20
                 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then we will be back
21
    on the record after a brief recess.
22
                 And the next docket is DG-160328,
23
    Town & Country Tree Service.
24
                 If you could come forward, and if you could
    please state your name, spelling your last name for the
25
```

```
1
    court reporter.
 2
                 MR. MOORE: Larry Moore, M-o-o-r-e.
3
                 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your address,
4
    please?
5
                 MR. MOORE: It's 85147 Highway 11,
6
    P.O. Box 168, Milton-Freewater, Oregon.
7
                 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your phone number
    and e-mail address?
8
9
                 MR. MOORE: (509) 525-3231. And e-mail is
10
    tredoc@hotmail.com.
11
                 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Can you tell me your
12
    first name again?
13
                 MR. MOORE: Larry.
14
                 JUDGE PEARSON: Larry. Okay. Larry Moore.
15
    And what position do you hold with the company?
16
                 MR. MOORE: I'm the owner.
17
                 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay. And if you
18
    could stand and raise your right hand, I will swear you
19
    in.
20
21
    LARRY MOORE,
                              witness herein, having been
22
                              first duly sworn on oath,
23
                              was examined and testified
24
                              as follows:
25
    / / /
```

DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. MOORE

1	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Go ahead and be
2	seated, and you can proceed whenever you're ready.
3	*** DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. MOORE ***
4	MR. MOORE: Okay. First, I got notice of
5	this hearing last Monday, and they requested documents,
6	I don't know, by Tuesday, which was impossible for me to
7	get them to them by that time.
8	But I have pictures
9	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
LO	MR. MOORE: of the site that I would like
L1	to present.
L2	JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. Mr. Shearer, did you
L3	want to take a look at those before I do and let me know
L4	if you have any objections?
L5	MR. SHEARER: I will look at them, but it's
L6	going to be hard for me to have much of an opinion.
L7	JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Moore, do you want to
L8	take a seat and explain to Mr. Shearer what he's looking
L9	at? Into the microphone, please, Mr. Moore.
20	MR. SHEARER: They just look like pictures
21	of grass to me.
22	MR. MOORE: It's pictures of the site where
23	the tree was the picture of the tree that was
24	removed

25

Okay.

JUDGE PEARSON:

DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. MOORE

1	MR. MOORE: and what was done there.
2	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Shearer, do you
3	have any objection to me taking a look at those?
4	MR. SHEARER: No, I have objection to you
5	looking at them. I might have our expert look at them,
6	too, when he comes up for his testimony.
7	JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. That sounds good.
8	Okay. So these look like photos is this Whitman
9	College; is that
10	MR. MOORE: That's correct.
11	JUDGE PEARSON: where the incident
12	occurred?
13	MR. MOORE: That's correct.
14	JUDGE PEARSON: And this is the tree?
15	MR. MOORE: No. The other picture with the
16	large tree in it.
17	JUDGE PEARSON: This one?
18	MR. MOORE: That one.
19	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
20	MR. MOORE: Yeah. I don't know if they got
21	out of order, but Whitman College contacted me to remove
22	that tree, the purpose to in removing the tree was so
23	they could excavate that yard down.
24	If you'll notice in that other color
25	picture there that there is a retaining wall alongside

DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. MOORE

1	the sidewalk, and there's a step-up at the sidewalk that
2	goes into the house.
3	JUDGE PEARSON: Yes, I can see that.
4	MR. MOORE: Okay. Well, I think that,
5	according to the report, that they're being
6	disingenuous, because they said that it was outside of
7	the excavation area. The whole point of them doing this
8	excavation was to take that wall out of there and
9	excavate that yard down. That's the reason they took
10	the tree out so was so they could excavate the yard
11	down.
12	JUDGE PEARSON: So I have a question. Are
13	these before and after pictures?
14	MR. MOORE: They are.
15	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
16	MR. MOORE: They are.
17	JUDGE PEARSON: So this is a picture before
18	the work was done?
19	MR. MOORE: That's correct.
20	JUDGE PEARSON: And this is a picture after?
21	MR. MOORE: A picture after the work.
22	JUDGE PEARSON: So they changed the front of
23	the building?
24	MR. MOORE: The front of the building is the
25	same; they just lowered the grade.

DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. MOORE

1	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. The sidewalk looks
2	different, though.
3	MR. MOORE: Well, they poured a new
4	sidewalk.
5	JUDGE PEARSON: Gotcha. Okay. And so the
6	tree would have been farther out here in front?
7	MR. MOORE: Correct.
8	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
9	MR. MOORE: Correct. So in the next
LO	picture, there's another color picture there that shows
L1	the front of the building and it shows the no, it
L2	shows the street, and it will show where the gas line is
L3	marked on the street.
L4	JUDGE PEARSON: This one right here with the
L5	yellow mark?
L6	MR. MOORE: With the yellow mark, yes.
L7	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
L8	MR. MOORE: So that yellow mark went right
L9	down to the corner and turned the corner and went down
20	the following the other the side street
21	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
22	MR. MOORE: down Park Street. But there
23	was no no mark at all that went into that yard.
24	Now, they maintained that the tree was
25	outside of their locate area and wet all of that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. MOORE

sidewalk was to be excavated, that wall was to be excavated, the yard was to be excavate.

JUDGE PEARSON: So when you say "they," who do you mean?

MR. MOORE: The gas company.

JUDGE PEARSON: So the gas company what was?

MR. MOORE: Cascade Natural Gas.

JUDGE PEARSON: Cascade said that there were no gas lines in the yard?

MR. MOORE: They did not mark any gas lines in the yard.

12 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Go ahead.

MR. MOORE: When I -- I went -- I was supposed to take the tree out. I wasn't supposed to take the stump out. Whitman was going to take the stump out, and they were going to dig it out, and they are the ones that called for the locate.

So when I went, it was on the 2nd of July, they were trying to get this thing done because they had the sidewalk, they were excavating that. That gas line crossed the sidewalk, crossed that wall, and went up in the yard.

And you probably don't know, but the testimony in the previous case is very correct, is that gas lines, you do not know at what grade they are. If

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. MOORE

you're talking about a power line, you know that it's at three feet deep, and a water line is going to be two feet deep. A gas line can be on the surface or it can be two feet deep; you just never know where it's going to be.

And so for them to say that they didn't mark that because -- or that they would have found it if they'd known there was a stump there, well, they knew they were excavating that wall. They knew they were excavating that sidewalk. That gas line could have been right there, and, in fact, it wasn't very deep.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.

MR. MOORE: Okay. I understand that this doesn't change the fact that we didn't call for a locate. I'm just giving you the reasoning that we went by, because I knew that Scott had just gotten a locate for that excavation there.

JUDGE PEARSON: Who is that?

MR. MOORE: Scott is the guy from Whitman College.

21 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.

> MR. MOORE: And I had talked to him that day, and he said, I already got a locate and there's nothing there. All of the gas lines come in from the alley behind that building. And, in fact, all of the

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206 287 9066

DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. MOORE

other buildings on that block are fed from the alley, but that one was not.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.

MR. MOORE: So I think that it's -- that they're trying to shape their end of the story. I don't know what action happened with the gas company over this. I know they didn't charge me for the repair. And if, in fact, they had marked it even to the sidewalk, then we wouldn't have been in there grinding that stump.

There's -- I noticed another thing in the report here, it said that the building was evacuated. Well, that building is an interest house, or for lack of a -- they use it for students during the school year, but the students were all gone. And they had a group of five or six people that were on campus for a seminar that were staying in that building, but they weren't in the building at that time. They were off at a class or a seminar, whatever it was.

So I don't know what their definition of an evacuation is. Does that mean that they wouldn't let them back in until they fixed the pipe? I don't know, but they weren't in the building.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So what you're saying is you went in there to cut the tree down, you weren't intending to remove the stump, but then you ended up

DIRECT TESTIMONY BY MR. MOORE

removing the stump and that's when this happened?

MR. MOORE: That's when it happened.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.

MR. MOORE: And when I called -- I wasn't on-site. I had left and my -- my worker went and got the stump grinder and came back to grind the stump. And because it was late in the evening, it was 6:30 or 7:00, that -- because they were going to work on that the next day, and then he called me and said, hey, I think I hit a gas line.

And so I immediately called Scott from Whitman College, and I said, hey, Manual says he hit a gas line up there, and he says, no, no, he didn't. I don't know what he hit, but he didn't hit a gas line, because the gas people told me they all come in from the alley in the back. There's nothing out there.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.

MR. MOORE: One of the other things I noticed is that the staff said that there was a high likelihood that this would happen again. Well, I've been there for 37 years, and this hasn't happened. So I don't know what -- where -- how you determine what a high likelihood is. If it happened once, well, then it must surely gonna be happening again. And it might happen again in another 37 years, I don't know.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

48

But they said in their report that since that time that we had done another excavation without a locate. Well, that's half of story. In fact, we did have a locate called in for the other site. And I met with the gas person who investigated this one -- I can't tell you his name, but he was the same person that came and investigated this site on Main Street.

I met with him there, and he came and located the gas line that was down in the street. And he said there was a six-inch line that was in the street, but there were no laterals that went off of They were nowhere near what we were going to -where we were going to grind stumps.

And then the next day, the locate person called me and said, you guys ground these stumps, and I hadn't located it yet. And I said, well, your other man was there and he told me exactly where that line was. So --

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Is there anything else that you want to add?

MR. MOORE: I think that's -- I don't know how they determined what \$1,000 fine is, but, you know, \$1,000 is a lot of money to me. And it's just -- well, just chalk one more up. I don't know.

But I've been in business a long time, and

- 1 it's a little bit of a burn to me to have them say it's 2 a high likelihood that this will happen again. That's 3 ludicrous in my opinion.
- 4 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.
- 5 Mr. Shearer, do you have any questions for
- Mr. Moore? 6
- 7 MR. SHEARER: Just a couple brief questions,
- 8 your Honor.
- 9 *** CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SHEARER ***
- 10 BY MR. SHEARER:
- 11 Those pictures that you handed up to the -- to 12 Judge Pearson there, what was the address of that
- 13 building?
- 14 I have no idea.
- 15 No idea. Next, was there -- were you present Q. 16 when Whitman asked for a locate from Cascade Natural 17 Gas?
- 18 Α. No.
- 19 Were you present when Cascade came and did the 20 locate for Whitman or talked to this gentleman Scott you 21 referred to?
- 22 Α. No.
- 23 So all your information just came through your Q. 24 recollection of conversations with the representative 25 from Whitman College; is that correct?

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SHEARER / MOORE

- 1 A. All of my information?
- Q. All of your information about the locate came
- 3 through --
- 4 | A. Oh --
- 5 Q. -- the gentleman from Whitman College?
- 6 A. -- no.

8

- 7 Q. What was the other source?
 - A. Because the gas guy showed up the night of the incident and they told me that they didn't locate it.
- 10 Q. Cascade arrived --
- 11 A. Told me --
- 12 Q. -- after the gas line --
- 13 A. Told me they failed to mark it, yes.
- 14 Q. They told you that?
- 15 A. Yes.
- MR. SHEARER: Thank you. I have no further
- 17 questions.
- JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And did you want to
- 19 | call your witness?
- MR. SHEARER: Yes, your Honor. Our
- 21 investigator, Mr. Derek Norwood.
- JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. If you could raise
- 23 | your right hand.
- 24 / / /
- 25 / / /

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SHEARER / NORWOOD

DEREK NORWOOD, witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2.

3

4

JUDGE PEARSON: Go ahead, Mr. Shearer.

*** DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SHEARER ***

BY MR. SHEARER:

- Q. Mr. Norwood, could you state your name and spell your name last name for the record?
- A. Derek Norwood, N-O-R-W-O-O-D.
- Q. And what is your position here at the UTC,
- 13 Mr. Norwood?
- 14 A. I'm a pipeline safety engineer.
- Q. And what was your role in this docket, Docket DG-160328?
 - A. I was the assigned investigator to this -- to this incident, so I reviewed reports from Cascade, as well as had a phone conversation with Larry Moore to, I guess, collect the facts, collect information regarding the incident.
 - Q. And can you summarize your investigations and findings in the course of that investigation?
- A. Yeah. So I reviewed dirt reports submitted by
 Cascade Natural Gas stating that Town & Country had

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- damaged the gas line, as well as their -- they submit a 30-day report to the Commission. And I talked with Larry Moore regarding the incident, and a lot of the information that he's given today was similar conversation that we had on the phone.
 - I've also talked with Cascade, and through that conversation determined that the locates were not for -- that tree didn't -- wasn't included in the locate boundary, nor was stump grinding part of the work that was to be done.
 - Q. So to recap, you relied on the dirt ticket submitted from the company, the incident hazardous condition report filed by Cascade Natural Gas; is that correct?
 - A. Yes. Yeah. We call it a 30-day report. They might have another name for it, but yeah.
 - Q. And the conversations with Mr. Moore and with the utility?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And Mr. Moore indicated to you that they had, in fact, excavated by removing the ticket -- or removing the stump -- excuse me -- is that correct?
 - A. Correct, yes.
- Q. And Mr. Moore's company itself had not called in for a locate?

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SHEARER / NORWOOD 53

- 1 A. Correct.
- MR. SHEARER: Thank you, Mr. Norwood.
- And I'll go through and authenticate the
- 4 proposed exhibits, your Honor.
- JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
- 6 MR. SHEARER: So very similar routine as the
- 7 previous two.
- 8 BY MR. SHEARER:
 - Q. Mr. Norwood, do you recognize this document?
- 10 A. Yes.

- 11 Q. And what is that document?
- 12 A. That's our internal investigation form
- 13 summarizing my findings and the process of the
- 14 investigation.
- 15 Q. And this document accurately reflects your
- 16 investigation and findings in this docket?
- 17 A. Yes.
- MR. SHEARER: I would move to admit that as
- 19 | Exhibit DN-1, your Honor.
- JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Moore, do you
- 21 | have any objection to admitting this?
- MR. MOORE: No.
- JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I will admit it and
- 24 | mark it as Exhibit DN-1.
- 25 / / /

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SHEARER / NORWOOD

1 (Exhibit No. DN-1 was marked and admitted into evidence.)

3 BY MR. SHEARER:

Q. And then a second document I'm going to hand you, Mr. Norwood.

Do you recognize that document?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And what is that document?
- A. This is the damage report, the dirt report submitted by Cascade Natural Gas indicating that there was damage to their gas line, and it notes that Town & Country was the responsible party.
- Q. And did you review and rely on this document in the course of your investigation?
- 15 A. Yes.
- MR. SHEARER: Your Honor, I would move to admit that as Exhibit DN-3 -- or DN-2. Excuse me.
- JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Moore, do you have any objection to that exhibit?
- MR. MOORE: No. I guess I would -- I think
 I'm looking at the same thing.
- JUDGE PEARSON: Yes.
- MR. MOORE: Yeah. I just noticed that down in Part F it said, "Facility marks visible in the area of excavation by the utility," and it says, "No,"

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SHEARER / NORWOOD

- 1 indicating that they didn't mark it.
- 2. JUDGE PEARSON: Is that what that indicates,
- or does it indicate that the locate was not called in? 3
- 4 THE WITNESS: I think it indicates there
- 5 were no -- there were no marks visible, so that could
- mean that there were no locates or it could mean --6
- 7 JUDGE PEARSON: Oh, okay.
- THE WITNESS: -- the paint had worn off, or 8
- 9 it could be a number of things. So they got out there,
- 10 they didn't see locates on the ground.
- 11 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
- 12 BY MR. SHEARER:
- 13 I'm going to hand you a third document now,
- 14 Mr. Norwood.
- 15 JUDGE PEARSON: Hold on. Are you okay with
- 16 that document?
- 17 MR. MOORE: Yeah. Yes.
- 18 JUDGE PEARSON: Then I will admit that and
- 19 mark at as Exhibit DN-2.
- 20 (Exhibit No. DN-2 was marked and
- 21 admitted into evidence.)
- 22 BY MR. SHEARER:
- 23 Now I'm going to hand you a third document, Q.
- 24 Mr. Norwood.
- 25 Do you recognize this document?

8

9

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SHEARER / NORWOOD 56

- A. Yes. This is the document that Cascade Natural
 Gas is required to send to us for incidents of this
 type.
 - O. And what does this document show?
- A. It shows that there was damage to their
 pipeline, and it lists Town & Country Tree Service as
 the party responsible.
 - Q. And did you rely on and review this document in the course of your investigation?
- 10 A. Yes.
- MR. SHEARER: I would move to admit that as
- 12 Exhibit DN-3, your Honor.
- JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And Mr. Moore, do you
- 14 | have any objection?
- MR. MOORE: No, I don't.
- JUDGE PEARSON: Then I will admit that as
- 17 Exhibit DN-3.
- 18 (Exhibit No. DN-3 was marked and
- admitted into evidence.)
- 20 BY MR. SHEARER:
- 21 Q. And I will hand you one more document,
- 22 Mr. Norwood.
- Do you recognize this document?
- A. Yes. This is the dig ticket which was called in
- 25 by Whitman College.

1

2

3

4

5

6

17

18

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SHEARER / NORWOOD

- 0. And that indicates that Whitman College was, in fact, the party that requested the locate; is that correct?
 - Α. Yes.
- And did you rely on this document in the course 0. of your investigation?
- 7 Α. Yes.
- MR. SHEARER: Your Honor, I would move to 8 9 admit that as Exhibit DN-4.
- 10 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Moore?
- 11 MR. MOORE: No, no objection.
- 12 Okay. I will admit that and JUDGE PEARSON:
- 13 mark it as Exhibit DN-4.
- 14 (Exhibit No. DN-4 was marked and
- 15 admitted into evidence.)
- BY MR. SHEARER: 16
 - And I want to touch base on one item Mr. Moore 0. mentioned in direct or cross-examination.
- 19 Α. Um-hmm.
- 20 And that is that Cascade indicated to him that it had been their mistake not to mark this location. 21
- 22 Was that your -- did Cascade indicate anything 23 of that sort to you, or do any of the documents you 24 reviewed indicate that conclusion?
- None of the documents I reviewed indicated that. 25 Α.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

Larry Moore had told me the same thing over the phone, so I brought that issue up to Cascade Natural Gas, and they, from their -- from that conversation, they said that was never the case, that he knew the line was there, but they didn't locate it because of the boundary. The locates had a wide boundary where lines need to be located, and this locate ticket didn't have this tree stump included in that.

- Q. And did Cascade provide you with any further evidence of their position?
- A. Yes. I have pictures showing boundaries from Cascade showing the white paint on the ground where the locates were requested, so I don't know if we need to -- I mean, I can show or --
- Q. Well, we'll leave that to Judge Pearson if she decides she'd like to see that, but you can provide that on request, if necessary, Mr. Norwood; is that correct?
 - A. Yeah, I have that available.
- Q. Okay. Lastly, I want to go into the issue of the penalty.
 - What was your recommended penalty in this case?
- A. The recommended penalty was \$1,000.
 - Q. And why did you recommend that amount,
- 24 Mr. Norwood?
- A. The maximum penalty is \$10,000, so we felt that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- for first-time violators that \$1,000 was a pretty reasonable amount. And for a majority of excavators, it is a pretty minimal penalty in these cases.
- Q. A balance of the need to have a penalty versus being overly punitive; is that --
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. -- an accurate summary?
 - A. Yes.
- MR. SHEARER: Thank you. I have no further questions for Mr. Norwood, your Honor.
- JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I do think it would be helpful for me to see the photos of the boundaries, because when I read Exhibit DN-4, where it talks about the location of the work, it describes the areas marked in white in front of the multiple addresses, and says, locating from the street to the water meters in front of these addresses, but I have no way of knowing where the water meters are on the property.
- THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't specifically -I don't know where the water meters are specifically,
 and I don't think you're going to get that from the
 pictures. But yeah, I can show you what I've got.
- MR. SHEARER: I can hand them up if you want.
- THE WITNESS: Yeah. Or if we want to -- if

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SHEARER / NORWOOD

we need to include all of them, we can, but these are the two I thought the most relevant.

MR. SHEARER: Your Honor, I would ask -- if you want me, I am happy to take a short recess and make copies and Mr. Norwood can walk through what -- so everyone's looking at the same thing, or we can just hand them up to you now.

JUDGE PEARSON: I'd just like to glance at them, and of course Mr. Moore can have an opportunity to look at them, too. And I am going to consider these photos as well as the ones that Mr. Moore provided just for illustrative purposes without making them part of the record.

MR. MOORE: So there should be a yellow mark that crosses that sidewalk.

16 JUDGE PEARSON: That's not visible in these 17 photos?

> MR. MOORE: There is no yellow mark.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.

MR. MOORE: They did not locate it.

JUDGE PEARSON: I'll hand these back.

MR. SHEARER: Did you need any other

explanation? 23

24 JUDGE PEARSON: Well, I can see where the 25 stump is on the photo and it --

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SHEARER / NORWOOD 61

1 THE WITNESS: In one of the pictures, you can see there's white marks. That's the boundary where 2 3 the locates are. 4 JUDGE PEARSON: And it's behind the fire 5 hydrant? 6 THE WITNESS: Yes. 7 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. 8 THE WITNESS: And then -- well, you can kind 9 of cross-reference with, I think, the back page there, 10 you can see right along the retaining wall, there's a 11 locate that heads down the street. Like he said, 12 there's no yellow paint, so I don't know if it's outside 13 that boundary or if it's inside, so --14 JUDGE PEARSON: But there was a yellow mark 15 in his photo. 16 THE WITNESS: Okay. I haven't seen that. 17 JUDGE PEARSON: Did you want to take a look 18 at these? 19 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 20 JUDGE PEARSON: So the white mark represents 21 the area and the boundary that Whitman was requesting locates performed --22 23 THE WITNESS: Yes. 24 JUDGE PEARSON: -- for that area? 25 THE WITNESS: Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SHEARER / NORWOOD

JUDGE PEARSON: They don't actually indicate the locates themselves?

No. THE WITNESS: It indicates the boundary where they're going to be working and where they want utilities located.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.

THE WITNESS: So there's -- there's a yellow line in the street, but there's no locate showing where the service line goes over to the building. And so I guess, from any of these pictures, we're not able to tell where the service line turns off, and whether it was in that locate boundary or whether it wasn't.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.

MR. MOORE: There was no mark for the service line.

I mean, that's what I'm THE WITNESS: Yeah. saying. We don't know that it was -- whether it was in the boundary or whether it was out. I mean, it may have been out, so that's why --

MR. MOORE: Or the service -- where the service line went?

THE WITNESS: Correct, whether it --

MR. MOORE: It was in --

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. One at a time.

MR. MOORE: I said the service line was

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SHEARER / NORWOOD

within the boundary of the locate, because the locate covered all of these addresses all the way down the street clear up to that corner.

JUDGE PEARSON: Right. That's what it looks like from the description.

MR. MOORE: And that service line -- well, you can see from where the tree is in relationship to the sidewalk on the side, you know, it's over about five or six feet in the yard, and that's where that service line crossed this sidewalk and went right up that yard underneath the tree to behind the house.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.

MR. MOORE: The gas meter, if you look at that one -- the one picture, you'll see they have a walk that comes out -- it's -- it comes out and goes down, and the gas line goes underneath that walk and comes to the meter behind.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Norwood, do you have anything further to add?

THE WITNESS: No.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.

THE WITNESS: No.

JUDGE PEARSON: And Mr. Moore, did you want to respond to Staff's recommendation?

MR. MOORE: Well, I don't know if there's a

```
1
    purpose to that or if it's just to create pain. I'm not
2
    sure.
3
                 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
4
                 MR. MOORE: I think our record speaks for
5
    itself. We're very careful about those things.
6
                 JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Shearer, do you have
    anything further this morning?
7
8
                 MR. SHEARER: No, your Honor, I don't have
9
    anything. I think you have a very good grasp of all the
10
    facts now, and we'll go from there.
11
                 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Moore,
12
    for taking time to come here today --
13
                 MR. MOORE:
                             Thank you.
14
                 JUDGE PEARSON: -- and you are free to go.
    And we will be off the record. Thank you.
15
16
                        (Hearing concluded at 10:53 a.m.)
17
18
                            -000-
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON)
4	COUNTY OF KING)
5	
6	
7	I, ANITA W. SELF, a Certified Shorthand Reporter
8	in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify
9	that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to
10	the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
12	and seal this 5th day of July, 2016.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	ANITA W. SELF, RPR, CCR #3032
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	