

October 10, 2003

Carole Washburn, Secretary
Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
1300 S. Evergreen Park Dr. SW
P. O. Box 47250
Olympia, Washington 98504-7250

Re: *Blaine Bay Refuse*
Docket No. TG-030831

Dear Ms. Washburn:

Per the instructions of Administrative Law Judge Caille at the October 6, 2003, prehearing conference, enclosed for filing is a certified copy plus one extra copy of the Commission's application file and hearing record in Hearing No. GA-405, Application of Gary D. Gifford, d/b/a Gifford Refuse, for an extension of Certificate No. G-145.

The documents were prepared in the following manner:

1. The documents are organized to facilitate their use by the Commission and the parties. Each certificate states the contents of each set of documents. Each set is considered one exhibit, so the pages of each set are numbered sequentially using a "Bates stamp," for ease of reference.
2. For documents that had a "copy received" stamp on the back of a page, the back of the document was copied as a separate page, and labeled, for example, "page 2a," to signify it was the back of page 2 of the exhibit.

3. For orders issued by the Commissioners, signed copies obtained from the Commission's official order books and were substituted for the unsigned file copies.
4. For Exhibit No. 1 in the record, which is a large map, a color excerpt was prepared, consisting of the title panel of the map (which bears the exhibit number) plus the map panel that highlights certain territory. If the Commission or any party requires the entire map to be copied for purposes of the record in the instant case, that can be arranged, but that would take more time and cost more than the excerpt.
5. For the Application, separate certificate language was on a flap of paper taped onto page 2. Accordingly, the certified copy is reflected as page 2 (with the language contained on the flap and page 2 a (without that language).

In sum, the enclosed documents reflect a good faith attempt to accurately reflect the record and application file in Hearing No. GA-405. The original documents are available for inspection.

After the time period for other parties to comment on the completeness of the documents filed herewith, Staff requests that each set of certified documents be marked for identification as separate exhibits for purposes of hearing in the instant matter. A motion to admit the documents will be made at an appropriate time. The requested order of exhibits are as follows:

1. Application and related documents (pages 1-16a).
2. Weekly docket and letter (pages 1-6).
3. Protests and related documents (pages 1-16).
4. First hearing notice and hearing documents (pages 1-78).
5. Proposed Order (1971) (pages 1-6).
6. Motion to Reopen and related documents (pages 1-13).

Carole Washburn
October 10, 2003
Page 3

7. Second hearing notice and hearing documents (pages 1-157).
8. Proposed and final order and related documents (1973) (pages 1-17).

Finally, the Commission Staff intends to prepare and circulate soon to the Commission and the parties electronic copies of these documents in PDF format.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Very truly yours,

DONALD T. TROTTER
Senior Counsel

DTT:kl

Enclosures

cc: Parties (w/encl.)
ALJ Caille (w/encl.)