

**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE
UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

MURREY'S DISPOSAL COMPANY,)	
INC., G-9)	
)	DOCKET NO. TG-030673
Complainant,)	
)	ORDER NO. 2
v.)	
)	GRANTING CONTINUANCE
WASTE MANAGEMENT OF)	
WASHINGTON, INC., G-237)	
)	
Respondent.)	
.....)	

1 **PROCEEDINGS:** On May 9, 2003, Murrey's Disposal Company, Inc. (Complainant) filed a complaint with the Commission against Waste Management of Washington, Inc. (Respondent). The Complainant requests the Commission revise a portion of Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity No. G-237 held by the Respondent on the grounds that the Respondent has failed to operate as a regulated solid waste collection company in the certificated area at issue in Clallam County during the 12 months prior to the filing date of the complaint. The Commission convened a duly noticed prehearing conference in Olympia, Washington, on July 1, 2003, before Administrative Law Judge Dennis J. Moss. The Commission established a procedural schedule following consultation with the parties and entered a prehearing order.

2 **PARTIES:** David W. Wiley, Williams, Kastner & Gibbs, PLLC, Seattle, Washington, represents Complainant Murrey's Disposal, Inc. Polly L. McNeill, Summit Law Group, Seattle, Washington, represents Waste Management of Washington, Inc. (WMI). James K. Sells, Ryan Sells Uptegraft, Inc., P.S., Silverdale, Washington, represents WRRRA. Mary Tennyson, Senior Assistant

Attorney General, Olympia, Washington, represents the Commission's regulatory staff (Staff).

3 **REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE:** On September 2, 2003, the Commission received for filing a request for a 45-day extension of time for all deadlines established under the current procedural schedule. The request, supported by Murrey's Disposal and WMI, is based on "ongoing and . . . promising" settlement negotiations. The principal parties have shown good cause for granting their request for a continuance.

4 **REVISED PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE:** A 45-day extension of all procedural dates is reasonable, but to avoid conflicts with the Presiding ALJ's hearing calendar and certain official state holidays, slightly longer extensions are necessary for some dates. The revised procedural schedule is attached to this Order as Appendix One and is incorporated here by reference.

5 **NOTICE TO PARTIES:** Any objection to the provisions of this Order must be filed within ten (10) days after the date of mailing of this statement, pursuant to WAC 480-09-460(2). Absent such objections, this prehearing conference order will control further proceedings in this matter, subject to Commission review.

DATED at Olympia, Washington, and effective this 4th day of September, 2003.

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

DENNIS J. MOSS,
Administrative Law Judge

APPENDIX ONE

REVISED PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

EVENT	DATE
Complainant prefiled direct testimony and exhibits	November 14, 2003
Respondent prefiled response testimony and exhibits	December 30, 2003
Complainant rebuttal testimony and exhibits	January 21, 2004
Evidentiary Hearing	February 17, 2004