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 1 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JANUARY 27, 2016

 2 9:34 A.M.

 3

 4

 5

 6 JUDGE KOPTA: Thank you. Let's be on the

 7 record in Docket TE-151906, caption Washington Utilities

 8 and Transportation Commission vs. Ride the Ducks of

 9 Seattle, LLC.

10 We are here on January 27th, 2016, for a

11 prehearing conference with respect to the complaint that

12 the Commission initiated against the Company.

13 My name is Gregory J. Kopta. I'm the

14 Administrative Law Judge who's assigned to preside over

15 this proceeding.

16 And we will begin this morning by taking

17 appearances, starting with the Company.

18 MR. FOBES: Good morning, Your Honor.

19 Duncan Fobes for Ride the Ducks of Seattle, LLC.

20 MR. DERRIG: Good morning, Your Honor. Joe

21 Derrig for Ride the Ducks of Seattle as well.

22 JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Thank you.

23 Commission Staff?

24 MR. BEATTIE: Appearing on behalf of

25 Commission Staff, Julian Beattie, Assistant Attorney
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 1 General.

 2 JUDGE KOPTA: And for Public Counsel?

 3 MR. FFITCH: For Public Counsel, Simon

 4 ffitch, Senior Assistant Attorney General.

 5 JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Thank you.

 6 Is there anyone else who wishes to make an

 7 appearance? Hearing none, we will proceed with our

 8 procedural issues.

 9 First is interventions. No one has

10 petitioned to intervene, and I don't see anyone in the

11 hearing room. No one's asked for an appearance. So is

12 anyone seeking to intervene in this proceeding?

13 Hearing nothing, we will say that the

14 parties are all assembled as they are currently

15 constituted.

16 Staff has filed a motion for an amended

17 complaint. I wanted to take that up here. Mr. Fobes,

18 have you had a chance to review that amended complaint

19 and have any opinion on it?

20 MR. FOBES: Yes, Your Honor. We have no

21 objection to the amendment of the complaint. Naturally

22 we reserve the right to dispute the violations and

23 proposed sanctions when we learn of them, but not to the

24 amendment of the pleading itself.

25 JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Then we will allow
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 1 that amendment to the complaint.

 2 Is it your anticipation, Mr. Fobes, that the

 3 Company will be filing an answer?

 4 MR. FOBES: No, we will not.

 5 JUDGE KOPTA: Okay. It's not required under

 6 our rules, but it is an option that I just wanted to

 7 clarify. So we will not expect an answer from you.

 8 Discovery. Do the parties want to have the

 9 Commission's discovery rules available?

10 MR. BEATTIE: Staff anticipates no further

11 discovery in this case, so I think from our perspective,

12 it's not necessary to impose those rules. I suppose if

13 a need arose, we could come back to the Commission and

14 ask for the rules to be imposed, but I think at this

15 time, there's no need for that.

16 MR. FOBES: Same for the Company, Your

17 Honor. We don't anticipate any discovery.

18 JUDGE KOPTA: Okay. There's been a lot of

19 information provided in this docket, so I'm not

20 surprised.

21 MR. FFITCH: Yeah. Your Honor, this is -- I

22 think we have a similar view; however, it may just be a

23 bit more efficient to have it be available in case

24 unforeseen circumstances arise, where there's a need for

25 somebody to send out a DR so that there's no need for
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 1 further motions and process to get discovery.

 2 JUDGE KOPTA: Yes. That's a good point,

 3 Mr. ffitch. I think I will go ahead and make the

 4 discovery rules available, and if needed, that they're

 5 there, and if not, then no harm, no foul.

 6 Consent to electronic service, the

 7 Commission is in the process of converting from paper to

 8 electronic service. We're not there yet, but in case we

 9 are able to do that in this proceeding, I would like to

10 get the consent of all parties to receive notices and

11 orders solely electronically from the Commission. Do I

12 have that consent from the parties?

13 MR. FOBES: The Company consents to the

14 electronic service.

15 MR. BEATTIE: Staff consents.

16 JUDGE KOPTA: Mr. ffitch?

17 MR. FFITCH: Public counsel consents. We do

18 request the continued request that, amongst themselves,

19 the parties do also provide a paper service copy in

20 addition to electronic service.

21 JUDGE KOPTA: I will allow the parties to

22 work that out among themselves.

23 So we will move on to the next thing, which

24 is the schedule. Mr. Beattie was kind enough to provide

25 me with a proposed schedule. I note on here that
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 1 there's nothing with respect to prefiled testimony. Is

 2 it your anticipation that we would be having all

 3 testimony presented live at the hearing, Mr. Beattie?

 4 MR. BEATTIE: That's the assumption in this

 5 schedule. I think we are -- we're going to try our best

 6 to come to a negotiated resolution, and then I think the

 7 plan is to at that time judge whether that settlement,

 8 if there is one, is best presented through joint

 9 testimony, live testimony. I guess what I'm saying is

10 we're hoping to reserve that issue until we see how

11 negotiations go.

12 JUDGE KOPTA: Okay. And is that the

13 Company's expectation as well?

14 MR. FOBES: Your Honor, I think I would

15 propose that maybe if we just have -- we have the

16 deadline for filing of exhibits and the witness list and

17 we just include prefiled testimony at that time, so if

18 prefiled testimony is used, then it would be due then.

19 JUDGE KOPTA: Well, my assumption is that if

20 you would be filing exhibits, then that would be

21 included in any kind of filing like that, so I suppose

22 that is one possibility.

23 My preference obviously with prehearing --

24 prefiled testimony is that if we're going to have it,

25 that we have a schedule that contemplates additional
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 1 rounds. It's a little late in the game I think to try

 2 and have prefiled testimony a week before the hearing,

 3 but I appreciate the parties' desire to see if this can

 4 be worked out amicably before we have to go to an

 5 adjudication. So at this point, I'm fine with leaving

 6 the schedule as you have proposed. I confirmed that the

 7 Commissioners and I are all available on the 18th of

 8 May, and so I will go ahead and adopt the schedule for

 9 now and may note in the prehearing conference order that

10 it is subject to later revision should the parties find

11 it necessary as a result of their further discussions.

12 MR. BEATTIE: Thanks. I think the

13 assumption here is that if we get to March 25th and it's

14 clear that a settlement is not possible, that leaves

15 plenty of time to come up with a schedule for prefiled

16 testimony in the month of April leading up to a hearing,

17 if that's the way it looks like we should go.

18 JUDGE KOPTA: Yes.

19 MR. BEATTIE: That's why the ADR deadline

20 was set so far in advance of the hearing, to allow us to

21 come up with an alternate schedule should we reach that

22 point.

23 JUDGE KOPTA: Right. Well, I know that

24 the -- believe it or not, even this early -- the

25 Commission's calendar, hearing calendar for the summer
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 1 is starting to fill up, so if the May 18th date doesn't

 2 work, then we may have some difficulties at that point

 3 finding another date where everyone is available. But

 4 that's just kind of down the road, and we will all think

 5 positively and anticipate that at least by the 25th,

 6 you'll have a better sense of how we want to proceed.

 7 All right. Is there anything else that we

 8 need to discuss at this point? Hearing nothing, that's

 9 all I have on my list.

10 MR. FOBES: Oh, Your Honor, I just wanted to

11 confirm there's not going to be an evidentiary hearing

12 on the Safety Management Plan on the proposed upgrade

13 tomorrow morning?

14 JUDGE KOPTA: That is correct, yes, we will

15 not be having a hearing on that.

16 MR. FOBES: Thank you.

17 JUDGE KOPTA: All right. If that's it, then

18 we're done and adjourned. Thank you very much.

19 MR. FFITCH: Thank you, Your Honor.

20 (Hearing concluded at 9:43 a.m.)

21

22

23

24

25
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