Exh. DCG-12 Dockets UE-190529/UG-190530 and UE-190274/UG-190275 (consolidated) Witness: David C. Gomez

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

DOCKETS UE-190529 and UG-190530 (consolidated)

Complainant,

v.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY,

Respondent.

In the Matter of the Petition of

PUGET SOUND ENERGY

For an Order Authorizing Deferral Accounting and Ratemaking Treatment for Short-life UT/Technology Investment DOCKETS UE-190274 and UG-190275 (consolidated)

EXHIBIT TO TESTIMONY OF

David C. Gomez

STAFF OF WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Montana Governor Bullock Veto SB 252

November 22, 2019

Exh. DCG-12 Dockets UE-190529/UG-190530 and

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR UE-190274/UG-190275 (consol.) STATE OF MONTANA Page 1 of 2

STEVE BULLOCK GOVERNOR



MIKE COONEY LT. GOVERNOR

May 3, 2019

The Honorable Corey Stapleton Secretary of State State Capitol Helena, MT 59601

Dear Secretary Stapleton:

In accordance with the power vested in me as Governor by the Constitution and the laws of the State of Montana, I hereby veto Senate Bill 252 (SB 252), "AN ACT EXEMPTING CERTAIN CHANGES FROM THE MAJOR FACILITY SITING ACT AMENDMENT PROCESS; AMENDING SECTIONS 75-20-213 AND 75-20-219, MCA; AND PROVIDING AN IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVE DATE AND A RETROACTIVE APPLICABILITY DATE."

Decades ago, the people of Montana determined that it was important to review major proposed changes to power plants in our state. This policy, embodied in a law called the Major Facility Siting Act (MFSA), is designed to "ensure protection of the state's environmental resources . . . consideration of socioeconomic impacts" and "provide citizens with the opportunity to participate" in these decisions. Section 72-20-102, MCA.

This policy has worked for Montana, balancing the responsible development of energy facilities with the constitutional obligation to maintain and improve a clean and healthful environment for future generations.

Senate Bill 252 would create a major exception to the MFSA law and apply it only to the largest power plants. In particular, SB 252 would limit the ability of the Department of Environmental Quality (Department) to analyze and mitigate environmental impacts that result from changes to a power plant's fuel sources.

This new exception is counter to the purposes of the MFSA law. The MFSA amendment process it eliminates for large power plants is the only tool the state has to address certain kinds of environmental impacts—such as impacts to off-site transportation or impacts to water quality associated with a new coal storage area.

Exh. DCG-12 Dockets UE-190529/UG-190530 and UE-190274/UG-190275 (consol.) Page 2 of 2

Secretary Stapleton May 3, 2019 Page 2

The MFSA process in current law is balanced and efficient. It requires the Department to process amendment requests within 30 days. And it is not onerous for certificate holders. By removing this process altogether—again only for the largest power plants with the greatest impacts—SB 252 will create a special carve-out from the MFSA law with no discernable benefit to the public. Worse, SB 252 creates room for unmitigated, non-disclosed environmental impacts that would otherwise be disclosed in the amendment process.

Current law achieves a balance between economic and environmental interests that SB 252 does not. Its large power plant exception upsets the design of MFSA and is a step in the wrong direction.

For these reasons, I veto SB 252.

Sincerely,

STEVE BULLOCK

Governor

cc: Legislative Services Division

Scott Sales, President of the Senate Greg Hertz, Speaker of the House