

**TE-151080 Party Bus Rulemaking
Comment Summary Matrix CR101
September 11, 2015**

Section	Commenter	Comments	Staff Response
WAC 480-30-XX WAC 480-30-036	Alan A. Jochim, CEO, Lucky Limousine & Towncar Service LLC	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The commenter suggests expanding the rules to require that the party bus operator employ a host, in addition to the driver, to monitor the passengers, the amount of alcohol being consumed, and safety. 2. The commenter suggests expanding the definition of a party bus to include a bus with limousine seating, TV monitors for movies, karaoke, music, wireless services for telephone and computer connection, containers for food and alcohol, and glassware for the beverages. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Staff disagrees and believes that the new law and resulting rule is adequate. If the carrier serves alcoholic beverages, it must obtain a liquor permit and provide a person separate from the driver to monitor passengers. If the party that chartered the bus serves alcoholic beverages, that party must obtain a liquor permit and provide a person responsible for monitoring passengers. Nothing prohibits a company from adding additional staff to monitor the passengers. 2. Because the definition of a party bus was set in law by the Legislature, staff does not have the discretion to expand the definition.
WAC 480-30-086 WAC 480-30-246	Paul Kajanoff, President, Shuttle Express Inc.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In WAC 480-30-086, the commenter recommends (2)(b) be amended to expand the term ‘operations’ for auto transportation companies to include “... advertising or soliciting, offering or entering into an agreement to provide such service.” 2. In WAC 480-30-246, the commenter recommends (1) be amended to expand the term ‘operating without a certificate–auto transportation companies’ to include “... advertising or soliciting, offering or entering into an agreement to provide such service.” 	<p>Both comments seek to expand the definition of operating as an auto transportation company. Staff does not support this expansion. In SSB 5362, it is clear the legislature intended to expand the definition of ‘operations’ <i>only</i> for charter and excursion carriers and not auto transportation companies.</p> <p>Sections 3, 4 and 7 of the bill, each of which expands the definition of ‘operations’ in certain circumstances, applies to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section 3 requires a certificate from UTC for persons engaging “... in the business of a charter party carrier or excursion service carrier ...” • Section 4 prohibits operating with a suspended or cancelled certificate for any “... charter party carrier or excursion service carrier ...” (Section 4) • Section 7 requires a certificate from UTC for any “... excursion service company ...”