

Docket # UW070944

Highlands ADJUSTED 2006 CONSUMPTION

The adjustment is due to a large leak , which was repaired in November 2006.

Why is an actual datum of consumption for December, 2006 changed from 265,000 gallons to 650,000 gallons?

Why does the residence count for 2006 remain at 102? The Highlands' records show 105 residences and RULLC, WWS and WUTC have been so informed?

The 2007 actual data (to be used to revise the 2006 consumption data) is increased by the ratio 105/102 to adjust the 2007 data for a change from a count of 102 to 105. There was no change from 102 to 105, so what does this adjustment mean? Why are actual data increased?

The 2007 consumption data, January –July show a lower usage than for the years 2005 or 2006. Is it possible that the Highlands through an aggressive program to repair leaks to their old distribution system have corrected all of their leaks? Why was it necessary to use a three year average, when neither 2005 or 2006 were free from significant leaks?

The adjusted 2006 consumption data is too high.

Alternatives would be to use the same consumption as the Rosario residences or to use the actual Highlands' meter readings – the Highlands have meters on all residences. All of the Highlands data has been sent to WUTC.

It appears that the adjusted consumption data for 2006 discussed above were made by WWS. We request the WUTC staff make the necessary changes and request that RULLC recalculate their proposed revenue. We note that this will increase the billings for the remainder of the RULLC customers. Do we want yearly proposals for rate increases?

Thank you.

Duane Franklet

Rosario resident

11 September 2007