

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

Agency: Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission

CR-102 (June 2012) (Implements RCW 34.05.320) Do NOT use for expedited rule making

Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 12-09-084 Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1).	; or ☐ Original Notice ☐ Supplemental Notice to WSR 13-01-068 ☐ Continuance of WSR			
Title of rule and other identifying information: (Describe Subject) WAC 480-120-251 Directory service. This rulemaking proposes modifications to, or the elimination of, the requirement that local exchange companies provide each customer a copy of a telephone directory for the customer's exchange area. Docket UT-120451				
Hearing location(s):	Submit written comments to:			
Commission Hearing Room 206 Second Floor, Richard Hemstad Building 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive S.W. Olympia, WA 98504-7250	Name: Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission Address: 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive S.W. PO Box 47250 Olympia, WA 98504-7250 e-mail records@utc.wa.gov. Please include: "Docket UT-120451" in your comments. fax (360) 586-1150 by (date) March 29, 2013			
Date: April 11, 2013 Time: 1:30 PM	Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact			
Date of intended adoption: April 11, 2013	Debbie Aguilar by March 27, 2013			
(Note: This is NOT the effective date)	TTY (360) <u>586-8203</u> or (360) <u>664-1132</u>			
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: The proposal revises the existing rule to require local exchange carriers to distribute white pages telephone directories only to customers who request them. The proposal would minimize the burden on regulated carriers to print and distribute directories and would substantially reduce the amount of waste resulting from disposal of unwanted printed directories. This Supplemental CR-102 amends the proposal so that carriers are obligated only to provide electronic access to directory listings and must provide printed directories only to customers who request them. If carriers also distribute printed directories to customers who do not request them, the rule would require that carriers not distribute a printed directory to customers who request not to receive them. Reasons supporting proposal: Consumers primarily rely on the Internet as a resource for telephone number listings, rendering unnecessary a requirement that companies distribute printed white pages directories except to those customers who request them. Eliminating distribution of unwanted directories also enhances environmental stewardship in cooperation with cities and municipalities by reducing the volume of paper waste that must be processed and disposed of.				
Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 80.01.040 and RCW 80.04.160	Statute being implemented: N/A			
Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION: Yes No Yes No No	OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED			
DATE February 27, 2013	DATE: Fahruari 27 2042			
NAME (type or print) Steven V. King	DATE: February 27, 2013 TIME: 3:08 PM			
SIGNATURE // / / /	WSR 13-06-026			
TITLE Acting Evacutive Director and Secretary				

Agency comments or matters:	recommendations, if ar	ny, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcer	nent, and fiscal
None			
Name of proponent: (person or organization) V	Vashington Utilities and Transportation Commission	☐ Private ☐ Public ☑ Governmental
• • • •	onnel responsible for:		
Nam		Office Location	Phone
Drafting John Cu	pp	1300 S. Evergreen Pk. Drive SW, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1113
ImplementationSteven V	V. King	1300 S. Evergreen Pk. Drive SW, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1115
EnforcementSteven V		1300 S. Evergreen Pk. Drive SW, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1115
Has a small business economic impact statement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW or has a school district fiscal impact statement been prepared under section 1, chapter 210, Laws of 2012?			a school district
☐ Yes. Attach cop	y of small business econo	omic impact statement or school district fiscal impact state	ment.
A copy of the statement may be obtained by contacting:			
Name:			
Address:			
phone ()		
fax ()		
e-mail _			
No. Explain why no statement was prepared.			
The proposed rule will not result in or impose more than minor costs. Because there will not be more than minor increase in costs			
resulting from the proposed rule changes, a SBEIS is not required under RCW 19.85.030(1).			
Is a cost-benefit analy	ysis required under RCV	V 34.05.328?	
	•		
☐ Yes A prelimir Name:	lary cost-benefit analysis	may be obtained by contacting:	
Address:			
nhana /	`		
fax ()		
e-mail _			
⊠ No: Please ex	plain:		
The Commission is not an agency to which RCW 34.05.328 applies. The proposed rule is not a significant legislative rule of the sort referenced in RCW 34.05.328(5).			