Do	cket Nos. TE-160231 and TE-144101 - Vol. I		WUTC v. Professional Transportation, Inc.
	Page 1		Page 3
1	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE		3
2	UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION		
3		1	HEARING VOLUME I: INDEX
4	WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND ) TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, )	2	WITNESSES: PAGE
5	Complainant, ) Docket No. ) TE-160231 &	4	FRANCINE GAGNE Examination by Mr. Beattie 10 Examination by Mr. Parker 17
6	v. ) TE-144101	5	Examination by Mr. Parker 17
7 8	PROFESSIONAL TRANSPORTATION, )	6	DAVE HOWLAND Examination by Mr. Parker 19
9	Respondents.	7	Examination by Mr. Parker
10		8	DAVE PRATT
11		10	Examination by Mr. Beattie
12		11	EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION MARKED/ADMITTED
13	BRIEF ADJUDICATIVE PROCEEDING VOLUME I, PAGES 1-66	12	FG-1 - Francine Gagne Investigation Report 16
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE RAYNE PEARSON	13	DH-1 - Professional Transportation's Response 32
15		14	* * * *
16	9:30 A.M.	15	
17	MARCH 24, 2016	16 17	
18	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest Olympia, Washington 98504-7250	18	
19		19	
20 21	REPORTED BY: ANITA W. SELF, RPR, CCR #3032 Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC.	20	
21	1325 Fourth Avenue	21	
23	Seattle, Washington 98101 206.287.9066   Seattle	22	
24	Seattle, Washington 98101 206.287.9066   Seattle 360.534.9066   Olympia 800.846.6989   National	24	
25	www.buellrealtime.com	25	
	Page 2		Page 4
	2		4
1	APPEARANCES	1	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, MARCH 24, 2016
2		2	9:30 A.M.
3	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:	3	
4	RAYNE PEARSON Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 Box 47950 P300 Box 47950 Olympia, Washington 98504	4	PROCEEDINGS
5	1300 So. Evergreen Park Drive SW	5	UDOE DEADCON. They lette be an the record
6 7	Olympia, wasnington 98504	6	JUDGE PEARSON: Then let's be on the record. My name is Rayne Pearson. I'm the administrative law
8	FRENSASHINGTION LUMITIESION?	8	judge presiding over today's brief adjudicative
9		9	proceeding.
10	JULIAN BEATTIE Assistant Attorney General Attorney General of Washington 1400 Die Evergreen Park Drive Olympia, Washington 98504-0128 360.664,1225 jbeattie@utc.wa.gov	10	Today is Tuesday, May 24th, 2016, and the
11	Olympia, Washington '98504-0128 360.664,1225	11	time is approximately 9:30 a.m. We will hear matters in
12 13	jbeattie@utc.wa.gov	12	two dockets today. The first is Docket TE-160231. On April 5th, 2016, the Commission issued a complaint in
14	FOR PROFESSIONAL TRANSPORTATION:	14	Docket TE-160231 seeking to impose penalties against
15	RYAN K. PARKER General Counsel	15	Professional Transportation, Inc.
16	1700 E. Morgan Avenue	16	The Complaint alleges 324 violations of the
17	RYAN K. PARKER General Counsel Jhited Companies 3700 E. Morgan Avenue Evansville, Indiana 47715 512 485 3502 ryan.parker@unitedevv.com	17	Motor Carrier Safety rules discovered by Commission
18		18	Staff during a follow-up investigation required by Order
19 20	ALSO PRESENT:	19 20	01 in Docket TE-144101. Order 01 assessed an \$18,800 penalty, a
20	DAVE HOWLAND, Chief Operating Officer Professional Transportation	21	\$6,700 portion of which was suspended subject to the
22	PAXE PRATT, Assistant Director, UTC FRANCINE GAGNE, Special Investigator, UTC	22	condition that the Company refrain from incurring any
23	* * * *	23	repeat violations of specific Commission rules.
24		24	And then on April 11th, 2016, Commission Staff filed a motion to correct Order 01 in Docket
25			

	Page 5		Page 7
	5		7
1	TE 144101 and a motion to appendule a bearing in that	1	fact
1	TE-144101 and a motion to schedule a hearing in that docket to determine the status of the suspended penalty.	1 2	fact. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Parker, do you
3	The Commission subsequently issued a notice	3	have any objection to consolidating these dockets?
4	to the parties scheduling the hearing in Docket	4	MR. PARKER: We have no objection.
5	TE-144101 concurrent with the hearing scheduled for	5	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then Dockets
6	today in Docket TE-160231.	6	TE-144101 and TE-160231 are consolidated.
7	So let's go ahead and take appearances from	7	MR. BEATTIE: Thank you.
8	the parties and then we will address Staff's motion. So	8	Staff's second motion this morning is to
9	we'll start with Commission Staff.	9	correct an order in Order excuse me correct an
10	MR. BEATTIE: Good morning, Judge Pearson.	10	error in Order 01 in Docket 144101. This was a written
11	My name is Julian Beattie. I'm with the Washington	11	motion that is already on file with the Commission and,
12	State Attorney General's office, and this morning I'm	12	in essence, Staff believes there was a typo in that
13	assigned to represent the staff of the Washington	13	order.
14	Utilities and Transportation Commission.	14	There was an erroneous reference to 49 CFR
15	JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you.	15	Part 391.45(b)(1). Staff believes that should have been
16	And Mr. Parker, if you want to enter a full	16	a reference to 49 CFR Part 391.45(a), so (a) as opposed
17	appearance on the record because I don't believe we	17	to $(b)(1)$ . And the authority for this is
18	received an actual notice of appearance from you.	18	WAC 480-07-875, Subsection 2, which allows the
19	MR. PARKER: Certainly. My name is Ryan	19	Commission to act on its own initiative or the motion of
20	Parker, Vice President	20	any party to correct an obvious or ministerial error in
21	JUDGE PEARSON: Could you turn your	21	its orders.
22	microphone on? Is the red light on?	22	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. You are
23	MR. PARKER: Now.	23	correct that the reference to 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1)
24	JUDGE PEARSON: And if you could pull it a	24	was a clerical error. So the order that I will issue
25	little bit closer to you.	25	reflecting my decision in today's proceedings will note
	Page 6		Page 8
			1 490 0
	6		8
			-
1		1	-
1 2	6	1 2	8
	6 MR. PARKER: Certainly. How about that?		8 the correction to Order 01 in Docket TE-144101, changing
2	6 MR. PARKER: Certainly. How about that? JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you.	2	8 the correction to Order 01 in Docket TE-144101, changing the reference from 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1) to
2 3	6 MR. PARKER: Certainly. How about that? JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. MR. PARKER: My name is Ryan Parker, Vice	2 3	8 the correction to Order 01 in Docket TE-144101, changing the reference from 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1) to 49 CFR Part 391.45(a).
2 3 4	6 MR. PARKER: Certainly. How about that? JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. MR. PARKER: My name is Ryan Parker, Vice President of Administration and General Counsel for	2 3 4	8 the correction to Order 01 in Docket TE-144101, changing the reference from 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1) to 49 CFR Part 391.45(a). MR. BEATTIE: Thank you very much, Judge
2 3 4 5	6 MR. PARKER: Certainly. How about that? JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. MR. PARKER: My name is Ryan Parker, Vice President of Administration and General Counsel for Professional Transportation, Incorporated.	2 3 4 5	8 the correction to Order 01 in Docket TE-144101, changing the reference from 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1) to 49 CFR Part 391.45(a). MR. BEATTIE: Thank you very much, Judge Pearson.
2 3 4 5	6 MR. PARKER: Certainly. How about that? JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. MR. PARKER: My name is Ryan Parker, Vice President of Administration and General Counsel for Professional Transportation, Incorporated. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your address, phone number and e-mail address? MR. PARKER: My address is 3700 Morgan	2 3 4 5 6	8 the correction to Order 01 in Docket TE-144101, changing the reference from 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1) to 49 CFR Part 391.45(a). MR. BEATTIE: Thank you very much, Judge Pearson. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So before we get started, I would like to ask the parties to waive the requirement that the Commission issue an order within
2 3 4 5 6 7	6 MR. PARKER: Certainly. How about that? JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. MR. PARKER: My name is Ryan Parker, Vice President of Administration and General Counsel for Professional Transportation, Incorporated. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your address, phone number and e-mail address? MR. PARKER: My address is 3700 Morgan Avenue, Evansville, Indiana 47715. E-mail address is	2 3 4 5 6 7	8 the correction to Order 01 in Docket TE-144101, changing the reference from 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1) to 49 CFR Part 391.45(a). MR. BEATTIE: Thank you very much, Judge Pearson. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So before we get started, I would like to ask the parties to waive the requirement that the Commission issue an order within ten days of this proceeding. I typically like to issue
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	6 MR. PARKER: Certainly. How about that? JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. MR. PARKER: My name is Ryan Parker, Vice President of Administration and General Counsel for Professional Transportation, Incorporated. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your address, phone number and e-mail address? MR. PARKER: My address is 3700 Morgan Avenue, Evansville, Indiana 47715. E-mail address is ryan.parker@unitedevv.com that's Echo, Victor,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	8 the correction to Order 01 in Docket TE-144101, changing the reference from 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1) to 49 CFR Part 391.45(a). MR. BEATTIE: Thank you very much, Judge Pearson. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So before we get started, I would like to ask the parties to waive the requirement that the Commission issue an order within ten days of this proceeding. I typically like to issue the order within ten days of receiving the transcript so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	6 MR. PARKER: Certainly. How about that? JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. MR. PARKER: My name is Ryan Parker, Vice President of Administration and General Counsel for Professional Transportation, Incorporated. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your address, phone number and e-mail address? MR. PARKER: My address is 3700 Morgan Avenue, Evansville, Indiana 47715. E-mail address is ryan.parker@unitedevv.com that's Echo, Victor, Victor.com. Telephone number is area code	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	8 the correction to Order 01 in Docket TE-144101, changing the reference from 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1) to 49 CFR Part 391.45(a). MR. BEATTIE: Thank you very much, Judge Pearson. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So before we get started, I would like to ask the parties to waive the requirement that the Commission issue an order within ten days of this proceeding. I typically like to issue the order within ten days of receiving the transcript so that I have that to reference when I'm writing my order.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	6 MR. PARKER: Certainly. How about that? JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. MR. PARKER: My name is Ryan Parker, Vice President of Administration and General Counsel for Professional Transportation, Incorporated. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your address, phone number and e-mail address? MR. PARKER: My address is 3700 Morgan Avenue, Evansville, Indiana 47715. E-mail address is ryan.parker@unitedevv.com that's Echo, Victor, Victor.com. Telephone number is area code (812) 485-3502.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	8 the correction to Order 01 in Docket TE-144101, changing the reference from 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1) to 49 CFR Part 391.45(a). MR. BEATTIE: Thank you very much, Judge Pearson. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So before we get started, I would like to ask the parties to waive the requirement that the Commission issue an order within ten days of this proceeding. I typically like to issue the order within ten days of receiving the transcript so that I have that to reference when I'm writing my order. So do either of the parties object to
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	6 MR. PARKER: Certainly. How about that? JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. MR. PARKER: My name is Ryan Parker, Vice President of Administration and General Counsel for Professional Transportation, Incorporated. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your address, phone number and e-mail address? MR. PARKER: My address is 3700 Morgan Avenue, Evansville, Indiana 47715. E-mail address is ryan.parker@unitedevv.com that's Echo, Victor, Victor.com. Telephone number is area code (812) 485-3502. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	8 the correction to Order 01 in Docket TE-144101, changing the reference from 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1) to 49 CFR Part 391.45(a). MR. BEATTIE: Thank you very much, Judge Pearson. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So before we get started, I would like to ask the parties to waive the requirement that the Commission issue an order within ten days of this proceeding. I typically like to issue the order within ten days of receiving the transcript so that I have that to reference when I'm writing my order. So do either of the parties object to waiving that requirement?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	6 MR. PARKER: Certainly. How about that? JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. MR. PARKER: My name is Ryan Parker, Vice President of Administration and General Counsel for Professional Transportation, Incorporated. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your address, phone number and e-mail address? MR. PARKER: My address is 3700 Morgan Avenue, Evansville, Indiana 47715. E-mail address is ryan.parker@unitedevv.com that's Echo, Victor, Victor.com. Telephone number is area code (812) 485-3502. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Beattie, you may proceed with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	8 the correction to Order 01 in Docket TE-144101, changing the reference from 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1) to 49 CFR Part 391.45(a). MR. BEATTIE: Thank you very much, Judge Pearson. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So before we get started, I would like to ask the parties to waive the requirement that the Commission issue an order within ten days of this proceeding. I typically like to issue the order within ten days of receiving the transcript so that I have that to reference when I'm writing my order. So do either of the parties object to waiving that requirement? MR. BEATTIE: The Commission Staff has no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	6 MR. PARKER: Certainly. How about that? JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. MR. PARKER: My name is Ryan Parker, Vice President of Administration and General Counsel for Professional Transportation, Incorporated. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your address, phone number and e-mail address? MR. PARKER: My address is 3700 Morgan Avenue, Evansville, Indiana 47715. E-mail address is ryan.parker@unitedevv.com that's Echo, Victor, Victor.com. Telephone number is area code (812) 485-3502. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Beattie, you may proceed with Staff's motions whenever you're ready.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	8 the correction to Order 01 in Docket TE-144101, changing the reference from 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1) to 49 CFR Part 391.45(a). MR. BEATTIE: Thank you very much, Judge Pearson. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So before we get started, I would like to ask the parties to waive the requirement that the Commission issue an order within ten days of this proceeding. I typically like to issue the order within ten days of receiving the transcript so that I have that to reference when I'm writing my order. So do either of the parties object to waiving that requirement? MR. BEATTIE: The Commission Staff has no objection to such a waiver.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	6 MR. PARKER: Certainly. How about that? JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. MR. PARKER: My name is Ryan Parker, Vice President of Administration and General Counsel for Professional Transportation, Incorporated. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your address, phone number and e-mail address? MR. PARKER: My address is 3700 Morgan Avenue, Evansville, Indiana 47715. E-mail address is ryan.parker@unitedevv.com that's Echo, Victor, Victor.com. Telephone number is area code (812) 485-3502. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Beattie, you may proceed with Staff's motions whenever you're ready. MR. BEATTIE: Thank you, Judge Pearson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	B         the correction to Order 01 in Docket TE-144101, changing the reference from 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1) to 49 CFR Part 391.45(a).         MR. BEATTIE: Thank you very much, Judge Pearson.         JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So before we get started, I would like to ask the parties to waive the requirement that the Commission issue an order within ten days of this proceeding. I typically like to issue the order within ten days of receiving the transcript so that I have that to reference when I'm writing my order. So do either of the parties object to waiving that requirement?         MR. BEATTIE: The Commission Staff has no objection to such a waiver.         JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	6 MR. PARKER: Certainly. How about that? JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. MR. PARKER: My name is Ryan Parker, Vice President of Administration and General Counsel for Professional Transportation, Incorporated. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your address, phone number and e-mail address? MR. PARKER: My address is 3700 Morgan Avenue, Evansville, Indiana 47715. E-mail address is ryan.parker@unitedevv.com that's Echo, Victor, Victor.com. Telephone number is area code (812) 485-3502. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Beattie, you may proceed with Staff's motions whenever you're ready. MR. BEATTIE: Thank you, Judge Pearson. Staff has two housekeeping matters before we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	B the correction to Order 01 in Docket TE-144101, changing the reference from 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1) to 49 CFR Part 391.45(a). MR. BEATTIE: Thank you very much, Judge Pearson. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So before we get started, I would like to ask the parties to waive the requirement that the Commission issue an order within ten days of this proceeding. I typically like to issue the order within ten days of receiving the transcript so that I have that to reference when I'm writing my order. So do either of the parties object to waiving that requirement? MR. BEATTIE: The Commission Staff has no objection to such a waiver. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. MR. PARKER: We have no objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	6 MR. PARKER: Certainly. How about that? JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. MR. PARKER: My name is Ryan Parker, Vice President of Administration and General Counsel for Professional Transportation, Incorporated. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your address, phone number and e-mail address? MR. PARKER: My address is 3700 Morgan Avenue, Evansville, Indiana 47715. E-mail address is ryan.parker@unitedevv.com that's Echo, Victor, Victor.com. Telephone number is area code (812) 485-3502. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Beattie, you may proceed with Staff's motions whenever you're ready. MR. BEATTIE: Thank you, Judge Pearson. Staff has two housekeeping matters before we turn to the witnesses. The first is that Staff moves to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>B</li> <li>the correction to Order 01 in Docket TE-144101, changing the reference from 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1) to 49 CFR Part 391.45(a).</li> <li>MR. BEATTIE: Thank you very much, Judge Pearson.</li> <li>JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So before we get started, I would like to ask the parties to waive the requirement that the Commission issue an order within ten days of this proceeding. I typically like to issue the order within ten days of receiving the transcript so that I have that to reference when I'm writing my order. So do either of the parties object to waiving that requirement?</li> <li>MR. BEATTIE: The Commission Staff has no objection to such a waiver.</li> <li>JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. PARKER: We have no objection.</li> <li>JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	6 MR. PARKER: Certainly. How about that? JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. MR. PARKER: My name is Ryan Parker, Vice President of Administration and General Counsel for Professional Transportation, Incorporated. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your address, phone number and e-mail address? MR. PARKER: My address is 3700 Morgan Avenue, Evansville, Indiana 47715. E-mail address is ryan.parker@unitedevv.com that's Echo, Victor, Victor.com. Telephone number is area code (812) 485-3502. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Beattie, you may proceed with Staff's motions whenever you're ready. MR. BEATTIE: Thank you, Judge Pearson. Staff has two housekeeping matters before we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>B</li> <li>the correction to Order 01 in Docket TE-144101, changing the reference from 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1) to 49 CFR Part 391.45(a).</li> <li>MR. BEATTIE: Thank you very much, Judge Pearson.</li> <li>JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So before we get started, I would like to ask the parties to waive the requirement that the Commission issue an order within ten days of this proceeding. I typically like to issue the order within ten days of receiving the transcript so that I have that to reference when I'm writing my order. So do either of the parties object to waiving that requirement?</li> <li>MR. BEATTIE: The Commission Staff has no objection to such a waiver.</li> <li>JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>So Mr. Parker, also, I reviewed the response</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	6 MR. PARKER: Certainly. How about that? JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. MR. PARKER: My name is Ryan Parker, Vice President of Administration and General Counsel for Professional Transportation, Incorporated. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your address, phone number and e-mail address? MR. PARKER: My address is 3700 Morgan Avenue, Evansville, Indiana 47715. E-mail address is ryan.parker@unitedevv.com that's Echo, Victor, Victor.com. Telephone number is area code (812) 485-3502. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Beattie, you may proceed with Staff's motions whenever you're ready. MR. BEATTIE: Thank you, Judge Pearson. Staff has two housekeeping matters before we turn to the witnesses. The first is that Staff moves to consolidate Docket 144101 and Docket 160231. The authority for this is WAC 480-07-320, which allows the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>B</li> <li>the correction to Order 01 in Docket TE-144101, changing the reference from 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1) to 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1) to 49 CFR Part 391.45(a).</li> <li>MR. BEATTIE: Thank you very much, Judge Pearson.</li> <li>JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So before we get started, I would like to ask the parties to waive the requirement that the Commission issue an order within ten days of this proceeding. I typically like to issue the order within ten days of receiving the transcript so that I have that to reference when I'm writing my order. So do either of the parties object to waiving that requirement?</li> <li>MR. BEATTIE: The Commission Staff has no objection to such a waiver.</li> <li>JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. PARKER: We have no objection.</li> <li>JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>So Mr. Parker, also, I reviewed the response that was submitted by Mr. Howland in advance of the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	6 MR. PARKER: Certainly. How about that? JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. MR. PARKER: My name is Ryan Parker, Vice President of Administration and General Counsel for Professional Transportation, Incorporated. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your address, phone number and e-mail address? MR. PARKER: My address is 3700 Morgan Avenue, Evansville, Indiana 47715. E-mail address is ryan.parker@unitedevv.com that's Echo, Victor, Victor.com. Telephone number is area code (812) 485-3502. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Beattie, you may proceed with Staff's motions whenever you're ready. MR. BEATTIE: Thank you, Judge Pearson. Staff has two housekeeping matters before we turn to the witnesses. The first is that Staff moves to consolidate Docket 144101 and Docket 160231. The authority for this is WAC 480-07-320, which allows the Commission to consolidate two or more proceedings if the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	B the correction to Order 01 in Docket TE-144101, changing the reference from 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1) to 49 CFR Part 391.45(a). MR. BEATTIE: Thank you very much, Judge Pearson. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So before we get started, I would like to ask the parties to waive the requirement that the Commission issue an order within ten days of this proceeding. I typically like to issue the order within ten days of receiving the transcript so that I have that to reference when I'm writing my order. So do either of the parties object to waiving that requirement? MR. BEATTIE: The Commission Staff has no objection to such a waiver. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. MR. PARKER: We have no objection. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So Mr. Parker, also, I reviewed the response that was submitted by Mr. Howland in advance of the parting, and it appears to me from reading the response
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	6 MR. PARKER: Certainly. How about that? JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. MR. PARKER: My name is Ryan Parker, Vice President of Administration and General Counsel for Professional Transportation, Incorporated. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your address, phone number and e-mail address? MR. PARKER: My address is 3700 Morgan Avenue, Evansville, Indiana 47715. E-mail address is ryan.parker@unitedevv.com that's Echo, Victor, Victor.com. Telephone number is area code (812) 485-3502. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Beattie, you may proceed with Staff's motions whenever you're ready. MR. BEATTIE: Thank you, Judge Pearson. Staff has two housekeeping matters before we turn to the witnesses. The first is that Staff moves to consolidate Docket 144101 and Docket 160231. The authority for this is WAC 480-07-320, which allows the Commission to consolidate two or more proceedings if the facts or principles of law are related. And as you have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	B the correction to Order 01 in Docket TE-144101, changing the reference from 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1) to 49 CFR Part 391.45(a). MR. BEATTIE: Thank you very much, Judge Pearson. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So before we get started, I would like to ask the parties to waive the requirement that the Commission issue an order within ten days of this proceeding. I typically like to issue the order within ten days of receiving the transcript so that I have that to reference when I'm writing my order. So do either of the parties object to waiving that requirement? MR. BEATTIE: The Commission Staff has no objection to such a waiver. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. MR. PARKER: We have no objection. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So Mr. Parker, also, I reviewed the response that was submitted by Mr. Howland in advance of the hearing, and it appears to me from reading the response that the Company does not actually contest that the company does not actually contest that the company does
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	6 MR. PARKER: Certainly. How about that? JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. MR. PARKER: My name is Ryan Parker, Vice President of Administration and General Counsel for Professional Transportation, Incorporated. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your address, phone number and e-mail address? MR. PARKER: My address is 3700 Morgan Avenue, Evansville, Indiana 47715. E-mail address is ryan.parker@unitedevv.com that's Echo, Victor, Victor.com. Telephone number is area code (812) 485-3502. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Beattie, you may proceed with Staff's motions whenever you're ready. MR. BEATTIE: Thank you, Judge Pearson. Staff has two housekeeping matters before we turn to the witnesses. The first is that Staff moves to consolidate Docket 144101 and Docket 160231. The authority for this is WAC 480-07-320, which allows the Commission to consolidate two or more proceedings if the facts or principles of law are related. And as you have stated already, one of the issues in this BAP this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	B the correction to Order 01 in Docket TE-144101, changing the reference from 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1) to 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1) to 49 CFR Part 391.45(b). MR. BEATTIE: Thank you very much, Judge Pearson. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So before we get started, I would like to ask the parties to waive the requirement that the Commission issue an order within ten days of this proceeding. I typically like to issue the order within ten days of receiving the transcript so that I have that to reference when I'm writing my order. So do either of the parties object to waiving that requirement? MR. BEATTIE: The Commission Staff has no objection to such a waiver. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. MR.PARKER: We have no objection. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So Mr. Parker, also, I reviewed the response that was submitted by Mr. Howland in advance of the hearing, and it appears to me from reading the response that the Company does not actually contest that the violations occurred, but would instead like to present
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	6 MR. PARKER: Certainly. How about that? JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. MR. PARKER: My name is Ryan Parker, Vice President of Administration and General Counsel for Professional Transportation, Incorporated. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your address, phone number and e-mail address? MR. PARKER: My address is 3700 Morgan Avenue, Evansville, Indiana 47715. E-mail address is ryan.parker@unitedevv.com that's Echo, Victor, Victor.com. Telephone number is area code (812) 485-3502. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Beattie, you may proceed with Staff's motions whenever you're ready. MR. BEATTIE: Thank you, Judge Pearson. Staff has two housekeeping matters before we turn to the witnesses. The first is that Staff moves to consolidate Docket 144101 and Docket 160231. The authority for this is WAC 480-07-320, which allows the Commission to consolidate two or more proceedings if the facts or principles of law are related. And as you have stated already, one of the issues in this BAP this morning is whether the Company committed repeat	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	B the correction to Order 01 in Docket TE-144101, changing the reference from 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1) to 49 CFR Part 391.45(a). MR. BEATTIE: Thank you very much, Judge Pearson. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So before we get started, I would like to ask the parties to waive the requirement that the Commission issue an order within ten days of this proceeding. I typically like to issue the order within ten days of receiving the transcript so that I have that to reference when I'm writing my order. So do either of the parties object to waiving that requirement? MR. BEATTIE: The Commission Staff has no objection to such a waiver. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So Mr. Parker, also, I reviewed the response that was submitted by Mr. Howland in advance of the parties of the aring, and it appears to me from reading the response that the Company does not actually contest that the violations occurred, but would instead like to present evidence and testimony that supports mitigation of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	6 MR. PARKER: Certainly. How about that? JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. MR. PARKER: My name is Ryan Parker, Vice President of Administration and General Counsel for Professional Transportation, Incorporated. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And your address, phone number and e-mail address? MR. PARKER: My address is 3700 Morgan Avenue, Evansville, Indiana 47715. E-mail address is ryan.parker@unitedevv.com that's Echo, Victor, Victor.com. Telephone number is area code (812) 485-3502. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Beattie, you may proceed with Staff's motions whenever you're ready. MR. BEATTIE: Thank you, Judge Pearson. Staff has two housekeeping matters before we turn to the witnesses. The first is that Staff moves to consolidate Docket 144101 and Docket 160231. The authority for this is WAC 480-07-320, which allows the Commission to consolidate two or more proceedings if the facts or principles of law are related. And as you have stated already, one of the issues in this BAP this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	B the correction to Order 01 in Docket TE-144101, changing the reference from 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1) to 49 CFR Part 391.45(b)(1) to 49 CFR Part 391.45(b). MR. BEATTIE: Thank you very much, Judge Pearson. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So before we get started, I would like to ask the parties to waive the requirement that the Commission issue an order within ten days of this proceeding. I typically like to issue the order within ten days of receiving the transcript so that I have that to reference when I'm writing my order. So do either of the parties object to waiving that requirement? MR. BEATTIE: The Commission Staff has no objection to such a waiver. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. MR.PARKER: We have no objection. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So Mr. Parker, also, I reviewed the response that was submitted by Mr. Howland in advance of the hearing, and it appears to me from reading the response that the Company does not actually contest that the proceeding like to present

	Page 9		Page 11	-
	9		EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / GAGNE 11	
1	MR. PARKER: That is correct, your Honor.	1	A. My name is Francine Gagne, last name is Golf,	
2	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So if the parties are	2	Alpha, Golf, November, Echo, G-A-G-N-E.	
3	willing to stipulate to the violations, we can proceed	3	Q. What is your position with the Commission?	
4	just on the issues of penalties this morning.	4	A. I'm a special investigator in the Motor Carrier	
5	MR. BEATTIE: Staff will still need to call	5	Safety Division.	
6	the inspector, Francine Gagne, to sponsor the	6	Q. And in your capacity as a special investigator,	
7	investigation report.	7	did you investigate a company called Professional	
8	JUDGE PEARSON: Absolutely. Okay.	8	Transportation, Incorporated?	
9	So if the parties are willing to stipulate	9	A. I did.	
10	to the violations, I was going to have Staff first	10	Q. Did you record the results of your	
11	present Ms. Gagne's testimony, and following that,	11	investigation?	
12	Mr. Parker, I would let you present your testimony and	12	A. I did.	
13	evidence, and then we would conclude by having Mr. Pratt	13	Q. Can I have you look at Exhibit FG-1?	
14	make his final penalty recommendation once he's been	14	A. Yes. This is a copy of the report that I	
15	able to hear what the Company has to say.	15	submitted.	
16	MR. PARKER: Judge Pearson, I would like to	16	Q. And where in your investigation report do you	
17	have Dave Howland respond to most of the questions or	17	set forth the violations that you found during your	
18	present the evidence that we have, if it would please	18	investigation?	
19	the Court.	19	A. Violations are listed in the report under what	
20	JUDGE PEARSON: Absolutely, yes. I will	20	we call Part B, which starts actually, it has its own	
21	swear him in when it's time	21	page 1 of 2, but it's the second page in after the cover	
22	MR. PARKER: Very good.	22	sheet.	
23	JUDGE PEARSON: and he can do that.	23	Q. So if you could please refer to the page numbers	
24	MR. HOWLAND: Thank you.	24	that are at the top right corner.	
25	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So does anyone have	25	A. Page 3 of 41.	
	Page 10		Page 12	
	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / GAGNE 10		EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / GAGNE 12	
1	any questions before we get started?	1	Q. So the violations have already been stipulated	
2	MR. BEATTIE: I think that what I'd like to	2	by the parties, but I would like to ask you to look at	
3	do with Ms. Gagne is just have her not go in great	3	the second box, and here you have found that the Company	
4	detail into each of the violations, but	4	used a driver that was not medically examined and	
5	JUDGE PEARSON: Sure.	5	certified?	
6	MR. BEATTIE: I think it may be helpful	6	A. That's correct.	
7	to the Commission if she gives some brief explanation	7	Q. The Complaint alleges that Staff found 36	
8	about her report.	8	violations of this particular requirement, and yet I see	
9	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. That sounds good.	9	in the box labeled "Discovered," you've only indicated 1	
10	All right.	10	violation.	
11	And Ms. Gagne, if you would please stand and	11	So can you tell me how you get to 36?	
12	raise your right hand, I will swear you in.	12	A. Yes. It was we discovered or I discovered	
13		13	1 driver out of 340 total drivers; the 36 comes from the	
14	FRANCINE GAGNE, witness herein, having been	14	36 days that the Company used the 1 driver that did not	
15	first duly sworn on oath,	15	have a medical card on file.	
16	was examined and testified	16	Q. Thank you. And I'd like to turn to the third	
17	as follows:	17	box. In here, Staff has alleged that the Company used a	
18		18	driver not medically examined and certified during the	
19	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. You may be seated.	19	preceding 24 months.	
20	And Mr. Beattie, you can proceed whenever you're ready. MR. BEATTIE: Thank you.	20	And before turning to the substance of this	
		21	violation, can you help me understand the difference	
21				
22	*** EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE ***	22	between this violation and the previous violation?	
22 23	*** EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE *** BY MR. BEATTIE:	23	A. Yes. The first violation, we had no information	
22	*** EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE ***			

Do	cket Nos. TE-160231 and TE-144101 - Vol. I		WUTC v. Professional Transportatio	· ·
	Page 13		Pag	e 15
	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / GAGNE 13		EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / GAGNE	15
1	none on file. As an investigator, we have to simply go	1	certificates in the driver's file. I only see one	
1			-	
2	with what is presented to us when we request	2	example given.	
3		3	Is there somewhere in your report where you tal	K
4	using a driver not medically examined and certified is	4	about the other violations?	
5	based on our not having any previous history of having a	5	A. On page 32 of 41, there's a list of drivers. It	
6	medical card.	6	shows the last name, first name, hire date, when the	
7	The second, using a driver not medically	7	medical certificate was issued, and the expiration date	
8	examined and certified during the preceding 24 months,	8	of the medical certificate. The final column for Notes	
9	we discovered medical cards, and there was a gap between	9	would be number of days that the driver drove.	
0	medical cards, or a medical card that had expired, so we	10	And I took drivers that obtained their medical	
1	know that the person at one point did have a medical	11	card from June, because the Company, from the previou	s
2	card, but then was used to drive at the expiration of	12	order, was in compliance as of December 2014, so I took	2
3	that card or in a gap between cards.	13	June 2015, figuring they would have had six months to be	е
4	Q. And for this third set of violations, again,	14	in compliance. I started in June, and I found drivers	
.5	Staff's complaint alleges 111 violations, but the box	15	with driver days that did not have their previous	
6	labeled "Discovered" indicates three violations.	16	medical cards on file. They had current, which is	
.7	So can you walk me through how that number	17	correct; however, regulations require that companies	
.8	became 111?	18	keep medical cards on file for three years, so there was	
.9	A. Initially when I did this investigation, I	19	no previous card on file for those drivers.	
20	checked each of the 340 operators or drivers to make	20	And Kevin was used as just one example. The	
21	sure that they had medical cards at all, and that's how	21	other names of the drivers would be the drivers to the	
22	I discovered Violation No. 2, that that 1 person didn't	22	left of page 32 that have corresponding days in the	
3	have a medical card on file.	23	Notes column.	
24	In Violation No. 3, we took we sample in	24	Q. What is Part C of your report?	
25	doing our investigations, and we had a sample size of 50	25	A. Part C is just a written narrative that does	
	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / GAGNE 14		EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / GAGNE	16
1	6	1	have still some format to it in that it covers every	
2	those 50 where we discovered that those medical cards	2	section of a standard report, and then explains if you	
3		3	did or did not cover that section when you conducted	
4	so the 3 of 50 is showing out of the sample, and 3	4	your investigation.	
5	drivers of the 340 total drivers that PTI gave me as	5	This was a focused investigation, so most of the	
б	drivers.	6	entries are that this part did not apply, and then I	
7	Q. And for the Violation No. 2, you indicated that	7	expanded on the sections, primarily 391 and 395, and	
8	the driver drove a total of 36 days, and that's how 1	8	provided additional information that's not necessary for	
9	driver became 36 violations.	9	the violations, per se, but also just gives	
0	Is there something similar with Violation No. 3,	10	investigators this part is not normally shared with	
1	how we get from 3 drivers to 111 violations?	11	carriers, but it gives follow-on investigators	
2	A. Yes. There is a in the back, starting on	12	information as to perhaps areas that are of concern, or	
3	page 29 of 41, there's some extracted information that	13	just different facts that aren't listed in Part B to	
4	shows Hours of Service records, and those would be all	14	follow up with on further investigations.	
5	the dates for all the drivers that PTI provided, and	15	MR. BEATTIE: Thank you. I have no further	
6	each of those dates that the driver drove would be a	16	questions for this witness, and I'd like to offer	
7	separate violation.	17	Exhibit FG-1 into the record.	
8	Q. So how do you get to 111 violations?	18	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Parker, do you	
9	A. For Violation No. 3?	19	have any objection to admitting the investigation report	
0	Q. Correct.	20	into the record?	
1	A. Mr. Bentler drove for 95 days, Ms. Rubey for 7,	21	MR. PARKER: No objection, your Honor.	
2	Ms. Dinsmore for 9, and those hopefully add up to 111.	22	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Then I will admit the	;
3	Q. Thank you. Moving on to Violation No. 4, here	23	investigation report and mark it as Exhibit FG-1.	
24	you've indicated 11 violations discovered, and the	24	(Exhibit No. FG-1 was marked and	

Docket Nos. TE-160231 and TE-144101 - Vol. I		WUTC v. Professional Transportation, Inc
Page 17		Page 19
EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER / GAGNE 17	1	MR. PARKER: Yes. I'd like to call my first
	2	witness. I'd like to call Mr. David Howland, COO of
1 MR. BEATTIE: Thank you, Judge Pearson.	3	Professional Transportation as a witness.
2 JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Parker, did you have any	4	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Howland, if you
3 questions for Ms. Gagne?	5	could please stand and raise your right hand.
4 MR. PARKER: No questions.	6	could please stand and raise your right hand.
5 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. All right. So shall		
6 we	7	DAVE HOWLAND, witness herein, having been
7 MR. PARKER: Excuse me, your Honor. I do	8	first duly sworn on oath,
8 have one question.	9	was examined and testified
9 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.	10	as follows:
10 *** EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER ***	11	
11 BY MR. PARKER:	12	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. You may be seated.
12 <b>Q.</b> Was a copy of this entire report supplied to	13	Mr. Parker, you may proceed when you're
13 Professional Transportation, and if so, on what date?	14	ready.
A. I presented a gentleman in Wishram at	15	MR. PARKER: Okay. Thank you.
15 Mr. Pratt's request, he wanted me to find somebody from		
16 PTI and actually hand them a report.	16	*** EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER ***
17     Q. A gentleman from where?	17	BY MR. PARKER:
A. From Wishram, for the terminal. I need to find	18	Q. Mr. Howland, would you please spell your full
19 his name. The oh, Wenatchee, I'm sorry Wenatchee	19	name for the record?
20 Branch Administrator Dale McKenzie. He did get a copy	20	A. David L. Howland, H-O-W-L-A-N-D.
21 of this, and I did discuss some of the issues	21	Q. Would you please state for the record your role
	22	with Professional Transportation, Incorporated?
	23	A. I'm the Chief Operating Officer for Professional
	24	Transportation, Incorporated, managing all aspects of
<ul> <li>was corrected based on the conversation with</li> <li>Mr. McKenzie.</li> </ul>	25	the operation of the company.
	25	
Page 18		Page 20
18	1	Q. Approximately what time did you assume your
	2	current role with Professional Transportation?
1 And then Mr. Vincent was contacted via phone	3	A. I joined Professional Transportation on
2 that day and also supplied a copy of this final report,	4	December 7th of 2015.
<sup>3</sup> and that day was March 2nd, 2016.	5	Q. Can you give us a little bit of a quick summary
4 MR. PARKER: No further questions for the	6	of your duties as Chief Operating Officer?
5 witness.	7	A. My responsibility is to oversee the operations
6 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.	8	and execution of the transportation of crews for our
7 All right. Mr. Parker, did you want to make		
8 an opening statement before you call your first witness?	9	customers, which includes the vehicle inspections, the
9 MR. PARKER: Sure. I would love to make an	10	vehicle maintenance, the supply of vehicles, as well as
10 opening statement.	11	the drivers and driver training and associated dispatch
11 If it pleases the Court, we would like to	12	of those drivers.
12 thank the Commission for the information, and thank the	13	Q. Thank you, Mr. Howland. Upon receiving
13 Court for allowing us the opportunity to provide the	14	information regarding Ms. Gagne's investigative report,
14 rebuttal evidence and the mitigation evidence that we'll	15	can you please describe what kind of actions were taken
15 have today.	16	at PTI to investigate the report?
16 I'm planning to introduce our Chief	17	A. Yeah. I received a report after it was given to
<sup>17</sup> Operating Officer, Mr. Dave Howland, to respond to each		
18 of the allegations in kind, and provide detailed	18	Mr. Bobby Vincent in March, and we immediately went over
<sup>19</sup> information and evidence that, in our opinion, shows	19	the report internally with both Mr. Vincent and also
20 Professional Transportation's continuing and ongoing	20	with Mr. Bill Cullen, who is the regional vice president
21 efforts to ensure compliance, and will show improvement	21	for the west, who has responsibility direct
22 from prior audits concerning where we certainly stand	22	responsibility for all of the operations in Washington
nem pror addite concorning where we containly stand	1	state as well as our administrative staff in
23 today	23	state, as well as our administrative staff in
23 today.	23	
<ul> <li>today.</li> <li>JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Would you</li> <li>like to call Mr. Howland at this time?</li> </ul>		Evansville, Indiana, in both the vehicle maintenance department, headed at that time by Mr. Mike Buck, and

	Page 21		Page 23
1	the HR functions, headed by Mr. Dick Lynch.	1	immediately dismissed those drivers.
2	Q. What types of orders or what types of direction	2	Q. What types of internal mechanisms does PTI
3	did you provide your subordinates in terms of gathering	3	currently have, or what types of policies do they have
4	of investigative material or findings and research	4	in place concerning fraudulent misrepresentations by
5	within PTI?	5	drivers?
6	A. In the first meeting, they laid out for me what	6	A. We have implemented a process with an outside
7	the violations had been, what had been seen in the	7	firm called eVerifile, which does background checks on
8	previous audit that had been conducted in a year	8	all the employees that we propose to hire, and then we
9	earlier, and what was repeat and what were new items	9	also review the medical records on their hiring to
10	that were found. We then laid out, based on each of	10	ensure that everything that the employee submitted
11	these items, direct responsibilities for the different	11	actually matches what we get back from the medical
12	staff members to ensure that we had our processes	12	examination that we do at the time of hiring.
13	properly documented and in place, and to determine how	13	Q. How has this differed from what's been done in
14	some of these slipped through, and why we didn't have	14	the past?
15	the proper documentation that we needed in each of these	15	A. Twofold. In the past, Washington state was not
16	cases, and then to provide back to me the results of	16	covered by eVerifile. We did that with mostly our
17	their investigation and what, if any, changes in their	17	eastern rail carriers and the operations east of the
18	processes and procedures were required to close the	18	Mississippi, but we have now expanded that, and it was
19	gaps.	19	expanded in the middle of 2015 to include these
20	Q. After you received the information, met with	20	locations so that now all of our locations are covered
21	your subordinates on the meeting that you described, or	21	by that.
22	the series of meetings that you described, at that time	22	As far as the comparison back to the medical
23	did you formulate a letter of response to the Commission	23	records, we are we now have at Evansville the ability
24	regarding the violations?	24	to match the medical records that are coming in with
25	A. I did not personally. Mr. Bobby Vincent, who is	25	what the employees have submitted to ensure the
	Page 22		Page 24
1	the director of safety for us, responded back to the	1	correctness. And we're in the process now of
2	the director of safety for us, responded back to the Commission with the information that he had at that	2	correctness. And we're in the process now of implementing a new electronic system where the employees
2 3	the director of safety for us, responded back to the Commission with the information that he had at that time. And we subsequently continued our internal	2 3	correctness. And we're in the process now of implementing a new electronic system where the employees or potential employees have to enter the information
2 3 4	the director of safety for us, responded back to the Commission with the information that he had at that time. And we subsequently continued our internal investigation and processed changes as we gathered more	2 3 4	correctness. And we're in the process now of implementing a new electronic system where the employees or potential employees have to enter the information electronically into our system so that it becomes much
2 3 4 5	the director of safety for us, responded back to the Commission with the information that he had at that time. And we subsequently continued our internal investigation and processed changes as we gathered more information as far as how the exceptions occurred.	2 3 4 5	correctness. And we're in the process now of implementing a new electronic system where the employees or potential employees have to enter the information electronically into our system so that it becomes much easier to do the match-up with the medical examinations
2 3 4	the director of safety for us, responded back to the Commission with the information that he had at that time. And we subsequently continued our internal investigation and processed changes as we gathered more information as far as how the exceptions occurred. Q. And after Mr. Vincent's letter was supplied	2 3 4 5 6	correctness. And we're in the process now of implementing a new electronic system where the employees or potential employees have to enter the information electronically into our system so that it becomes much easier to do the match-up with the medical examinations that come in.
2 3 4 5 6 7	the director of safety for us, responded back to the Commission with the information that he had at that time. And we subsequently continued our internal investigation and processed changes as we gathered more information as far as how the exceptions occurred. Q. And after Mr. Vincent's letter was supplied earlier this month, did you or someone on your staff	2 3 4 5 6 7	correctness. And we're in the process now of implementing a new electronic system where the employees or potential employees have to enter the information electronically into our system so that it becomes much easier to do the match-up with the medical examinations that come in. Q. Would you like to share anything else with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the director of safety for us, responded back to the Commission with the information that he had at that time. And we subsequently continued our internal investigation and processed changes as we gathered more information as far as how the exceptions occurred. Q. And after Mr. Vincent's letter was supplied earlier this month, did you or someone on your staff supply additional information to the Commission in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>correctness. And we're in the process now of</li> <li>implementing a new electronic system where the employees</li> <li>or potential employees have to enter the information</li> <li>electronically into our system so that it becomes much</li> <li>easier to do the match-up with the medical examinations</li> <li>that come in.</li> <li>Q. Would you like to share anything else with the</li> <li>Court regarding any PTI activity that has changed in the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the director of safety for us, responded back to the Commission with the information that he had at that time. And we subsequently continued our internal investigation and processed changes as we gathered more information as far as how the exceptions occurred. Q. And after Mr. Vincent's letter was supplied earlier this month, did you or someone on your staff supply additional information to the Commission in letter form?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>correctness. And we're in the process now of</li> <li>implementing a new electronic system where the employees</li> <li>or potential employees have to enter the information</li> <li>electronically into our system so that it becomes much</li> <li>easier to do the match-up with the medical examinations</li> <li>that come in.</li> <li>Q. Would you like to share anything else with the</li> <li>Court regarding any PTI activity that has changed in the</li> <li>last year that would potentially have an effect to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>the director of safety for us, responded back to the Commission with the information that he had at that time. And we subsequently continued our internal investigation and processed changes as we gathered more information as far as how the exceptions occurred.</li> <li>Q. And after Mr. Vincent's letter was supplied earlier this month, did you or someone on your staff supply additional information to the Commission in letter form?</li> <li>A. Yes. The various groups that I've mentioned</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	correctness. And we're in the process now of implementing a new electronic system where the employees or potential employees have to enter the information electronically into our system so that it becomes much easier to do the match-up with the medical examinations that come in. Q. Would you like to share anything else with the Court regarding any PTI activity that has changed in the last year that would potentially have an effect to minimize or eliminate these types of alleged violations?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the director of safety for us, responded back to the Commission with the information that he had at that time. And we subsequently continued our internal investigation and processed changes as we gathered more information as far as how the exceptions occurred. <b>Q.</b> And after Mr. Vincent's letter was supplied earlier this month, did you or someone on your staff supply additional information to the Commission in letter form? A. Yes. The various groups that I've mentioned before all supplied me with documentation concerning the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>correctness. And we're in the process now of</li> <li>implementing a new electronic system where the employees</li> <li>or potential employees have to enter the information</li> <li>electronically into our system so that it becomes much</li> <li>easier to do the match-up with the medical examinations</li> <li>that come in.</li> <li>Q. Would you like to share anything else with the</li> <li>Court regarding any PTI activity that has changed in the</li> <li>last year that would potentially have an effect to</li> <li>minimize or eliminate these types of alleged violations?</li> <li>A. Yeah. As I stated, I joined PTI in December of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the director of safety for us, responded back to the Commission with the information that he had at that time. And we subsequently continued our internal investigation and processed changes as we gathered more information as far as how the exceptions occurred. <b>Q.</b> And after Mr. Vincent's letter was supplied earlier this month, did you or someone on your staff supply additional information to the Commission in letter form? A. Yes. The various groups that I've mentioned before all supplied me with documentation concerning the violations and what they had found and what had caused	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>correctness. And we're in the process now of</li> <li>implementing a new electronic system where the employees</li> <li>or potential employees have to enter the information</li> <li>electronically into our system so that it becomes much</li> <li>easier to do the match-up with the medical examinations</li> <li>that come in.</li> <li>Q. Would you like to share anything else with the</li> <li>Court regarding any PTI activity that has changed in the</li> <li>last year that would potentially have an effect to</li> <li>minimize or eliminate these types of alleged violations?</li> <li>A. Yeah. As I stated, I joined PTI in December of</li> <li>last year, and we've made numerous changes since that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the director of safety for us, responded back to the Commission with the information that he had at that time. And we subsequently continued our internal investigation and processed changes as we gathered more information as far as how the exceptions occurred. <b>Q. And after Mr. Vincent's letter was supplied</b> <b>earlier this month, did you or someone on your staff</b> <b>supply additional information to the Commission in</b> <b>letter form?</b> A. Yes. The various groups that I've mentioned before all supplied me with documentation concerning the violations and what they had found and what had caused the exceptions. And we compiled that information in my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>correctness. And we're in the process now of implementing a new electronic system where the employees or potential employees have to enter the information electronically into our system so that it becomes much easier to do the match-up with the medical examinations that come in.</li> <li>Q. Would you like to share anything else with the Court regarding any PTI activity that has changed in the last year that would potentially have an effect to minimize or eliminate these types of alleged violations?</li> <li>A. Yeah. As I stated, I joined PTI in December of last year, and we've made numerous changes since that time. Prior to me joining the organization, the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the director of safety for us, responded back to the Commission with the information that he had at that time. And we subsequently continued our internal investigation and processed changes as we gathered more information as far as how the exceptions occurred. <b>Q. And after Mr. Vincent's letter was supplied</b> <b>earlier this month, did you or someone on your staff</b> <b>supply additional information to the Commission in</b> <b>letter form?</b> A. Yes. The various groups that I've mentioned before all supplied me with documentation concerning the violations and what they had found and what had caused the exceptions. And we compiled that information in my office and then submitted a letter on the 16th of May to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>correctness. And we're in the process now of implementing a new electronic system where the employees or potential employees have to enter the information electronically into our system so that it becomes much easier to do the match-up with the medical examinations that come in.</li> <li>Q. Would you like to share anything else with the Court regarding any PTI activity that has changed in the last year that would potentially have an effect to minimize or eliminate these types of alleged violations?</li> <li>A. Yeah. As I stated, I joined PTI in December of last year, and we've made numerous changes since that time. Prior to me joining the organization, the gentleman that was head of our safety department was</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the director of safety for us, responded back to the Commission with the information that he had at that time. And we subsequently continued our internal investigation and processed changes as we gathered more information as far as how the exceptions occurred. <b>Q. And after Mr. Vincent's letter was supplied</b> <b>earlier this month, did you or someone on your staff</b> <b>supply additional information to the Commission in</b> <b>letter form?</b> A. Yes. The various groups that I've mentioned before all supplied me with documentation concerning the violations and what they had found and what had caused the exceptions. And we compiled that information in my office and then submitted a letter on the 16th of May to the Commission with what we had found to be applicable.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>correctness. And we're in the process now of</li> <li>implementing a new electronic system where the employees</li> <li>or potential employees have to enter the information</li> <li>electronically into our system so that it becomes much</li> <li>easier to do the match-up with the medical examinations</li> <li>that come in.</li> <li>Q. Would you like to share anything else with the</li> <li>Court regarding any PTI activity that has changed in the</li> <li>last year that would potentially have an effect to</li> <li>minimize or eliminate these types of alleged violations?</li> <li>A. Yeah. As I stated, I joined PTI in December of</li> <li>last year, and we've made numerous changes since that</li> <li>time. Prior to me joining the organization, the</li> <li>gentleman that was head of our safety department was</li> <li>dismissed because he was not, I must say, paying enough</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>the director of safety for us, responded back to the Commission with the information that he had at that time. And we subsequently continued our internal investigation and processed changes as we gathered more information as far as how the exceptions occurred.</li> <li>Q. And after Mr. Vincent's letter was supplied earlier this month, did you or someone on your staff supply additional information to the Commission in letter form?</li> <li>A. Yes. The various groups that I've mentioned before all supplied me with documentation concerning the violations and what they had found and what had caused the exceptions. And we compiled that information in my office and then submitted a letter on the 16th of May to the Commission with what we had found to be applicable.</li> <li>Q. Okay. At this time I'd like to ask you about</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	correctness. And we're in the process now of implementing a new electronic system where the employees or potential employees have to enter the information electronically into our system so that it becomes much easier to do the match-up with the medical examinations that come in. <b>Q. Would you like to share anything else with the</b> <b>Court regarding any PTI activity that has changed in the</b> <b>last year that would potentially have an effect to</b> <b>minimize or eliminate these types of alleged violations?</b> A. Yeah. As I stated, I joined PTI in December of last year, and we've made numerous changes since that time. Prior to me joining the organization, the gentleman that was head of our safety department was dismissed because he was not, I must say, paying enough attention to the details of the operation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the director of safety for us, responded back to the Commission with the information that he had at that time. And we subsequently continued our internal investigation and processed changes as we gathered more information as far as how the exceptions occurred. Q. And after Mr. Vincent's letter was supplied earlier this month, did you or someone on your staff supply additional information to the Commission in letter form? A. Yes. The various groups that I've mentioned before all supplied me with documentation concerning the violations and what they had found and what had caused the exceptions. And we compiled that information in my office and then submitted a letter on the 16th of May to the Commission with what we had found to be applicable. Q. Okay. At this time I'd like to ask you about some of the violations that were alleged in Ms. Gagne's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	correctness. And we're in the process now of implementing a new electronic system where the employees or potential employees have to enter the information electronically into our system so that it becomes much easier to do the match-up with the medical examinations that come in. <b>Q. Would you like to share anything else with the</b> <b>Court regarding any PTI activity that has changed in the</b> <b>last year that would potentially have an effect to</b> <b>minimize or eliminate these types of alleged violations?</b> A. Yeah. As I stated, I joined PTI in December of last year, and we've made numerous changes since that time. Prior to me joining the organization, the gentleman that was head of our safety department was dismissed because he was not, I must say, paying enough attention to the details of the operation. And as I took over in December, I took direct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the director of safety for us, responded back to the Commission with the information that he had at that time. And we subsequently continued our internal investigation and processed changes as we gathered more information as far as how the exceptions occurred. Q. And after Mr. Vincent's letter was supplied earlier this month, did you or someone on your staff supply additional information to the Commission in letter form? A. Yes. The various groups that I've mentioned before all supplied me with documentation concerning the violations and what they had found and what had caused the exceptions. And we compiled that information in my office and then submitted a letter on the 16th of May to the Commission with what we had found to be applicable. Q. Okay. At this time I'd like to ask you about some of the violations that were alleged in Ms. Gagne's report concerning the report relative to Docket 160231.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	correctness. And we're in the process now of implementing a new electronic system where the employees or potential employees have to enter the information electronically into our system so that it becomes much easier to do the match-up with the medical examinations that come in. <b>Q. Would you like to share anything else with the</b> <b>Court regarding any PTI activity that has changed in the</b> <b>last year that would potentially have an effect to</b> <b>minimize or eliminate these types of alleged violations?</b> A. Yeah. As I stated, I joined PTI in December of last year, and we've made numerous changes since that time. Prior to me joining the organization, the gentleman that was head of our safety department was dismissed because he was not, I must say, paying enough attention to the details of the operation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the director of safety for us, responded back to the Commission with the information that he had at that time. And we subsequently continued our internal investigation and processed changes as we gathered more information as far as how the exceptions occurred. Q. And after Mr. Vincent's letter was supplied earlier this month, did you or someone on your staff supply additional information to the Commission in letter form? A. Yes. The various groups that I've mentioned before all supplied me with documentation concerning the violations and what they had found and what had caused the exceptions. And we compiled that information in my office and then submitted a letter on the 16th of May to the Commission with what we had found to be applicable. Q. Okay. At this time I'd like to ask you about some of the violations that were alleged in Ms. Gagne's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	correctness. And we're in the process now of implementing a new electronic system where the employees or potential employees have to enter the information electronically into our system so that it becomes much easier to do the match-up with the medical examinations that come in. <b>Q. Would you like to share anything else with the</b> <b>Court regarding any PTI activity that has changed in the</b> <b>last year that would potentially have an effect to</b> <b>minimize or eliminate these types of alleged violations?</b> A. Yeah. As I stated, I joined PTI in December of last year, and we've made numerous changes since that time. Prior to me joining the organization, the gentleman that was head of our safety department was dismissed because he was not, I must say, paying enough attention to the details of the operation. And as I took over in December, I took direct responsibility for that group. Mr. Vincent now reports
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the director of safety for us, responded back to the Commission with the information that he had at that time. And we subsequently continued our internal investigation and processed changes as we gathered more information as far as how the exceptions occurred. Q. And after Mr. Vincent's letter was supplied earlier this month, did you or someone on your staff supply additional information to the Commission in letter form? A. Yes. The various groups that I've mentioned before all supplied me with documentation concerning the violations and what they had found and what had caused the exceptions. And we compiled that information in my office and then submitted a letter on the 16th of May to the Commission with what we had found to be applicable. Q. Okay. At this time I'd like to ask you about some of the violations that were alleged in Ms. Gagne's report concerning the report relative to Docket 160231. In terms of the two violations that are alleged,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	correctness. And we're in the process now of implementing a new electronic system where the employees or potential employees have to enter the information electronically into our system so that it becomes much easier to do the match-up with the medical examinations that come in. <b>Q. Would you like to share anything else with the</b> <b>Court regarding any PTI activity that has changed in the</b> <b>last year that would potentially have an effect to</b> <b>minimize or eliminate these types of alleged violations?</b> A. Yeah. As I stated, I joined PTI in December of last year, and we've made numerous changes since that time. Prior to me joining the organization, the gentleman that was head of our safety department was dismissed because he was not, I must say, paying enough attention to the details of the operation. And as I took over in December, I took direct responsibility for that group. Mr. Vincent now reports directly to me. I'm a 40-year veteran in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the director of safety for us, responded back to the Commission with the information that he had at that time. And we subsequently continued our internal investigation and processed changes as we gathered more information as far as how the exceptions occurred. Q. And after Mr. Vincent's letter was supplied earlier this month, did you or someone on your staff supply additional information to the Commission in letter form? A. Yes. The various groups that I've mentioned before all supplied me with documentation concerning the violations and what they had found and what had caused the exceptions. And we compiled that information in my office and then submitted a letter on the 16th of May to the Commission with what we had found to be applicable. Q. Okay. At this time I'd like to ask you about some of the violations that were alleged in Ms. Gagne's report concerning the report relative to Docket 160231. In terms of the two violations that are alleged, making or causing to make fraudulent or intentionally	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	correctness. And we're in the process now of implementing a new electronic system where the employees or potential employees have to enter the information electronically into our system so that it becomes much easier to do the match-up with the medical examinations that come in. <b>Q. Would you like to share anything else with the</b> <b>Court regarding any PTI activity that has changed in the</b> <b>last year that would potentially have an effect to</b> <b>minimize or eliminate these types of alleged violations?</b> A. Yeah. As I stated, I joined PTI in December of last year, and we've made numerous changes since that time. Prior to me joining the organization, the gentleman that was head of our safety department was dismissed because he was not, I must say, paying enough attention to the details of the operation. And as I took over in December, I took direct responsibility for that group. Mr. Vincent now reports directly to me. I'm a 40-year veteran in the transportation industry, and safety is absolutely of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the director of safety for us, responded back to the Commission with the information that he had at that time. And we subsequently continued our internal investigation and processed changes as we gathered more information as far as how the exceptions occurred. Q. And after Mr. Vincent's letter was supplied earlier this month, did you or someone on your staff supply additional information to the Commission in letter form? A. Yes. The various groups that I've mentioned before all supplied me with documentation concerning the violations and what they had found and what had caused the exceptions. And we compiled that information in my office and then submitted a letter on the 16th of May to the Commission with what we had found to be applicable. Q. Okay. At this time I'd like to ask you about some of the violations that were alleged in Ms. Gagne's report concerning the report relative to Docket 160231. In terms of the two violations that are alleged, making or causing to make fraudulent or intentionally false entries on required medical examiner's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	correctness. And we're in the process now of implementing a new electronic system where the employees or potential employees have to enter the information electronically into our system so that it becomes much easier to do the match-up with the medical examinations that come in. <b>Q. Would you like to share anything else with the</b> <b>Court regarding any PTI activity that has changed in the</b> <b>last year that would potentially have an effect to</b> <b>minimize or eliminate these types of alleged violations?</b> A. Yeah. As I stated, I joined PTI in December of last year, and we've made numerous changes since that time. Prior to me joining the organization, the gentleman that was head of our safety department was dismissed because he was not, I must say, paying enough attention to the details of the operation. And as I took over in December, I took direct responsibility for that group. Mr. Vincent now reports directly to me. I'm a 40-year veteran in the transportation industry, and safety is absolutely of the utmost importance of any operation, whether it be a rail
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the director of safety for us, responded back to the Commission with the information that he had at that time. And we subsequently continued our internal investigation and processed changes as we gathered more information as far as how the exceptions occurred. Q. And after Mr. Vincent's letter was supplied earlier this month, did you or someone on your staff supply additional information to the Commission in letter form? A. Yes. The various groups that I've mentioned before all supplied me with documentation concerning the violations and what they had found and what had caused the exceptions. And we compiled that information in my office and then submitted a letter on the 16th of May to the Commission with what we had found to be applicable. Q. Okay. At this time I'd like to ask you about some of the violations that were alleged in Ms. Gagne's report concerning the report relative to Docket 160231. In terms of the two violations that are alleged, making or causing to make fraudulent or intentionally false entries on required medical examiner's certificate, what did your investigation uncover	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	correctness. And we're in the process now of implementing a new electronic system where the employees or potential employees have to enter the information electronically into our system so that it becomes much easier to do the match-up with the medical examinations that come in. <b>Q. Would you like to share anything else with the</b> <b>Court regarding any PTI activity that has changed in the</b> <b>last year that would potentially have an effect to</b> <b>minimize or eliminate these types of alleged violations?</b> A. Yeah. As I stated, I joined PTI in December of last year, and we've made numerous changes since that time. Prior to me joining the organization, the gentleman that was head of our safety department was dismissed because he was not, I must say, paying enough attention to the details of the operation. And as I took over in December, I took direct responsibility for that group. Mr. Vincent now reports directly to me. I'm a 40-year veteran in the transportation industry, and safety is absolutely of the utmost importance of any operation, whether it be a rail operation, a trucking operation or a passenger vehicle

	Page 25		Page 27
1	continue to report directly to me.	1	after I received the copy of the audit and the findings
2	Secondly, we recently released the as I	2	from the audit.
3	stated, the new information system for all of the hiring	3	Q. Moving on to the alleged violation of failing to
4	process, including medical records. The medical records	4	maintain a medical examiner's certificate in a driver's
5	now are kept electronically. The new system should be	5	qualification file, would you please share the
6	implemented by July of this year, which will fully	6	adjustments or changes to your policies and procedures
7	automate all of that process.	7	that will effectively eliminate or minimize any
8	Q. Thank you for that. Moving on to violations	8	violations in this area?
9	alleged in part 391.45(a), using a driver not medically	9	A. This is one that, quite honestly and there's
10	certified, would you please share what types of	10	no way to sugarcoat this our people at the local
11	activities PTI has undertaken to prevent these types of	11	level did not realize that they had to maintain anything
12		12	other than the current card. So when they got the
13	A. Yeah. This is one an area that we had	13	current card on file, quite honestly, I don't know if
14	several violations in the previous audit by the	14	they threw away the old one or what they did with it,
15	Commission, and it's an area that we had already	15	but they did not maintain it as part of the file.
16	tightened up even before I got here. This is something	16	We now have instructions to those both our HR
17	Mr. Vincent had worked very hard at doing.	17	department to keep it electronically and also for the
18	And as you can see, out of 340 checked, we had	18	field people to keep the hard copies and to maintain
19	one that had I hesitate to use this term but had	19	them for the three-year period required, not just the
20	the fallen through the cracks. We missed it. Plain and	20	most current one, which was the practice at some of the
21	simple. I wish I could tell the Commission how we	21	locations. A number of our locations understood the
22	missed that one individual, and why it wasn't caught in	22	three years and kept them, but I believe it was two
23	the subsequent match-ups, but it happened. We missed	23	locations that we found that did not, and that was the
24		24	cause of the issue. So that has been addressed and now
25	And as soon as we found the violation, we	25	we are maintaining those records both locally and
	Page 26		Page 28
1	corrected it with this driver. And he's a very good	1	electronically on the system.
2		2	Q. Regarding the alleged violation of placing note
3	immediately so he was properly certified.	3	related to the verification of a medical examiner's
4	Q. Thank you. In terms of the 111 violations	4	listing on the national registry of certified medical
5	alleged of using a driver not medically examined and	5	examiners, would you please share with the Commission
6	certified during the previous 24 months, would you	6	what types of modifications to your policy or procedure
7	please share with the Commission the types of activities	7	have been implemented recently to eliminate and/or
8	that PTI has recently implemented to minimize and		
9		8	
10		8	minimize violations in this area?
11	eliminate these types of noncompliances?	9	<ul><li>minimize violations in this area?</li><li>A. Yes. This was something that ignorance is no</li></ul>
	eliminate these types of noncompliances? A. Yeah. We've now added a field within our driver	9 10	<ul><li>minimize violations in this area?</li><li>A. Yes. This was something that ignorance is no excuse. Let me say that very boldly. It is no excuse.</li></ul>
	eliminate these types of noncompliances? A. Yeah. We've now added a field within our driver management system concerning the expiration dates of	9 10 11	<ul><li>minimize violations in this area?</li><li>A. Yes. This was something that ignorance is no excuse. Let me say that very boldly. It is no excuse.</li><li>However, we knew we had to verify; we did verify. We</li></ul>
12	eliminate these types of noncompliances? A. Yeah. We've now added a field within our driver management system concerning the expiration dates of their medical certification. And when the expiration	9 10 11 12	<ul><li>minimize violations in this area?</li><li>A. Yes. This was something that ignorance is no excuse. Let me say that very boldly. It is no excuse.</li><li>However, we knew we had to verify; we did verify. We didn't know we had to make notation of that on the file.</li></ul>
12 13	eliminate these types of noncompliances? A. Yeah. We've now added a field within our driver management system concerning the expiration dates of their medical certification. And when the expiration date is approaching, we send out a notification to the	9 10 11 12 13	<ul><li>minimize violations in this area?</li><li>A. Yes. This was something that ignorance is no excuse. Let me say that very boldly. It is no excuse.</li><li>However, we knew we had to verify; we did verify. We didn't know we had to make notation of that on the file.</li><li>And so even though they were verifying to make sure we</li></ul>
12 13 14	eliminate these types of noncompliances? A. Yeah. We've now added a field within our driver management system concerning the expiration dates of their medical certification. And when the expiration date is approaching, we send out a notification to the branch manager responsible for that driver to ensure	9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>minimize violations in this area?</li> <li>A. Yes. This was something that ignorance is no excuse. Let me say that very boldly. It is no excuse.</li> <li>However, we knew we had to verify; we did verify. We didn't know we had to make notation of that on the file.</li> <li>And so even though they were verifying to make sure we were using, you know, the proper agencies and we'd gone</li> </ul>
12 13 14 15	eliminate these types of noncompliances? A. Yeah. We've now added a field within our driver management system concerning the expiration dates of their medical certification. And when the expiration date is approaching, we send out a notification to the branch manager responsible for that driver to ensure that they get the driver in and have them recertified.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>minimize violations in this area?</li> <li>A. Yes. This was something that ignorance is no excuse. Let me say that very boldly. It is no excuse.</li> <li>However, we knew we had to verify; we did verify. We didn't know we had to make notation of that on the file.</li> <li>And so even though they were verifying to make sure we were using, you know, the proper agencies and we'd gone through the process, they were not making note of that</li> </ul>
12 13 14 15 16	eliminate these types of noncompliances? A. Yeah. We've now added a field within our driver management system concerning the expiration dates of their medical certification. And when the expiration date is approaching, we send out a notification to the branch manager responsible for that driver to ensure that they get the driver in and have them recertified. And as of the expiration date of the current	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>minimize violations in this area?</li> <li>A. Yes. This was something that ignorance is no excuse. Let me say that very boldly. It is no excuse.</li> <li>However, we knew we had to verify; we did verify. We didn't know we had to make notation of that on the file.</li> <li>And so even though they were verifying to make sure we were using, you know, the proper agencies and we'd gone through the process, they were not making note of that on the file.</li> </ul>
12 13 14 15 16 17	eliminate these types of noncompliances? A. Yeah. We've now added a field within our driver management system concerning the expiration dates of their medical certification. And when the expiration date is approaching, we send out a notification to the branch manager responsible for that driver to ensure that they get the driver in and have them recertified. And as of the expiration date of the current certification on file, if we have not received a new	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>minimize violations in this area?</li> <li>A. Yes. This was something that ignorance is no excuse. Let me say that very boldly. It is no excuse.</li> <li>However, we knew we had to verify; we did verify. We didn't know we had to make notation of that on the file.</li> <li>And so even though they were verifying to make sure we were using, you know, the proper agencies and we'd gone through the process, they were not making note of that on the file.</li> <li>We have now changed that policy so that, as they</li> </ul>
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	eliminate these types of noncompliances? A. Yeah. We've now added a field within our driver management system concerning the expiration dates of their medical certification. And when the expiration date is approaching, we send out a notification to the branch manager responsible for that driver to ensure that they get the driver in and have them recertified. And as of the expiration date of the current certification on file, if we have not received a new certification, the driver is suspended from service	<ol> <li>9</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>minimize violations in this area?</li> <li>A. Yes. This was something that ignorance is no excuse. Let me say that very boldly. It is no excuse.</li> <li>However, we knew we had to verify; we did verify. We didn't know we had to make notation of that on the file.</li> <li>And so even though they were verifying to make sure we were using, you know, the proper agencies and we'd gone through the process, they were not making note of that on the file.</li> <li>We have now changed that policy so that, as they verify that, they make the note directly on the</li> </ul>
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	eliminate these types of noncompliances? A. Yeah. We've now added a field within our driver management system concerning the expiration dates of their medical certification. And when the expiration date is approaching, we send out a notification to the branch manager responsible for that driver to ensure that they get the driver in and have them recertified. And as of the expiration date of the current certification on file, if we have not received a new certification, the driver is suspended from service until that certification is received so that we won't	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>minimize violations in this area?</li> <li>A. Yes. This was something that ignorance is no excuse. Let me say that very boldly. It is no excuse.</li> <li>However, we knew we had to verify; we did verify. We didn't know we had to make notation of that on the file.</li> <li>And so even though they were verifying to make sure we were using, you know, the proper agencies and we'd gone through the process, they were not making note of that on the file.</li> <li>We have now changed that policy so that, as they verify that, they make the note directly on the certification as it comes in with the person that did</li> </ul>
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	eliminate these types of noncompliances? A. Yeah. We've now added a field within our driver management system concerning the expiration dates of their medical certification. And when the expiration date is approaching, we send out a notification to the branch manager responsible for that driver to ensure that they get the driver in and have them recertified. And as of the expiration date of the current certification on file, if we have not received a new certification, the driver is suspended from service until that certification is received so that we won't have any further incidents of people not having a	<ul> <li>9</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>minimize violations in this area?</li> <li>A. Yes. This was something that ignorance is no excuse. Let me say that very boldly. It is no excuse.</li> <li>However, we knew we had to verify; we did verify. We didn't know we had to make notation of that on the file.</li> <li>And so even though they were verifying to make sure we were using, you know, the proper agencies and we'd gone through the process, they were not making note of that on the file.</li> <li>We have now changed that policy so that, as they verify that, they make the note directly on the certification as it comes in with the person that did the verification's name and the date that they did the</li> </ul>
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	eliminate these types of noncompliances? A. Yeah. We've now added a field within our driver management system concerning the expiration dates of their medical certification. And when the expiration date is approaching, we send out a notification to the branch manager responsible for that driver to ensure that they get the driver in and have them recertified. And as of the expiration date of the current certification on file, if we have not received a new certification, the driver is suspended from service until that certification is received so that we won't have any further incidents of people not having a current record on file.	<ul> <li>9</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>minimize violations in this area?</li> <li>A. Yes. This was something that ignorance is no excuse. Let me say that very boldly. It is no excuse.</li> <li>However, we knew we had to verify; we did verify. We didn't know we had to make notation of that on the file.</li> <li>And so even though they were verifying to make sure we were using, you know, the proper agencies and we'd gone through the process, they were not making note of that on the file.</li> <li>We have now changed that policy so that, as they verify that, they make the note directly on the certification as it comes in with the person that did the verification's name and the date that they did the verification.</li> </ul>
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>eliminate these types of noncompliances?</li> <li>A. Yeah. We've now added a field within our driver management system concerning the expiration dates of their medical certification. And when the expiration dates is approaching, we send out a notification to the branch manager responsible for that driver to ensure that they get the driver in and have them recertified.</li> <li>And as of the expiration date of the current certification on file, if we have not received a new certification, the driver is suspended from service until that certification is received so that we won't have any further incidents of people not having a current record on file.</li> <li>Q. Is your policy of immediately moving this driver</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>9</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>minimize violations in this area?</li> <li>A. Yes. This was something that ignorance is no excuse. Let me say that very boldly. It is no excuse.</li> <li>However, we knew we had to verify; we did verify. We didn't know we had to make notation of that on the file.</li> <li>And so even though they were verifying to make sure we were using, you know, the proper agencies and we'd gone through the process, they were not making note of that on the file.</li> <li>We have now changed that policy so that, as they verify that, they make the note directly on the certification as it comes in with the person that did the verification's name and the date that they did the verification.</li> <li>Q. Regarding the alleged violations of 396.17(a),</li> </ul>
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>eliminate these types of noncompliances?</li> <li>A. Yeah. We've now added a field within our driver management system concerning the expiration dates of their medical certification. And when the expiration dates is approaching, we send out a notification to the branch manager responsible for that driver to ensure that they get the driver in and have them recertified.</li> <li>And as of the expiration date of the current certification on file, if we have not received a new certification, the driver is suspended from service until that certification is received so that we won't have any further incidents of people not having a current record on file.</li> <li>Q. Is your policy of immediately moving this driver to a non-active status, is that something that has been</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>9</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>minimize violations in this area?</li> <li>A. Yes. This was something that ignorance is no excuse. Let me say that very boldly. It is no excuse. However, we knew we had to verify; we did verify. We didn't know we had to make notation of that on the file.</li> <li>And so even though they were verifying to make sure we were using, you know, the proper agencies and we'd gone through the process, they were not making note of that on the file.</li> <li>We have now changed that policy so that, as they verify that, they make the note directly on the certification as it comes in with the person that did the verification's name and the date that they did the verification.</li> <li>Q. Regarding the alleged violations of 396.17(a), using a commercial motor vehicle not periodically</li> </ul>
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>eliminate these types of noncompliances?</li> <li>A. Yeah. We've now added a field within our driver management system concerning the expiration dates of their medical certification. And when the expiration dates is approaching, we send out a notification to the branch manager responsible for that driver to ensure that they get the driver in and have them recertified.</li> <li>And as of the expiration date of the current certification on file, if we have not received a new certification, the driver is suspended from service until that certification is received so that we won't have any further incidents of people not having a current record on file.</li> <li>Q. Is your policy of immediately moving this driver</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>9</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>minimize violations in this area?</li> <li>A. Yes. This was something that ignorance is no excuse. Let me say that very boldly. It is no excuse.</li> <li>However, we knew we had to verify; we did verify. We didn't know we had to make notation of that on the file.</li> <li>And so even though they were verifying to make sure we were using, you know, the proper agencies and we'd gone through the process, they were not making note of that on the file.</li> <li>We have now changed that policy so that, as they verify that, they make the note directly on the certification as it comes in with the person that did the verification's name and the date that they did the verification.</li> <li>Q. Regarding the alleged violations of 396.17(a),</li> </ul>

	Page 29		Page 31
1	terms of vehicle inspection?	1	Q. Would you clarify, what's the current status of
2	A. Yeah. We have what we, in our system, call an	2	the PTI vehicle fleet in the state of Washington?
3	"A" service policy. And the "A" service policy is, in	3	A. We currently still have a hundred and well,
4	essence, the full inspection of the vehicle whenever we	4	it's actually 113 because one of them was retired after
5	bring it in for an oil change and tire rotation.	5	we had an engine failure in the unit, but we have
б	provided on my documents from May 16th the details of	6	113 units still in the state, and all 113 of those units
7	the "A" service. However, they're taken directly from	7	are inspected with the certifications with the units.
8	this from this part of the federal regs, and we	8	MR. PARKER: If it would please the Court,
9	actually have expanded upon those regulations and have	9	we've supplied the Court a bit of information concerning
10	them inspect additional areas above and beyond.	10	each vehicle's descriptions of "A" services and listings
11	So our "A" service is actually slightly more	11	of each and every time these vehicles had undergone an
12	restrictive than the current requirements under the	12	"A" service inspection. We'd like to have that admitted
13	statute, and our failure in this regard was that we	13	as an exhibit.
14	began taking our passenger vehicles to be inspected	14	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Would you prefer to
15	under the Washington State inspection policy to those	15	just admit the entire response with all of its
16	vendors that were listed, and the first four that we	16	attachments to have that all as
17	went to refused to do the inspections because they don't	17	MR. HOWLAND: If we could, that would be
18	inspect passenger vehicles, and they told us this only	18	terrific.
19	applied to trucks and that they wouldn't do the	19	JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Beattie, do you have any
20	inspection.	20	objection?
21	Subsequently, since January of this year, we've	21	MR. BEATTIE: Staff has no objection.
22	now found a number of inspectors that will, in fact, do	22	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So I will admit the
23	the inspections for us on a passenger vehicle. And we	23	entire response that PTI has submitted on May 16th,
24	worked with them on the confusion concerning the areas	24	2016, and mark it as Exhibit DH-1.
25	of the inspection that are restricted to trucks only	25	MR. HOWLAND: Thank you.
	Page 30		Page 32
1	that don't apply to passenger vehicles.	1	MR. PARKER: Thank you, your Honor.
2	So we now have a handful of inspectors in the	2	(Exhibit No. DH-1 marked and
3	state that will, in fact, inspect our passenger	3	admitted.)
4	vehicles, and as a result, all of the vehicles operated	4	BY MR. PARKER:
5	in this state have been inspected.	5	Q. Mr. Howland, can you can you describe a little bit about if PTI has undergone any recent
6	One of the things to keep in mind in regard to	6	
7	that is this is a transient fleet. It will flow back and forth between Washington state and other states	7	executive or reorganizational changes at the responsibility level of director or above in order in
8 9	periodically for a number of reasons: For maintenance	8	order to address the alleged violations that have been
10	reasons, for mileage reasons. We run a lot of high	10	commented on today?
11	mileage in Washington, and so we move them into states	11	A. Yes. We've made several changes since I took
12	where we run lower mileage periodically during their	12	over in the organization. As I mentioned, we released
13	life.	13	our vice president of safety, and that now reports
14	And our new policy is actually recorded	14	directly to me as the chief operating officer. We also
15	electronically in our maintenance system so that all	15	have now replaced our vice president of fleet management
16	vehicles, when they're brought into the state, are	16	and maintenance and replaced him with a 25-year veteran
17	inspected before put into service. And anything leaving	17	who understands and has been instrumental with us on the
18	the state, we maintain the Washington state inspection	18	review of this process, to ensure that we comply and
19	in it until it expires.	19	I know you don't care beyond the state of Washington,
20	But in 2015, for instance, we actually had a	20	but that we comply with this in all states where we have
21	total of about 250 units that had operated as assigned	21	additional inspections required beyond our own "A"
22	units in the state of Washington. Out of the 114, all	22	service.
23	of those units are still in this state, and all of those	23	We have a field in our information system for
24	have been inspected and certified with the State	24	our maintenance of our vehicles that actually covers the
			State inspection process and the expiration dates that

Page 33         Page 35           I was not being used by the previous vice president. They         compliance with that operations as well.           2 were keeping it on a spreadsheet basis. That is now         2           3 changed and were keeping that in the system, so that         4           4 any whice operated in the system, so that         5           5 does not have a current inspection certification will be         5           6 parked until id does have an inspection.         5           7 And the same as what applied with the medical,         5           9 weeks prior to the expiration toget. It and get it         5           10 inspected, and if it's still not received by the         12           11 expiration date, the vehice with and get it         12           12 expiration date, the vehice with and get it         12           13 strategraf, is very aware of the importance of making sure         12           14 again, is very aware of the importance of making sure         13           15 bit thig is done and one prevely.         15           16 bit thig is done and one prevely.         16           17 working with both Mr. Parker and it on compliance issues.         17           18 bit regard.         18           19 boxe and beyond the basic fedral requirements.         14           19 boxe and beyond the basic fedral				
2       Paraketering if on a spreadsheet basis. That is now       2       Palawing that asystems on that         3       changed and we are keeping that in the system, so that       3         4       any whick operated in the state of Washington that       5         5       operated in the state of Washington that       5         6       operated in the state of Washington that       5         7       And the same as what applied with the medical,       7         7       And the same as what applied with the medical,       7         8       inspected, and iff's still not received by the       1         10       inspected, and iff's still not received by the       1         11       inspected, and iff's still not received by the       1       1         12       service until it has been inspected. So a big change in       1       1         13       bit are gar, and here weighto grading auro       1       1         14       adam, is very aware of the importance of making auro       1       1       1         15       that regar, is very aware of the importance of making auro       1       1       1       1         15       that regar, is very aware of the importance of making auro       1       1       1       1       1       1				
1       Charged and we are keeping that in the system, so that       1       Prescient Lines as head of land transportation globally         2       Are only a current inspection certification will be       services in North America, South America, Asia,         3       And the same as what applied with the medical,       for harw a current inspection.         4       and if it's still not received by the       for harw a really pleasant, but Mr. Romain, wo is the         1       prescient Lines as head of land transportation of get it in and get it       service with it has been inspected. So a big togen in         1       prescient Lines as head of land transportation of the industry from the ground up to really a         1       prescient Lines as head of land transportations and intermodel service with it has been inspected.         1       prescient Lines as head of land transportation in the system, so that         1       prescient Lines as head of land transportation by application the explanation the medical in the state inspection.         1       prescient Lines as head of land transportation by application the explanation the industry form the ground up to really application the system.         1       prescient Lines as head of land transportation industry form the ground up to really application the size fortari requirements, and the application the size fortari requirements, and the       and redesign the operations of the industry fortare of the industry fortare of the industry fortare of the industry andi approximately anob the processes in applicati				
4       ary vehicle operated in the state of Washington that       4       for them, where I ran truck operations and intermodal         5       base not have a current inspection.       5         7       And the same as what applied with the medical,       5         7       And the same as what applied with the medical,       7         8       base provide until it does have an inspection.       6         9       weeks prior to the expiration tog et it in and get it       7         10       inspected, and if it's still not neeviewd by the       10         11       begins and then evice operation at the vehicle will be marked as out of       11         12       service until it has been inspected. So a big change in       12         13       base inspected, and the evice incomposition for the industry from the ground up to reality operations of the industry form the ground up to reality operation.         14       adds on and does properly.       15       16         15       Finally, we recently named a new director       16       16         16       base and beyond the basic federal requirements, and the same all operation and the weet a compainace with not up to reality operation.       16       16         16       base and beyond the basic federal requirements, and the       20       20       16       16         17				
5       does not have a current inspection.       5       services in North America. South Southeast South Southeast South Southeast Southeast South Southeast South Southeast South Southeast South Southeast South Southeast South America. South Americh. Southeast Southouth Southeast Southeast	3		3	
6       parked until it does have an inspection.       6       Southeast Asis, India and Europe. And I was responsible         7       And the same as what applied with the metical,       7       for all of the operations and compliance in each of         9       weeks prior to the expiration to get It in and get I       7       for all of the operations and compliance in each of         10       inspected, and if it still net received by the       10       10       which was really pleasant, but Mr. Romain, who is the         11       asylin, is very aware of the importance of making sure       14       and these and one properly.       10         15       that regard, and the new vice operations       officer's with have specially requirements       10       and redesign the operations of the industry fice and         16       working with both Mr. Parker and I on compliance issues.       10       and redesign the operations of the industry fice and       10         17       working with both Mr. Parker and I on compliance       10       and with my experience, I agreed to come back to         12       this new position is to help use with those compliance       10       and with my experience, I agreed to come back to         12       this new position is to help use assumed the rol of compliance       10       and with my experience, I agreed to come back to         12       experity muning as southof the state,				
And the same as what applied with the medical,       7       for all of the operations and compliance in each of         in the transported, and if its sull not received by the       in the treatment of about a year and a half,         inspected, and if its sull not received by the       in the treatment of about a year and a half,         is expice until it has been inspected. So a big change in       in the retired for about a year and a half,         is expice until it has been inspected. So a big change in       in the retired for about a year and a half,         is applie, is very aware of the importance of making sure       in and redesign the paceform. He knew his current their operating         is add hat regard, and the new vice president of maintenance,       in and redesign the operations of the industry fisci and         is add hat regard, and the new vice president of maintenance,       in and redesign the operations of the industry [sic] and         is add hat regard, and the new vice president of maintenance,       in and redesign the operations of the industry [sic] and         is working with both Mr. Parker and 1 on compliance       is add hat we ver in compliance with not just the         is booke and beyond the basic federal requirements, and the       is add hat my experience, 1 agreed to come back to         in the transportation industry and your experience in       is add in the processes in         is position is to help us with those compliance       is add in the received the information marks sure that we get	5		5	
is that the branch managers will get a notification two       is weeks prior to the expiration to get it in and get it       in and the set spiration to get it in and get it         is inspected, and if it's still net received by the       it in a get and it's still net received by the       it's still net received by the         is service until thas been inspected. So a big change in       it's convinced me to come         is gain, is very aware of the importance of making sure       in and that the had to retire, and he needd somebody that         is that regard, and the new vice president of maintenance,       in and it's still not be still suses,         is done and one properly.       is understood the industry from the ground up to really get on the proper processes in         is done and one my three requirements, and the set of or about a year and a half,       in understood the industry from the ground up to really get on the proper processes in         is done and one properly.       is and readesign the operations of the industry [sic] and         is baoe and beyond the basic federal requirements, and the       is done and one my threa requirements, and the         is position did not exist up until approximately a month       is be determined, and to make sure that we get         is position did not exist up until approximately and your experience in the sporation industry and your experience	6		6	
<ul> <li>weeks prior to the expiration to get it in and get it</li> <li>inspected, and if it's still not received by the</li> <li>expiration date, the vehicle will be marked as out of</li> <li>service until it has been inspected. So a big change in</li> <li>that regard, and the new vice president of maintenance,</li> <li>again, is very aware of the importance of making sure</li> <li>finally, we recently named a new director</li> <li>Finally, we recently named a new director</li> <li>manue that the very in compliance issues.</li> <li>We have a lot of states that have specially requirements</li> <li>above and beyond the basic federal requirements, and that</li> <li>position of this – or excuse me, the responsibility of</li> <li>position if this – or excuse me, the responsibility of</li> <li>position if this – or excuse me, the responsibility of</li> <li>position if this – or excuse me, the responsibility of</li> <li>position if the requirements of the state, and that</li> <li>position if the requirements of the state, and that</li> <li>position if the requirements of the state, and that</li> <li>position if of your history and your experience in</li> <li>position if of your history and your experience in</li> <li>position of the requirements of the state, and that</li> <li>position if of your history and your experience in</li> <li>position of the roquering marks to</li> <li>burt laws and that provide the state, including Washington, to</li> <li>ago.</li> <li>ago.</li> <li>ago.</li> <li>ago.</li> <li>ago.</li> <li>ago.</li> <li>burt laws and that he aptic proportises that you</li> <li>postion of the your history and your experience in</li> <li>the transportation industry and your experimence in an today. Now – and 1 aptolyticate, and is a portion of it, which</li> <li>again, when l'received the information in early March, I</li> <li>wowrking approximately 400 over-the-road tr</li></ul>				
10       inspected, and if it's still not received by the       10         12       exprice unit it has been inspected. So a big change in       12         13       that regard, and the new vice president of maintenance,       13         14       again, is very aware of the importance of making sure       14         15       that this is done and done properly.       13         16       Finally, we recently named a new director       16         17       working with both Mr. Parker and I on compliance issues.       16         18       bott at of states that have specially requirements, and the       16         19       above and beyond the basic federal requirements, and the       16         10       position of the - or excuse me, the responsibility of       16         11       position is to help us with those compliance       12         12       position is to help us with these compliance       12         13       pace in each of those states, including Washington, to       12         14       ago.       20       very good. Would you finally share with the         15       position of the requirements of the state, and that       16         16       the transportation industry and your experises that you       10         16       The transportation industry and your ex				
11       expiration date, the vehicle will be marked as out of       11       CEO at PT1 and United Companies, convinced me to come         12       service until it has been inspected. So a big change in       11       CEO at PT1 and United Companies, convinced me to come         13       dargin, is very aware of the importance of making sure       14       and that he had to retire, and he needed somebody that         15       that regard, and the new vare of the importance of making sure       14       and that he had to retire, and he needed somebody that         16       Finaly, we recently named a new director       16       in and redesign the operations of the industry [sic] and         17       working with both Mr. Parker and 1 on compliance issues.       17       ensure that we were in compliance with net gourements         18       above and beyond the basic federal requirements, and that       14       and with my experience, lagreed to come back to         19       uore customers.       20       And with my experience, lagreed to come back to         10       comply with the requirements of the state, and that       21       14       and to make sure that we get       22         21       position is to help us with those compliance       22       Not were that we get       23       24       and to make sure that we get         23       pisce in each of those states, including Washington, to <td>9</td> <td></td> <td>9</td> <td></td>	9		9	
12       service until it has been inspected. So a big change in       12       out of retirement. He knew his current chief operating         13       that regard, and the new vice president of making sure       14       and that he had to retire, and he needed somebody that         14       apain, is very aware of the importance of making sure       14       and that he had to retire, and he needed somebody that         15       that this is done and done properly.       15       in and redesign the operations of the industry (sic) and         16       madre the adv ore erie in compliance intis both the Areker and I on compliance with the equirements, and the       16       in and redesign the operations of the industry (sic) and         19       position of this - or excues me, the responsibility of       20       And with my experience, I agreed to come back to         21       this new position is to help us with those compliance       21       work and head up the company for a period of time, yet         22       comply with the requirements of the state, and that       22       to be determined, and to make sure that we get         23       position did not exis up unill approximately a month       23       adi of the processes cleaned up.         24       agio.       A. Very good. Would you finally share with the       24         26       A. Very good. Would you finally share with the       25       in and ordesign the operat	10		10	
13       that regard, and the new vice president of maintenance,       13       officer's wife had some physical issues, health issues,         14       again, is very avare of the importance of making sure       14       and that he had to retire, and he needed somebody that         15       understood the industry from the ground up to reality go       in and redesign the operations of the industry [sic] and         16       Finally, we recently named a new director       16         17       working with both Mr. Parker and I on compliance issues.       17         18       working with both Mr. Parker and I on compliance issues.       18         19       position of this - or excuse me, the responsibility of       19         113       opticin this to help us with those compliance       20         12       measures to ensure that we have the proper processes in       21         21       position did not exist up until approximately a month       22         22       comply with the requirements of the state, and that       23         23       position did not exist up until approximately and your experience in       14         4       the transportation industry and your experience in       14         4       the transportation industry and your experience in       14         4       the transportation industry and your experimer in       14	11		11	
14       again, is very aware of the importance of making sure       14       and that the had to retire, and he needed somebody that         15       that this is done and done properly.       15       inderstood the industry form the ground up to really go         16       Finally, we recently named a new director       15       in and redesign the operations of the industry (sci) and         17       working with both Mr. Parker and I on compliance issues.       17       ensure that we were in compliance with not just the         18       by have a lot of states that have specially requirements.       18       state and legal governments, but also the contracts with         19       position of this or excuse me, the responsibility of       20       And with my experience, I agreed to come back to         21       this new position is to help us with those compliance       21       work and head up the company for a period of time, yet         22       position did not exist up until approximately a month       22       to be determined, and to make sure that we get         23 <b>O. Very good. Would you finally share with the</b> 24       all of the processes cleaned up.         24       all of the ransportation industry and your expertise that you       3       but us on there prior to December, and I really2         3 <b>O. Very good. Would you finally share with the</b> 3       aspany 2nd of this year. Soit i	12		12	
15       that this is done and done properly.       13       understood the industry from the ground up to really go         16       Finally, we recently named a new director       16       in and redesign the operations of the industry [sic] and         17       working with both Mr. Prater and 1 on compliance issues.       16       in and redesign the operations of the industry [sic] and         18       babwe and beyond the basic federal requirements, and the       16       in and redesign the operations of the industry [sic] and         19       abow and beyond the basic federal requirements, and the       16       in and redesign the operations of the industry [sic] and         19       position of this - or excuse me, the responsibility of       17       And with my experience, I agreed to come back to         20       work and head up the company for a period of time, yet       20       And with my experience, I agreed to come back to         21       comply with the requirements of the state, and that       23       everything running as smoothly as possible and we get         22       ago.       24       all of the processes cleaned up.       25         32       burg understy and your experister tha you       24       all of the processes cleaned up.       25         4       ago.       24       but I was not here prior to December, and I really -       24         4	13		13	
16       Finally, we recently named a new director       16       in and redesign the operations of the industry [sic] and         17       working with both Mr. Parker and I on compliance issues.       17       ensure that we were in compliance with not just the         18       We have a lot of states that have specially requirements, and the       18       state and legal governments, but also the contracts with         20       position of this - or excuse me, the responsibility of       20       And with my experience, I agreed to come back to         21       this new position is to help us with those compliance       21       Work and head up the company for a period of time, yet         22       measures to ensure that we have the proper processes in       22       And with my experience, I agreed to come back to         24       in ont requirements of the state, and that       23       everything running as smoothly as possible and we get         25       position did not exist up until approximately a month       25       And so here I am today. Now and I apologize,         26 <b>A. You yogod. Would you finally share with the</b> 26       but I was not here prior to December, and I really         2 <b>A. You bet. I stated my career with the</b> 2       gagin, when I received the information in early March, I         7       Burlington Northern Raliroad. And within the Burlington       aproximately 400 over-the-roa	14	again, is very aware of the importance of making sure	14	
11       working with both Mr. Parker and I on compliance issues.       17       ensure that we were in compliance with not just the         18       We have a lot of states that have specially requirements.       18       state and legal governments, but also the contracts with         19       by our customers.       18       state and legal governments, but also the contracts with         10       position of this – or excuse me, the responsibility of       18       state and legal governments, but also the contracts with         10       position of this – or excuse me, the responsibility of       10       and with my experience, I agreed to come back to         11       working with the requirements of the state, and that       12       I ob determined, and to make sure that we get         12       comply with the requirements of the state, and that       12       I ob determined, and to make sure that we get         12       comply with the requirements of the state, and that       12       I ob determined, and to make sure that we get         14       ago.       Page 34       1       but I was not here prior to December, and I really         14       Compasor hidustry and your experience in the transportation industry and your experience in an anitored, i rose through the operating ranks to       1       but I was not here prior to December, and I really         14       Burlingtoway assets, as well as hefi intermodal rail	15	that this is done and done properly.	15	
18       We have a lot of states that have specialty requirements, and the 3 bove and beyond the basic federal requirements, and the 3 position of this or excuse me, the responsibility of 4 our customers.       18       state and legal governments, but also the contracts with 4 our sustomers.         19       above and beyond the basic federal requirements, and the 4 proper processes in 4 this new position is to help us with those compliance       20       And with my experience, 1 agreed to come back to 4 work and head up the company for a period of time, yet 4         20       position of those states, including Washington, to 4 comply with the requirements of the state, and that 24       everything running as smoothly as possible and we get 2         21       position did not exist up until approximately a month 4       23       And so here 1 am today. Now - and 1 apologize, 4         23       position bit of your history and your experience in 4       14       but I was not here prior to December, and I really       2         3       Q. Very good. Would you finally share with the 5       ohron what you have assumed the role of COO?       1       but I was not here prior to December, and I really       2       during December, I was just learning the job with the 4         3       buring ton Northern Railroad. And within the Burlington       1       January 2nd of this year. So it's been a lot to digest 4         4       the transportation industry and your experience in this is a portion of it, which 6       again, when received the information in early March,	16	Finally, we recently named a new director	16	
19       above and beyond the basic federal requirements, and the       19       our customers.         20       position of this – or excuse me, the responsibility of       20       And with my experience, I agreed to come back to         21       this new position is to help us with those compliance       21       work and head up the company for a period of time, yet         22       place in each of those states, including Washington, to       22       to be determined, and to make sure that we get         23       position did not exist up until approximately a month       24       all of the processes cleaned up.         24       ago.       2       And with me requirements, and I really –         2       Q. Very good. Would you finally share with the       26       but I was not here prior to December, and I really –         2       during December, I was just learning the job with the       2       during December, I was just learning the job with the         3       executive team, and 1 actually took operations over on       4       January 2nd of this year. So it's been a lot to digest         4       biring to PTI now that you have assumed the role of COO?       5       in short order, and this is a portion of it, which         5       become head of equipment and maintenance for all of       16       29 <b>Q. Based on your experience in this profession and</b> 10       t	17	working with both Mr. Parker and I on compliance issues.	17	
20       position of this or excuse me, the responsibility of       20       And with my experience, I agreed to come back to         21       this new position is to help us with those compliance       21       work and head up the company for a period of time, yet         22       measures to ensure that we have the proper processes in       22       to be determined, and to make sure that we get         23       comply with the requirements of the state, and that       24       everything running as smoothly as possible and we get         24       ago.       Page 34       ago.       And so here I am today. Now - and I apologize.         2       Q. Very good. Would you finally share with the       25       And so here I am today. Now - and I apologize.         2       Q. Very good. Would you finally share with the       26       but I was not here prior to December, and I really         3       executive team, and I actually took operations over on       January 2nd of this year. So it's been a lot to digest         4       the transportation industry and your experise that you       5       in short order, and this is a portion of it, which         6       A. You bet. I started my career with the       20       agin, when I received the information in early March, I         7       was somewhat floorad, so we immediately got busy, and       one more thing to tackle.       20         8	18	We have a lot of states that have specialty requirements	18	state and legal governments, but also the contracts with
1       this new position is to help us with those compliance       21       work and head up the company for a period of time, yet         22       measures to ensure that we have the proper processes in       22       work and head up the company for a period of time, yet         23       place in each of those states, including Washington, to       23       everything running as smoothy as possible and we get         24       to the processes cleaned up.       24       all of the processes cleaned up.         25       And so here I am today. Now – and I apologize,       Page 36         2       Q. Very good. Would you finally share with the       25       And so here prior to December, and I really –         2       during December, I was just learning the job with the       26       during December, I was just learning the job with the         3       gain, when I received the information in early March, I       1       was somehat floored, so we immediately got busy, and         5       in short order, and the sis a portion of it, which       3       again, when I received the information in early March, I         6       A. You bet. I started my career with the       20       Quirug patifications, do you rexperiance in this profession and         10       the in highway assets, an well as their intermodal rail       3       and -I guess changes of porsonnel, changes of policy         13       and 18,000	19	above and beyond the basic federal requirements, and the	19	our customers.
22       measures to ensure that we have the proper processes in       22       to be determined, and to make sure that we get         23       place in each of those states, including Washington, to       23       everything running as smoothly as possible and we get         24       comply with the requirements of the state, and that       24       all of the processes cleaned up.         25       And so here I am today. Now – and I apologize,         7       Q. Very good. Would you finally share with the       25       And so here I am today. Now – and I apologize,         2       Q. Very good. Would you finally share with the       26       as so there prior to December, and I really –         2       Q. Very good. Would you finally share with the       26       at so here I am today. Now – and I apologize,         2       A. You bet. I started my career with the       26       aduring December, I was just learning the job with the         3       executive team, and I actually tok operations orer on       4       January 2nd of this year. So it's been a lot to digest         5       in short order, and this is a portion of it, which       3       again, when I received the information in early March, I         4       audition Northerm Railroad. And within the Burlington       4       ado one more thing to tackle.       2         9       become head of equipment and maintenance for all of	20	position of this or excuse me, the responsibility of	20	And with my experience, I agreed to come back to
23       place in each of those states, including Washington, to       23       everything running as smoothly as possible and we get         24       all of the processes cleaned up.       24         25       position did not exist up until approximately a month       25         26       Page 34       24         ago.       1       but I was not here prior to December, and I really         2       2       during December, I was just learning the job with the         27 <b>O. Very good. Would you finally share with the</b> Commission a bit of your history and your experience in         3       the transportation industry and your experience in       but I was not here prior to December, and I really         4       during Decimer, I was just learning the job with the       28         5       inshort order, and this is a portion of it, which       3         6       A you bet. I started my career with the       3         7       Burlington Northern Railroad. And within the Burlington       7         8       bortern Railroad, I rose through the operating ranks to       9         9       become head of equipment and maintenance for all of       10         11       assets. I managed approximately 15,000 highway trailers       11         12       and 18,000 intermodal chassis, as well as fleet of <t< td=""><td>21</td><td>this new position is to help us with those compliance</td><td>21</td><td></td></t<>	21	this new position is to help us with those compliance	21	
24       comply with the requirements of the state, and that       24       all of the processes cleaned up.         25       And so here I am today. Now and I apologize,         Page 34       Page 34         ago.       2         2       0. Very good. Would you finally share with the         Commission a bit of your history and your experience in the transportation industry and your experise that you bring to PTI now that you have assumed the role of COO?       1         6       A. You bet. I started my career with the       2         Burlington Northem Railroad. And within the Burlington       1         Northern Railroad, I rose through the operating ranks to       9         become head of equipment and maintenance for all of their highway assets, as well as their intermodal rail       3         assets. I managed approximately 15,000 highway trailers       and procedure that have been implemented at PTI will be         16       company, managing their asset-based business where I did       1         17       president of rail for Schneider National. And at       2         20       Class 8 trucks that we ran on the highways throughout       1         16       president of rail for Schneider National, 1, anaaged all of the intermodal       2         17       And then I went to Schneider National, a wice       1         18       one coreling to tackle	22	measures to ensure that we have the proper processes in	22	to be determined, and to make sure that we get
25       Position did not exist up until approximately a month       25       And so here I am today. Now and I apologize,         1       ago.       Page 34       Page 36         1       ago.       1       but I was not here prior to December, and I really       2         2       Q. Very good. Would you finally share with the       2       cmmission a bit of your history and your experience in the transportation industry and your experites that you       but I was not here prior to December, and I really         5       bring to PTI now that you have assumed the role of COO?       A. You bet. I started my career with the       3         6       A. You bet. I started my career with the       again, when I received the information in early March, I         7       Burlington Northern Railroad, I rose through the operating ranks to       6         9       become head of equipment and maintenance for all of       7         10       their highway assets, as well as a fleet of       1         11       approximately 15,000 highway trailers       1         12       and 18,000 intermodal chassis, as well as a fleet of       1         13       approximately 400 over-the-road trucks.       1         14       After leaving their, asset-based business where I did       1         17       prety much the same thing, managing all of the highway	23	place in each of those states, including Washington, to	23	everything running as smoothly as possible and we get
Page 34ago.20. Very good. Would you finally share with the Commission a bit of your history and your experience in the transportation industry and your experience in the transportation industry and your experience in the transportation not that you have assumed the role of COO?A. You bet. I started my career with the Burlington Northern Railroad. I rose through the operating ranks to become head of equipment and maintenance for all assets. I managed approximately 15,000 highway trailers and 18,000 intermodal chassis, as well as a fleet of approximately 400 over-the-road trucks.11After leaving there, I went to a company called for persident of rail for Schneider National as vice president of rail for Schneider National. And at Schneider National, I managed all of the intermodal operations and assets, including a fleet of 1,20012And then I went to Schneider National as vice president of rail for Schneider National as vice president of rail for Schneider National. And at Schneider National, I managed all of the intermodal company, managing their asset, including a fleet of 1,20012Class 8 trucks that we ran on the highways throughout the US on an assigned basis to perform our intermodal12After leaving there, I went to Schneider National as vice president of rail for Schneider National as vice 1213Class 8 trucks that we ran on the highways throughout the US on an assigned basis to perform our intermodal14Hen I went to Schneider National as vice 1215Chass 8 trucks that we ran on the highway tassets, including a fleet of 1,20014Hen I went to Schneider National as vice 1215Chass 8 trucks that we ran	24	comply with the requirements of the state, and that	24	all of the processes cleaned up.
1ago.2Q. Very good. Would you finally share with the3Commission a bit of your history and your experience in the transportation industry and your experience in the transportation industry and your experience of COO?6A. You bet. I started my career with the Burlington Northern Railroad, I rose through the operating ranks to become head of equipment and maintenance for all of their highway assets, as well as their intermodal rail assets. I managed approximately 15,000 highway trailers and 18,000 intermodal chassis, as well as a fleet of company, managing their asset-based business where I did pretty much the same thing, managing all of the highway assets and operation.1After leaving there, I went to a company called company, managing their asset-based business where I did pretty much the same thing, managing all of the highway assets and operation.1And then I went to Schneider National as vice president of rail for Schneider National. And att soperations and assets, including a fleet of 1,2002Class & trucks that we ran on the highways throughout the US on an assigned basis to perform our intermodal2Mathe excuse of, well, we didn't know is not an acceptable answer to me. And the staff is well aware	25	position did not exist up until approximately a month	25	And so here I am today. Now and I apologize,
2Q. Very good. Would you finally share with the Commission a bit of your history and your experience in the transportation industry and your expertise that you bring to PTI now that you have assumed the role of COO? A. You bet. I started my career with the Burlington Northern Railroad. And within the Burlington Northern Railroad. I rose through the operating ranks to become head of equipment and maintenance for all of their highway assets, as well as their intermodal rail approximately 15,000 highway trailers and 18,000 intermodal chassis, as well as a fleet of approximately 400 over-the-road trucks.2during December, I was just learning the job with the executive team, and I actually took operations over on January 2nd of this year. So it's been a lot to digest in short order, and this is a portion of it, which again, when I received the information in early March, I was somewhat floored, so we immediately got busy, and one more thing to tackle.0Burlington Northern Railroad, I rose through the operating ranks to become head of equipment and maintenance for all of their highway assets, as well as their intermodal rail approximately 15,000 highway trailers and 18,000 intermodal chassis, as well as a fleet of approximately 400 over-the-road trucks.0. Based on your experience in this profession and your qualifications, do you believe that the changes and I guess changes of personnel, changes of policy and procedure that have been implemented at PTI will be effective in terms of rendering significant improvements in the compliance issues in the state of Washington?13After leaving their, asset-based business where I did pretty much the same thing, managing all of the highway assets and operation.1414I have been cited by any business that I run with exceptio		Page 34		Page 36
3Commission a bit of your history and your experience in the transportation industry and your expertise that you bring to PTI now that you have assumed the role of COO?3executive team, and I actually took operations over on January 2nd of this year. So it's been a lot to digest in short order, and this is a portion of it, which again, when I received the information in early March, I was somewhat floored, so we immediately got busy, and one more thing to tackle.6A. You bet. I started my career with the Burlington Northern Railroad. And within the Burlington Northern Railroad, I rose through the operating ranks to become head of equipment and maintenance for all of their highway assets, as well as their intermodal rail assets. I managed approximately 15,000 highway trailers and 18,000 intermodal chassis, as well as a fleet of approximately 400 over-the-road trucks.3executive team, and I actually took operations over on January 2nd of this year. So it's been a lot to digest in short order, and this is a portion of it, which again, when I received the information in early March, I was somewhat floored, so we immediately got busy, and one more thing to tackle.9become head of equipment and maintenance for all of their highway assets, as well as a fleet of approximately 400 over-the-road trucks.810your qualifications, do you believe that the changes and I guess changes of personnel, changes of policy and procedure that have been implemented at PTI will be effective in terms of rendering significant improvements in the compliance issues in the state of Washington?14After leaving their asset-based business where I did pretty much the same thing, managing all of the highway assets and operation.1619An	1	ago.	1	
4the transportation industry and your expertise that you bring to PTI now that you have assumed the role of COO?4January 2nd of this year. So it's been a lot to digest in short order, and this is a portion of it, which again, when I received the information in early March, I was somewhat floored, so we immediately got busy, and one more thing to tackle.7Burlington Northern Railroad. And within the Burlington Northern Railroad, I rose through the operating ranks to become head of equipment and maintenance for all of their highway assets, as well as their intermodal rail assets. I managed approximately 15,000 highway trailers and 18,000 intermodal chassis, as well as a fleet of approximately 400 over-the-road trucks.9 <b>G</b> . Based on your experience in this profession and your qualifications, do you believe that the changes and I guess changes of personnel, changes of policy and procedure that have been implemented at PTI will be effective in terms of rendering significant improvements in the compliance issues in the state of Washington?14After leaving their, I went to a company called company, managing their asset-based business where I did pretry much the same thing, managing all of the highway assets and operation.1619And then I went to Schneider National as vice president of rail for Schneider National. And at socherator fail for Schneider National. And at socherator fail for Schneider National and a fleet of 1,2001920Class 8 trucks that we ran on the highways throughout the US on an assigned basis to perform our intermodal2124the US on an assigned basis to perform our intermodal2324the US on an assigned basis to perform our intermodal24 <td>2</td> <td></td> <td>2</td> <td>during December, I was just learning the job with the</td>	2		2	during December, I was just learning the job with the
5bring to PTI now that you have assumed the role of COO?5in short order, and this is a portion of it, which6A. You bet. I started my career with the6again, when I received the information in early March, I7Burlington Northern Railroad. And within the Burlington7was somewhat floored, so we immediately got busy, and8Northern Railroad, I rose through the operating ranks to89become head of equipment and maintenance for all of910their highway assets, as well as their intermodal rail10assets. I managed approximately 15,000 highway trailers11and 18,000 intermodal chassis, as well as a fleet of12approximately 400 over-the-road trucks.12After leaving there, I went to a company called1416company, managing their asset-based business where I did1617pretty much the same thing, managing all of the highway1718assets and operation.1819And then I went to Schneider National as vice1919resident of rail for Schneider National. And at2020preations and assets, including a fleet of 1,2002121chase & trucks that we ran on the highways throughout2122chase & trucks that we ran on the highways throughout2323Class 8 trucks that we ran on the highways throughout2424the US on an assigned basis to perform our intermodal2424the US on an assigned basis to perform our intermodal2424the US	3		3	executive team, and I actually took operations over on
6A. You bet. I started my career with the6again, when I received the information in early March, I7Burlington Northern Railroad. And within the Burlington78Northern Railroad, I rose through the operating ranks to99become head of equipment and maintenance for all of910their highway assets, as well as their intermodal rail1011assets. I managed approximately 15,000 highway trailers1112and 18,000 intermodal chassis, as well as a fleet of1213approximately 400 over-the-road trucks.1314After leaving there, I went to a company called1415CH Robinson, which is a transportation brokerage1516company, managing their asset-based business where I did1617pretty much the same thing, managing all of the highway1718assets and operation.1919And then I went to Schneider National as vice1919president of rail for Schneider National. And at2020preations and assets, including a fleet of 1,2002121colas 8 trucks that we ran on the highways throughout2122class 8 trucks that we ran on the highways throughout2124the US on an assigned basis to perform our intermodal2224the US on an assigned basis to perform our intermodal2324the US on an assigned basis to perform our intermodal24	4		4	January 2nd of this year. So it's been a lot to digest
7Burlington Northern Railroad. And within the Burlington7was somewhat floored, so we immediately got busy, and one more thing to tackle.9become head of equipment and maintenance for all of90. Based on your experience in this profession and your qualifications, do you believe that the changes11assets. I managed approximately 15,000 highway trailers1012and 18,000 intermodal chassis, as well as a fleet of1213approximately 400 over-the-road trucks.1314After leaving there, I went to a company called1415CH Robinson, which is a transportation brokerage1516company, managing their asset-based business where I did1617pretty much the same thing, managing all of the highway1718assets and operation.1819And then I went to Schneider National as vice1919And then I went to Schneider National. And at2020operations and assets, including a fleet of 1,2002121class 8 trucks that we ran on the highways throughout2122And the excuse of, well, we didn't know is notand acceptable answer to me. And the staff is well aware	-	bring to BTI new that you have accumed the role of COO2		
8Northern Railroad, I rose through the operating ranks to become head of equipment and maintenance for all of their highway assets, as well as their intermodal rail assets. I managed approximately 15,000 highway trailers and 18,000 intermodal chassis, as well as a fleet of approximately 400 over-the-road trucks.8one more thing to tackle.11assets. I managed approximately 15,000 highway trailers and 18,000 intermodal chassis, as well as a fleet of approximately 400 over-the-road trucks.13and I guess changes of personnel, changes of policy and procedure that have been implemented at PTI will be effective in terms of rendering significant improvements in the compliance issues in the state of Washington?14After leaving there, I went to a company called company, managing their asset-based business where I did pretty much the same thing, managing all of the highway assets and operation.16in 42 years of transportation, this is the first time that I have been cited by any business that I run with exceptions, and I do not take that lightly. That's why19And then I went to Schneider National as vice president of rail for Schneider National. And at schneider National, I managed all of their intermodal operations and assets, including a fleet of 1,2001223Class 8 trucks that we ran on the highways throughout the US on an assigned basis to perform our intermodal23And the excuse of, well, we didn't know is not an acceptable answer to me. And the staff is well aware	5	bing to Pit now that you have assumed the role of COO?	5	in short order, and this is a portion of it, which
9become head of equipment and maintenance for all of their highway assets, as well as their intermodal rail9Q. Based on your experience in this profession and your qualifications, do you believe that the changes and I guess changes of personnel, changes of policy and procedure that have been implemented at PTI will be effective in terms of rendering significant improvements in the compliance issues in the state of Washington?12and Procedure that have been implemented at PTI will be effective in terms of rendering significant improvements in the compliance issues in the state of Washington?14After leaving there, I went to a company called1415CH Robinson, which is a transportation brokerage1516company, managing their asset-based business where I did pretty much the same thing, managing all of the highway assets and operation.1819And then I went to Schneider National as vice president of rail for Schneider National. And at coperations and assets, including a fleet of 1,2001920I made the changes in staffing and personnel and in the process management within the company to address these issues so that we are in compliance moving forward with all aspects.21Schneider National, I managed all of their intermodal operations and assets, including a fleet of 1,2002323Class 8 trucks that we ran on the highways throughout the US on an assigned basis to perform our intermodal2324the US on an assigned basis to perform our intermodal24				
10their highway assets, as well as their intermodal rail10your qualifications, do you believe that the changes11assets. I managed approximately 15,000 highway trailers11and I guess changes of personnel, changes of policy12and 18,000 intermodal chassis, as well as a fleet of12and procedure that have been implemented at PTI will be13approximately 400 over-the-road trucks.13effective in terms of rendering significant improvements14After leaving there, I went to a company called14in the compliance issues in the state of Washington?15CH Robinson, which is a transportation brokerage15A. Yes, absolutely. I can honestly tell you that16company, managing their asset-based business where I did16in 42 years of transportation, this is the first time17pretty much the same thing, managing all of the highway17that I have been cited by any business that I run with18assets and operation.18exceptions, and I do not take that lightly. That's why19And then I went to Schneider National as vice19I made the changes in staffing and personnel and in the20president of rail for Schneider National. And at20process management within the company to address these21Schneider National, I managed all of their intermodal21issues so that we are in compliance moving forward with22operations and assets, including a fleet of 1,20023And the excuse of, well, we didn't know is not23the US on an assigned basis to perform our intermodal24 <td< td=""><td></td><td>A. You bet. I started my career with the Burlington Northern Railroad. And within the Burlington</td><td>6</td><td>again, when I received the information in early March, I</td></td<>		A. You bet. I started my career with the Burlington Northern Railroad. And within the Burlington	6	again, when I received the information in early March, I
11assets. I managed approximately 15,000 highway trailers11and I guess changes of personnel, changes of policy12and 18,000 intermodal chassis, as well as a fleet of12and procedure that have been implemented at PTI will be13approximately 400 over-the-road trucks.13effective in terms of rendering significant improvements14After leaving there, I went to a company called14in the compliance issues in the state of Washington?15CH Robinson, which is a transportation brokerage15A. Yes, absolutely. I can honestly tell you that16company, managing their asset-based business where I did16in 42 years of transportation, this is the first time17pretty much the same thing, managing all of the highway17that I have been cited by any business that I run with18assets and operation.18exceptions, and I do not take that lightly. That's why19And then I went to Schneider National as vice19I made the changes in staffing and personnel and in the20president of rail for Schneider National. And at20process management within the company to address these21Schneider National, I managed all of their intermodal21suce so that we are in compliance moving forward with22operations and assets, including a fleet of 1,20023And the excuse of, well, we didn't know is not23Class 8 trucks that we ran on the highways throughout23And the excuse of, well, we didn't know is not24the US on an assigned basis to perform our intermodal24an accep	6 7	A. You bet. I started my career with the Burlington Northern Railroad. And within the Burlington	6 7	again, when I received the information in early March, I was somewhat floored, so we immediately got busy, and
12and 18,000 intermodal chassis, as well as a fleet of approximately 400 over-the-road trucks.12and procedure that have been implemented at PTI will be effective in terms of rendering significant improvements14After leaving there, I went to a company called14in the compliance issues in the state of Washington?15CH Robinson, which is a transportation brokerage15A. Yes, absolutely. I can honestly tell you that16company, managing their asset-based business where I did16in 42 years of transportation, this is the first time17pretty much the same thing, managing all of the highway17that I have been cited by any business that I run with18assets and operation.18exceptions, and I do not take that lightly. That's why19And then I went to Schneider National as vice19I made the changes in staffing and personnel and in the20president of rail for Schneider National. And at20process management within the company to address these21Schneider National, I managed all of their intermodal21all aspects.22Class 8 trucks that we ran on the highways throughout23And the excuse of, well, we didn't know is not24the US on an assigned basis to perform our intermodal24an acceptable answer to me. And the staff is well aware	6 7 8	A. You bet. I started my career with the Burlington Northern Railroad. And within the Burlington Northern Railroad, I rose through the operating ranks to	6 7 8	again, when I received the information in early March, I was somewhat floored, so we immediately got busy, and one more thing to tackle. <b>Q. Based on your experience in this profession and</b>
13approximately 400 over-the-road trucks.13effective in terms of rendering significant improvements14After leaving there, I went to a company called14in the compliance issues in the state of Washington?15CH Robinson, which is a transportation brokerage15A. Yes, absolutely. I can honestly tell you that16company, managing their asset-based business where I did16in 42 years of transportation, this is the first time17pretty much the same thing, managing all of the highway17that I have been cited by any business that I run with18exceptions, and I do not take that lightly. That's why19And then I went to Schneider National as vice1911made the changes in staffing and personnel and in the12president of rail for Schneider National. And at2013coperations and assets, including a fleet of 1,2002214the US on an assigned basis to perform our intermodal2315And the excuse of, well, we didn't know is not14an acceptable answer to me. And the staff is well aware	6 7 8 9	A. You bet. I started my career with the Burlington Northern Railroad. And within the Burlington Northern Railroad, I rose through the operating ranks to become head of equipment and maintenance for all of	6 7 8 9	again, when I received the information in early March, I was somewhat floored, so we immediately got busy, and one more thing to tackle. <b>Q. Based on your experience in this profession and</b>
14After leaving there, I went to a company called14in the compliance issues in the state of Washington?15CH Robinson, which is a transportation brokerage15A. Yes, absolutely. I can honestly tell you that16company, managing their asset-based business where I did16in 42 years of transportation, this is the first time17pretty much the same thing, managing all of the highway17that I have been cited by any business that I run with18assets and operation.18exceptions, and I do not take that lightly. That's why19And then I went to Schneider National as vice19I made the changes in staffing and personnel and in the20president of rail for Schneider National. And at20process management within the company to address these21Schneider National, I managed all of their intermodal21issues so that we are in compliance moving forward with22operations and assets, including a fleet of 1,20022And the excuse of, well, we didn't know is not23Class 8 trucks that we ran on the highways throughout23And the excuse of, well, we didn't know is not24the US on an assigned basis to perform our intermodal24an acceptable answer to me. And the staff is well aware	6 7 8 9 10	A. You bet. I started my career with the Burlington Northern Railroad. And within the Burlington Northern Railroad, I rose through the operating ranks to become head of equipment and maintenance for all of their highway assets, as well as their intermodal rail	6 7 8 9 10	again, when I received the information in early March, I was somewhat floored, so we immediately got busy, and one more thing to tackle. Q. Based on your experience in this profession and your qualifications, do you believe that the changes
15CH Robinson, which is a transportation brokerage15A. Yes, absolutely. I can honestly tell you that16company, managing their asset-based business where I did16in 42 years of transportation, this is the first time17pretty much the same thing, managing all of the highway17that I have been cited by any business that I run with18assets and operation.1819And then I went to Schneider National as vice1910president of rail for Schneider National. And at2020precess management within the company to address these21Schneider National, I managed all of their intermodal2122operations and assets, including a fleet of 1,2002223Class 8 trucks that we ran on the highways throughout2324the US on an assigned basis to perform our intermodal24	6 7 8 9 10 11	A. You bet. I started my career with the Burlington Northern Railroad. And within the Burlington Northern Railroad, I rose through the operating ranks to become head of equipment and maintenance for all of their highway assets, as well as their intermodal rail assets. I managed approximately 15,000 highway trailers	6 7 8 9 10 11	again, when I received the information in early March, I was somewhat floored, so we immediately got busy, and one more thing to tackle. Q. Based on your experience in this profession and your qualifications, do you believe that the changes and I guess changes of personnel, changes of policy
16company, managing their asset-based business where I did16in 42 years of transportation, this is the first time17pretty much the same thing, managing all of the highway17that I have been cited by any business that I run with18assets and operation.18exceptions, and I do not take that lightly. That's why19And then I went to Schneider National as vice19I made the changes in staffing and personnel and in the20president of rail for Schneider National. And at20process management within the company to address these21Schneider National, I managed all of their intermodal21issues so that we are in compliance moving forward with22operations and assets, including a fleet of 1,20022And the excuse of, well, we didn't know is not23Class 8 trucks that we ran on the highways throughout23And the excuse of, well, we didn't know is not24the US on an assigned basis to perform our intermodal24an acceptable answer to me. And the staff is well aware	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. You bet. I started my career with the Burlington Northern Railroad. And within the Burlington Northern Railroad, I rose through the operating ranks to become head of equipment and maintenance for all of their highway assets, as well as their intermodal rail assets. I managed approximately 15,000 highway trailers and 18,000 intermodal chassis, as well as a fleet of	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	again, when I received the information in early March, I was somewhat floored, so we immediately got busy, and one more thing to tackle. Q. Based on your experience in this profession and your qualifications, do you believe that the changes and I guess changes of personnel, changes of policy and procedure that have been implemented at PTI will be
17pretty much the same thing, managing all of the highway assets and operation.17that I have been cited by any business that I run with exceptions, and I do not take that lightly. That's why19And then I went to Schneider National as vice19I made the changes in staffing and personnel and in the president of rail for Schneider National. And at2020president of rail for Schneider National. And at20process management within the company to address these issues so that we are in compliance moving forward with all aspects.21Operations and assets, including a fleet of 1,2002223Class 8 trucks that we ran on the highways throughout2324the US on an assigned basis to perform our intermodal24	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. You bet. I started my career with the Burlington Northern Railroad. And within the Burlington Northern Railroad, I rose through the operating ranks to become head of equipment and maintenance for all of their highway assets, as well as their intermodal rail assets. I managed approximately 15,000 highway trailers and 18,000 intermodal chassis, as well as a fleet of approximately 400 over-the-road trucks.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	again, when I received the information in early March, I was somewhat floored, so we immediately got busy, and one more thing to tackle. Q. Based on your experience in this profession and your qualifications, do you believe that the changes and I guess changes of personnel, changes of policy and procedure that have been implemented at PTI will be effective in terms of rendering significant improvements
18assets and operation.18exceptions, and I do not take that lightly. That's why19And then I went to Schneider National as vice19I made the changes in staffing and personnel and in the20president of rail for Schneider National. And at20process management within the company to address these21Schneider National, I managed all of their intermodal21issues so that we are in compliance moving forward with22operations and assets, including a fleet of 1,20022And the excuse of, well, we didn't know is not23Class 8 trucks that we ran on the highways throughout23And the excuse of, well, we didn't know is not24the US on an assigned basis to perform our intermodal24an acceptable answer to me. And the staff is well aware	6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. You bet. I started my career with the Burlington Northern Railroad. And within the Burlington Northern Railroad, I rose through the operating ranks to become head of equipment and maintenance for all of their highway assets, as well as their intermodal rail assets. I managed approximately 15,000 highway trailers and 18,000 intermodal chassis, as well as a fleet of approximately 400 over-the-road trucks. After leaving there, I went to a company called	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	again, when I received the information in early March, I was somewhat floored, so we immediately got busy, and one more thing to tackle. Q. Based on your experience in this profession and your qualifications, do you believe that the changes and I guess changes of personnel, changes of policy and procedure that have been implemented at PTI will be effective in terms of rendering significant improvements in the compliance issues in the state of Washington?
19And then I went to Schneider National as vice19I made the changes in staffing and personnel and in the20president of rail for Schneider National. And at20process management within the company to address these21Schneider National, I managed all of their intermodal21issues so that we are in compliance moving forward with22operations and assets, including a fleet of 1,20022all aspects.23Class 8 trucks that we ran on the highways throughout23And the excuse of, well, we didn't know is not24the US on an assigned basis to perform our intermodal24	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. You bet. I started my career with the Burlington Northern Railroad. And within the Burlington Northern Railroad, I rose through the operating ranks to become head of equipment and maintenance for all of their highway assets, as well as their intermodal rail assets. I managed approximately 15,000 highway trailers and 18,000 intermodal chassis, as well as a fleet of approximately 400 over-the-road trucks. After leaving there, I went to a company called CH Robinson, which is a transportation brokerage	6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	again, when I received the information in early March, I was somewhat floored, so we immediately got busy, and one more thing to tackle. Q. Based on your experience in this profession and your qualifications, do you believe that the changes and I guess changes of personnel, changes of policy and procedure that have been implemented at PTI will be effective in terms of rendering significant improvements in the compliance issues in the state of Washington? A. Yes, absolutely. I can honestly tell you that
<ul> <li>president of rail for Schneider National. And at</li> <li>Schneider National, I managed all of their intermodal</li> <li>operations and assets, including a fleet of 1,200</li> <li>Class 8 trucks that we ran on the highways throughout</li> <li>the US on an assigned basis to perform our intermodal</li> <li>an acceptable answer to me. And the staff is well aware</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. You bet. I started my career with the Burlington Northern Railroad. And within the Burlington Northern Railroad, I rose through the operating ranks to become head of equipment and maintenance for all of their highway assets, as well as their intermodal rail assets. I managed approximately 15,000 highway trailers and 18,000 intermodal chassis, as well as a fleet of approximately 400 over-the-road trucks. After leaving there, I went to a company called CH Robinson, which is a transportation brokerage company, managing their asset-based business where I did	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	again, when I received the information in early March, I was somewhat floored, so we immediately got busy, and one more thing to tackle. <b>Q. Based on your experience in this profession and</b> your qualifications, do you believe that the changes and I guess changes of personnel, changes of policy and procedure that have been implemented at PTI will be effective in terms of rendering significant improvements in the compliance issues in the state of Washington? A. Yes, absolutely. I can honestly tell you that in 42 years of transportation, this is the first time
21Schneider National, I managed all of their intermodal21issues so that we are in compliance moving forward with22operations and assets, including a fleet of 1,20022all aspects.23Class 8 trucks that we ran on the highways throughout23And the excuse of, well, we didn't know is not24the US on an assigned basis to perform our intermodal24	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. You bet. I started my career with the Burlington Northern Railroad. And within the Burlington Northern Railroad, I rose through the operating ranks to become head of equipment and maintenance for all of their highway assets, as well as their intermodal rail assets. I managed approximately 15,000 highway trailers and 18,000 intermodal chassis, as well as a fleet of approximately 400 over-the-road trucks. After leaving there, I went to a company called CH Robinson, which is a transportation brokerage company, managing their asset-based business where I did pretty much the same thing, managing all of the highway	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	again, when I received the information in early March, I was somewhat floored, so we immediately got busy, and one more thing to tackle. <b>Q. Based on your experience in this profession and</b> your qualifications, do you believe that the changes and I guess changes of personnel, changes of policy and procedure that have been implemented at PTI will be effective in terms of rendering significant improvements in the compliance issues in the state of Washington? A. Yes, absolutely. I can honestly tell you that in 42 years of transportation, this is the first time that I have been cited by any business that I run with
22operations and assets, including a fleet of 1,20022all aspects.23Class 8 trucks that we ran on the highways throughout23And the excuse of, well, we didn't know is not24the US on an assigned basis to perform our intermodal24an acceptable answer to me. And the staff is well aware	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. You bet. I started my career with the Burlington Northern Railroad. And within the Burlington Northern Railroad, I rose through the operating ranks to become head of equipment and maintenance for all of their highway assets, as well as their intermodal rail assets. I managed approximately 15,000 highway trailers and 18,000 intermodal chassis, as well as a fleet of approximately 400 over-the-road trucks. After leaving there, I went to a company called CH Robinson, which is a transportation brokerage company, managing their asset-based business where I did pretty much the same thing, managing all of the highway assets and operation.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	again, when I received the information in early March, I was somewhat floored, so we immediately got busy, and one more thing to tackle. <b>Q. Based on your experience in this profession and</b> your qualifications, do you believe that the changes and I guess changes of personnel, changes of policy and procedure that have been implemented at PTI will be effective in terms of rendering significant improvements in the compliance issues in the state of Washington? A. Yes, absolutely. I can honestly tell you that in 42 years of transportation, this is the first time that I have been cited by any business that I run with exceptions, and I do not take that lightly. That's why
23Class 8 trucks that we ran on the highways throughout23And the excuse of, well, we didn't know is not24the US on an assigned basis to perform our intermodal24an acceptable answer to me. And the staff is well aware	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. You bet. I started my career with the Burlington Northern Railroad. And within the Burlington Northern Railroad, I rose through the operating ranks to become head of equipment and maintenance for all of their highway assets, as well as their intermodal rail assets. I managed approximately 15,000 highway trailers and 18,000 intermodal chassis, as well as a fleet of approximately 400 over-the-road trucks. After leaving there, I went to a company called CH Robinson, which is a transportation brokerage company, managing their asset-based business where I did pretty much the same thing, managing all of the highway assets and operation. And then I went to Schneider National as vice	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	again, when I received the information in early March, I was somewhat floored, so we immediately got busy, and one more thing to tackle. <b>Q. Based on your experience in this profession and</b> your qualifications, do you believe that the changes and I guess changes of personnel, changes of policy and procedure that have been implemented at PTI will be effective in terms of rendering significant improvements in the compliance issues in the state of Washington? A. Yes, absolutely. I can honestly tell you that in 42 years of transportation, this is the first time that I have been cited by any business that I run with exceptions, and I do not take that lightly. That's why I made the changes in staffing and personnel and in the
the US on an assigned basis to perform our intermodal 24 an acceptable answer to me. And the staff is well aware	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. You bet. I started my career with the Burlington Northern Railroad. And within the Burlington Northern Railroad, I rose through the operating ranks to become head of equipment and maintenance for all of their highway assets, as well as their intermodal rail assets. I managed approximately 15,000 highway trailers and 18,000 intermodal chassis, as well as a fleet of approximately 400 over-the-road trucks. After leaving there, I went to a company called CH Robinson, which is a transportation brokerage company, managing their asset-based business where I did pretty much the same thing, managing all of the highway assets and operation. And then I went to Schneider National as vice president of rail for Schneider National. And at	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	again, when I received the information in early March, I was somewhat floored, so we immediately got busy, and one more thing to tackle. <b>Q. Based on your experience in this profession and</b> <b>your qualifications, do you believe that the changes</b> <b>and I guess changes of personnel, changes of policy</b> <b>and procedure that have been implemented at PTI will be</b> <b>effective in terms of rendering significant improvements</b> <b>in the compliance issues in the state of Washington?</b> A. Yes, absolutely. I can honestly tell you that in 42 years of transportation, this is the first time that I have been cited by any business that I run with exceptions, and I do not take that lightly. That's why I made the changes in staffing and personnel and in the process management within the company to address these
	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. You bet. I started my career with the Burlington Northern Railroad. And within the Burlington Northern Railroad, I rose through the operating ranks to become head of equipment and maintenance for all of their highway assets, as well as their intermodal rail assets. I managed approximately 15,000 highway trailers and 18,000 intermodal chassis, as well as a fleet of approximately 400 over-the-road trucks. After leaving there, I went to a company called CH Robinson, which is a transportation brokerage company, managing their asset-based business where I did pretty much the same thing, managing all of the highway assets and operation. And then I went to Schneider National as vice president of rail for Schneider National. And at Schneider National, I managed all of their intermodal	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	again, when I received the information in early March, I was somewhat floored, so we immediately got busy, and one more thing to tackle. <b>Q. Based on your experience in this profession and</b> <b>your qualifications, do you believe that the changes</b> <b>and I guess changes of personnel, changes of policy</b> <b>and procedure that have been implemented at PTI will be</b> <b>effective in terms of rendering significant improvements</b> <b>in the compliance issues in the state of Washington?</b> A. Yes, absolutely. I can honestly tell you that in 42 years of transportation, this is the first time that I have been cited by any business that I run with exceptions, and I do not take that lightly. That's why I made the changes in staffing and personnel and in the process management within the company to address these issues so that we are in compliance moving forward with
25       delivery service. And I had full responsibility for all       25       that that's not an out. You need to be familiar with	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. You bet. I started my career with the Burlington Northern Railroad. And within the Burlington Northern Railroad, I rose through the operating ranks to become head of equipment and maintenance for all of their highway assets, as well as their intermodal rail assets. I managed approximately 15,000 highway trailers and 18,000 intermodal chassis, as well as a fleet of approximately 400 over-the-road trucks. After leaving there, I went to a company called CH Robinson, which is a transportation brokerage company, managing their asset-based business where I did pretty much the same thing, managing all of the highway assets and operation. And then I went to Schneider National as vice president of rail for Schneider National. And at Schneider National, I managed all of their intermodal operations and assets, including a fleet of 1,200	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	again, when I received the information in early March, I was somewhat floored, so we immediately got busy, and one more thing to tackle. <b>Q. Based on your experience in this profession and</b> <b>your qualifications, do you believe that the changes</b> <b>and I guess changes of personnel, changes of policy</b> <b>and procedure that have been implemented at PTI will be</b> <b>effective in terms of rendering significant improvements</b> <b>in the compliance issues in the state of Washington?</b> A. Yes, absolutely. I can honestly tell you that in 42 years of transportation, this is the first time that I have been cited by any business that I run with exceptions, and I do not take that lightly. That's why I made the changes in staffing and personnel and in the process management within the company to address these issues so that we are in compliance moving forward with all aspects.
	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. You bet. I started my career with the Burlington Northern Railroad. And within the Burlington Northern Railroad, I rose through the operating ranks to become head of equipment and maintenance for all of their highway assets, as well as their intermodal rail assets. I managed approximately 15,000 highway trailers and 18,000 intermodal chassis, as well as a fleet of approximately 400 over-the-road trucks. After leaving there, I went to a company called CH Robinson, which is a transportation brokerage company, managing their asset-based business where I did pretty much the same thing, managing all of the highway assets and operation. And then I went to Schneider National as vice president of rail for Schneider National. And at Schneider National, I managed all of their intermodal operations and assets, including a fleet of 1,200 Class 8 trucks that we ran on the highways throughout	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	again, when I received the information in early March, I was somewhat floored, so we immediately got busy, and one more thing to tackle. <b>Q. Based on your experience in this profession and</b> <b>your qualifications, do you believe that the changes</b> <b>and I guess changes of personnel, changes of policy</b> <b>and procedure that have been implemented at PTI will be</b> <b>effective in terms of rendering significant improvements</b> <b>in the compliance issues in the state of Washington?</b> A. Yes, absolutely. I can honestly tell you that in 42 years of transportation, this is the first time that I have been cited by any business that I run with exceptions, and I do not take that lightly. That's why I made the changes in staffing and personnel and in the process management within the company to address these issues so that we are in compliance moving forward with all aspects. And the excuse of, well, we didn't know is not

	Page 37		Page 39
1	all the aspects of what you're managing and the		EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 39
2	requirements, and you need to be in full compliance of		
3	those requirements.	1	Q. I'd like to before we get to the specific
4	MR. PARKER: I have no further questions for	2	numbers, I'd like to see if I can understand your
5		3	process for developing this recommendation.
	the witness.	4	Are you aware that this company was previously
6	JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you.	5	under investigation by the Commission?
7	Mr. Beattie, do you have any questions for	6	A. Yes, I am.
8	Mr. Howland?	7	Q. And that was Docket TE-144101?
9	MR. BEATTIE: Staff has no questions.	8	A. Yes.
10	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So at	9	Q. What is your understanding of how that docket
11	this time, if you're ready, we can move on to	10	was resolved?
12	Mr. Pratt's testimony.	11	A. That docket ended in a penalty assessment for
13	MR. BEATTIE: Has Mr. Pratt been sworn in?	12	\$18,800. And through requests for mitigation from the
14	JUDGE PEARSON: Not yet. So if you'd like	13	company, the Commission mitigated \$6,700 of that penalty
15		14	with the condition that there were no repeat violations
16		15	for one year in that case where the final order was
	DAVE PRATT witness barein, beving been	16	issued on February 13th of 2015.
17	DAVE PRATT, witness herein, having been	17	Q. What specifically did the Commission order in
18	first duly sworn on oath,	18	terms of no repeat violations?
19	was examined and testified	19	A. Well, I think it was pretty much just like you
20	as follows:	20	said. It was no repeat violations of 391.45(a) and no
21		21	repeat violations of 396.17(a), which are the two rules
22	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Go ahead and be	22	that cover medical cards and vehicle inspections.
23	seated. And Mr. Beattie, you can proceed when you're	23	Q. Should the Commission impose the suspended
24	ready.	24	penalty in these consolidated dockets today?
		25	A. Absolutely.
25	MR. BEATTIE: Thank you.	23	A. Absolutely.
25	-	25	
25	Page 38	25	Page 40
25	-	2.5	
	Page 38 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 38		Page 40 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 40
1	Page 38 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 38 *** EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE ***	1	Page 40 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 40 Q. Why?
1 2	Page 38 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 38 *** EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE *** BY MR. BEATTIE:	1 2	Page 40 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 40 Q. Why? A. I believe that this investigation, TE-160231,
1 2 3	Page 38 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 38 *** EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE *** BY MR. BEATTIE: Q. Mr. Pratt, can you please state and spell your	1 2 3	Page 40 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 40 Q. Why? A. I believe that this investigation, TE-160231, has shown that those violation in those two areas did
1 2 3 4	Page 38 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 38 *** EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE *** BY MR. BEATTIE: Q. Mr. Pratt, can you please state and spell your name for the record?	1 2 3 4	Page 40 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 40 Q. Why? A. I believe that this investigation, TE-160231, has shown that those violation in those two areas did reoccur. And based on the criteria of the previous
1 2 3 4 5	Page 38 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 38 *** EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE *** BY MR. BEATTIE: Q. Mr. Pratt, can you please state and spell your name for the record? A. Sure. My name is David Pratt, P-R-A-T-T.	1 2 3 4 5	Page 40 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 40 Q. Why? A. I believe that this investigation, TE-160231, has shown that those violation in those two areas did reoccur. And based on the criteria of the previous order, any reoccurrences would trigger the suspended
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 38 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 38 *** EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE *** BY MR. BEATTIE: Q. Mr. Pratt, can you please state and spell your name for the record? A. Sure. My name is David Pratt, P-R-A-T-T. Q. What is your position with the Commission?	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 40 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 40 Q. Why? A. I believe that this investigation, TE-160231, has shown that those violation in those two areas did reoccur. And based on the criteria of the previous order, any reoccurrences would trigger the suspended penalty.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 38 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 38 *** EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE *** BY MR. BEATTIE: Q. Mr. Pratt, can you please state and spell your name for the record? A. Sure. My name is David Pratt, P-R-A-T-T. Q. What is your position with the Commission? A. I'm currently the assistant director for	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 40 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 40 Q. Why? A. I believe that this investigation, TE-160231, has shown that those violation in those two areas did reoccur. And based on the criteria of the previous order, any reoccurrences would trigger the suspended penalty. Q. I'd like to turn now to your penalty
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 38 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 38 *** EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE *** BY MR. BEATTIE: Q. Mr. Pratt, can you please state and spell your name for the record? A. Sure. My name is David Pratt, P-R-A-T-T. Q. What is your position with the Commission? A. I'm currently the assistant director for transportation safety.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 40 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 40 Q. Why? A. I believe that this investigation, TE-160231, has shown that those violation in those two areas did reoccur. And based on the criteria of the previous order, any reoccurrences would trigger the suspended penalty. Q. I'd like to turn now to your penalty recommendation for the dockets or excuse me the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 38 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 38 *** EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE *** BY MR. BEATTIE: Q. Mr. Pratt, can you please state and spell your name for the record? A. Sure. My name is David Pratt, P-R-A-T-T. Q. What is your position with the Commission? A. I'm currently the assistant director for transportation safety. Q. As the assistant director for transportation	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 40 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 40 Q. Why? A. I believe that this investigation, TE-160231, has shown that those violation in those two areas did reoccur. And based on the criteria of the previous order, any reoccurrences would trigger the suspended penalty. Q. I'd like to turn now to your penalty recommendation for the dockets or excuse me the violation alleged in TE-160231.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 38 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 38 *** EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE *** BY MR. BEATTIE: Q. Mr. Pratt, can you please state and spell your name for the record? A. Sure. My name is David Pratt, P-R-A-T-T. Q. What is your position with the Commission? A. I'm currently the assistant director for transportation safety. Q. As the assistant director for transportation safety, what was your role with respect to Ms. Gagne's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 40 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 40 Q. Why? A. I believe that this investigation, TE-160231, has shown that those violation in those two areas did reoccur. And based on the criteria of the previous order, any reoccurrences would trigger the suspended penalty. Q. I'd like to turn now to your penalty recommendation for the dockets or excuse me the violation alleged in TE-160231. What is your penalty recommendation there?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 38 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 38 *** EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE *** BY MR. BEATTIE: Q. Mr. Pratt, can you please state and spell your name for the record? A. Sure. My name is David Pratt, P-R-A-T-T. Q. What is your position with the Commission? A. I'm currently the assistant director for transportation safety. Q. As the assistant director for transportation safety, what was your role with respect to Ms. Gagne's investigation?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 40 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 40 Q. Why? A. I believe that this investigation, TE-160231, has shown that those violation in those two areas did reoccur. And based on the criteria of the previous order, any reoccurrences would trigger the suspended penalty. Q. I'd like to turn now to your penalty recommendation for the dockets or excuse me the violation alleged in TE-160231. What is your penalty recommendation there? A. Okay. What I'd like to do, if I could really
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 38 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 38 **** EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE **** BY MR. BEATTIE: Q. Mr. Pratt, can you please state and spell your name for the record? A. Sure. My name is David Pratt, P-R-A-T-T. Q. What is your position with the Commission? A. I'm currently the assistant director for transportation safety. Q. As the assistant director for transportation safety, what was your role with respect to Ms. Gagne's investigation? A. In my role, one of my jobs is to oversee and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 40 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 40 Q. Why? A. I believe that this investigation, TE-160231, has shown that those violation in those two areas did reoccur. And based on the criteria of the previous order, any reoccurrences would trigger the suspended penalty. Q. I'd like to turn now to your penalty recommendation for the dockets or excuse me the violation alleged in TE-160231. What is your penalty recommendation there? A. Okay. What I'd like to do, if I could really quickly, is, I just have a couple points I'd like to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 38 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 38 **** EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE **** BY MR. BEATTIE: Q. Mr. Pratt, can you please state and spell your name for the record? A. Sure. My name is David Pratt, P-R-A-T-T. Q. What is your position with the Commission? A. I'm currently the assistant director for transportation safety. Q. As the assistant director for transportation safety, what was your role with respect to Ms. Gagne's investigation? A. In my role, one of my jobs is to oversee and review all motor carrier assignments that come in, all	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 40 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 40 Q. Why? A. I believe that this investigation, TE-160231, has shown that those violation in those two areas did reoccur. And based on the criteria of the previous order, any reoccurrences would trigger the suspended penalty. Q. I'd like to turn now to your penalty recommendation for the dockets or excuse me the violation alleged in TE-160231. What is your penalty recommendation there? A. Okay. What I'd like to do, if I could really quickly, is, I just have a couple points I'd like to cover to kind of explain my rationale and my reasoning
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 38 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 38 **** EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE *** BY MR. BEATTIE: Q. Mr. Pratt, can you please state and spell your name for the record? A. Sure. My name is David Pratt, P-R-A-T-T. Q. What is your position with the Commission? A. I'm currently the assistant director for transportation safety. Q. As the assistant director for transportation safety, what was your role with respect to Ms. Gagne's investigation? A. In my role, one of my jobs is to oversee and review all motor carrier assignments that come in, all investigations, and specifically in a case like this, to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 40 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 40 Q. Why? A. I believe that this investigation, TE-160231, has shown that those violation in those two areas did reoccur. And based on the criteria of the previous order, any reoccurrences would trigger the suspended penalty. Q. I'd like to turn now to your penalty recommendation for the dockets or excuse me the violation alleged in TE-160231. What is your penalty recommendation there? A. Okay. What I'd like to do, if I could really quickly, is, I just have a couple points I'd like to cover to kind of explain my rationale and my reasoning for coming up with this recommendation.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 38 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 38 *** EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE *** BY MR. BEATTIE: Q. Mr. Pratt, can you please state and spell your name for the record? A. Sure. My name is David Pratt, P-R-A-T-T. Q. What is your position with the Commission? A. I'm currently the assistant director for transportation safety. Q. As the assistant director for transportation safety, what was your role with respect to Ms. Gagne's investigation? A. In my role, one of my jobs is to oversee and review all motor carrier assignments that come in, all investigations, and specifically in a case like this, to review the findings and determine penalty	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 40 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 40 Q. Why? A. I believe that this investigation, TE-160231, has shown that those violation in those two areas did reoccur. And based on the criteria of the previous order, any reoccurrences would trigger the suspended penalty. Q. I'd like to turn now to your penalty recommendation for the dockets or excuse me the violation alleged in TE-160231. What is your penalty recommendation there? A. Okay. What I'd like to do, if I could really quickly, is, I just have a couple points I'd like to cover to kind of explain my rationale and my reasoning for coming up with this recommendation. I would also like to add that, as part of my
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 38 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 38 **** EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE **** BY MR. BEATTIE: Q. Mr. Pratt, can you please state and spell your name for the record? A. Sure. My name is David Pratt, P-R-A-T-T. Q. What is your position with the Commission? A. I'm currently the assistant director for transportation safety. Q. As the assistant director for transportation safety, what was your role with respect to Ms. Gagne's investigation? A. In my role, one of my jobs is to oversee and review all motor carrier assignments that come in, all investigations, and specifically in a case like this, to review the findings and determine penalty recommendations.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 40 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 40 Q. Why? A. I believe that this investigation, TE-160231, has shown that those violation in those two areas did reoccur. And based on the criteria of the previous order, any reoccurrences would trigger the suspended penalty. Q. I'd like to turn now to your penalty recommendation for the dockets or excuse me the violation alleged in TE-160231. What is your penalty recommendation there? A. Okay. What I'd like to do, if I could really quickly, is, I just have a couple points I'd like to cover to kind of explain my rationale and my reasoning for coming up with this recommendation. I would also like to add that, as part of my evaluation of this, I used the Agency's penalty
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 38 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 38 **** EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE **** BY MR. BEATTIE: Q. Mr. Pratt, can you please state and spell your name for the record? A. Sure. My name is David Pratt, P-R-A-T-T. Q. What is your position with the Commission? A. I'm currently the assistant director for transportation safety. Q. As the assistant director for transportation safety, what was your role with respect to Ms. Gagne's investigation? A. In my role, one of my jobs is to oversee and review all motor carrier assignments that come in, all investigations, and specifically in a case like this, to review the findings and determine penalty recommendations. Q. Did you specifically review Ms. Gagne's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 40 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 40 Q. Why? A. I believe that this investigation, TE-160231, has shown that those violation in those two areas did reoccur. And based on the criteria of the previous order, any reoccurrences would trigger the suspended penalty. Q. I'd like to turn now to your penalty recommendation for the dockets or excuse me the violation alleged in TE-160231. What is your penalty recommendation there? A. Okay. What I'd like to do, if I could really quickly, is, I just have a couple points I'd like to cover to kind of explain my rationale and my reasoning for coming up with this recommendation. I would also like to add that, as part of my evaluation of this, I used the Agency's penalty assessment or penalty criteria. We have 11 factors we
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 38 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 38 **** EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE **** BY MR. BEATTIE: Q. Mr. Pratt, can you please state and spell your name for the record? A. Sure. My name is David Pratt, P-R-A-T-T. Q. What is your position with the Commission? A. I'm currently the assistant director for transportation safety. Q. As the assistant director for transportation safety, what was your role with respect to Ms. Gagne's investigation? A. In my role, one of my jobs is to oversee and review all motor carrier assignments that come in, all investigations, and specifically in a case like this, to review the findings and determine penalty recommendations. Q. Did you specifically review Ms. Gagne's investigation with respect to Professional	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 40 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 40 Q. Why? A. I believe that this investigation, TE-160231, has shown that those violation in those two areas did reoccur. And based on the criteria of the previous order, any reoccurrences would trigger the suspended penalty. Q. I'd like to turn now to your penalty recommendation for the dockets or excuse me the violation alleged in TE-160231. What is your penalty recommendation there? A. Okay. What I'd like to do, if I could really quickly, is, I just have a couple points I'd like to cover to kind of explain my rationale and my reasoning for coming up with this recommendation. I would also like to add that, as part of my evaluation of this, I used the Agency's penalty assessment or penalty criteria. We have 11 factors we look at which help us guide us in the decisions.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 38 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 38 **** EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE **** BY MR. BEATTIE: Q. Mr. Pratt, can you please state and spell your name for the record? A. Sure. My name is David Pratt, P-R-A-T-T. Q. What is your position with the Commission? A. I'm currently the assistant director for transportation safety. Q. As the assistant director for transportation safety, what was your role with respect to Ms. Gagne's investigation? A. In my role, one of my jobs is to oversee and review all motor carrier assignments that come in, all investigations, and specifically in a case like this, to review the findings and determine penalty recommendations. Q. Did you specifically review Ms. Gagne's investigation with respect to Professional Transportation, Incorporated?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 40 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 40 Q. Why? A. I believe that this investigation, TE-160231, has shown that those violation in those two areas did reoccur. And based on the criteria of the previous order, any reoccurrences would trigger the suspended penalty. Q. I'd like to turn now to your penalty recommendation for the dockets or excuse me the violation alleged in TE-160231. What is your penalty recommendation there? A. Okay. What I'd like to do, if I could really quickly, is, I just have a couple points I'd like to cover to kind of explain my rationale and my reasoning for coming up with this recommendation. I would also like to add that, as part of my evaluation of this, I used the Agency's penalty assessment or penalty criteria. We have 11 factors we look at which help us guide us in the decisions. It's contained in our Agency's enforcement policy. It
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 38 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 38 **** EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE **** BY MR. BEATTIE: Q. Mr. Pratt, can you please state and spell your name for the record? A. Sure. My name is David Pratt, P-R-A-T-T. Q. What is your position with the Commission? A. I'm currently the assistant director for transportation safety. Q. As the assistant director for transportation safety, what was your role with respect to Ms. Gagne's investigation? A. In my role, one of my jobs is to oversee and review all motor carrier assignments that come in, all investigations, and specifically in a case like this, to review the findings and determine penalty recommendations. Q. Did you specifically review Ms. Gagne's investigation with respect to Professional Transportation, Incorporated? A. Yes, I did.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 40 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 40 Q. Why? A. I believe that this investigation, TE-160231, has shown that those violation in those two areas did reoccur. And based on the criteria of the previous order, any reoccurrences would trigger the suspended penalty. Q. I'd like to turn now to your penalty recommendation for the dockets or excuse me the violation alleged in TE-160231. What is your penalty recommendation there? A. Okay. What I'd like to do, if I could really quickly, is, I just have a couple points I'd like to cover to kind of explain my rationale and my reasoning for coming up with this recommendation. I would also like to add that, as part of my evaluation of this, I used the Agency's penalty assessment or penalty criteria. We have 11 factors we look at which help us guide us in the decisions. It's contained in our Agency's enforcement policy. It contains I'm not going to go over every one of these
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 38 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 38 *** EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE *** BY MR. BEATTIE: Q. Mr. Pratt, can you please state and spell your name for the record? A. Sure. My name is David Pratt, P-R-A-T-T. Q. What is your position with the Commission? A. I'm currently the assistant director for transportation safety. Q. As the assistant director for transportation safety, what was your role with respect to Ms. Gagne's investigation? A. In my role, one of my jobs is to oversee and review all motor carrier assignments that come in, all investigations, and specifically in a case like this, to review the findings and determine penalty recommendations. Q. Did you specifically review Ms. Gagne's investigation with respect to Professional Transportation, Incorporated? A. Yes, I did. Q. Mr. Pratt, you mentioned that one of your roles	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 40 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 40 Q. Why? A. I believe that this investigation, TE-160231, has shown that those violation in those two areas did reoccur. And based on the criteria of the previous order, any reoccurrences would trigger the suspended penalty. Q. I'd like to turn now to your penalty recommendation for the dockets or excuse me the violation alleged in TE-160231. What is your penalty recommendation there? A. Okay. What I'd like to do, if I could really quickly, is, I just have a couple points I'd like to cover to kind of explain my rationale and my reasoning for coming up with this recommendation. I would also like to add that, as part of my evaluation of this, I used the Agency's penalty assessment or penalty criteria. We have 11 factors we look at which help us guide us in the decisions. It's contained in our Agency's enforcement policy. It contains I'm not going to go over every one of these 11, but it contains things such as previous history with
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 38 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 38 *** EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE *** BY MR. BEATTIE: Q. Mr. Pratt, can you please state and spell your name for the record? A. Sure. My name is David Pratt, P-R-A-T-T. Q. What is your position with the Commission? A. I'm currently the assistant director for transportation safety. Q. As the assistant director for transportation safety, what was your role with respect to Ms. Gagne's investigation? A. In my role, one of my jobs is to oversee and review all motor carrier assignments that come in, all investigations, and specifically in a case like this, to review the findings and determine penalty recommendations. Q. Did you specifically review Ms. Gagne's investigation with respect to Professional Transportation, Incorporated? A. Yes, I did. Q. Mr. Pratt, you mentioned that one of your roles is to develop penalty recommendations. Have you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 40 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 40 Q. Why? A. I believe that this investigation, TE-160231, has shown that those violation in those two areas did reoccur. And based on the criteria of the previous order, any reoccurrences would trigger the suspended penalty. Q. I'd like to turn now to your penalty recommendation for the dockets or excuse me the violation alleged in TE-160231. What is your penalty recommendation there? A. Okay. What I'd like to do, if I could really quickly, is, I just have a couple points I'd like to cover to kind of explain my rationale and my reasoning for coming up with this recommendation. I would also like to add that, as part of my evaluation of this, I used the Agency's penalty assessment or penalty criteria. We have 11 factors we look at which help us guide us in the decisions. It's contained in our Agency's enforcement policy. It contains I'm not going to go over every one of these 11, but it contains things such as previous history with the company, previous compliance, size of the company,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 38 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 38 *** EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE *** BY MR. BEATTIE: Q. Mr. Pratt, can you please state and spell your name for the record? A. Sure. My name is David Pratt, P-R-A-T-T. Q. What is your position with the Commission? A. I'm currently the assistant director for transportation safety. Q. As the assistant director for transportation safety, what was your role with respect to Ms. Gagne's investigation? A. In my role, one of my jobs is to oversee and review all motor carrier assignments that come in, all investigations, and specifically in a case like this, to review the findings and determine penalty recommendations. Q. Did you specifically review Ms. Gagne's investigation with respect to Professional Transportation, Incorporated? A. Yes, I did. Q. Mr. Pratt, you mentioned that one of your roles is to develop penalty recommendations. Have you developed a penalty recommendation on behalf of Staff in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 40 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 40 Q. Why? A. I believe that this investigation, TE-160231, has shown that those violation in those two areas did reoccur. And based on the criteria of the previous order, any reoccurrences would trigger the suspended penalty. Q. I'd like to turn now to your penalty recommendation for the dockets or excuse me the violation alleged in TE-160231. What is your penalty recommendation there? A. Okay. What I'd like to do, if I could really quickly, is, I just have a couple points I'd like to cover to kind of explain my rationale and my reasoning for coming up with this recommendation. I would also like to add that, as part of my evaluation of this, I used the Agency's penalty assessment or penalty criteria. We have 11 factors we look at which help us guide us in the decisions. It's contained in our Agency's enforcement policy. It contains I'm not going to go over every one of these 11, but it contains things such as previous history with the company, previous compliance, size of the company, willingness to comply, previous actions taken against
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 38 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 38 *** EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE *** BY MR. BEATTIE: Q. Mr. Pratt, can you please state and spell your name for the record? A. Sure. My name is David Pratt, P-R-A-T-T. Q. What is your position with the Commission? A. I'm currently the assistant director for transportation safety. Q. As the assistant director for transportation safety, what was your role with respect to Ms. Gagne's investigation? A. In my role, one of my jobs is to oversee and review all motor carrier assignments that come in, all investigations, and specifically in a case like this, to review the findings and determine penalty recommendations. Q. Did you specifically review Ms. Gagne's investigation with respect to Professional Transportation, Incorporated? A. Yes, I did. Q. Mr. Pratt, you mentioned that one of your roles is to develop penalty recommendations. Have you developed a penalty recommendation on behalf of Staff in this particular docket?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 40 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 40 Q. Why? A. I believe that this investigation, TE-160231, has shown that those violation in those two areas did reoccur. And based on the criteria of the previous order, any reoccurrences would trigger the suspended penalty. Q. I'd like to turn now to your penalty recommendation for the dockets or excuse me the violation alleged in TE-160231. What is your penalty recommendation there? A. Okay. What I'd like to do, if I could really quickly, is, I just have a couple points I'd like to cover to kind of explain my rationale and my reasoning for coming up with this recommendation. I would also like to add that, as part of my evaluation of this, I used the Agency's penalty assessment or penalty criteria. We have 11 factors we look at which help us guide us in the decisions. It's contained in our Agency's enforcement policy. It contains I'm not going to go over every one of these 11, but it contains things such as previous history with the company, previous compliance, size of the company,

1	et Nos. TE-160231 and TE-144101 - Vol. I		WUTC v. Professional Transportation,
	Page 41		Page 4
	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 41		EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 43
1   <b> </b> 'n	n looking at it. I look at the seriousness of the	1	was Les Sokolowski if I get that pronounced correctly
	olations that have occurred. I look at the Company's	2	there he wrote in a letter to me, which is part of
	esponse and how they've acted, so to speak, since the	3	the docket in that previous file, that said: PTI has
	enalty or the recommendation was issued. And I kind of	4	implemented a DOT-certified driver medical examination
1.	st review the Company's history and try and look at	5	process and our drivers have been certified. We are
-	le big picture.	6	also examining and certifying all new hires and tracking
,	So I'll start by saying, again, in this case	7	renewals.
	ith the previous docket, I believe that \$6,700, it has	8	That was in January of 2015, well before we went
	een shown that those violations did reoccur and that	9	back in November of '15 to review this. So I would have
	hould be assessed from that there.	10	assumed that ten months after I received that letter
	But in this case, under TE-160231, I think Staff	11	that we would have gone in and we wouldn't have found
	as shown, and it's been stipulated here that the	12	these repeat violations.
	ompany committed 324 violations of Agency rules or	13	In that previous case, as I mentioned earlier,
	ederal regulations. Those were made up of a variety of	14	the Commission did mitigate about a third of the
	cute, critical and critical-type violations.	15	penalty, \$6,700. And as part of that mitigation, the
a	And a little bit of a summary. There were two	16	UTC ordered and the Company agreed to no repeat
	cute violations, which was the falsified medical cards.	17	violations, that we would reinspect in one year to make
	here was 150 repeat violations of not having a medical	18	sure those hadn't occurred, and if they did reoccur,
	ard. There was also another 111 almost identical	19	then the suspended penalty would become due and payable.
	epeat violations. That was the two 391.51 violations,	20	And then finally, I'd like to say in that case
	e Part A and the Part B. And as Ms. Gagne explained,	20	that, besides the letter I talked about, PTI did submit
	e A covers never having a medical card; B covers not	22	a compliance plan stating that they would correct these
	aving one renewed in 24 months. So while it's not the	23 24	violations and that they had actions planned to prevent these from recurring in the future.
	xact same number, it is the same medical card type olation.	24	So that's kind of the background that led to
	Page 42	2.5	Page 4
	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 42		EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 44
L	We also had 11 critical type violations, which	1	this investigation, going into it, believing that we
	We also had 11 critical-type violations, which		
	ere the filing. And so of the 324 violations, 274 of	2	would go in and find the Company had corrected the
	ose were either acute, critical or critical-type,	3	problems from before. Naturally, you never find
	hich left us with 50 recordkeeping violations out of	4	100 percent compliance; we expected we might find some
th	iis total.	5	small things.
	So looking at that there, I will remind everyone	6	But this is what we would call a focused
	at the Commission does have statutory authority to	7	investigation. It was only to look at the parts that we
	ssess penalties of up to \$1,000 per violation for these	8	had violations on before, which were the medical card
	olations here. And then as just a quick reminder,	9	issues and the vehicles, and so that's why this was
	nder the previous docket, TE-144101, there were 188	10	limited here. I believe if we had looked broader, we
	ritical violations in that review, there was 187	11	probably would have found more violations, but this was
	olations of no medical card.	12	only meant to look at the things from the previous case.
۱.	And while there were 20 vehicles that had not	13	So having said that, in this investigation, we
	een inspected back then, we wrote that up as one	14	found 324 violations. Some of the facts I'd just like
	olation. It was the first one, so we only counted	15	to make as part of the record here for note, I did
	hat as one back then. This time it's 114.	16	notice through the data here that many of the company
	But in that previous case, we also used the	17	drivers delayed even getting their medical cards until
	enalty assessment process, which is a real paperwork	18	June of 2015, which was well after the time the
	rocess versus a hearing, and that's where we assess	19	compliance case ended from before. The previous case
	100 per penalty, and that's just a flat rate, and	20	ended February of '15, so we would have expected that
	ere's not much negotiation other than to get to the	21	that would have started much sooner than waiting three
	itigation part there. So that's a whole different	22	or four months, and that's documented on page 12 of
3 pr	rocessing than what we've used in this case today.	23	Ms. Gagne's exhibit, FG-1.
· · ·		1	
1	And I'd just like to say that, in January anuary 25th of 2015, the current safety officer, name	24	Secondly, the Company appears not to have taken any action on doing the vehicle periodic inspections

	Page 45		Page	47
	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 45		EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 47	
1	until the day this surrent review started. Ma Cosme	1	to have these he repeated after the case we want through	
1		1	to have those be repeated after the case we went through	
2	as is noted in her report on page 12 as well, noted that	2	is just not acceptable. I believe the Company has disregarded the	
3	she received a call from a maintenance person at the	3		
4	Company after this investigation was scheduled asking	4	Commission's previous order in TE-144101, which in	
5	what he had to do about getting vehicle inspections	5	which they accepted the fact that they would correct the	
6	done. And so I was kind of frustrated that, here we had	6	violations and prevent them from occurring again.	
7	been again, eight to ten months had occurred, and the	7	Again, the Company pledged in writing to us that they	
8	day the investigation starts that a Company mechanic is	8	would correct these violations, but instead we found	
9	asking what they have to do to get periodic inspections	9	more a year later instead of finding fewer.	
10	done. I would have expected they would have come to us	10	And again, in my experience, and based on my	
11	sooner or started those periodic inspections before	11	review and my opinion, I believe that this company has	
12	that.	12	put its drivers, its passengers and the traveling public	
13	This investigation did reveal more violations	13	at risk by using these vehicles being driven by drivers	
14	than in the previous review. Again, the previous	14	that weren't medically certified, and by using vehicles	
15	review, we had 188 violations. And in this review, we	15	that had not been periodically inspected, which are the	
16	had 324, again, just in the two areas: 391, medical	16	two main things that cause accidents. Drivers and	
17	cards, and 396, vehicle inspections.	17	vehicles are the two main items, and this company let	
18	I will say my experience shows that it appears	18	these drivers drive. I don't have the exact Washington	
19	that these driver files were not being maintained or	19	mileage here with me, but a tremendous amount of number	
20	reviewed as appropriate. That would have noted the	20	of trips on the public highways hauling people with	
21	discrepancies in the medical cards if somebody had been	21	uncertified drivers and bad vehicles.	
22	looking at those files carefully.	22	And then I'll finally say that the previous	
23	The fraudulent medical cards that were in the	23	penalty did not seem to cause this company to correct	
24	driver files indicates to me that the Company had	24	the violations. Generally, when we have a compliance	
25	accepted them, because they were located in their files,	25	case, and I'll say a \$12,000 penalty was substantial,	
	B 10		B	40
	Page 46 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 46		Page 4 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 48	
1	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 46	1	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 48	
1	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 46 but had not really looked at them very carefully.	1	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 48 it's usually enough to get the Company's attention to	
2	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 46 but had not really looked at them very carefully. And I can't really speak to why those were	2	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 48 it's usually enough to get the Company's attention to correct the violations and prevent them from occurring	
2 3	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 46 but had not really looked at them very carefully. And I can't really speak to why those were accepted, but it's noted in the report, and as part of	2 3	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 48 it's usually enough to get the Company's attention to correct the violations and prevent them from occurring again. And as we learned in this case, it apparently	
2	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 46 but had not really looked at them very carefully. And I can't really speak to why those were accepted, but it's noted in the report, and as part of the evidence here, to me, those fraudulent medical cards	2 3 4	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 48 it's usually enough to get the Company's attention to correct the violations and prevent them from occurring again. And as we learned in this case, it apparently did not.	
2 3 4 5	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 46 but had not really looked at them very carefully. And I can't really speak to why those were accepted, but it's noted in the report, and as part of the evidence here, to me, those fraudulent medical cards were just blatantly obvious that they had been forged.	2 3 4 5	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 48 it's usually enough to get the Company's attention to correct the violations and prevent them from occurring again. And as we learned in this case, it apparently did not. So I'm prepared to make a recommendation on each	
2 3 4 5 6	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 46 but had not really looked at them very carefully. And I can't really speak to why those were accepted, but it's noted in the report, and as part of the evidence here, to me, those fraudulent medical cards were just blatantly obvious that they had been forged. The number "5" had been written over with a "6" in both	2 3 4 5 6	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 48 it's usually enough to get the Company's attention to correct the violations and prevent them from occurring again. And as we learned in this case, it apparently did not. So I'm prepared to make a recommendation on each of the violations, which there are six. And I'll break	
2 3 4 5 6 7	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 46 but had not really looked at them very carefully. And I can't really speak to why those were accepted, but it's noted in the report, and as part of the evidence here, to me, those fraudulent medical cards were just blatantly obvious that they had been forged. The number "5" had been written over with a "6" in both cards to change the certification from 2015 to 2016.	2 3 4 5 6 7	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 48 it's usually enough to get the Company's attention to correct the violations and prevent them from occurring again. And as we learned in this case, it apparently did not. So I'm prepared to make a recommendation on each of the violations, which there are six. And I'll break these down a little bit because some of these are acute,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 46 but had not really looked at them very carefully. And I can't really speak to why those were accepted, but it's noted in the report, and as part of the evidence here, to me, those fraudulent medical cards were just blatantly obvious that they had been forged. The number "5" had been written over with a "6" in both cards to change the certification from 2015 to 2016. And when I looked at them, it just jumped out at me as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 48 it's usually enough to get the Company's attention to correct the violations and prevent them from occurring again. And as we learned in this case, it apparently did not. So I'm prepared to make a recommendation on each of the violations, which there are six. And I'll break these down a little bit because some of these are acute, some are critical, some are critical-type, and some are	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 46 but had not really looked at them very carefully. And I can't really speak to why those were accepted, but it's noted in the report, and as part of the evidence here, to me, those fraudulent medical cards were just blatantly obvious that they had been forged. The number "5" had been written over with a "6" in both cards to change the certification from 2015 to 2016. And when I looked at them, it just jumped out at me as so obvious that those numbers, darker pen written over	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 48 it's usually enough to get the Company's attention to correct the violations and prevent them from occurring again. And as we learned in this case, it apparently did not. So I'm prepared to make a recommendation on each of the violations, which there are six. And I'll break these down a little bit because some of these are acute, some are critical, some are critical-type, and some are just recordkeeping.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 46 but had not really looked at them very carefully. And I can't really speak to why those were accepted, but it's noted in the report, and as part of the evidence here, to me, those fraudulent medical cards were just blatantly obvious that they had been forged. The number "5" had been written over with a "6" in both cards to change the certification from 2015 to 2016. And when I looked at them, it just jumped out at me as so obvious that those numbers, darker pen written over the top of them, and so it's obvious they were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 48 it's usually enough to get the Company's attention to correct the violations and prevent them from occurring again. And as we learned in this case, it apparently did not. So I'm prepared to make a recommendation on each of the violations, which there are six. And I'll break these down a little bit because some of these are acute, some are critical, some are critical-type, and some are just recordkeeping. Q. If I could stop you, you're using those terms.	3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 46 but had not really looked at them very carefully. And I can't really speak to why those were accepted, but it's noted in the report, and as part of the evidence here, to me, those fraudulent medical cards were just blatantly obvious that they had been forged. The number "5" had been written over with a "6" in both cards to change the certification from 2015 to 2016. And when I looked at them, it just jumped out at me as so obvious that those numbers, darker pen written over the top of them, and so it's obvious they were falsified, because Ms. Gagne verified with the medical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 48 it's usually enough to get the Company's attention to correct the violations and prevent them from occurring again. And as we learned in this case, it apparently did not. So I'm prepared to make a recommendation on each of the violations, which there are six. And I'll break these down a little bit because some of these are acute, some are critical, some are critical-type, and some are just recordkeeping. Q. If I could stop you, you're using those terms. Are those your terms or do you derive those terms from	3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 46 but had not really looked at them very carefully. And I can't really speak to why those were accepted, but it's noted in the report, and as part of the evidence here, to me, those fraudulent medical cards were just blatantly obvious that they had been forged. The number "5" had been written over with a "6" in both cards to change the certification from 2015 to 2016. And when I looked at them, it just jumped out at me as so obvious that those numbers, darker pen written over the top of them, and so it's obvious they were falsified, because Ms. Gagne verified with the medical provider that they had not been renewed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 48 it's usually enough to get the Company's attention to correct the violations and prevent them from occurring again. And as we learned in this case, it apparently did not. So I'm prepared to make a recommendation on each of the violations, which there are six. And I'll break these down a little bit because some of these are acute, some are critical, some are critical-type, and some are just recordkeeping. Q. If I could stop you, you're using those terms. Are those your terms or do you derive those terms from some broader industry source?	3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 46 but had not really looked at them very carefully. And I can't really speak to why those were accepted, but it's noted in the report, and as part of the evidence here, to me, those fraudulent medical cards were just blatantly obvious that they had been forged. The number "5" had been written over with a "6" in both cards to change the certification from 2015 to 2016. And when I looked at them, it just jumped out at me as so obvious that those numbers, darker pen written over the top of them, and so it's obvious they were falsified, because Ms. Gagne verified with the medical provider that they had not been renewed. But I just think that the Company should have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 48 it's usually enough to get the Company's attention to correct the violations and prevent them from occurring again. And as we learned in this case, it apparently did not. So I'm prepared to make a recommendation on each of the violations, which there are six. And I'll break these down a little bit because some of these are acute, some are critical, some are critical-type, and some are just recordkeeping. Q. If I could stop you, you're using those terms. Are those your terms or do you derive those terms from some broader industry source? A. Thank you. That is an industry standard	3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 46 but had not really looked at them very carefully. And I can't really speak to why those were accepted, but it's noted in the report, and as part of the evidence here, to me, those fraudulent medical cards were just blatantly obvious that they had been forged. The number "5" had been written over with a "6" in both cards to change the certification from 2015 to 2016. And when I looked at them, it just jumped out at me as so obvious that those numbers, darker pen written over the top of them, and so it's obvious they were falsified, because Ms. Gagne verified with the medical provider that they had not been renewed. But I just think that the Company should have seen those and should have noted those when they were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 48 it's usually enough to get the Company's attention to correct the violations and prevent them from occurring again. And as we learned in this case, it apparently did not. So I'm prepared to make a recommendation on each of the violations, which there are six. And I'll break these down a little bit because some of these are acute, some are critical, some are critical-type, and some are just recordkeeping. Q. If I could stop you, you're using those terms. Are those your terms or do you derive those terms from some broader industry source? A. Thank you. That is an industry standard description of the Code of Federal Regulations under	3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 46 but had not really looked at them very carefully. And I can't really speak to why those were accepted, but it's noted in the report, and as part of the evidence here, to me, those fraudulent medical cards were just blatantly obvious that they had been forged. The number "5" had been written over with a "6" in both cards to change the certification from 2015 to 2016. And when I looked at them, it just jumped out at me as so obvious that those numbers, darker pen written over the top of them, and so it's obvious they were falsified, because Ms. Gagne verified with the medical provider that they had not been renewed. But I just think that the Company should have seen those and should have noted those when they were putting them in their files, and they accepted them and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 48 it's usually enough to get the Company's attention to correct the violations and prevent them from occurring again. And as we learned in this case, it apparently did not. So I'm prepared to make a recommendation on each of the violations, which there are six. And I'll break these down a little bit because some of these are acute, some are critical, some are critical-type, and some are just recordkeeping. Q. If I could stop you, you're using those terms. Are those your terms or do you derive those terms from some broader industry source? A. Thank you. That is an industry standard description of the Code of Federal Regulations under federal law. CFR 49, and it is under Part 385 of CFR 49	3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 46 but had not really looked at them very carefully. And I can't really speak to why those were accepted, but it's noted in the report, and as part of the evidence here, to me, those fraudulent medical cards were just blatantly obvious that they had been forged. The number "5" had been written over with a "6" in both cards to change the certification from 2015 to 2016. And when I looked at them, it just jumped out at me as so obvious that those numbers, darker pen written over the top of them, and so it's obvious they were falsified, because Ms. Gagne verified with the medical provider that they had not been renewed. But I just think that the Company should have seen those and should have noted those when they were putting them in their files, and they accepted them and put them in the files, which indicated that they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 48</li> <li>it's usually enough to get the Company's attention to correct the violations and prevent them from occurring again. And as we learned in this case, it apparently did not.</li> <li>So I'm prepared to make a recommendation on each of the violations, which there are six. And I'll break these down a little bit because some of these are acute, some are critical, some are critical-type, and some are just recordkeeping.</li> <li>Q. If I could stop you, you're using those terms.</li> <li>Are those your terms or do you derive those terms from some broader industry source?</li> <li>A. Thank you. That is an industry standard description of the Code of Federal Regulations under federal law. CFR 49, and it is under Part 385 of CFR 49 that defines acute, critical and critical-type.</li> </ul>	3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 46 but had not really looked at them very carefully. And I can't really speak to why those were accepted, but it's noted in the report, and as part of the evidence here, to me, those fraudulent medical cards were just blatantly obvious that they had been forged. The number "5" had been written over with a "6" in both cards to change the certification from 2015 to 2016. And when I looked at them, it just jumped out at me as so obvious that those numbers, darker pen written over the top of them, and so it's obvious they were falsified, because Ms. Gagne verified with the medical provider that they had not been renewed. But I just think that the Company should have seen those and should have noted those when they were putting them in their files, and they accepted them and put them in the files, which indicated that they believed they were good.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 48</li> <li>it's usually enough to get the Company's attention to correct the violations and prevent them from occurring again. And as we learned in this case, it apparently did not. So I'm prepared to make a recommendation on each of the violations, which there are six. And I'll break these down a little bit because some of these are acute, some are critical, some are critical-type, and some are just recordkeeping.</li> <li>Q. If I could stop you, you're using those terms. Are those your terms or do you derive those terms from some broader industry source?</li> <li>A. Thank you. That is an industry standard description of the Code of Federal Regulations under federal law. CFR 49, and it is under Part 385 of CFR 49 that defines acute, critical and critical-type. And acute is the most serious type violation</li> </ul>	3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 46 but had not really looked at them very carefully. And I can't really speak to why those were accepted, but it's noted in the report, and as part of the evidence here, to me, those fraudulent medical cards were just blatantly obvious that they had been forged. The number "5" had been written over with a "6" in both cards to change the certification from 2015 to 2016. And when I looked at them, it just jumped out at me as so obvious that those numbers, darker pen written over the top of them, and so it's obvious they were falsified, because Ms. Gagne verified with the medical provider that they had not been renewed. But I just think that the Company should have seen those and should have noted those when they were putting them in their files, and they accepted them and put them in the files, which indicated that they believed they were good. And I'll I guess I'll respond to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 48</li> <li>it's usually enough to get the Company's attention to correct the violations and prevent them from occurring again. And as we learned in this case, it apparently did not.</li> <li>So I'm prepared to make a recommendation on each of the violations, which there are six. And I'll break these down a little bit because some of these are acute, some are critical, some are critical-type, and some are just recordkeeping.</li> <li>Q. If I could stop you, you're using those terms.</li> <li>Are those your terms or do you derive those terms from some broader industry source?</li> <li>A. Thank you. That is an industry standard description of the Code of Federal Regulations under federal law. CFR 49, and it is under Part 385 of CFR 49 that defines acute, critical and critical-type. And acute is the most serious type violation that can occur, needs to be corrected immediately. A</li> </ul>	3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 46 but had not really looked at them very carefully. And I can't really speak to why those were accepted, but it's noted in the report, and as part of the evidence here, to me, those fraudulent medical cards were just blatantly obvious that they had been forged. The number "5" had been written over with a "6" in both cards to change the certification from 2015 to 2016. And when I looked at them, it just jumped out at me as so obvious that those numbers, darker pen written over the top of them, and so it's obvious they were falsified, because Ms. Gagne verified with the medical provider that they had not been renewed. But I just think that the Company should have seen those and should have noted those when they were putting them in their files, and they accepted them and put them in the files, which indicated that they believed they were good. And I'll I guess I'll respond to Mr. Howland's comments that I agree, yes, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 48</li> <li>it's usually enough to get the Company's attention to correct the violations and prevent them from occurring again. And as we learned in this case, it apparently did not. So I'm prepared to make a recommendation on each of the violations, which there are six. And I'll break these down a little bit because some of these are acute, some are critical, some are critical-type, and some are just recordkeeping.</li> <li>Q. If I could stop you, you're using those terms. Are those your terms or do you derive those terms from some broader industry source?</li> <li>A. Thank you. That is an industry standard description of the Code of Federal Regulations under federal law. CFR 49, and it is under Part 385 of CFR 49 that defines acute, critical and critical-type. And acute is the most serious type violation that can occur, needs to be corrected immediately. A critical violation is a violation that can cause</li> </ul>	3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 46 but had not really looked at them very carefully. And I can't really speak to why those were accepted, but it's noted in the report, and as part of the evidence here, to me, those fraudulent medical cards were just blatantly obvious that they had been forged. The number "5" had been written over with a "6" in both cards to change the certification from 2015 to 2016. And when I looked at them, it just jumped out at me as so obvious that those numbers, darker pen written over the top of them, and so it's obvious they were falsified, because Ms. Gagne verified with the medical provider that they had not been renewed. But I just think that the Company should have seen those and should have noted those when they were putting them in their files, and they accepted them and put them in the files, which indicated that they believed they were good. And I'II I guess I'II respond to Mr. Howland's comments that I agree, yes, the previous person was not paying attention to what they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 48</li> <li>it's usually enough to get the Company's attention to correct the violations and prevent them from occurring again. And as we learned in this case, it apparently did not.</li> <li>So I'm prepared to make a recommendation on each of the violations, which there are six. And I'll break these down a little bit because some of these are acute, some are critical, some are critical-type, and some are just recordkeeping.</li> <li>Q. If I could stop you, you're using those terms.</li> <li>Are those your terms or do you derive those terms from some broader industry source?</li> <li>A. Thank you. That is an industry standard description of the Code of Federal Regulations under federal law. CFR 49, and it is under Part 385 of CFR 49 that defines acute, critical and critical-type. And acute is the most serious type violation that can occur, needs to be corrected immediately. A critical violation is a violation that can cause accidents or injuries and are serious enough they need</li> </ul>	3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 46 but had not really looked at them very carefully. And I can't really speak to why those were accepted, but it's noted in the report, and as part of the evidence here, to me, those fraudulent medical cards were just blatantly obvious that they had been forged. The number "5" had been written over with a "6" in both cards to change the certification from 2015 to 2016. And when I looked at them, it just jumped out at me as so obvious that those numbers, darker pen written over the top of them, and so it's obvious they were falsified, because Ms. Gagne verified with the medical provider that they had not been renewed. But I just think that the Company should have seen those and should have noted those when they were putting them in their files, and they accepted them and put them in the files, which indicated that they believed they were good. And I'll I guess I'll respond to Mr. Howland's comments that I agree, yes, the previous person was not paying attention to what they should have been doing and looking at the files, or they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 48</li> <li>it's usually enough to get the Company's attention to correct the violations and prevent them from occurring again. And as we learned in this case, it apparently did not.</li> <li>So I'm prepared to make a recommendation on each of the violations, which there are six. And I'll break these down a little bit because some of these are acute, some are critical, some are critical-type, and some are just recordkeeping.</li> <li>Q. If I could stop you, you're using those terms.</li> <li>Are those your terms or do you derive those terms from some broader industry source?</li> <li>A. Thank you. That is an industry standard description of the Code of Federal Regulations under federal law. CFR 49, and it is under Part 385 of CFR 49 that defines acute, critical and critical-type. And acute is the most serious type violation that can occur, needs to be corrected immediately. A critical violation is a violation that can cause accidents or injuries and are serious enough they need to be corrected right away as well. A critical-type</li> </ul>	3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 46 but had not really looked at them very carefully. And I can't really speak to why those were accepted, but it's noted in the report, and as part of the evidence here, to me, those fraudulent medical cards were just blatantly obvious that they had been forged. The number "5" had been written over with a "6" in both cards to change the certification from 2015 to 2016. And when I looked at them, it just jumped out at me as so obvious that those numbers, darker pen written over the top of them, and so it's obvious they were falsified, because Ms. Gagne verified with the medical provider that they had not been renewed. But I just think that the Company should have seen those and should have noted those when they were putting them in their files, and they accepted them and put them in the files, which indicated that they believed they were good. And I'll I guess I'll respond to Mr. Howland's comments that I agree, yes, the previous person was not paying attention to what they should have caught those easily.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 48</li> <li>it's usually enough to get the Company's attention to correct the violations and prevent them from occurring again. And as we learned in this case, it apparently did not.</li> <li>So I'm prepared to make a recommendation on each of the violations, which there are six. And I'll break these down a little bit because some of these are acute, some are critical, some are critical-type, and some are just recordkeeping.</li> <li>Q. If I could stop you, you're using those terms.</li> <li>Are those your terms or do you derive those terms from some broader industry source?</li> <li>A. Thank you. That is an industry standard description of the Code of Federal Regulations under federal law. CFR 49, and it is under Part 385 of CFR 49 that defines acute, critical and critical-type.</li> <li>And acute is the most serious type violation that can occur, needs to be corrected immediately. A critical violation is a violation that can cause accidents or injuries and are serious enough they need to be corrected right away as well. A critical-type violation is a violation that, if a large enough number</li> </ul>	3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 46 but had not really looked at them very carefully. And I can't really speak to why those were accepted, but it's noted in the report, and as part of the evidence here, to me, those fraudulent medical cards were just blatantly obvious that they had been forged. The number "5" had been written over with a "6" in both cards to change the certification from 2015 to 2016. And when I looked at them, it just jumped out at me as so obvious that those numbers, darker pen written over the top of them, and so it's obvious they were falsified, because Ms. Gagne verified with the medical provider that they had not been renewed. But I just think that the Company should have seen those and should have noted those when they were putting them in their files, and they accepted them and put them in the files, which indicated that they believed they were good. And I'll I guess I'll respond to Mr. Howland's comments that I agree, yes, the previous person was not paying attention to what they should have been doing and looking at the files, or they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 48</li> <li>it's usually enough to get the Company's attention to correct the violations and prevent them from occurring again. And as we learned in this case, it apparently did not.</li> <li>So I'm prepared to make a recommendation on each of the violations, which there are six. And I'll break these down a little bit because some of these are acute, some are critical, some are critical-type, and some are just recordkeeping.</li> <li>Q. If I could stop you, you're using those terms.</li> <li>Are those your terms or do you derive those terms from some broader industry source?</li> <li>A. Thank you. That is an industry standard description of the Code of Federal Regulations under federal law. CFR 49, and it is under Part 385 of CFR 49 that defines acute, critical and critical-type. And acute is the most serious type violation that can occur, needs to be corrected immediately. A critical violation is a violation that can cause accidents or injuries and are serious enough they need to be corrected right away as well. A critical-type</li> </ul>	3

	Page 49 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 49		Page 51 EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 51
1	under federal law it goes from critical-type to critical	1	having a note of verification of the medical examiner's
2	if there's more than one, or if there's more than ten	2	listing on the national registry. That was 50
3	percent of the sample size. And in this case, the	3	violations there. Again, these were first-time
4	couple that resulted in critical-type, they did not	4	violations, and these are what I would call pure
5	reach that threshold because of the sample size that was	5	recordkeeping violations. These would never rise to
6	taken, or because in the case of one driver or three	6	critical or critical-type, so I recommend no penalty on
7	drivers that were found without medical cards.	7	those 50 violations.
8	The vehicle violations were critical-type,	8	And then finally on F, 114 violations for having
9	again, a repeat because 100 percent of the vehicles were	9	the vehicles not periodically inspected, I believe these
10	found to be in violation so that was a critical.	10	are some of the worst violations we have here. 114
11 12	Q. Okay. And I believe you were about to give us your monetary penalty recommendation. I think it would	11 12	instances, repeat violations from before, and they're critical. I recommend \$1,000 per violation there.
13	be most helpful if you provided the Commission with your	13	That's \$114,000.
14	total penalty recommendation and then went back	14	So having said that, that comes up to \$264,100.
15	violation by violation and gave some explanation as to	15	If you add in the \$6,700 from their previous docket,
16	how you arrived at that number.	16	which was suspended and should be assessed on here, that
17	A. Okay. And I have a summary, so the first thing	17	brings the total penalty in this case to \$270,800.
18	I'll say before I get to the total number, because	18	And I will say that, if this case comes back up
19	without the individual breakdown, it might come as a	19	in the future and we find further repeat violations, I
20	surprise, this total number is derived upon the total of	20	would again go for \$1,000 for every violation we found,
21	all the others.	21	and I would potentially recommend that we either suspend
22	And again, I mentioned the Commission has	22	or cancel the Company's operating authority in the state
23	statutory authority to penalize up to \$1,000 per	23	of Washington for a period of time based on the
24	violation. In the previous case, we went 100 per	24	seriousness or the egregiousness or the type of
25	violation. I believe, based on the factors that I	25	violations we have there. So those are my
	Page 50		Page 52
	EXAMINATION BY MR. BEATTIE / PRATT 50		EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER / PRATT 52
1	talked about earlier, that this company should be	1	recommendations.
2	assessed the maximum penalty for most of these	2	Q. One quick point of clarification. You mentioned
3	violations that is available, which is \$1,000 per	3	that Violations B and C were repeat?
4	violation.	4	A. Yes. Technically, Violation B was 36, the exact
5	My penalty recommendation is going to be for	5	repeat of Part 391.45(a). On Violation C, it's the 111
6	the violations found in this case, the 324, is going to	6	violations of 391.45(b)(1). And again, I think that's
7	be \$264,100. And the way I get to that, and I'll just	7	kind of a semantics of whether they never had a card or
8	go through the list that we have in the complaint,	8	whether it just hadn't been renewed in the previous two
9	Violation A, which is the acute violation, two	9	years.
10	violations of falsified medical cards, I believe those	10	Q. But the two repeat violations from the previous
11	both should be \$1,000 each. That would be \$2,000.	11	review were Violation B and Violation F; am I correct?
12	Number B is a critical-type. There were 36	12	A. Correct, yes.
13	occurrences of this violation, at \$1,000 each is	13	Q. Thank you.
14	\$36,000.	14	MR. BEATTIE: And Judge Pearson, that will
15	Violation C, again, critical-type, there were	15	conclude Staff's case.
16	111 of these violations and, again, at \$1,000 each,	16	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.
17	\$111,000. The last two, B and C, are what I would call	17	Mr. Parker, do you have any questions for
18	repeat violations.	18	Mr. Pratt?
19	Violation D is a critical-type. This is not	19	*** EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER ***
20	having a medical examiner's card in the file. This is a	20	BY MR. PARKER:
21	first-time violation, and per policy, we do not go the	21	Q. Mr. Pratt, did you review the supplemental
22	maximum on first-time violations. So for these 11	22	information that we had provided recently prior to your
23	violations, I would assess \$100 per violation for	23	development of the recommendation?
	¢1 100		
24	\$1,100.	24	A. Yes, I did.
25	\$1,100. On Violation E, there were 50 violations for not	25	Q. What was your thinking in terms of the types of

	Page 53		Page 55
	EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER / PRATT 53		EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER / PRATT 55
1	information that you had received during the from the	1	to you about what your perspective would be is, if we
2	supplemental information? Is this a step in the right	2	did suspend part of this and we went back in another
3	direction, or how would you characterize that?	3	year and we found more repeat violations, you heard my
4	A. Well, I would characterize the letters that I	4	recommendation, if we did that and I went back, it would
5	received and the compliance plan from the previous case	5	trigger anything suspended, and it would probably push
6	as potentially spot-on. It said all the right things.	6	me to recommend either suspending the operating
7	It said what you were gonna do. And I will if you	7	authority for a period of time or cancelling.
8	want, I can reference a couple.	8	And so that would be the conditions that
9	You addressed each violation and you explained	9	would I would recommend, and that the Company would
10	how they occurred and you explained how you would	10	have to accept and pledge to dealing with.
11	prevent them from occurring again. The part that	11	Q. I believe that the Company would be open to that
12	troubled me a little bit is there was a lot of language	12	type of situation. If the Commission would find it
13	in there that we should have done this, we should have	13	acceptable to suspend a portion of the suggested
14	done that.	14	penalty, that we would find it acceptable for a
15	And so while I think these these the	15	supplemental audit to occur, and then have, ultimately,
16	narrative you provided is good and it explains what	16	you know, as a potential penalty, our operating
17	needs to be done, my frustration was that I have another	17	authority.
18	letter from back in January of 2015 with Mr sorry on	18	We feel very strongly that the changes that
19	the name here again, Mr. Sokolowski said almost the	19	we that Mr. Howland has implemented are going to be
20	exact same things to me, and he gave me the exact same	20	effective. It's not going to be a situation where
21	rationale: We're going to do this.	21	you've been told one thing with no material compliance,
22	I think I read the statement where he talked	22	such as the letter that you've referenced from
23	about having already implemented a medical examination	23	Mr. Sokolowski.
24	process to track them and make sure they were all	24	And we would respectfully request that the
25	certified. And so I felt like I heard this story	25	Commission consider, based on the information that you
	-		
	Page 54		Page 56
	Page 54 EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER / PRATT 54		Page 56 56
1	EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER / PRATT 54	1	56
	EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER / PRATT 54 before, and I accepted it before. It said that you	1	56 have heard today, and based on the material changes in
1 2 3	EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER / PRATT 54 before, and I accepted it before. It said that you would make these changes, but then when we went back in,		56 have heard today, and based on the material changes in personnel, management and executive oversight that we've
2	EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER / PRATT 54 before, and I accepted it before. It said that you	2	56 have heard today, and based on the material changes in personnel, management and executive oversight that we've described today, or Mr. Howland's described today, a
2 3	EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER / PRATT 54 before, and I accepted it before. It said that you would make these changes, but then when we went back in, that they were the same repeat violations. And so, again, I believe these are good things	2 3	56 have heard today, and based on the material changes in personnel, management and executive oversight that we've described today, or Mr. Howland's described today, a suspension of a portion of that penalty pending future
2 3	EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER / PRATT 54 before, and I accepted it before. It said that you would make these changes, but then when we went back in, that they were the same repeat violations.	2 3 4	56 have heard today, and based on the material changes in personnel, management and executive oversight that we've described today, or Mr. Howland's described today, a
2 3 4 5	EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER / PRATT 54 before, and I accepted it before. It said that you would make these changes, but then when we went back in, that they were the same repeat violations. And so, again, I believe these are good things you need to do, but they just need to be done, because	2 3 4 5	56 have heard today, and based on the material changes in personnel, management and executive oversight that we've described today, or Mr. Howland's described today, a suspension of a portion of that penalty pending future verification that we are PTI is complying.
2 3 4 5 6	EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER / PRATT 54 before, and I accepted it before. It said that you would make these changes, but then when we went back in, that they were the same repeat violations. And so, again, I believe these are good things you need to do, but they just need to be done, because they were pledged to be done before, and it doesn't	2 3 4 5 6	56 have heard today, and based on the material changes in personnel, management and executive oversight that we've described today, or Mr. Howland's described today, a suspension of a portion of that penalty pending future verification that we are PTI is complying. A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7	EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER / PRATT 54 before, and I accepted it before. It said that you would make these changes, but then when we went back in, that they were the same repeat violations. And so, again, I believe these are good things you need to do, but they just need to be done, because they were pledged to be done before, and it doesn't appear that it was followed.	2 3 4 5 6 7	56 have heard today, and based on the material changes in personnel, management and executive oversight that we've described today, or Mr. Howland's described today, a suspension of a portion of that penalty pending future verification that we are PTI is complying. A. Okay. MR. BEATTIE: Judge Pearson, Staff would not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER / PRATT 54 before, and I accepted it before. It said that you would make these changes, but then when we went back in, that they were the same repeat violations. And so, again, I believe these are good things you need to do, but they just need to be done, because they were pledged to be done before, and it doesn't appear that it was followed. Q. Would the Commission consider, based on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	56 have heard today, and based on the material changes in personnel, management and executive oversight that we've described today, or Mr. Howland's described today, a suspension of a portion of that penalty pending future verification that we are PTI is complying. A. Okay. MR. BEATTIE: Judge Pearson, Staff would not object to the Company recalling its witness to ask about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER / PRATT 54 before, and I accepted it before. It said that you would make these changes, but then when we went back in, that they were the same repeat violations. And so, again, I believe these are good things you need to do, but they just need to be done, because they were pledged to be done before, and it doesn't appear that it was followed. Q. Would the Commission consider, based on the information that you've heard today and the information	2 3 4 5 7 8 9	56 have heard today, and based on the material changes in personnel, management and executive oversight that we've described today, or Mr. Howland's described today, a suspension of a portion of that penalty pending future verification that we are PTI is complying. A. Okay. MR. BEATTIE: Judge Pearson, Staff would not object to the Company recalling its witness to ask about the Company's position on suspension. I'm not sure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER / PRATT 54 before, and I accepted it before. It said that you would make these changes, but then when we went back in, that they were the same repeat violations. And so, again, I believe these are good things you need to do, but they just need to be done, because they were pledged to be done before, and it doesn't appear that it was followed. Q. Would the Commission consider, based on the information that you've heard today and the information that has been supplied to you, a suspension of any	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10	56 have heard today, and based on the material changes in personnel, management and executive oversight that we've described today, or Mr. Howland's described today, a suspension of a portion of that penalty pending future verification that we are PTI is complying. A. Okay. MR. BEATTIE: Judge Pearson, Staff would not object to the Company recalling its witness to ask about the Company's position on suspension. I'm not sure that the previous question was more in the nature of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER / PRATT 54 before, and I accepted it before. It said that you would make these changes, but then when we went back in, that they were the same repeat violations. And so, again, I believe these are good things you need to do, but they just need to be done, because they were pledged to be done before, and it doesn't appear that it was followed. Q. Would the Commission consider, based on the information that you've heard today and the information that has been supplied to you, a suspension of any portion of the current recommended assessment pending an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	56 have heard today, and based on the material changes in personnel, management and executive oversight that we've described today, or Mr. Howland's described today, a suspension of a portion of that penalty pending future verification that we are PTI is complying. A. Okay. MR. BEATTIE: Judge Pearson, Staff would not object to the Company recalling its witness to ask about the Company's position on suspension. I'm not sure that the previous question was more in the nature of counsel testifying, so perhaps that would be a better
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER / PRATT 54 before, and I accepted it before. It said that you would make these changes, but then when we went back in, that they were the same repeat violations. And so, again, I believe these are good things you need to do, but they just need to be done, because they were pledged to be done before, and it doesn't appear that it was followed. Q. Would the Commission consider, based on the information that you've heard today and the information that has been supplied to you, a suspension of any portion of the current recommended assessment pending an audit within the next year to ensure material	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	56 have heard today, and based on the material changes in personnel, management and executive oversight that we've described today, or Mr. Howland's described today, a suspension of a portion of that penalty pending future verification that we are PTI is complying. A. Okay. MR. BEATTIE: Judge Pearson, Staff would not object to the Company recalling its witness to ask about the Company's position on suspension. I'm not sure that the previous question was more in the nature of counsel testifying, so perhaps that would be a better way to approach that situation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER / PRATT 54 before, and I accepted it before. It said that you would make these changes, but then when we went back in, that they were the same repeat violations. And so, again, I believe these are good things you need to do, but they just need to be done, because they were pledged to be done before, and it doesn't appear that it was followed. Q. Would the Commission consider, based on the information that you've heard today and the information that has been supplied to you, a suspension of any portion of the current recommended assessment pending an audit within the next year to ensure material compliance?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	56 have heard today, and based on the material changes in personnel, management and executive oversight that we've described today, or Mr. Howland's described today, a suspension of a portion of that penalty pending future verification that we are PTI is complying. A. Okay. MR. BEATTIE: Judge Pearson, Staff would not object to the Company recalling its witness to ask about the Company's position on suspension. I'm not sure that the previous question was more in the nature of counsel testifying, so perhaps that would be a better way to approach that situation. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Howland?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER / PRATT 54</li> <li>before, and I accepted it before. It said that you would make these changes, but then when we went back in, that they were the same repeat violations. <ul> <li>And so, again, I believe these are good things</li> <li>you need to do, but they just need to be done, because they were pledged to be done before, and it doesn't appear that it was followed.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. Would the Commission consider, based on the information that you've heard today and the information that has been supplied to you, a suspension of any portion of the current recommended assessment pending an audit within the next year to ensure material compliance?</li> <li>A. The Commission does regularly entertain</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	56 have heard today, and based on the material changes in personnel, management and executive oversight that we've described today, or Mr. Howland's described today, a suspension of a portion of that penalty pending future verification that we are PTI is complying. A. Okay. MR. BEATTIE: Judge Pearson, Staff would not object to the Company recalling its witness to ask about the Company's position on suspension. I'm not sure that the previous question was more in the nature of counsel testifying, so perhaps that would be a better way to approach that situation. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Howland? MR. HOWLAND: Yeah. There's I'd like to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER / PRATT 54</li> <li>before, and I accepted it before. It said that you would make these changes, but then when we went back in, that they were the same repeat violations.</li> <li>And so, again, I believe these are good things you need to do, but they just need to be done, because they were pledged to be done before, and it doesn't appear that it was followed.</li> <li>Q. Would the Commission consider, based on the information that you've heard today and the information that has been supplied to you, a suspension of any portion of the current recommended assessment pending an audit within the next year to ensure material compliance?</li> <li>A. The Commission does regularly entertain suspensions on penalties as we did in the previous case.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	56 have heard today, and based on the material changes in personnel, management and executive oversight that we've described today, or Mr. Howland's described today, a suspension of a portion of that penalty pending future verification that we are PTI is complying. A. Okay. MR. BEATTIE: Judge Pearson, Staff would not object to the Company recalling its witness to ask about the Company's position on suspension. I'm not sure that the previous question was more in the nature of counsel testifying, so perhaps that would be a better way to approach that situation. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Howland? MR. HOWLAND: Yeah. There's I'd like to ask just concerning a couple of these of these items
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER / PRATT 54</li> <li>before, and I accepted it before. It said that you would make these changes, but then when we went back in, that they were the same repeat violations. And so, again, I believe these are good things you need to do, but they just need to be done, because they were pledged to be done before, and it doesn't appear that it was followed.</li> <li>Q. Would the Commission consider, based on the information that you've heard today and the information that has been supplied to you, a suspension of any portion of the current recommended assessment pending an audit within the next year to ensure material compliance?</li> <li>A. The Commission does regularly entertain suspensions on penalties as we did in the previous case. So when I make my recommendations, I do have to think</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	56 have heard today, and based on the material changes in personnel, management and executive oversight that we've described today, or Mr. Howland's described today, a suspension of a portion of that penalty pending future verification that we are PTI is complying. A. Okay. MR. BEATTIE: Judge Pearson, Staff would not object to the Company recalling its witness to ask about the Company's position on suspension. I'm not sure that the previous question was more in the nature of counsel testifying, so perhaps that would be a better way to approach that situation. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Howland? MR. HOWLAND: Yeah. There's I'd like to ask just concerning a couple of these of these items to make sure that I understand first off.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER / PRATT 54</li> <li>before, and I accepted it before. It said that you would make these changes, but then when we went back in, that they were the same repeat violations.</li> <li>And so, again, I believe these are good things you need to do, but they just need to be done, because they were pledged to be done before, and it doesn't appear that it was followed.</li> <li>Q. Would the Commission consider, based on the information that you've heard today and the information that has been supplied to you, a suspension of any portion of the current recommended assessment pending an audit within the next year to ensure material compliance?</li> <li>A. The Commission does regularly entertain suspensions on penalties as we did in the previous case. So when I make my recommendations, I do have to think about that, about what's the best way to go. I kind of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	56 have heard today, and based on the material changes in personnel, management and executive oversight that we've described today, or Mr. Howland's described today, a suspension of a portion of that penalty pending future verification that we are PTI is complying. A. Okay. MR. BEATTIE: Judge Pearson, Staff would not object to the Company recalling its witness to ask about the Company's position on suspension. I'm not sure that the previous question was more in the nature of counsel testifying, so perhaps that would be a better way to approach that situation. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Howland? MR. HOWLAND: Yeah. There's I'd like to ask just concerning a couple of these of these items to make sure that I understand first off. And I know you stated in your testimony that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER / PRATT 54</li> <li>before, and I accepted it before. It said that you would make these changes, but then when we went back in, that they were the same repeat violations.</li> <li>And so, again, I believe these are good things you need to do, but they just need to be done, because they were pledged to be done before, and it doesn't appear that it was followed.</li> <li>Q. Would the Commission consider, based on the information that you've heard today and the information that has been supplied to you, a suspension of any portion of the current recommended assessment pending an audit within the next year to ensure material compliance?</li> <li>A. The Commission does regularly entertain suspensions on penalties as we did in the previous case. So when I make my recommendations, I do have to think about that, about what's the best way to go. I kind of fel like we had a suspended penalty before that didn't</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	56 have heard today, and based on the material changes in personnel, management and executive oversight that we've described today, or Mr. Howland's described today, a suspension of a portion of that penalty pending future verification that we are PTI is complying. A. Okay. MR. BEATTIE: Judge Pearson, Staff would not object to the Company recalling its witness to ask about the Company's position on suspension. I'm not sure that the previous question was more in the nature of counsel testifying, so perhaps that would be a better way to approach that situation. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Howland? MR. HOWLAND: Yeah. There's I'd like to ask just concerning a couple of these of these items to make sure that I understand first off. And I know you stated in your testimony that you wouldn't always expect it to be 100 percent, but it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER / PRATT 54</li> <li>before, and I accepted it before. It said that you would make these changes, but then when we went back in, that they were the same repeat violations.</li> <li>And so, again, I believe these are good things you need to do, but they just need to be done, because they were pledged to be done before, and it doesn't appear that it was followed.</li> <li>Q. Would the Commission consider, based on the information that you've heard today and the information that has been supplied to you, a suspension of any portion of the current recommended assessment pending an audit within the next year to ensure material compliance?</li> <li>A. The Commission does regularly entertain suspensions on penalties as we did in the previous case. So when I make my recommendations, I do have to think about that, about what's the best way to go. I kind of felt like we had a suspended penalty before that didn't work.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	56 have heard today, and based on the material changes in personnel, management and executive oversight that we've described today, or Mr. Howland's described today, a suspension of a portion of that penalty pending future verification that we are PTI is complying. A. Okay. MR. BEATTIE: Judge Pearson, Staff would not object to the Company recalling its witness to ask about the Company's position on suspension. I'm not sure that the previous question was more in the nature of counsel testifying, so perhaps that would be a better way to approach that situation. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Howland? MR. HOWLAND: Yeah. There's I'd like to ask just concerning a couple of these of these items to make sure that I understand first off. And I know you stated in your testimony that you wouldn't always expect it to be 100 percent, but it ought to be darn close. I think that was your term.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER / PRATT 54 before, and I accepted it before. It said that you would make these changes, but then when we went back in, that they were the same repeat violations. And so, again, I believe these are good things you need to do, but they just need to be done, because they were pledged to be done before, and it doesn't appear that it was followed. Q. Would the Commission consider, based on the information that you've heard today and the information that has been supplied to you, a suspension of any portion of the current recommended assessment pending an audit within the next year to ensure material compliance? A. The Commission does regularly entertain suspensions on penalties as we did in the previous case. So when I make my recommendations, I do have to think about that, about what's the best way to go. I kind of felt like we had a suspended penalty before that didn't work. I am open to suspending to recommending that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	56 have heard today, and based on the material changes in personnel, management and executive oversight that we've described today, or Mr. Howland's described today, a suspension of a portion of that penalty pending future verification that we are PTI is complying. A. Okay. MR. BEATTIE: Judge Pearson, Staff would not object to the Company recalling its witness to ask about the Company's position on suspension. I'm not sure that the previous question was more in the nature of counsel testifying, so perhaps that would be a better way to approach that situation. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Howland? MR. HOWLAND: Yeah. There's I'd like to ask just concerning a couple of these of these items to make sure that I understand first off. And I know you stated in your testimony that you wouldn't always expect it to be 100 percent, but it ought to be darn close. I think that was your term. One that really sticks out to me is the Item
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER / PRATT 54 before, and I accepted it before. It said that you would make these changes, but then when we went back in, that they were the same repeat violations. And so, again, I believe these are good things you need to do, but they just need to be done, because they were pledged to be done before, and it doesn't appear that it was followed. Q. Would the Commission consider, based on the information that you've heard today and the information that has been supplied to you, a suspension of any portion of the current recommended assessment pending an audit within the next year to ensure material compliance? A. The Commission does regularly entertain suspensions on penalties as we did in the previous case. So when I make my recommendations, I do have to think about that, about what's the best way to go. I kind of felt like we had a suspended penalty before that didn't work. I am open to suspending to recommending that the Commission suspend part of this penalty, but I guess	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	56 have heard today, and based on the material changes in personnel, management and executive oversight that we've described today, or Mr. Howland's described today, a suspension of a portion of that penalty pending future verification that we are PTI is complying. A. Okay. MR. BEATTIE: Judge Pearson, Staff would not object to the Company recalling its witness to ask about the Company's position on suspension. I'm not sure that the previous question was more in the nature of counsel testifying, so perhaps that would be a better way to approach that situation. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Howland? MR. HOWLAND: Yeah. There's I'd like to ask just concerning a couple of these of these items to make sure that I understand first off. And I know you stated in your testimony that you wouldn't always expect it to be 100 percent, but it ought to be darn close. I think that was your term. One that really sticks out to me is the Item B, where previously we'd had 16 noncompliant drivers and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER / PRATT 54 before, and I accepted it before. It said that you would make these changes, but then when we went back in, that they were the same repeat violations. And so, again, I believe these are good things you need to do, but they just need to be done, because they were pledged to be done before, and it doesn't appear that it was followed. <b>Q. Would the Commission consider, based on the</b> <b>information that you've heard today and the information</b> <b>that has been supplied to you, a suspension of any</b> <b>portion of the current recommended assessment pending an</b> <b>audit within the next year to ensure material</b> <b>compliance?</b> A. The Commission does regularly entertain suspensions on penalties as we did in the previous case. So when I make my recommendations, I do have to think about that, about what's the best way to go. I kind of felt like we had a suspended penalty before that didn't work. I am open to suspending to recommending that the Commission suspend part of this penalty, but I guess what I was hoping for here today was to make sure that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	56 have heard today, and based on the material changes in personnel, management and executive oversight that we've described today, or Mr. Howland's described today, a suspension of a portion of that penalty pending future verification that we are PTI is complying. A. Okay. MR. BEATTIE: Judge Pearson, Staff would not object to the Company recalling its witness to ask about the Company's position on suspension. I'm not sure that the previous question was more in the nature of counsel testifying, so perhaps that would be a better way to approach that situation. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Howland? MR. HOWLAND: Yeah. There's I'd like to ask just concerning a couple of these of these items to make sure that I understand first off. And I know you stated in your testimony that you wouldn't always expect it to be 100 percent, but it ought to be darn close. I think that was your term. One that really sticks out to me is the Item B, where previously we'd had 16 noncompliant drivers and this time we had 1 out of 340 that were inspected, which

	Page 57 57		Page 59 59
1	So out of all the drivers, the 340, we had 1	1	inspection. So that's an extraneous expense that we've
2	that was an exception, yet you're recommending the	2	taken on to comply with this, but under my watch, it has
3	maximum penalty on that. He drove 36 days, \$1,000 an	3	been done and it will continue to be done.
4	incident. That one to me seems rather extreme. I know	4	As far as the medical records, the items
5	it was a driver that was in violation, but it was 1 out	5	that we've discussed, we have now put electronic
6	of 340, and we had marked improvement from the previous	6	solutions into place. We have now implemented processes
7	year. So that one, I'm a little concerned with how we	7	whereby if it is if a card has expired, a medical
8	got there.	8	certificate has expired, that we are suspending the
9	The issue and I just wanted want to make	9	drivers until that is corrected. And the same with the
LO	one exception on Item F, you stated that we were using	10	vehicles. If the inspection's not done, it's suspended.
11	unsafe vehicles is how you termed it. And I think our	11	So I'm not concerned that you're going to
12	information we provided showed that we did, in fact,	12	find additional violations; however, what you may find
.3	inspect those vehicles on a monthly basis, not an annual	13	when you come back is we may have two or three drivers
4	basis. And there's no indication in accident reports in	14	that are on suspension because we don't have the current
L 5	this state that would indicate that those vehicles were	15	cards on file.
L6	unsafe. They passed the inspection every time we took	16	And I would be remiss if I didn't ask
.7	them in and/or we made repairs required during those	17	specifically. If you find that, that we have a number
.8	inspections to bring them up to standard.	18	of drivers that are not current but are suspended, is
19	The state certification, I would agree, was	19	that considered as a violation?
20	not with those vehicles. But again, we have since found	20	MR. PRATT: If they've driven during that
21	inspectors that will do them. But we were told when we	21	time without a valid medical card, yes.
2	went to inspectors originally that they only did trucks,	22	MR. HOWLAND: No. What I'm saying is, if,
23	they don't do highway vehicles. So we found them, but	23	from the date of the expiration, we suspend them on the
24	we didn't find them until this year.	24	date of expiration, they're still drivers on our list,
25	And I just wanted to take exception to the	25	they're active employees, but they are suspended from
	Page 58		Page 60
	58	1	driving, that that would not be a violation?
		2	MR. PRATT: That's correct. And I think in
1	fact that we do not operate unsafe vehicles on the	3	this investigation, you'll find that Ms. Gagne did
2	highway in the state of Washington or any other state.	4	report and I don't have the numbers in front of me
3	That's why we spend the money to do a monthly inspection	5	but she did report numerous drivers that the card had
4	on those units, not an annual inspection. So I just	6	expired but had not driven. So none of those were
5	I wanted to clarify that point.	7	listed as violations this time.
6	As far as the concerns with a suspended	8	MR. HOWLAND: Then I'm much more comfortable
7	penalty, I agree completely that the \$6,700 from last	9	with that position, because with the policies we now
8	year should be reinstated and assessed to us. We did	10	have in place, they are suspended until that is
9	not do all of the things that we said we would do. The	11	corrected, both driver and vehicle.
.0	items of concern to me at this point are B and C at the		
.1	\$1,000 level with the improvement we did make in those	12	That's all I have.
.2	areas from last year to this.	13	MR. PARKER: If it please the Court, may I
.3	And with Item F on the inspection issue, I	14	ask a question in follow-up?
.4	am again somewhat concerned with your opinion of our	15	JUDGE PEARSON: Sure.
.5	operation and the quality of the vehicles that we serve	16	*** EXAMINATION BY MR. PARKER ***
.6	in the state of Washington. That just is something that	17	BY MR. PARKER:
.7	is not in our character. It's not the way we operate in	18	Q. Mr. Howland, would you please comment for the
.8	Washington or in any other location.	19	record in terms of if you have any requests that you
.9	I am not concerned about a repeat offense as	20	would like to provide to the Commission regarding a
20	we move forward with this. As I've indicated, all of	21	suspension of any of the penalty?
21	the units have now been given a Washington state	22	A. Yeah. The portions that I think are valid is in
22	inspection. And in many cases, we have to drive them 120 to 150 miles one way to find an inspector that will	23	D, I appreciate the Commission's leniency on the \$100
			, and the second second second for the wroot
23 24		24	per incident. It is a new incident. We're not fully
23 24 25	do that inspection for us. There is no inspector at a	24 25	per incident. It is a new incident. We're not fully aware of that. And the same with E. We were not aware

DUCKELINUS. TE	-100231 and 1E-144101 - VOI. I		
	Page 61		Page 63
	needed to make that notation in the file.		63
	opreciate your leniency in those two areas.		
	specially in the area of B and C and F,	1	to continue to meet with your Company officials to help
4 you know, I fe	eel that the Company has made an extremely	2	them understand the rules and help them learn how to
5 good faith eff	ort to correct those incidences. It was	3	comply, so I want to put that forward.
6 one driver un	der B and it was three drivers under C that	4	I'm not sure if it was discussed at the
7 that were the	cause of the issue. And obviously those	5	time, but on the vehicle inspections, PTI could hire a
8 have been co	prrected and the new policy would not allow	6 7	certified mechanic, or have some of the other mechanics
9 for that to ha	open going forward.	8	certified in another location so they could do these inspections. There's kind of a process you have to go
	n in item F, again, we did not use a	。 9	through, but PTI could use people that have DOT
	ector for that process; however, we did do	10	certifications so you could do them right on your own
	ns. And so, you know, I would ask that the	11	premises.
	consider that as they look at this violation	12	And so I'll just pass that to you. And
14 process.		13	after the hearing or in the future, we could talk about
·	continue to take the units to certified	14	that a little bit more so you know about that. But that
		15	is an option you could consider, which would help you
	nd if that means we have to continue to	16	make sure you got those done.
	es, 300 miles roundtrip, we will do so.	17	So just to kind of close, I guess I'd just
	eous cost, and we would also ask that the	18	like to amend my penalty recommendation based on what
	work with us to perhaps find inspectors	19	I've heard from the Company here today.
20 closer to thos	e locations, or if you have some insights	20	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
21 as to inspect	ors that may be able to be convinced to	21	MR. PRATT: I don't change any of my
22 inspect passe	enger vehicles in addition to trucks, that	22	comments about the seriousness of these or and I
			really wasn't intending to offend anybody by saying you
23 would help us	s to alleviate that expense. We do have	23	
	s to alleviate that expense. We do have oser to several of our locations, but they	23 24	
24 inspectors clo			were operating unsafe vehicles. But my comment really was that there was a high potential for unsafe vehicles
24 inspectors clo	oser to several of our locations, but they bassenger vehicles; they will only do	24	were operating unsafe vehicles. But my comment really was that there was a high potential for unsafe vehicles
24   inspectors cloped     25   refuse to do p	oser to several of our locations, but they bassenger vehicles; they will only do Page 62	24	were operating unsafe vehicles. But my comment really
<ul> <li>24 inspectors cld</li> <li>25 refuse to do p</li> <li>1 trucks. And</li> </ul>	oser to several of our locations, but they bassenger vehicles; they will only do Page 62 that was what kind of got us to this	24	were operating unsafe vehicles. But my comment really was that there was a high potential for unsafe vehicles Page 64
<ul> <li>24 inspectors cld</li> <li>25 refuse to do p</li> <li>1 trucks. And</li> <li>2 position in th</li> </ul>	obser to several of our locations, but they bassenger vehicles; they will only do Page 62 that was what kind of got us to this e first place.	24	were operating unsafe vehicles. But my comment really was that there was a high potential for unsafe vehicles Page 64
<ul> <li>24 inspectors cld</li> <li>25 refuse to do p</li> <li>1 trucks. And</li> <li>2 position in th</li> <li>3 So the p</li> </ul>	obser to several of our locations, but they bassenger vehicles; they will only do Page 62 that was what kind of got us to this e first place. expense of doing it is not something that	24 25	were operating unsafe vehicles. But my comment really was that there was a high potential for unsafe vehicles Page 64 64
<ul> <li>24 inspectors cld</li> <li>25 refuse to do p</li> <li>1 trucks. And</li> <li>2 position in th</li> <li>3 So the d</li> <li>4 we're saying</li> </ul>	obser to several of our locations, but they bassenger vehicles; they will only do Page 62 that was what kind of got us to this e first place. expense of doing it is not something that should prohibit us from doing it, but we	24 25 1	were operating unsafe vehicles. But my comment really was that there was a high potential for unsafe vehicles Page 64 64 because they didn't receive DOT inspections. I call
<ul> <li>24 inspectors cld</li> <li>25 refuse to do p</li> <li>1 trucks. And</li> <li>2 position in th</li> <li>3 So the of</li> <li>4 we're saying</li> <li>5 would absolution</li> </ul>	beer to several of our locations, but they bassenger vehicles; they will only do Page 62 that was what kind of got us to this e first place. Expense of doing it is not something that should prohibit us from doing it, but we utely appreciate the Commission's help in	24 25 1 2	were operating unsafe vehicles. But my comment really was that there was a high potential for unsafe vehicles Page 64 64 because they didn't receive DOT inspections. I call them being inspection light, and so we just don't know,
<ul> <li>24 inspectors cld</li> <li>25 refuse to do p</li> <li>1 trucks. And</li> <li>2 position in th</li> <li>3 So the q</li> <li>4 we're saying</li> <li>5 would absolute</li> <li>6 working with</li> </ul>	beer to several of our locations, but they bassenger vehicles; they will only do Page 62 that was what kind of got us to this e first place. expense of doing it is not something that should prohibit us from doing it, but we utely appreciate the Commission's help in the certified inspectors at those locations	24 25 1 2 3	were operating unsafe vehicles. But my comment really was that there was a high potential for unsafe vehicles Page 64 64 because they didn't receive DOT inspections. I call them being inspection light, and so we just don't know, and that's my clarification there.
<ul> <li>24 inspectors classified</li> <li>25 refuse to do position</li> <li>1 trucks. And position in the So the average of the series of the</li></ul>	beer to several of our locations, but they bassenger vehicles; they will only do Page 62 that was what kind of got us to this e first place. Expense of doing it is not something that should prohibit us from doing it, but we utely appreciate the Commission's help in the certified inspectors at those locations on't have an inspector to try to help us find	24 25 1 2 3 4	were operating unsafe vehicles. But my comment really was that there was a high potential for unsafe vehicles Page 64 64 because they didn't receive DOT inspections. I call them being inspection light, and so we just don't know, and that's my clarification there. So based on what Mr. Howland said, I would
<ul> <li>24 inspectors cla</li> <li>25 refuse to do p</li> <li>1 trucks. And</li> <li>2 position in th</li> <li>3 So the of</li> <li>4 we're saying</li> <li>5 would absolut</li> <li>6 working with</li> <li>7 where we do</li> <li>8 someone that</li> </ul>	beer to several of our locations, but they bassenger vehicles; they will only do Page 62 that was what kind of got us to this e first place. Expense of doing it is not something that should prohibit us from doing it, but we utely appreciate the Commission's help in the certified inspectors at those locations on't have an inspector to try to help us find at can do the inspections without having to	24 25 1 2 3 4 5	were operating unsafe vehicles. But my comment really was that there was a high potential for unsafe vehicles Page 64 64 because they didn't receive DOT inspections. I call them being inspection light, and so we just don't know, and that's my clarification there. So based on what Mr. Howland said, I would agree to recommend that the Commission suspend half of the penalties in Violation B, C and F, which would total \$130,500 suspended, which would mean, if I do my math
<ul> <li>24 inspectors classified</li> <li>25 refuse to do point</li> <li>1 trucks. And position in the So the average would absolute</li> <li>6 working with where we do someone that point</li> <li>8 someone that point</li> </ul>	beer to several of our locations, but they bassenger vehicles; they will only do Page 62 that was what kind of got us to this e first place. expense of doing it is not something that should prohibit us from doing it, but we utely appreciate the Commission's help in the certified inspectors at those locations in't have an inspector to try to help us find at can do the inspections without having to kinds of distances.	24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6	were operating unsafe vehicles. But my comment really was that there was a high potential for unsafe vehicles Page 64 64 because they didn't receive DOT inspections. I call them being inspection light, and so we just don't know, and that's my clarification there. So based on what Mr. Howland said, I would agree to recommend that the Commission suspend half of the penalties in Violation B, C and F, which would total \$130,500 suspended, which would mean, if I do my math right here, that I had recommended a penalty of
<ul> <li>24 inspectors classified</li> <li>25 refuse to do point</li> <li>1 trucks. And position in the source of the source of</li></ul>	beer to several of our locations, but they bassenger vehicles; they will only do Page 62 that was what kind of got us to this e first place. Expense of doing it is not something that should prohibit us from doing it, but we utely appreciate the Commission's help in the certified inspectors at those locations on't have an inspector to try to help us find at can do the inspections without having to kinds of distances.	24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	were operating unsafe vehicles. But my comment really was that there was a high potential for unsafe vehicles Page 64 64 because they didn't receive DOT inspections. I call them being inspection light, and so we just don't know, and that's my clarification there. So based on what Mr. Howland said, I would agree to recommend that the Commission suspend half of the penalties in Violation B, C and F, which would total \$130,500 suspended, which would mean, if I do my math right here, that I had recommended a penalty of \$270,800; you suspend 130,500 of that, leaves you with a
<ul> <li>24 inspectors cla</li> <li>25 refuse to do p</li> <li>1 trucks. And</li> <li>2 position in th</li> <li>3 So the of</li> <li>4 we're saying</li> <li>5 would absolut</li> <li>6 working with</li> <li>7 where we do</li> <li>8 someone that</li> <li>9 travel those</li> <li>10 That's at</li> <li>11 JUDO</li> </ul>	beer to several of our locations, but they bassenger vehicles; they will only do Page 62 that was what kind of got us to this e first place. Expense of doing it is not something that should prohibit us from doing it, but we utely appreciate the Commission's help in the certified inspectors at those locations in't have an inspector to try to help us find at can do the inspections without having to kinds of distances. all I have. GE PEARSON: Mr. Pratt, did you have	24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	were operating unsafe vehicles. But my comment really was that there was a high potential for unsafe vehicles Page 64 64 because they didn't receive DOT inspections. I call them being inspection light, and so we just don't know, and that's my clarification there. So based on what Mr. Howland said, I would agree to recommend that the Commission suspend half of the penalties in Violation B, C and F, which would total \$130,500 suspended, which would mean, if I do my math right here, that I had recommended a penalty of \$270,800; you suspend 130,500 of that, leaves you with a penalty of \$140,300, on top and then you have to add
<ul> <li>24 inspectors cla</li> <li>25 refuse to do p</li> <li>1 trucks. And</li> <li>2 position in th</li> <li>3 So the a</li> <li>4 we're saying</li> <li>5 would absolut</li> <li>6 working with</li> <li>7 where we do</li> <li>8 someone that</li> <li>9 travel those</li> <li>10 That's at</li> <li>11 JUDO</li> <li>12 anything furt</li> </ul>	beer to several of our locations, but they bassenger vehicles; they will only do Page 62 that was what kind of got us to this e first place. expense of doing it is not something that should prohibit us from doing it, but we utely appreciate the Commission's help in the certified inspectors at those locations on't have an inspector to try to help us find at can do the inspections without having to kinds of distances. all I have. GE PEARSON: Mr. Pratt, did you have her, or do you need a minute?	24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	were operating unsafe vehicles. But my comment really was that there was a high potential for unsafe vehicles Page 64 64 because they didn't receive DOT inspections. I call them being inspection light, and so we just don't know, and that's my clarification there. So based on what Mr. Howland said, I would agree to recommend that the Commission suspend half of the penalties in Violation B, C and F, which would total \$130,500 suspended, which would mean, if I do my math right here, that I had recommended a penalty of \$270,800; you suspend 130,500 of that, leaves you with a penalty of \$140,300, on top and then you have to add the \$6,700 in from the previous docket.
<ul> <li>24 inspectors classified</li> <li>25 refuse to do position in the position in the So the averaging</li> <li>4 we're saying</li> <li>5 would absolute</li> <li>6 working with where we do someone that travel those</li> <li>10 That's at JUDA</li> <li>11 JUDA</li> <li>12 anything furt</li> <li>13 MR.</li> </ul>	beer to several of our locations, but they bassenger vehicles; they will only do Page 62 that was what kind of got us to this e first place. Expense of doing it is not something that should prohibit us from doing it, but we utely appreciate the Commission's help in the certified inspectors at those locations on't have an inspector to try to help us find at can do the inspections without having to kinds of distances. BE PEARSON: Mr. Pratt, did you have her, or do you need a minute? PRATT: No. Actually, I just I just	24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	were operating unsafe vehicles. But my comment really was that there was a high potential for unsafe vehicles Page 64 64 because they didn't receive DOT inspections. I call them being inspection light, and so we just don't know, and that's my clarification there. So based on what Mr. Howland said, I would agree to recommend that the Commission suspend half of the penalties in Violation B, C and F, which would total \$130,500 suspended, which would mean, if I do my math right here, that I had recommended a penalty of \$270,800; you suspend 130,500 of that, leaves you with a penalty of \$140,300, on top and then you have to add the \$6,700 in from the previous docket. JUDGE PEARSON: That was included in the
<ul> <li>inspectors classified</li> <li>refuse to do p</li> <li>trucks. And</li> <li>position in the</li> <li>So the display</li> <li>we're saying</li> <li>would absolution</li> <li>working with</li> <li>where we do</li> <li>someone that</li> <li>travel those</li> <li>That's at</li> <li>JUDO</li> <li>anything furt</li> <li>MR.</li> </ul>	beer to several of our locations, but they bassenger vehicles; they will only do Page 62 that was what kind of got us to this e first place. expense of doing it is not something that should prohibit us from doing it, but we utely appreciate the Commission's help in the certified inspectors at those locations on't have an inspector to try to help us find at can do the inspections without having to kinds of distances. all I have. GE PEARSON: Mr. Pratt, did you have her, or do you need a minute?	24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	were operating unsafe vehicles. But my comment really was that there was a high potential for unsafe vehicles Page 64 64 because they didn't receive DOT inspections. I call them being inspection light, and so we just don't know, and that's my clarification there. So based on what Mr. Howland said, I would agree to recommend that the Commission suspend half of the penalties in Violation B, C and F, which would total \$130,500 suspended, which would mean, if I do my math right here, that I had recommended a penalty of \$270,800; you suspend 130,500 of that, leaves you with a penalty of \$140,300, on top and then you have to add the \$6,700 in from the previous docket. JUDGE PEARSON: That was included in the \$270,800.
<ul> <li>24 inspectors classified</li> <li>25 refuse to do position in the position in the So the averaging</li> <li>4 we're saying</li> <li>5 would absolute</li> <li>6 working with where we do someone that travel those</li> <li>10 That's at JUDA</li> <li>11 JUDA</li> <li>12 anything furt</li> <li>13 MR.</li> </ul>	beer to several of our locations, but they bassenger vehicles; they will only do Page 62 that was what kind of got us to this e first place. Expense of doing it is not something that should prohibit us from doing it, but we utely appreciate the Commission's help in the certified inspectors at those locations in't have an inspector to try to help us find at can do the inspections without having to kinds of distances. all I have. GE PEARSON: Mr. Pratt, did you have her, or do you need a minute? PRATT: No. Actually, I just I just a little bit of a response. I wanted to	24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	were operating unsafe vehicles. But my comment really was that there was a high potential for unsafe vehicles Page 64 64 because they didn't receive DOT inspections. I call them being inspection light, and so we just don't know, and that's my clarification there. So based on what Mr. Howland said, I would agree to recommend that the Commission suspend half of the penalties in Violation B, C and F, which would total \$130,500 suspended, which would mean, if I do my math right here, that I had recommended a penalty of \$270,800; you suspend 130,500 of that, leaves you with a penalty of \$140,300, on top and then you have to add the \$6,700 in from the previous docket. JUDGE PEARSON: That was included in the \$270,800. MR. PRATT: Yes, it was. I'm sorry.
<ul> <li>24 inspectors cla</li> <li>25 refuse to do p</li> <li>1 trucks. And</li> <li>2 position in th</li> <li>3 So the d</li> <li>4 we're saying</li> <li>5 would absolut</li> <li>6 working with</li> <li>7 where we do</li> <li>8 someone that</li> <li>9 travel those</li> <li>10 That's at</li> <li>11 JUDO</li> <li>12 anything furt</li> <li>13 MR.</li> <li>14 kind of had at</li> <li>15 clarify a point</li> </ul>	beer to several of our locations, but they bassenger vehicles; they will only do Page 62 that was what kind of got us to this e first place. Expense of doing it is not something that should prohibit us from doing it, but we utely appreciate the Commission's help in the certified inspectors at those locations in't have an inspector to try to help us find at can do the inspections without having to kinds of distances. all I have. GE PEARSON: Mr. Pratt, did you have her, or do you need a minute? PRATT: No. Actually, I just I just a little bit of a response. I wanted to	24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	were operating unsafe vehicles. But my comment really was that there was a high potential for unsafe vehicles Page 64 64 because they didn't receive DOT inspections. I call them being inspection light, and so we just don't know, and that's my clarification there. So based on what Mr. Howland said, I would agree to recommend that the Commission suspend half of the penalties in Violation B, C and F, which would total \$130,500 suspended, which would mean, if I do my math right here, that I had recommended a penalty of \$270,800; you suspend 130,500 of that, leaves you with a penalty of \$140,300, on top and then you have to add the \$6,700 in from the previous docket. JUDGE PEARSON: That was included in the \$270,800. MR. PRATT: Yes, it was. I'm sorry. JUDGE PEARSON: That was your original
<ul> <li>24 inspectors cla</li> <li>25 refuse to do p</li> <li>1 trucks. And</li> <li>2 position in th</li> <li>3 So the a</li> <li>4 we're saying</li> <li>5 would absolut</li> <li>6 working with</li> <li>7 where we do</li> <li>8 someone that</li> <li>9 travel those</li> <li>10 That's at</li> <li>11 JUDO</li> <li>12 anything furt</li> <li>13 MR.</li> <li>14 kind of had at</li> <li>15 clarify a point</li> <li>16 JUDO</li> </ul>	beser to several of our locations, but they bassenger vehicles; they will only do Page 62 that was what kind of got us to this e first place. expense of doing it is not something that should prohibit us from doing it, but we utely appreciate the Commission's help in the certified inspectors at those locations on't have an inspector to try to help us find at can do the inspections without having to kinds of distances. all I have. GE PEARSON: Mr. Pratt, did you have her, or do you need a minute? PRATT: No. Actually, I just I just a little bit of a response. I wanted to t.	24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	were operating unsafe vehicles. But my comment really was that there was a high potential for unsafe vehicles Page 64 64 because they didn't receive DOT inspections. I call them being inspection light, and so we just don't know, and that's my clarification there. So based on what Mr. Howland said, I would agree to recommend that the Commission suspend half of the penalties in Violation B, C and F, which would total \$130,500 suspended, which would mean, if I do my math right here, that I had recommended a penalty of \$270,800; you suspend 130,500 of that, leaves you with a penalty of \$140,300, on top and then you have to add the \$6,700 in from the previous docket. JUDGE PEARSON: That was included in the \$270,800. MR. PRATT: Yes, it was. I'm sorry. JUDGE PEARSON: That was your original recommendation, right?
<ul> <li>24 inspectors cla</li> <li>25 refuse to do p</li> <li>1 trucks. And</li> <li>2 position in th</li> <li>3 So the of</li> <li>4 we're saying</li> <li>5 would absolution</li> <li>6 working with</li> <li>7 where we do</li> <li>8 someone that</li> <li>9 travel those</li> <li>10 That's at</li> <li>11 JUDO</li> <li>12 anything furt</li> <li>13 MR.</li> <li>14 kind of had at</li> <li>15 clarify a point</li> <li>16 JUDO</li> <li>17 MR.</li> </ul>	beer to several of our locations, but they bassenger vehicles; they will only do Page 62 that was what kind of got us to this e first place. expense of doing it is not something that should prohibit us from doing it, but we utely appreciate the Commission's help in the certified inspectors at those locations on't have an inspector to try to help us find at can do the inspections without having to kinds of distances. All I have. GE PEARSON: Mr. Pratt, did you have her, or do you need a minute? PRATT: No. Actually, I just I just a little bit of a response. I wanted to t. GE PEARSON: Okay. Sure.	24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	were operating unsafe vehicles. But my comment really was that there was a high potential for unsafe vehicles Page 64 64 because they didn't receive DOT inspections. I call them being inspection light, and so we just don't know, and that's my clarification there. So based on what Mr. Howland said, I would agree to recommend that the Commission suspend half of the penalties in Violation B, C and F, which would total \$130,500 suspended, which would mean, if I do my math right here, that I had recommended a penalty of \$270,800; you suspend 130,500 of that, leaves you with a penalty of \$140,300, on top and then you have to add the \$6,700 in from the previous docket. JUDGE PEARSON: That was included in the \$270,800. MR. PRATT: Yes, it was. I'm sorry. JUDGE PEARSON: That was your original recommendation, right? MR. PRATT: Yes. Thank you. I'm just
24inspectors cla25refuse to do p1trucks. And2position in th3So the d4we're saying5would absolution6working with7where we do8someone that9travel those10That's at11JUD012anything furt13MR.14kind of had at15clarify a point16JUD017MR.18saying and I	beer to several of our locations, but they bassenger vehicles; they will only do Page 62 that was what kind of got us to this e first place. Expense of doing it is not something that should prohibit us from doing it, but we utely appreciate the Commission's help in the certified inspectors at those locations on't have an inspector to try to help us find at can do the inspections without having to kinds of distances. All I have. GE PEARSON: Mr. Pratt, did you have her, or do you need a minute? PRATT: No. Actually, I just I just a little bit of a response. I wanted to t. GE PEARSON: Okay. Sure. PRATT: So I appreciate what you're appreciate the approach you've taken. I	24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	were operating unsafe vehicles. But my comment really was that there was a high potential for unsafe vehicles Page 64 64 because they didn't receive DOT inspections. I call them being inspection light, and so we just don't know, and that's my clarification there. So based on what Mr. Howland said, I would agree to recommend that the Commission suspend half of the penalties in Violation B, C and F, which would total \$130,500 suspended, which would mean, if I do my math right here, that I had recommended a penalty of \$270,800; you suspend 130,500 of that, leaves you with a penalty of \$140,300, on top and then you have to add the \$6,700 in from the previous docket. JUDGE PEARSON: That was included in the \$270,800. MR. PRATT: Yes, it was. I'm sorry. JUDGE PEARSON: That was your original recommendation, right? MR. PRATT: Yes. Thank you. I'm just writing these down on the fly as we're going.
24inspectors cla25refuse to do p1trucks. And2position in th3So the d4we're saying5would absolute6working with7where we do8someone that9travel those10That's at11JUD012anything furt13MR.14kind of had at15clarify a point16JUD017MR.18saying and I19believe you d	beer to several of our locations, but they bassenger vehicles; they will only do Page 62 that was what kind of got us to this e first place. expense of doing it is not something that should prohibit us from doing it, but we utely appreciate the Commission's help in the certified inspectors at those locations on't have an inspector to try to help us find at can do the inspections without having to kinds of distances. All I have. GE PEARSON: Mr. Pratt, did you have her, or do you need a minute? PRATT: No. Actually, I just I just a little bit of a response. I wanted to t. GE PEARSON: Okay. Sure. PRATT: So I appreciate what you're appreciate the approach you've taken. I came in and met with one of my staff, John	24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	were operating unsafe vehicles. But my comment really was that there was a high potential for unsafe vehicles Page 64 64 because they didn't receive DOT inspections. I call them being inspection light, and so we just don't know, and that's my clarification there. So based on what Mr. Howland said, I would agree to recommend that the Commission suspend half of the penalties in Violation B, C and F, which would total \$130,500 suspended, which would mean, if I do my math right here, that I had recommended a penalty of \$270,800; you suspend 130,500 of that, leaves you with a penalty of \$140,300, on top and then you have to add the \$6,700 in from the previous docket. JUDGE PEARSON: That was included in the \$270,800. MR. PRATT: Yes, it was. I'm sorry. JUDGE PEARSON: That was your original recommendation, right? MR. PRATT: Yes. Thank you. I'm just writing these down on the fly as we're going. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
24inspectors cla25refuse to do p1trucks. And2position in th3So the d4we're saying5would absolution6working with7where we do8someone that9That's at10That's at11JUD012anything furt13MR.14kind of had at15clarify a point16JUD017MR.18saying and I19believe you d20Foster, a few	beer to several of our locations, but they bassenger vehicles; they will only do Page 62 that was what kind of got us to this e first place. Expense of doing it is not something that should prohibit us from doing it, but we utely appreciate the Commission's help in the certified inspectors at those locations on't have an inspector to try to help us find at can do the inspections without having to kinds of distances. All I have. GE PEARSON: Mr. Pratt, did you have her, or do you need a minute? PRATT: No. Actually, I just I just a little bit of a response. I wanted to t. GE PEARSON: Okay. Sure. PRATT: So I appreciate what you're appreciate the approach you've taken. I came in and met with one of my staff, John a weeks ago, is that correct? Or was that a	24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	were operating unsafe vehicles. But my comment really was that there was a high potential for unsafe vehicles Page 64 64 because they didn't receive DOT inspections. I call them being inspection light, and so we just don't know, and that's my clarification there. So based on what Mr. Howland said, I would agree to recommend that the Commission suspend half of the penalties in Violation B, C and F, which would total \$130,500 suspended, which would mean, if I do my math right here, that I had recommended a penalty of \$270,800; you suspend 130,500 of that, leaves you with a penalty of \$140,300, on top and then you have to add the \$6,700 in from the previous docket. JUDGE PEARSON: That was included in the \$270,800. MR. PRATT: Yes, it was. I'm sorry. JUDGE PEARSON: That was your original recommendation, right? MR. PRATT: Yes. Thank you. I'm just writing these down on the fly as we're going. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MR. PRATT: And then I guess my final
24inspectors cla25refuse to do p1trucks. And2position in th3So the d4we're saying5would absolution6working with7where we do8someone that9travel those10That's at11JUD012anything furt13MR.14kind of had at15clarify a point16JUD017MR.18saying and I19believe you d20Foster, a few21different personal	beer to several of our locations, but they bassenger vehicles; they will only do Page 62 that was what kind of got us to this e first place. Expense of doing it is not something that should prohibit us from doing it, but we utely appreciate the Commission's help in the certified inspectors at those locations on't have an inspector to try to help us find at can do the inspections without having to kinds of distances. All I have. GE PEARSON: Mr. Pratt, did you have her, or do you need a minute? PRATT: No. Actually, I just I just a little bit of a response. I wanted to t. GE PEARSON: Okay. Sure. PRATT: So I appreciate what you're appreciate the approach you've taken. I came in and met with one of my staff, John v weeks ago, is that correct? Or was that a son?	24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	were operating unsafe vehicles. But my comment really was that there was a high potential for unsafe vehicles Page 64 64 because they didn't receive DOT inspections. I call them being inspection light, and so we just don't know, and that's my clarification there. So based on what Mr. Howland said, I would agree to recommend that the Commission suspend half of the penalties in Violation B, C and F, which would total \$130,500 suspended, which would mean, if I do my math right here, that I had recommended a penalty of \$270,800; you suspend 130,500 of that, leaves you with a penalty of \$140,300, on top and then you have to add the \$6,700 in from the previous docket. JUDGE PEARSON: That was included in the \$270,800. MR. PRATT: Yes, it was. I'm sorry. JUDGE PEARSON: That was your original recommendation, right? MR. PRATT: Yes. Thank you. I'm just writing these down on the fly as we're going. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MR. PRATT: And then I guess my final recommendation would be that, yes, the Commission, if
24inspectors cla25refuse to do p1trucks. And2position in th3So the d4we're saying5would absolute6working with7where we do8someone that9travel those10That's at11JUD012anything furt13MR.14kind of had at15clarify a point16JUD017MR.18saying and I19believe you d20Foster, a few21different pers22MR.	beer to several of our locations, but they bassenger vehicles; they will only do Page 62 that was what kind of got us to this e first place. expense of doing it is not something that should prohibit us from doing it, but we utely appreciate the Commission's help in the certified inspectors at those locations on't have an inspector to try to help us find at can do the inspections without having to kinds of distances. All I have. GE PEARSON: Mr. Pratt, did you have her, or do you need a minute? PRATT: No. Actually, I just I just a little bit of a response. I wanted to t. GE PEARSON: Okay. Sure. PRATT: So I appreciate what you're appreciate the approach you've taken. I came in and met with one of my staff, John weeks ago, is that correct? Or was that a son? HOWLAND: That was	24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	were operating unsafe vehicles. But my comment really was that there was a high potential for unsafe vehicles Page 64 64 because they didn't receive DOT inspections. I call them being inspection light, and so we just don't know, and that's my clarification there. So based on what Mr. Howland said, I would agree to recommend that the Commission suspend half of the penalties in Violation B, C and F, which would total \$130,500 suspended, which would mean, if I do my math right here, that I had recommended a penalty of \$270,800; you suspend 130,500 of that, leaves you with a penalty of \$140,300, on top and then you have to add the \$6,700 in from the previous docket. JUDGE PEARSON: That was included in the \$270,800. MR. PRATT: Yes, it was. I'm sorry. JUDGE PEARSON: That was your original recommendation, right? MR. PRATT: Yes. Thank you. I'm just writing these down on the fly as we're going. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MR. PRATT: And then I guess my final recommendation would be that, yes, the Commission, if they accept these recommendations for my penalty and the
24inspectors cla25refuse to do p1trucks. And2position in th3So the d4we're saying5would absolution6working with7where we do8someone that9travel those10That's at11JUD012anything furt13MR.14kind of had at15clarify a point16JUD017MR.18saying and I19believe you d20Foster, a few21different pers22MR.23MS. d	beer to several of our locations, but they bassenger vehicles; they will only do Page 62 that was what kind of got us to this e first place. Expense of doing it is not something that should prohibit us from doing it, but we utely appreciate the Commission's help in the certified inspectors at those locations on't have an inspector to try to help us find at can do the inspections without having to kinds of distances. All I have. GE PEARSON: Mr. Pratt, did you have her, or do you need a minute? PRATT: No. Actually, I just I just a little bit of a response. I wanted to t. GE PEARSON: Okay. Sure. PRATT: So I appreciate what you're appreciate the approach you've taken. I came in and met with one of my staff, John weeks ago, is that correct? Or was that a son? HOWLAND: That was GAGNE: No, it was Janey and	24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	were operating unsafe vehicles. But my comment really was that there was a high potential for unsafe vehicles Page 64 64 because they didn't receive DOT inspections. I call them being inspection light, and so we just don't know, and that's my clarification there. So based on what Mr. Howland said, I would agree to recommend that the Commission suspend half of the penalties in Violation B, C and F, which would total \$130,500 suspended, which would mean, if I do my math right here, that I had recommended a penalty of \$270,800; you suspend 130,500 of that, leaves you with a penalty of \$140,300, on top and then you have to add the \$6,700 in from the previous docket. JUDGE PEARSON: That was included in the \$270,800. MR. PRATT: Yes, it was. I'm sorry. JUDGE PEARSON: That was your original recommendation, right? MR. PRATT: Yes. Thank you. I'm just writing these down on the fly as we're going. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MR. PRATT: And then I guess my final recommendation would be that, yes, the Commission, if they accept these recommendations for my penalty and the suspension, that they also hold the suspension over for
24inspectors cla refuse to do p1trucks. And position in the 33So the d4we're saying would absolution5would absolution6working with where we do someone that travel those10That's at JUDC11JUDC anything furt13MR.14kind of had at clarify a point16JUDC anything and I19believe you d Foster, a few at AMR.21MR.22MR.23MS. d AMS.24MR.	beer to several of our locations, but they bassenger vehicles; they will only do Page 62 that was what kind of got us to this e first place. expense of doing it is not something that should prohibit us from doing it, but we utely appreciate the Commission's help in the certified inspectors at those locations on't have an inspector to try to help us find at can do the inspections without having to kinds of distances. All I have. GE PEARSON: Mr. Pratt, did you have her, or do you need a minute? PRATT: No. Actually, I just I just a little bit of a response. I wanted to t. GE PEARSON: Okay. Sure. PRATT: So I appreciate what you're appreciate the approach you've taken. I came in and met with one of my staff, John weeks ago, is that correct? Or was that a son? HOWLAND: That was	24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	were operating unsafe vehicles. But my comment really was that there was a high potential for unsafe vehicles Page 64 64 because they didn't receive DOT inspections. I call them being inspection light, and so we just don't know, and that's my clarification there. So based on what Mr. Howland said, I would agree to recommend that the Commission suspend half of the penalties in Violation B, C and F, which would total \$130,500 suspended, which would mean, if I do my math right here, that I had recommended a penalty of \$270,800; you suspend 130,500 of that, leaves you with a penalty of \$140,300, on top and then you have to add the \$6,700 in from the previous docket. JUDGE PEARSON: That was included in the \$270,800. MR. PRATT: Yes, it was. I'm sorry. JUDGE PEARSON: That was your original recommendation, right? MR. PRATT: Yes. Thank you. I'm just writing these down on the fly as we're going. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. MR. PRATT: And then I guess my final recommendation would be that, yes, the Commission, if they accept these recommendations for my penalty and the

	Page 65
	65
1	ILIDGE DEARSON: Okay Aputhing further?
	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Anything further?
2	MR. BEATTIE: I do want to mention that
3	Staff is not offering Exhibit DP-2 into the record.
4	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
5	MR. BEATTIE: It was a letter that is very
6	similar to the one that the Company provided as an
7	exhibit, and so there's no need to duplicate that.
8	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.
9	Okay. So anything further from the Company?
10	MR. PARKER: Nothing further, your Honor.
11	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And nothing further
12	from Staff?
13	MR. BEATTIE: Nothing further.
14	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So as I
15	explained at the beginning of the hearing, I will issue
16	an order within ten days of receiving the transcript,
17	which is typically within seven to ten days from today.
18	And I just want to thank you all for coming
19	here today and we are adjourned.
20	MR. PARKER: Thank you, your Honor.
21	(Hearing concluded at 11:00 a.m.)
21	(ricaring concluded at 11.00 a.m.)
	000
23	-000-
24	
25	
	Page 66
	Page 66 66
	-
1	-
1	66
	66
2	66 CERTIFICATE STATE OF WAŞHINGTON )
2 3 4	66 CERTIFICATE
2 3 4 5	66 CERTIFICATE STATE OF WAŞHINGTON )
2 3 4 5 6	66 CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON ) COUNTY OF KING )
2 3 4 5 6 7	66 CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON ) COUNTY OF KING ) I, ANITA W. SELF, a Certified Shorthand Reporter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	66 CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON ) COUNTY OF KING <sup>®</sup> ) I, ANITA W. SELF, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	66 CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON ) COUNTY OF KING <sup>®</sup> ) I, ANITA W. SELF, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	66 CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON ) COUNTY OF KING <sup>C</sup> ) I, ANITA W. SELF, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	66 CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON ) COUNTY OF KING <sup>®</sup> ) I, ANITA W. SELF, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	66 CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON ) COUNTY OF KING <sup>C</sup> ) I, ANITA W. SELF, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	66 CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON ) COUNTY OF KING ) I, ANITA W. SELF, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	66 CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON ) COUNTY OF KING ) I, ANITA W. SELF, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	66 CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON ) COUNTY OF KING ) I, ANITA W. SELF, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	66 CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON ) COUNTY OF KING ) I, ANITA W. SELF, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	66 CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON ) COUNTY OF KING ) I, ANITA W. SELF, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 2nd day of June, 2016.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	66 CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON ) COUNTY OF KING ) I, ANITA W. SELF, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	66 CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON ) COUNTY OF KING ) I, ANITA W. SELF, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 2nd day of June, 2016.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	66 CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON ) COUNTY OF KING ) I, ANITA W. SELF, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 2nd day of June, 2016.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	66 CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON ) COUNTY OF KING ) I, ANITA W. SELF, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 2nd day of June, 2016.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	66 CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON ) COUNTY OF KING ) I, ANITA W. SELF, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 2nd day of June, 2016.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	66 CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON ) COUNTY OF KING ) I, ANITA W. SELF, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 2nd day of June, 2016.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	66 CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON ) COUNTY OF KING ) I, ANITA W. SELF, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 2nd day of June, 2016.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	66 CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON ) COUNTY OF KING ) I, ANITA W. SELF, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 2nd day of June, 2016.