



PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2012)

(Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do NOT use for expedited rule making

Agency: Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission

- Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 13-05-057; or
- Expedited Rule Making--Proposed notice was filed as WSR _____; or
- Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1).

- Original Notice
- Supplemental Notice to WSR _____
- Continuance of WSR _____

Title of rule and other identifying information: (Describe Subject) This rulemaking proposes revisions to WAC 480-14, Common Carriers, and 480-15, Household Goods Carriers. The proposal revises the existing rules to allow the Commission to cancel a carrier's operating authority for failure to maintain required liability insurance without first suspending the carrier's operating authority. The Commission also proposes to make a number of corrections and clarifications to the existing rules. Docket TV-130079.

Hearing location(s):

Commission Hearing Room 206
Second Floor, Richard Hemstad Building
1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW
Olympia, WA 98504-7250

Date: October 11, 2013 Time: 9:00 a.m.

Submit written comments to:

Name: Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
Address: 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive S.W.
PO Box 47250
Olympia, WA 98504-7250
e-mail records@utc.wa.gov. Please include: "Docket TV-130079" in your comments.
fax (360) 586-1150 by (date) Monday, September 23, 2013

Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact

Debbie Aguilar by September 27, 2013

TTY (360) 586-8203 or (360) 664-1132

Date of intended adoption: October 11, 2013
(Note: This is NOT the effective date)

Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules:

The proposal revises the existing rules to allow the Commission to cancel a carrier's operating authority for failure to maintain required liability insurance without first suspending the carrier's operating authority. The Commission also proposes to make a number of corrections and clarifications to the existing rules, including but not limited to, allowing a government issued photo identification in lieu of a driver's license; requiring leased vehicle drivers to comply with all safety and controlled substances rules; removing the requirement for a carrier to file a lease with the Commission; adding "assault" to the list of crimes for which an application may be denied; and limiting a household goods provisional period to 18 months.

Reasons supporting proposal:

Companies will benefit from clearer, more accurate rules. In addition, companies will no longer receive multiple notices about a single action.

Statutory authority for adoption:

RCW 80.01.040, RCW 81.01.010 and RCW 81.80.130

Statute being implemented:

N/A

Is rule necessary because of a:

- Federal Law? Yes No
 - Federal Court Decision? Yes No
 - State Court Decision? Yes No
- If yes, CITATION:

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER
STATE OF WASHINGTON
FILED

DATE: August 20, 2013

TIME: 10:20 AM

WSR 13-17-089

DATE

August 20, 2013

NAME (type or print)

Steven V. King

SIGNATURE

TITLE

Executive Director and Secretary

(COMPLETE REVERSE SIDE)

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters:

None

Name of proponent: (person or organization) Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission

- Private
 Public
 Governmental

Name of agency personnel responsible for:

Name	Office Location	Phone
Drafting..... Suzanne Stillwell	1300 S. Evergreen Pk. Drive SW, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1224
Implementation.... Steven V. King	1300 S. Evergreen Pk. Drive SW, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1115
Enforcement..... Steven V. King	1300 S. Evergreen Pk. Drive SW, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1115

Has a small business economic impact statement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW or has a school district fiscal impact statement been prepared under section 1, chapter 210, Laws of 2012?

Yes. Attach copy of small business economic impact statement or school district fiscal impact statement.

No. Explain why no statement was prepared.

The proposed rules will not result in or impose more than minor costs. Because there will not be more than minor increase in costs resulting from the proposed rule changes, a SBEIS is not required under RCW 19.85.030(1).

Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328?

Yes A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting:
Name:
Address:

phone () _____
fax () _____
e-mail _____

No: Please explain:

The Commission is not an agency to which RCW 34.05.328 applies. The proposed rule is not a significant legislative rule of the sort referenced in RCW 34.05.328(5).