US Department of Transportation Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration Office of Pipeline Safety

Gas IMP Field Verification Inspection 49 CFR Subparts 192.911, 192.921, 192.933, & 192.935

General Notes:

- 1. This Field Verification Inspection is performed on field activities being performed by an Operator in support of their Integrity Management Program (IMP).
- 2. This is a two part inspection form:
 - i. A review of applicable Operations and Maintenance (O&M) and IMP processes and procedures applicable to the field activity being inspected to ensure the operator is implementing their O&M and IMP Manuals in a consistent manner.
 - ii. A Field Verification Inspection to determine that activities on the pipeline and facilities are being performed in accordance with written procedures or guidance.
- 3. Not all parts of this form may be applicable to a specific Field Verification Inspection, and only those applicable portions of this form need to be completed. The applicable portions are identified in the Table below by a check mark. Only those sections of the form marked immediately below need to be documented as either "Satisfactory"; "Unsatisfactory"; or Not Checked ("N/C"). Those sections not marked below may be left blank.

Operator Inspected: <u>TransCanada Gas Transmission Northwest Corporation</u>
Op ID: <u>15014</u>

Perform Activity (denoted by mark)	Activity Number	Activity Description	
(denoted by mark)			
	1A	In-Line Inspection	
	1B	Hydrostatic Pressure Testing	
	1C	Direct Assessment Technologies	
	1D	Other Assessment Technologies	
X	2A	Remedial Actions	
Λ			
	2B	Remediation – Implementation	
	3A	Preventive & Mitigative – additional measures evaluated for HCAs	
	3B	Preventive & Mitigative – automatic shut-off valves	
	4A	Field Inspection for Verification of HCA Locations	
	4B	Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs	
V	4C	Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic Protection	
$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		System	
	4D	Field inspection for general system characteristics	
	attachment	Anomaly Evaluation Report	
	attachment	Anomaly Repair Report	

Gas IMP Field Verification Inspection Form

Name of Operator: TransCanada Gas Transmission Northwest Corporation

Headquarters Address:

1400 SW 5th Ave

Suite 900

Portland, OR. 97201

Company Official:

Jeff Rush

Phone Number:

503-833-4100

Fax Number:

503-833-4927

Operator ID:

15014

Persons Interviewed	Title	Phone No.	E-Mail
Kurt Smith	Compliance Specialist, GTN Systems (Primary Contact)	509-546-8865	kurt_smith@transcanada.com
Brett Huntley	CPTechnician	509-547-8161	Brett_huntley@transcanada.com
		,	
		- 	+

OPS/State Representative(s): Al Jones / UTC Date(s) of Inspection: March 14-16, 2011

Inspector Signature: Al Jones Date: March 21,2011

Pipeline Segment Descriptions: [note: Description of the Pipeline Segment Inspected as part of this field verification. (If information is available, include the pipe size, wall thickness, grade, seam type, coating type, length, normal operating pressure, MAOP, %SMYS, HCA locations, class locations, and Pipeline Segment boundaries.)]

Site Location of field activities: [note: Describe the portion of the pipeline segment reviewed during the field verification, i.e. milepost/stations/valves/pipe-to-soil readings/river crossings/etc. In addition, a brief description and case number of the follow up items in any PHMSA compliance action or consent agreement that required field verification. Note: Complete pages 8 & 9 as appropriate.]

See summary note for portion of pipeline segment reviewed.

Summary:

A follow-up to the August 23-27, 2010 inspection for the Wallula District was completed on March 14-16, 2011. The inspection included a review of records and maps at the Wallula Compressor Station and field inspect new rectifiers, and collect CP data along the pipeline where the anode-flex was abandoned. During the August field inspection anode-flex for circuits #75, #76, and #78 were open circuits with no current flow. In October 2010, TransCanada installed two rectifiers (MP 220.9 and MP 224.2) connected to existing ground beds anodes (installed in 1977 and 1982). The ground beds were used prior to the installation of anode-flex along the pipeline ROW. Two non-contiguous pipe segments (MPs 227.32 – 227.60 and MPs 228.17 – 228.45) of the looped 36-inch and 42-inch diameter pipelines are now connected to the new rectifiers that include the failed anode-flex circuits #75, #76, and #78. The two segments are approximately three and four miles to the nearest rectifier and each segment is approximately, 0.3 miles in length. Cathodic Protection reading at or near the pipe segments were greater than -850 mv (on) and in compliance with requirement of CFR 192. 463. See attached Field Data Form, Exhibit – A: photos of rectifiers, and Exhibit – B: TransCanada CP Data.

Findings/Comments:

Maintain regular inspection schedule and UTC to continue to inspect for adequacy of CP for the two pipe segments where the anode flex adjacent to the pipeline has been abandoned.

Key Documents Reviewed:

	Document No.	Rev. No	Date
TransCanada, Wallula 2010 Annual CP Survey			Oct. 10, 2010
Wallula OQ Task Status Report March 2011		 	Current
Rectifier Ground Bed Design at Welch Road (MP 220.9) and Babcock Road (MP 224.2)	Original Design	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1977 & 1982

Part 1 - Performance of Integrity Assessments

	[C - 4 - 6 - 4	11	NIC	Notes:
1A. In-Line Inspection	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that Operator's O&M and IMP procedural			X	
requirements (e.g. launching/receiving tools) for performance of ILI were followed.			Λ	
Verify Operator's ILI procedural requirements were followed.	lowed (a a	operation of t	L	·
for launching and receiving of pig, operational control of			ıap	
Verify ILI tool systems and calibration checks before ru			IFA	
tool was operating correctly prior to assessment being p				
Verify ILI complied with Operator's procedural require				
successful assessment (e.g. speed of travel within limits			и	
coverage), as appropriate.	, adequate t	iunsaucei		
Document ILI Tool Vendor and Tool type (e.g. MFL, D	eformation) Document		
other pertinent information about Vendor and Tool, as a), 20 0 0 0 mm o m		·
Verify that Operator's personnel have access to applical		res for prepari	ing.	
running and monitoring the pipeline for ILI tools includ				
(e.g.: tool speeds, pipe cleanliness, operation of tool set				·
calibration requirements), as appropriate.	,			[Note: Add location specific
Other:				information, as appropriate.]
1B. Hydrostatic Pressure Testing	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that hydrostatic pressure tests complied with			X	
Part 192 Subpart J requirements.				
Review documentation of Hydrostatic Pressure Test par			ify	
test was performed without leakage and in compliance v	with Part 19	2 Subpart J		
requirements.		4 41 41		
Review test procedures and records and verify test acce				·
Review determination of the cause of hydrostatic test fa	ilures, as ap	propriate.		
Document Hydrostatic Pressure Test Vendor and equipa	nent used,	as appropriate		
Verify that the baseline assessment is conducted in a ma				
environmental and safety risks (reference §192.919(e) a	nd ADB-04	1-01)		
Other:				·
1C. Direct Assessment Technologies	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that application of "Direct Assessment			X	
Technology" complied with Part 192.923			Λ	
Review documentation of Operator's application of "Di				
Technology", if available. Verify compliance with Part	192.923 ar	nd Operator's		
procedural requirements, as applicable.	 			
Verify that appropriate tests and/or inspections are being	g performed	d and appropri	iate	
data is being collected, as appropriate.				
Other.	 	······································		<u> </u>
	Ta	T		
1D. Other Assessment Technologies	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that application of "Other Assessment				
Technology" complied with Operator's requirements,			X	
that appropriate notifications had been submitted to PHMSA, and that appropriate data was collected.				•
Review documentation of notification to PHMSA of Op	Laraton's an	lisation of W)than	
Assessment Technology", if available. Verify compliar				
requirements. If documentation of notification to PHM				
of "Other Assessment Technology" is available, verify				
within parameters originally submitted to PHMSA.	Personniant	e or masesante.		
Verify that appropriate tests are being performed and ap	propriate d	ata is being		
collected, as appropriate.	Trafficare a			
Other.				
The Matter Graph of the Control of t				. La compression de la compression della compres

Part 2 - Remediation of Anomalies

2A. Remedial Actions - Process	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that remedial actions complied with the	X			
Operator's procedural requirements.				On Site inspected the mitigation measures
Witness anomaly remediation and verify documentation			,	of installed rectifiers at MP 220.0 and PM
Exposed Pipe Reports, Maintenance Report, any Data A			У	224.2. See attached photos Exhibit -A
compliance with Operator's O&M Manual and Part 192	requiremei	us.		Cathodic Protection readings of pipe to
Verify that Operator's procedures were followed in loca	iting and ev	nosing the		soil at various locations along the
anomaly (e.g. any required pressure reductions, line loca				pipeline. See attached Field Data Form
approximate location of anomaly for excavation, excava				F. F
approximate regulation of anomaly for executation, execute	ttion, courn	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Verify that procedures were followed in measuring the	anomaly, de	termining the	!	
severity of the anomaly, and determining remaining stre				(if available):
class location factor and failure pressure ratio used by C	perator in o	determining re	pair	On Potential:mV
of anomaly.		_		Off Potential:mV
Verify that Operator's personnel have access to and kno	wledge of a	applicable		[Note: Add location specific information
procedures.				and note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following
				exposure, as appropriate.]
Other:				exposure, as appropriate.j

2B. Remediation - Implementation	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify that the operator has adequately implemented	Sutisfactory	Chisticitation	1110	Notes.
its remediation process and procedures to effectively			**	
remediate conditions identified through integrity			X	
assessments or information analysis.				
If documentation is available, verify that repairs were c	ompleted in	accordance v	vith	
the operator's prioritized schedule and within the time f	rames allov	ved in		
§192.933(d).				
Review any documentation for this inspection site for a			tion	
(§192.933(d)(1)) where operating pressure was reduced				
shutdown. Verify for an immediate repair condition the pressure was determined in accordance with the require			if	·
not applicable, the operator should provide an engineer			11	
amount of pressure reduction.	ing oddio ja	stry mg the		
amount of prosoure reduction.				
Verify that repairs were performed in accordance with	§192.103, §	192.111,		· ·
§192.713, §192.717, §192.719, §192.933 and the Opera				
appropriate. If welding is performed, verify a qualified				
qualified welders are used to perform repairs. If compo				
verify that a method approved by the Operator is used,	procedures	are followed,	and	Cathodic Protection readings of pipe to
qualified personnel perform the repair.				soil at dig site (if available): On Potential: mV
		C.1.2. C		On Potential: mV Off Potential: mV
Review CP readings at anomaly dig site, if possible. (S	see Part 4 o	t this form –		On i otolitiai.
"Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the Cathodic P	rotection Sy	stem", as		[Note: Add location specific information
appropriate.				and note whether CP readings were from
Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			the surface or from the pipe following
Omer.				exposure, as appropriate.]
		·····	•	<u> </u>

Part 3 - Preventive and Mitigative Actions

3A. P&M Measures for Third Party Damage	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Identify additional measures evaluated for the HCA			37]
section of the pipeline and facilities.			X	
Verify that P & M measures regarding threats due to thi	rd party dar	nage are bein	g	
implemented: [§192.915(c), §192.935(b)(1)(iv)]:		_	-	·
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Confirm the use of qualified personnel for marking, loca	ating, and d	irect supervis	ion	·
of known excavation work, as appropriate.				·
Confirm the use of qualified personnel for monitoring of	f excavation	is conducted	on	
covered pipeline segments by pipeline personnel, as app	ropriate.			
Cal.				4
Other:				
				[Note: Add location specific information,
				as appropriate.]
3B. Installed Automatic Shut-off Valves (Protocol	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
H.07)	Sutisfactory	Olisatistaciony	10/0	
Verify additional preventive and mitigative actions			X	
implemented by Operator.				
Document that additional measures evaluated by the ope				
such as, installing Automatic Shut-off Valves or Remot				
computerized monitoring and leak detection systems, re			th	
pipe of heavier wall thickness, providing additional train				
response procedures, conducting drills with local emerging implementing additional inspection and maintenance pro				•
Verify that the operator has a process to decide if autom				
remote control valves represent an efficient means of ad				
potentially affected high consequence areas. [§192.935(tion to		
positively amount inglifered and the (3.1.21.1.5.5)	-/1			
Verify operation of installed remote control valve by rev	iewing ope	rator		·
inspection/remote control records for partially opening a				
appropriate.	~			
Other:				
				INota: Add location specific information
				[Note: Add location specific information, as appropriate.]
				as appropriate.

Part 4 - Field Investigations (Additional Activities as appropriate)

4A. Field Inspection for Verification of HCA Locations	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Review HCAs locations as identified by the Operator.			X	
Utilize NPMS and Operator maps, as appropriate. Verify that the operator's integrity management program	includes e	corate and		
updated system maps or other suitably detailed means d				
segment locations that are located in high consequence a				
[§192.905(a)]				
Review the operator's applicable procedures and forms			L.	
information from one-calls, surveys, aerial & ground pa field personnel to communicate new developments that			юу	
consequence areas or that may create new high consequ			el,	
as appropriate. [§192.905(c)]		•		
Review the operator's applicable procedures and forms				-
and class location changes are being identified through i				[Note: Add location specific information,
program as required by §192.613 and §192.905.				as appropriate.]
4B. Field Inspection for Verification of Anomaly Digs	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Verify repair areas, ILI verification sites, etc.	,		X	
Document the anomaly dig sites observed and reviewed	as part of	his field activi		[Note: Add location specific information,
and the actions taken by the operator.	, ,,,, v Oa 6		*	as appropriate.]
4C. Field Inspection to Verify adequacy of the	Cati C	Ulerani' C	110	Notes:
Cathodic Protection System	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	
In case of hydrostatic pressure testing, Cathodic	**			On Site inspected the mitigation measures
Protection (CP) systems must be evaluated for general	X			of installed rectifiers at MP 220.0 and PM 224.2. See attached photos Exhibit -A
The operator should review the CP system performance	in conjunct	ion with a	L	227.2. See anached photos Exhibit -A
hydrostatic pressure test to ensure the integrity assessment		Cathodic Protection readings of pipe to		
threats to the integrity of the pipeline. Has the operator		soil at various locations along the		
performance in conjunction with the hydrostatic pressur				pipeline. See attached Field Data Form
Review records of CP readings from CIS and/or annual	survey to e	nsure minimu	m	Cathodic Protection readings of pipe to
code requirements are being met, if available.				soil at dig site (if available):
		 		On Potential:
Review results of random field CP readings performed	during this	activity to ens	ure	Off Potential:mV
minimum code requirements are being met, if possible.	Perform ra	ndom rectifie	r	INote: Add location specific information
checks during this activity and ensure rectifiers are open				[Note: Add location specific information and note whether CP readings were from
				the surface or from the pipe following
				exposure, as appropriate.]
4D. Field inspection for general system characteristics	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/C	Notes:
Through field inspection determine overall condition of	1	<u> </u>		1
pipeline and associated facilities for a general			\mathbf{X}	
estimation of the effectiveness of the operator's IMP			••	
implementation. Evaluate condition of the ROW of inspection site to ens	ure minimu	ım code	L	
requirements are being met, as appropriate.				
Comment on Operator's apparent commitment to the integrity and safe operation of their system, as appropriate.				
Check ROW for pipeline markers in line-of-sight and E	mergency c	an-in number	on	
marker posts. Other:				1
OMIVI.		······································		

Anomaly Evaluation Report (to be completed as appropriate)

Pipeline System and Lir	ie Pipe Information
Operator (OpID and System Name):	
Unit ID (Pipeline Name)	
Pipe Manufacturer and Year:	Seam Type and Orientation:
Pipe Nominal OD (inch):	Depth of Cover:
Pipe Nominal Wall thickness (inch):	Coating Type and Condition:
Grade of Pipe:	MAOP:
ILI Reported I	nformation
ILI Technology (e.g., Vendor, Tools):	
Anomaly Type (e.g., Mechanical, Metal Loss):	
Is anomaly in a segment that can affect an HCA? (Yes / N	0)
Date of Tool Run (MM/DD/YY): Date of	Inspection Report (MM/DD/YY):
Date of "Discovery of Anomaly" (MM/DD/YY):	
Type of "Condition" (e.g.; Immediate; 60-day; 180-day):	
Anomaly Feature (Int/Ext): Orientatio	n (O'clock position):
Anomaly Details: Length (in): Width (in)	: Depth (in):
Anomaly Log Distance (ft): Distance f	rom Upstream weld (ft):
Length of joint(s) of pipe in which anomaly is identified (ft):
Anomaly Dig Site Info	rmation Summary
Date of Anomaly Dig (MM/DD/YY):	<u> </u>
Location Information (describe or attach map):	
	rom A/G Reference (ft):
Distance from Upstream weld (ft):	
GPS Readings (if available) Longitude:	Latitude:
Anomaly Feature (Int/Ext): Orientation:	
Length of joint of pipe in which anomaly is found (ft):	
For Mechanical Da	mage Anomaly
Damage Type (e.g., original construction, plain dent, goug	ge):
Length (in): Width (in):	Depth (in):
Near a weld? (Yes / No):	
Gouge or metal loss associated with dent? (Yes / No):	Are multiple dents present? (Yes / No):
Did operator perform additional NDE to evaluate presence	
Cracks associated with dent? (Yes / No):	
For Corrosion Meta	l Loss Anomaly
Anomaly Type (e.g., pitting, general):	
Length (in): Width (in):	Max. Depth (in):
Remaining minimum wall thickness (in): Max	imum % Wall Loss measurement(%):
Safe pressure calculation (psi), as appropriate:	
For "Other Types'	of Anomalies
Describe anomaly (e.g., dent with metal loss, crack, seam	
Length (in): Width (in):	Max. Depth (in):
Other Information, as appropriate:	
Did operator perform additional NDE to evaluate presence	e of cracks? (Yes / No):
Cracks present? (Yes / No):	

Anomaly Repair Report (to be completed as appropriate)

Repair Information
Was a repair of the anomaly made? (Yes / No):
Was Operating Pressure Reduced per 192.933(a) requirements?
Was defect ground out to eliminate need for repair? (Yes / No):
If grinding used, complete the following for affected area:
Length (in): Width (in): Depth (in):
If NO repair of an anomaly for which RSTRENG/B31.G is applicable, were the Operator's RSTRENG/B31.G
calculations reviewed? (Yes / No):
If Repair made, complete the following:
Repair Type (e.g., Type B-sleeve, composite wrap)
Was defect ground out prior to making repair? (Yes / No):
Operating Pressure at the time of repair:
Length of Repair: Pipe re-coating material used:
Comments on Repair material, as appropriate (e.g., grade of steel, wall thickness):
Comments on Repair procedure, as appropriate (e.g., welded sleeve, composite wrap):
General Observations and Comments
Was a diagram (e.g., corrosion map) of the anomaly made? (Yes / No): (Include in report if available)
Were pipe-to-soil cathodic protection readings taken? (Yes / No):
If CP readings taken, Record: On Potential:mV; Off Potential:mV
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] Describe method used by Operator to locate anomaly (as appropriate):
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.]
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] Describe method used by Operator to locate anomaly (as appropriate):
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] Describe method used by Operator to locate anomaly (as appropriate):
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] Describe method used by Operator to locate anomaly (as appropriate):
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] Describe method used by Operator to locate anomaly (as appropriate):
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] Describe method used by Operator to locate anomaly (as appropriate): Comments regarding procedures followed during excavation, repair of anomaly, and backfill (as appropriate):
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] Describe method used by Operator to locate anomaly (as appropriate):
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] Describe method used by Operator to locate anomaly (as appropriate): Comments regarding procedures followed during excavation, repair of anomaly, and backfill (as appropriate):
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] Describe method used by Operator to locate anomaly (as appropriate): Comments regarding procedures followed during excavation, repair of anomaly, and backfill (as appropriate):
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] Describe method used by Operator to locate anomaly (as appropriate): Comments regarding procedures followed during excavation, repair of anomaly, and backfill (as appropriate):
[Note: Note whether CP readings were from the surface or from the pipe following exposure, as appropriate.] Describe method used by Operator to locate anomaly (as appropriate): Comments regarding procedures followed during excavation, repair of anomaly, and backfill (as appropriate):