



STATE OF WASHINGTON

**UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

1300 S. Evergreen Park Dr. S.W., P.O. Box 47250 • Olympia, Washington 98504-7250

(360) 664-1160 • [www.utc.wa.gov](http://www.utc.wa.gov)

September 13, 2016

**NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO RESPOND TO  
PACIFIC POWER'S MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION OF ORDERS 12 AND 13  
(Responses Due By 3:00 p.m., Tuesday, September 20, 2016)**

RE: *Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission v. Pacific Power & Light Company*, Docket UE-152253

TO ALL PARTIES:

On September 12, 2016, Pacific Power & Light Company (Pacific Power or the Company) filed a Motion for Clarification of Orders 12 and 13 (Motion for Clarification) with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Commission) in the above-referenced docket. Specifically, Pacific Power requests that the Commission clarify two issues.

First, the Company states that the Commission expressly denied a return on the selective catalytic reduction (SCR) systems in Order 12 but that the Company should have received a return on the non-SCR-related capital projects within the SCR adjustment.<sup>1</sup> Pacific Power asks that the Commission clarify that the exclusion of a return on the non-SCR-related capital projects at Jim Bridger Units 3 and 4, and posted by the Company within the SCR line item, “was a ministerial error, correct the revenue requirement accordingly, and approve the alternative compliance tariffs provided with [the Motion for Clarification].”<sup>2</sup>

Second, Pacific Power requests a modification to the Commission-approved decoupling mechanism’s deferral period. In Order 12, the Commission adjusted the Company-proposed deferral timeline so that the first year of the mechanism would run from September 15, 2016, to September 14, 2017. Pacific Power states that the Commission’s timeline does not align with the months covered by the Company’s mid-year Commission Basis Report (CBR), which addresses the period from July 1 through June 30 of each year.<sup>3</sup> The Company

---

<sup>1</sup> Pacific Power Motion for Clarification, ¶ 3.

<sup>2</sup> *Id.*, ¶ 9.

<sup>3</sup> *Id.*, ¶ 12.

proposes “a shortened first year of the decoupling mechanism, from September 15, 2016, through June 30, 2017... [and y]ears two through four would cover the full one-year period from July 1 to June 30.”<sup>4</sup> Pacific Power also requests that the Commission make clear “that the Company is not required to file a mid-year CBR in 2016 covering the results of operation for July 1, 2015, through June 30, 2016, because this period pre-dates the effective date of the decoupling mechanism.”<sup>5</sup>

**NOTICE IS GIVEN That parties who wish to respond to Pacific Power & Light Company’s Motion for Clarification of Orders 12 and 13 must do so by 3:00 p.m., Tuesday, September 20, 2016.**



MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER  
Administrative Law Judge

---

<sup>4</sup> *Id.*

<sup>5</sup> *Id.*, ¶ 13.