

Hearing Transcript (Excerpt) – Day 8, Advocates for a
Cleaner Tacoma, et al. v. Puget Sound Clean Air
Agency, et ano. Pg. 1820-1821
(4/22/2021)

POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

ADVOCATES FOR A CLEANER TACOMA;)	
SIERRA CLUB; WASHINGTON)	
ENVIRONMENTAL COUNCIL; WASHINGTON)	
PHYSICIANS FOR SOCIAL)	PCHB NO. P19-087C
RESPONSIBILITY; STAND.EARTH; and)	
THE PUYALLUP TRIBE OF INDIANS,)	
)	
Appellants,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
PUGET SOUND CLEAN AIR AGENCY, PUGET)	
SOUND ENERGY,)	
)	
Respondents.)	

VIDEOCONFERENCE HEARING

DAY 8

Pages 1751 - 2048

OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON

April 22, 2021

8:46 a.m.

REPORTED BY: CRYSTAL R. MCAULIFFE, RPR, CCR 2121

Page 1820

1 different than pipeline quality gas coming in from
2 British Columbia.

3 Q. Okay. We can pull the exhibit down.

4 MR. EPLEY: And, Your Honor, I would move to
5 admit PTI 156.

6 THE COURT: Any objections?

7 MR. MARCHAND: Well, Your Honor, I'll just
8 point out -- I didn't have time to look at the entire
9 document. But there were portions of the e-mail that
10 Mr. Donohue was not on and portions of the e-mail that
11 were subject to privileged claims as well. So I know
12 we've discussed those previously, and I would just note
13 those for the record.

14 THE COURT: I'm going to admit PTI 156.

15 MR. EPLEY: Thank you, Your Honor. And
16 that's all the questions I have.

17 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Marchand, redirect?

18 MR. MARCHAND: No, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: Okay. Board questions for
20 Mr. Donohue?

21 I'm seeing -- Board Member Sun-Widrow.

22 E X A M I N A T I O N

23 BY BOARD MEMBER SUN-WIDROW:

24 Q. Good morning, Mr. Donohue. Just a quick
25 question to make sure I have things right.

1 You mentioned that there was a five-year period
2 where the feed gas composition changed, increased in
3 liquids and such.

4 I'm just wondering, is that five-year period
5 prior to today or can you give me a date range?

6 A. Yes. That would be generally from -- and I
7 think I said five years or less. But it is roughly 2013
8 through 2016 is where we saw a relatively dramatic
9 increase in the BTU content primarily from the ethane.

10 And that's what gave rise to the observation
11 that we needed to -- well, somebody needed to look at
12 the plant design to ensure that it was capable of
13 handling that range. My understanding is that that was
14 the purpose for the redesign on the front end, to manage
15 the additional higher content gas.

16 BOARD MEMBER SUN-WIDROW: Thank you.

17 THE COURT: Questions based on the Board
18 Member's question?

19 Mr. Marchand?

20 MR. MARCHAND: No, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Mr. Epley?

22 MR. EPLEY: No, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Donohue, thank you
24 very much for your testimony. You're excused.

25 THE WITNESS: Thank you.