

Exhibit A

**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES
AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

In the Matter of the Investigation Into)
U S WEST Communications, Inc.'s) Docket No. UT-003022
Compliance With Section 271 of the)
Telecommunications Act of 1996)

_____)
)
In the Matter of U S WEST Communications,) Docket No. UT-003040
Inc.'s Statement of Generally Available)
Terms Pursuant to Section 252(f) of the)
Telecommunications Act of 1996)
_____)

**AFFIDAVIT OF KENNETH L. WILSON REGARDING
INTERCONNECTION, COLLOCATION AND RESALE**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION & QUALIFICATIONS..... 1

PURPOSE OF AFFIDAVIT..... 2

SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS..... 3

ANALYSIS..... 5

I. INTERCONNECTION..... 6

 A. Definition of Interconnection and Legal Obligations to Interconnect. 6

 B. Summary of Qwest’s Purported Evidence of Compliance. 6

 C. Analysis of Qwest’s SGAT..... 7

 1. SGAT Analysis – Definitions 7

 2. SGAT Analysis – Interconnection Provisions 13

 D. Analysis of Qwest’s Alleged Compliance with § 271 Checklist Item 1 in Light of AT&T’s Experiences. 47

 1. Qwest Fails to Provide Interconnection At Technically Feasible Points within Its Network. 48

 2. Qwest Provides Poor Interconnection Trunk Ordering and Provisioning Service. 50

 3. Qwest Suffers Excessive Call Blocking. 54

II. COLLOCATION 57

 A. Definition of Collocation and Legal Obligations to Collocate. 57

 B. Summary of Qwest’s Purported Evidence of Compliance. 58

 C. Analysis of Qwest’s SGAT..... 58

 1. SGAT Analysis – Definitions 58

 2. SGAT Analysis – Collocation Provisions..... 60

 D. Analysis of Qwest’s Alleged Compliance with § 271 Checklist in Light of AT&T’s Experience..... 84

III. RESALE 85

 A. Definition of Resale and Legal Obligations..... 85

 B. Summary of Qwest’s Purported Evidence..... 86

 C. Analysis of Qwest’s SGAT..... 86

 1. SGAT Analysis – Resale Provisions..... 86

CONCLUSION..... 102

1 through 1993, I was a team lead on a project to reduce AT&T's capital budget for
2 network infrastructure.

3 4. From January 1994 through May 1995 I led a team at Bell Labs
4 investigating the various network infrastructure alternatives for entering the local
5 telecommunications market. From 1995 through the spring of 1998, I was the Business
6 Management Director for AT&T in Denver, managing one of the groups responsible for
7 getting AT&T into the local market in Qwest's 14-state territory. In addition, I was also
8 the senior technical manager in Denver working on local network and interconnection
9 planning, OSS interface architectures and the technical aspects of product delivery.

10 5. As noted above, I am currently a consultant and technical witness with
11 Boulder Telecommunications Consultants, LLC. In this capacity, I have worked with
12 several companies, including AT&T, on interconnection, collocation and resale issues,
13 among other things.

14
15 **PURPOSE OF AFFIDAVIT**
16

17 6. Because of my experience and background in bringing AT&T into the
18 local market in the state of Washington, as well as elsewhere, AT&T has asked me to
19 review the Statement of Generally Available Terms ("SGAT") and the testimony of
20 Qwest witnesses filed in support of its § 271 Application. In addition to reviewing the
21 Qwest witnesses' testimony, I have—or my associates have—gathered information
22 necessary to determine what AT&T's experience is, and has been, in employing the
23 various methods of interconnection, collocation and resale at issue here.