```
1
                        BEFORE THE WASHINGTON
2
            UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
3
4
    In Re: Application of:
5
6
    BELL'S RELIABLE MOVING.
                                     ) No. TV-160264
7
8
9
          Brief Adjudicative Proceeding Held Before
10
                          RAYNE PEARSON
11
                      Administrative Law Judge
12
                            Volume I
13
                          Pages 1 - 35
14
15
                       9:30 a.m. - 10:15 a.m.
16
                           June 2, 2016
17
         1300 South Evergreen Park Drive S.W., Room 206
18
                         Olympia, Washington
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
    Mary M. Paradise, CSR 2469
```

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	RAYNE PEARSON, Administrative Law Judge
4	
5	FOR BELL'S RELIABLE MOVING:
6	RICHARD R. BELL
7	LAURA BELL
8	2011 South Pierce
9	Spokane, Washington 99206
10	
11	FOR WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
12	COMMISSION:
13	BRETT P. SHEARER
14	Assistant Attorney General, UTC Division
15	PO Box 40128
16	Olympia, Washington 98504-0128
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		ΕX	A M	I	N A	T I	O N	I	N I	DΕΣ	ζ
2											
3	WITNESS				DII	RECT	CRO	OSS	RE	DIREC	CT
4	Richard	Bell			8	3	9,	18			
5	Suzanne	Stillv	well		20	)		29			
6											
7											
8		E	ХН	I	вІ	Т	I N	D E	X		
9	NUMBER:										PAGE
10	RB-1	Adn	nitt	ed	into	o Ev	iden	ce			19
11	SS-1	Adn	nitt	ed	into	o Ev	iden	ce			23
12											
13											
14											
15											
16											
17											
18											
19											
20											
21											
22											
23											
24											
25											

OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JUNE 2, 2016
9:30 a.m.

-000-

JUDGE PEARSON: Let's go ahead and be on the record. Good morning. Today is Thursday, June 2nd, 2016. The time is just a little before 9:30 AM. This is docket TV-160264, which is an application for a household goods permit filed by Richard and Laura Bell, doing business as Bell's Reliable Moving.

My name is Rayne Pearson. I'm the administrative law judge presiding over today's brief adjudicative proceeding. So before we get started, I will just note on the record that the parties agree to waive the requirement that the commissioner issue an order within 10 days of today's proceeding, and I will instead issue an order shortly after I receive the transcript.

So let's take appearances from both parties, and then we'll talk about how we're going to proceed this morning. So we'll start with the commission staff.

MR. SHEARER: Good morning, your Honor.

1 My name is Brett Shearer, and I'm an assistant 2 attorney general representing Commission staff 3 today. 4 JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. And which one 5 of you will be acting as the representative for the 6 company? RICHARD BELL: I will. Richard Bell. 7 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. If you could state 8 9 your full name, spell your last name. 10 RICHARD BELL: Richard Robert Bell, 11 B-e-1-1. 12 JUDGE PEARSON: How about an address and a 13 phone number? 14 RICHARD BELL: 1022 South Pierce, 15 509-721-9036. 16 JUDGE PEARSON: Can I get your full 17 address with city and zip code? 18 RICHARD BELL: Oh. 1022 South Pierce, Spokane, Washington, 99206. 19 20 JUDGE PEARSON: Do you have an e-mail 21 address? 22 RICHARD BELL: Richard Bell 1989 at 23 hotmail dot com. 24 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So 25 we're here today because the Commission issued a

notice of intent to deny Bell's Reliable Moving's application for permanent authority as as household goods carrier.

So what we will do is, Mr. Bell, you requested a hearing to have an opportunity to respond to the allegations that were in that notice and explain why your application should be approved. So after Mr. Shearer gives a brief overview of staff's case, I will swear you in, Mr. Bell, and you can present your case, and then you can provide testimony and call witnesses.

Do you intend to call --

RICHARD BELL: No.

JUDGE PEARSON: -- any witnesses? Okay. So it will just be you. And if you have any exhibits, we can take those up at that time as well.

RICHARD BELL: Okay.

JUDGE PEARSON: And once you've presented your testimony, then Mr. Shearer will have the opportunity to cross-examine you. And after that, staff will present its case, and you can also cross-examine staff's witness, Suzanne Stillwell, who is seated over here to my right. And once all the witnesses have testified, both parties will

have the opportunity to make a closing statement,

if they like. Do you have any questions before we

get started?

RICHARD BELL: No.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So Mr. Shearer, you can go ahead and proceed with an opening statement.

MR. SHEARER: Thank you, your Honor. This case is fairly straightforward from staff's perspective. Under the Washington Administrative Code, there are a series of convictions that are listed as serious crimes, and Commission staff -- Commission staff determined, in this case, that Mr. Bell had committed several -- have been convicted of several of those crimes in 2009, or slightly before that.

Based on that information, staff recommended the Commission decline Mr. Bell's application. That's -- that's the extent of the case.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So Mr. Bell, I'll go ahead and swear you in at this point, and then you can present your testimony. So if you would please stand and raise your right hand.

Whereupon,

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Testimony of RICHARD BELL

1 RICHARD R. BELL,

was duly sworn and testified as follows:

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. You can be seated.

4 And you can go ahead when you're ready.

RICHARD BELL: Okay. On the denial that I got, it says, Mr. Bell has a criminal history, including 2009 convictions for second degree assault with a deadly weapon; assault 3, bodily harm; assault 2, strangulation and harrassment, a previous conviction or a death threat.

And I'm convicted of assault 3, bodily harm and harrassment. And then it also says that on my juvenile record in 2007, I was convicted of burglary, second degree, criminal trespassing, first degree, and assault in third degree. And I was not convicted of any of those charges either. And then as --

JUDGE PEARSON: So what are you saying, that all of those are incorrect?

> RICHARD BELL: All of those are incorrect. JUDGE PEARSON: For the 2007, or the 2009

22 as well?

> RICHARD BELL: Both. All of the 2007 are incorrect. 2009, the only conviction was assault three, bodily harm and the harrassment.

Testimony of RICHARD BELL

And when I originally applied for the application, I had read on the Utilities & Transport Commission's web site that I cannot have certain felony crimes in the past five years, and I thought I was clear and good to go on this stuff.

And I was 19 when I received all my charges, and I'm not the same person that I was. So I would just like that to be taken into consideration. I'm married with three kids now, and I do everything by the books, and I followed all the rules up until this point, and I would continue to follow the rules and do whatever I have to do to keep my company going and growing.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Shearer, I'd like to ask you if you have a copy of the notice of intent to deny with you? Because I didn't bring one.

MR. SHEARER: I probably do, your Honor. Yes, I do have a copy of it, your Honor.

#### EXAMINATION

#### BY JUDGE PEARSON:

Q. Okay. Excuse me. So I just want to back up a little bit and go through this in more detail.

So according to the notice, it says there was an assault 2, deadly weapon, which you're

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

## Testimony of RICHARD BELL saying you were not convicted of?

- No, I was not. Α.
- Assault 3, bodily harm, which you admit Q. to?
  - Α. Yes.
- Q. Assault 2, strangulation, which you're denying?
  - Α. Yes.
- Harassment, previous conviction or death threat, are you admitting to that?
  - Α. Yes.
- And then it says, harrassment previous conviction, slash, death threat penalty. I'm not clear what the distinction is.
- I'm not sure why exactly it's listed like that, but I only have one conviction of that, and they have it listed twice on the --
- Q. And then obstructing a law enforcement officer, so you're denying that?
  - I was quilty of that. Α.
- So the only one you're denying is the -you're denying two. Assault 2, deadly weapon, and assault 2, strangulation, is that right?
- I'm denying the assault 2, deadly weapon, 25 assault 2, strangulation. One of the harrassment,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Testimony of RICHARD BELL

- that's a duplicate on here. And then it also said I was convicted in 2007 for burglary in the second degree. I was not; criminal trespassing in the first degree, which I was not, and assault in the third degree, which I was not.
  - Q. So all the 2007 charges, you're denying?
  - A. I was not convicted of, no.
- Q. Were you charged with those crimes, but just not convicted?
- A. Yes, I was charged with those crimes, just not convicted.
- Q. Okay. And how about the assault 2, deadly weapon and the assault 2, strangulation? Were you charged with those, but not convicted of those?
- A. Yes, I was charged with those, but not convicted of those.
- Q. I'm just trying to sort this out so I make sure I have -- okay. So you were convicted of assault 3, bodily harm, harrassment and obstruction?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. And those were in 2009?
- A. And they were domestic violence.
- Q. They were all DV?
- 25 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Testimony of RICHARD BELL

- So it would be helpful if you gave me some detail about the convictions and the steps you have taken since then, any kind of treatment or rehabilitation that you've had. But I need as much detail as possible.
- Okay. Well, in 2009, I was drinking pretty heavily, and I was actually with my biological mom at the time, and we got into it, and it kind of got blown out of proportion, and I ended up going to jail for that.

And then I went through a rehabilitation program, anger management and --

> LAURA BELL: It was DOC.

- Two years of probation, which I completed successfully early. And I have quit drinking since then and have just completely straightened out my life. I got my driver's license, and I haven't had any tickets or fines or anything, and I haven't been in trouble for seven years now.
- BY JUDGE PEARSON:
  - Okay. So --0.
  - And all my -- all my charges have been -between 15 and 19, and it was just a bad time for me, and I was -- I was not doing the right thing. I was not an adult yet. I was still a child.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

Testimony	of	RICHARD	BELL

- Q. Okay. So did the 2007 incidents also involve your mom?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Okay. Were those DV?
- A. No.
  - Q. They were burglary and criminal trespass?

    There wasn't an assault on there.
  - A. Yes, there wasn't an assault. There -there was an assault listed, but I got all the
    charges dismissed.
    - Q. Okay. Okay. So you said that --
  - A. And I was -- on that one, the burglary got amended to a trespassing before it got dismissed, because there was no burglary committed.
    - Q. Because what? I'm sorry.
    - A. There was no burglary committed.
- Q. So with respect to the 2009 conviction,

  you were incarcerated for those?
  - A. Yes. I was incarcerated.
  - Q. For how long?
  - A. 90 days.
- Q. Okay. And then you had two years of DOC?
- A. Two years probation, and I went through -
  I went through outpatient and inpatient
- <sup>25</sup> rehabilitation.

	Testimo	ny of RICHARD BELL
1	Q.	For substance abuse?
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	Okay.
4	Α.	Or for alcohol abuse. That's been my only
5	problem	
6	Q.	And you're saying that you are completely
7	clean a	nd sober now?
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	No drugs and no alcohol?
10	Α.	No.
11	Q.	For how long?
12	Α.	For probably five or six years now.
13	Q.	You don't know the exact sobriety date?
14	Α.	No. I haven't I used to get my coins
15	and stu	ff, but I I'm too busy at home with
16	family a	and stuff, so I haven't
17	Q.	So you're not in any sort of recovery
18	program	at this time?
19	Α.	No, no programs right now.
20	Q.	Okay. And then you also went through
21	anger m	anagement?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	And what was what did the structure of

that program look like?

A. Oh, basically, we went in, and there was a

24

25

	Testimony of RICHARD BELL
1	group, and we sat in a circle and they discussed
2	ways to deal with our anger better and
3	Q. How long was that program?
4	LAURA BELL: It was nine months.
5	A. I think it was about nine months.
6	BY JUDGE PEARSON:
7	Q. Okay. And did you do any domestic
8	violence rehabilitation?
9	A. Yes, I did domestic violence
10	rehabilitation as well, and stopped. And I was
11	doing that for about six months.
12	Q. Okay. Do you have anything else that you
13	want to add? Those are all my questions for now.
14	A. I think that's about it.
15	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Shearer, do you
16	have any questions?
17	MR. SHEARER: I have no questions, your
18	Honor.
19	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. You have no other
20	witnesses, is that correct? Do you have any
21	documents that you brought with you that you wanted
22	to introduce?

report.

23

24

25

RICHARD BELL: Just my criminal history

LAURA BELL: We don't have any copies of

Testimony of RICHARD BELL 1 it.. 2 JUDGE PEARSON: Is it the same as the one 3 that staff has listed as an exhibit? 4 RICHARD BELL: No, it's different. I got mine straight from the courthouse. 5 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Shearer, isn't 6 7 there one from --8 MR. SHEARER: The one that staff went from is from Accurint. Then there's a second one from 9 10 the Washington State Patrol database. 11 I will say, for clarification purposes, 12 listening to Mr. Bell there and reviewing the 13 Washington State Patrol one, at least, that his 14 version does match the patrol database report. Ιt 15 does appear to match that. 16 JUDGE PEARSON: It does? 17 MR. SHEARER: Yes. 18 JUDGE PEARSON: So you're saying the 19 notice was incorrect? 20 MR. SHEARER: I'd have to go back and read 21 the notice again, but it does seem to match the WSP 22 I haven't gone back through the Accurint one. 23 JUDGE PEARSON: So maybe what we should do

24

25

we can -- I can have that, take a look at it.

is have a copy made of what you brought in so that

Testimony of RICHARD BELL 1 is there someone here who can copy that? 2 MR. SHEARER: Yes, we can do that. Do you 3 want to go off the record and take a short recess? 4 JUDGE PEARSON: Yes, why don't we do that, 5 so we can get a copy of that. 6 (A short recess was then taken.) 7 JUDGE PEARSON: Back on the record. 8 Mr. Shearer is distributing a copy of Mr. Bell's 9 exhibit, which is the defendant case history 10 printed directly from the courthouse, is that 11 right? 12 LAURA BELL: Yes. 13 JUDGE PEARSON: In Spokane? 14 LAURA BELL: Yes. 15 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. 16 LAURA BELL: And the highlighted parts are the parts where he was convicted of. 17 18 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And the highlights 19 didn't show up on the copy. 20 LAURA BELL: It's on the first page. 21 JUDGE PEARSON: Okav. 22 LAURA BELL: It's the fourth one down from 23 the bottom, and then the second one, and the last 24 one at the bottom with the G at the -- towards the

end.

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Testimony of RICHARD BELL

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.

LAURA BELL: Those ones he was guilty of.

CONTINUING EXAMINATION

#### BY JUDGE PEARSON:

- Q. I do actually have a couple more questions for you, Mr. Bell.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. So the three charges in 2009 that you acknowledge the convictions for, assault 3, bodily harm, harrassment and obstruction of a law enforcement officer, did those all arise out of one incident?
- A. No. The two domestic violence charges were from one incident, and then the obstructing was from a different incident. I was over at a party, and the police officers came by, and I was just kind of out of it, so I wasn't listening to what they were telling me to do. So --
  - Q. You mean intoxicated?
  - A. Yes, intoxicated.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. And I just wasn't listening to what they were telling me at the time, and -- or I didn't understand it. So part of my drinking.
  - Q. Okay. And so then the other two -- by the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# Testimony of RICHARD BELL other two, you mean the assault 3 and the harrassment? Δ. Yes. Can you tell me more about the harrassment charge, why that was --The harrassment was when we were getting into it, I spit out, I'm going to kill you, and that -- I got the charge. For the death threat? 0. Α. For the death threat. Q. As part of the altercation? Α. Yes. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay. Thank you. So if -- does staff have any objection to admitting this into the record?

MR. SHEARER: No. The more information,

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And I agree. So I will admit this exhibit into the record, and mark it RB-1.

(Exhibit RB-1 admitted into evidence.)

JUDGE PEARSON: And Mr. Shearer, if you're ready to call Ms. Stillwell, we can do that.

MR. SHEARER: Where would you like

Ms. Stillwell to sit?

the better.

Testimony of SUZANNE STILLWELL

1	JUDGE PEARSON: She's fine where she is.
2	Ms. Stillwell, if you you would please stand and
3	raise your right-hand?
4	Whereupon,
5	SUZANNE STILLWELL,
6	was duly called and sworn and testified as follows:
7	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Please be seated.
8	And Mr. Shearer, you can proceed whenever you're
9	ready.
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. SHEARER:
12	Q. Thank you, Judge. Ms. Stillwell, can you
13	state your name and spell your last name for the
14	record, please?
15	A. I am Suzanne Stillwell, S-t-i-l-l-w-e-l-l.
16	Q. Thank you. And what is your place of
17	employment, Ms. Stillwell?
18	A. The Washington Utilities & Transportation
19	Commission.
20	Q. Thank you. And what is your role here at
21	the UTC?
22	A. I am the Commission's licensing services
23	manager in the safety and consumer protection
24	division. And my responsibilities, in part,
25	include overseeing staff who process applications

Testimony of SUZANNE STILLWELL

for the transportation industry.

- Q. And have you had and do you continue to have a direct role in this case, docket TV-160264?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And can you please describe your role in this specific case?
- A. As the licensing services manager, I am representing Commission staff in this case.
- Q. Now, did you review Mr. Bell's household good moving application?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And what did you find in the course of your review?
- A. After reviewing the two background checks, Mr. Bell has a criminal history that includes the felony convictions in 2009 for assault and harrassment, obstructing an officer in 2008, and multiple earlier offenses, which include burglary and criminal trespassing and assault.

And while Mr. Bell has had no additional convictions in the last five years, I believe that the nature and extent of his criminal history is troubling in a moving company applicant. Staff believes that Mr. Bell's criminal history could interfere with the proper operation of a household

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Testimony of SUZANNE STILLWELL

- goods company, and so staff does not believe it to be in the public interest to entrust Mr. Bell with these responsibilities.
- Thank you. And we've talked a lot today about background checks. I'm going to hand you the first -- the first document here. Do you recognize this document, Ms. Stillwell?
  - Α. Yes.
  - And what is this document?
- Α. This is the Washington State Patrol's WATCH report, which is a criminal background -- a criminal history report on Mr. Lee -- excuse me, Mr. Bell.
- Q. And did you rely on this report in the course of your investigation and review?
- Yes, this is -- this is one of the documents that staff and I relied on to make our recommendation.
  - Thank you, Ms. Stillwell.

Your Honor, I would like to move to admit this document as Exhibit Number SS-1.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And do you have any objection to admitting that WATCH report into the record?

> RICHARD BELL: I just wanted to clarify

## Testimony of SUZANNE STILLWELL

1	that I was not convicted of the burglary and the
2	stuff in 2007.
3	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And I think
4	Mr. Shearer said that that was actually clear from
5	looking at the WATCH report.
6	MR. SHEARER: Yes. The summary on page 2
7	does match with Mr. Bell's version of convictions.
8	RICHARD BELL: Yes.
9	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So you have no
LO	objection, then?
L1	RICHARD BELL: No.
L2	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So I will admit
L3	that into the record and mark it as Exhibit SS-1.
L4	(Exhibit SS-1 admitted into evidence.)
L5	BY MR. SHEARER:
L6	Q. Thank you, your Honor. I'm going to hand
L7	you another document. Do you recognize this
L8	document?
L9	A. Yes. This is the LexisNexis Accurint
20	report, which is also a criminal history report on
21	Mr. Bell.
22	O. Did you rely on this document in the

Α.

Yes.

23

24

25

This is another document that staff

course of yours and staff's review?

and I relied on to make our recommends.

## Testimony of SUZANNE STILLWELL

1 MR. SHEARER: Your Honor, I'd like to move 2 to admit this document as Exhibit SS-2. 3 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Do you have any 4 objection to --5 LAURA BELL: Because these ones are the ones that aren't -- like, he wasn't convicted of 6 7 I don't see the relevance of it. 8 JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Bell, could you repeat 9 that into the microphone? 10 RICHARD BELL: Yes. The second page, I 11 wasn't -- I wasn't quilty of the convictions. 12 JUDGE PEARSON: So I notice that it only 13 says quilty on the ones that you acknowledge you 14 were quilty for. The other ones don't have a 15 disposition. Or -- yes, they don't show -- they 16 show a disposition date, but they don't say that 17 you were quilty. So I don't think that this is 18 inaccurate --19 LAURA BELL: Okay. 20 JUDGE PEARSON: -- just because -- so it says that the assault 2, deadly weapon. It does 21 22 not say guilty. The assault 3, bodily harm, it

23

24

25

does, but you acknowledge that. The assault 2,

strangulation, it does not say quilty.

harrassment, it does say guilty, which you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

## Testimony of SUZANNE STILLWELL

acknowledge. It does say that there were two counts for that, though.

RICHARD BELL: What was --

LAURA BELL: The harrassment.

JUDGE PEARSON: There were two convictions for harrassment.

RICHARD BELL: That's inaccurate. There was just one conviction.

JUDGE PEARSON: Yes, that doesn't match with the WATCH report. The WATCH report also says one conviction. So that is incorrect.

And then -- let's see. Obstructing officer, it does say guilty. And it says that the criminal trespass, second degree was dismissed, as was the minor intoxicated in public.

It also does not say that you were guilty of burglary or criminal trespass or assault, third degree. Those were all dismissed. So this is -- this is accurate, except for it double counts the harrassment.

RICHARD BELL: Yes.

JUDGE PEARSON: And I think that because it contains inaccuracies and because all of this information is captured in the other two exhibits, I don't think it's necessary to admit this into the

Testimony of SUZANNE STILLWELL

record, because it does contain an inaccuracy. So I am going to not admit that into the record.

Did you have anything --

BY MR. SHEARER:

Q. Yes, I was just getting my paperwork here. I've got all these stacks now.

Did you review findings in this case that resulted in a final staff recommendation to the Commission to deny Mr. Bell's application, Ms. Stillwell?

- A. Yes. The rules set out the criteria an applicant must meet to receive provisional household goods authority from the Commission. And in part, the criteria says that if a person named in the application has been convicted of any crime involving theft, burglary, assault, among other crimes, more than five years prior to the date of the application, and the Commission determines that the nature and extent of the crimes will likely interfere with the proper operation of a household goods moving company, the Commission will deny operating authority to the applicant.
- Q. So for the benefit of Judge Pearson and everyone here, can you please summarize succinctly staff's recommendation in this case?

## Testimony of SUZANNE STILLWELL

A. Okay. Staff finds that the nature and extent of the crimes, the criminal history, could potentially interfere with the proper operation of a household goods moving company.

Although -- well, staff does not believe it is in the public interest to entrust Mr. Bell with these responsibilities, and recommend that the application be denied.

- Q. And again, for everyone's benefit, can you please summarize the supporting rationale for staff's recommendation?
- A. Again, it's the nature and extent of Mr. Bell's criminal history that's troubling in a moving company applicant. A moving company takes possession of and transports people's worldly goods.

Mr. Bell would be entrusted with entering homes and transporting and caring for others' personal belongings and be accountable for complying with all the laws and the rules governing the household goods industry. The criminal convictions and the offenses that were charged, I believe, support findings that Mr. Bell's criminal history renders him unfit to operate as a household goods carrier and support staff's recommendation

Testimony of SUZANNE STILLWELL

that issuing the permit is not in the public's interest.

Q. Do you have anything else you would like to add about staff's case?

JUDGE PEARSON: Oh, you're asking her. You were looking at me. I have a couple of questions, but go ahead.

BY MR. SHEARER:

- Q. Do you have anything else you would like to add about staff's case?
- A. Yes. Just -- you had brought up -Mr. Bell had brought up that assault was not on the
  household goods application, and it is true that we
  found an error on the application on the
  Commission's web site.

And the applications are located in two areas on the web site. One is under regulated companies and one is under the quick links on the front page. When we revised the application to include assault, as required under the rules, the application on the quick links was missed. And it has been corrected, and regardless of the error in the application, you know, the Washington Administrative Code does include assault.

MR. SHEARER: I have no further questions,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Testimony of SUZANNE STILLWELL

your Honor. Thank you, Ms. Stillwell.

#### EXAMINATION

#### BY JUDGE PEARSON:

- Q. Okay. Ms. Stillwell, I just have a couple questions. So I just want to be clear that up until this morning, when we sorted out which convictions were actually accurate and which ones were not, did you come here believing that all of the crimes that were listed in the notice of intent to deny have resulted in convictions?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So this was news to you this morning --
  - A. Yes.
- Q. -- that the front page of the WATCH report was correct? I'm just wondering how you reached that conclusion, when the WATCH report doesn't give you that conclusion, nor does the Accurint?
- A. In reviewing the Accurint report, I see now that it clearly states guilty or does not state anything.
  - Q. Right.
- A. So when we relied on the Accurint report, the premise was that Mr. Bell was guilty of these crimes, all of the crimes listed.

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

206 287 9066

Page: 30

- Q. Okay. So now that you know that that's not the case, does that change -- and also knowing that the WAC doesn't say -- you know, look at whether the applicant was charged with a crime but only had convictions, does that change your recommendation?
- A. No. And the reason is because of the assault charges. Those were convictions.
- Q. Okay. The one -- there's one assault conviction, correct?
- A. Excuse me. Assault and harrassment, yes.

  JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. I have nothing further.

MR. SHEARER: Nor do I.

assault or harrassment on this line.

closing statement before we conclude this morning?

RICHARD BELL: Yes. I just had a copy of
the original application and, like, where she said
that -- it's page 6, number 8, and it didn't state

JUDGE PEARSON: Did you want to make a

And then it says, has any person named in this application ever been convicted of any crime involving theft, burglary, sexual misconduct, identity theft, fraud, false statement or the manufacture, sale or distribution of a controlled

2.2

substance, and I put no. And I honestly didn't think I had anything to worry about, because I also -- and this is off the Utilities & Transport Commission web site.

They said, new rules adapted in -- or adopted in 2012 were mandatory criminal background check, no conviction for certain felony crimes in the past five years, and I have not had any felony convictions in the past five years, holding a valid Washington state driver's license, and then providing evidence of enrollment in a drug and alcohol testing program, and owning or leasing the proper equipment.

I have bought all the proper equipment and moving blankets, and I've been saving up for this for the past three years. I even went to college and took an accounting course, so I could figure out how to pay employees and stuff. And just everything that I've done has been for this company. So it's a big letdown that I got denied in the first place, and I don't feel like I should have been.

JUDGE PEARSON: Have you -- I saw in your written response that you have history working for other moving companies?

1 RICHARD BELL: I worked for Pettinger 2 Family Movers for probably about nine months. 3 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. 4 RICHARD BELL: I'm not sure if he would 5 verify that, because we had some issues at the end. I asked him for some information about my taxes, 6 7 and he kind of quit calling me all together. 8 But I did work through Olsten Staffing for 9 him, and that was after the fact of working for him 10 for nine months, or whatever. So even if he denied 11 that I worked for him, there's proof there. 12 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. 13 Anything else? 14 RICHARD BELL: No, I think that covers it. 15 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Shearer, do you 16 have anything else? 17 MR. SHEARER: Nothing material, your Honor. Just in summary, staff's case does not 18 19 believe Mr. Bell was deceptive on the application. 20 That was, as staff acknowledged, an error on the 21 on-line application that did not include the 2.2 listing of assault. Assault is included in the 23 WAC. That has since been corrected. So staff is 24 not accusing Mr. Bell of being deceptive, or

anything like that.

25

2 the conviction in 2009, and staff acknowledges that 3 was more than five years ago, and it's a -- it 4 requires a finding. And so that's what gave staff 5 pause, and that's the -- that's the linchpin here. 6 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Okay. 7 Well, if there's nothing further from either party, 8 then we can go ahead and adjourn. And like I said, 9 I will get the order out shortly after receiving a 10 copy of the transcript. 11 MR. SHEARER: Okay. 12 JUDGE PEARSON: Do you have a question? 13 LAURA BELL: I do want to make a 14 correction. He accidentally told you the wrong 15 e-mail. 16 JUDGE PEARSON: Did you want to provide 17 the correct e-mail? 18 RICHARD BELL: Yes. Rich Bell 1989 at 19 hotmail dot com. I think I said Richard Bell. 20 JUDGE PEARSON: So it's Rich Bell 1989 at 21 hotmail dot com? 2.2 RICHARD BELL: Yes. 23 JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you for traveling 24 all the way over here today. 25 RICHARD BELL: Thank you for your time.

The scope of this is truly the severity of

Thank you. LAURA BELL: We will be off the record. JUDGE PEARSON: (The hearing concluded at 10:15 a.m.) 

2.

## CERTIFICATE

STATE OF WASHINGTON

COUNTY OF KING

I, Mary M. Paradise, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing brief adjudicative proceeding before Administrative Law Judge Rayne Pearson on June 2, 2016, is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 10th day of June, 2016.

MARY M. PARADISE, CSR