

**Attachment to Public Counsel Comments filed August 2, 2012**

The Commission has invited additional comments by the close of business August 2, 2012. The list of issues below were developed with interested parties following the Open Meeting on July 27, 2012. Please address whether you think an issue needs to be addressed by the Commission now, prior to issuing an Order, or could be resolved at a later time, and if so when and through what process.

Issues for written comments to address:

**\*Please note: All references in the matrix below to “Public Counsel’s Comments” refer to comments filed August 2, 2012. Public Counsel did not provide comments on the Renewables Reports filed Under the EIA. Therefore we do not address the issues related to renewables included in the matrix. We have designated these as issues “not applicable” or “N.A.”**

	Comments	When and Process
<b>Renewables</b>	N.A.	N.A.
• <b>Two-step compliance</b>	N.A.	
• <b>Reporting year information: current or preceding year</b>	N.A.	N.A.
• <b>January 1 required actions for compliance</b>	N.A.	N.A.
• <b>Resource eligibility determination*</b>	N.A.	N.A.
• <b>Incremental hydro</b>	N.A.	N.A.
○ <b>Method review</b>	N.A.	N.A.
○ <b>Method approval/selection</b>	N.A.	N.A.
○ <b>Potential double counting of RECs in other states</b>	N.A.	N.A.
○ <b>Using incremental hydro in the year it was generated</b>	N.A.	N.A.
• <b>Confidentiality</b>	N.A.	N.A.
• <b>Incremental cost</b>	N.A.	N.A.
• <b>2016 Biomass &amp; rulemaking</b>	N.A.	N.A.
• <b>WREGIS</b>	N.A.	N.A.
<b>Conservation</b>		
• <b>NEEA savings</b>	See Section III, Part A(1) “Conservation Savings Issues, NEEA Savings,” Public Counsel’s Comments.	Should be resolved by March of 2013 In a separate policy proceeding that may result in an Interpretive and Policy Statement.
• <b>Adjustments to reported savings</b>	See Section III, Part A(2)“Savings Issues, Changes to Unit Energy Savings,” in Public Counsel’s Comments.	Should be resolved by March of 2013 In a separate policy proceeding that may result in an Interpretive and Policy Statement.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Prudence</b></li> </ul>	See Section III, Part B (3) "Regulatory Issues, Assessment of DSM Prudence," in Public Counsel's Comments.	Should be resolved by March of 2013 In a separate policy proceeding that may result in an Interpretive and Policy Statement.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Baseline/adaptive management</b></li> </ul>	See Section III, Part A(3) "Savings Issues, Common Definition of Critical Terms Such as 'baseline,'" in Public Counsel's Comments.	Should be resolved by March of 2013 In a separate policy proceeding that may result in an Interpretive and Policy Statement.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Confidentiality</b></li> </ul>	See Section III, Part B(4) "Regulatory Issues, Confidentiality," in Public Counsel's Comments.	Should be resolved by March of 2013 In a separate policy proceeding that may result in an Interpretive and Policy Statement.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Consistency of EM&amp;V Frameworks</b></li> </ul>	See Section III, Part B(2) "Regulatory Issues, EM&V Consistency— Third Party Portfolio Verification," in Public Counsel's Comments.	Should be resolved by March of 2013 In a separate policy proceeding that may result in an Interpretive and Policy Statement.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Reporting Documentation requirements</b></li> </ul>	See Section III, Part B(1) "Regulatory Issues, Reporting of Conservation Achievement," in Public Counsel's Comments.	Should be resolved by March of 2013 In a separate policy proceeding that may result in an Interpretive and Policy Statement.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>"Pursue all" conservation resources</b></li> </ul>	See Section II, Part B, "Response to Staff Request for Clarification Regarding Pursuing 'All' Conservation Under the EIA," in Public Counsel's Comments.	In Commission's Orders in the pending EIA dockets.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>CWG working Group</b></li> </ul>	No Comments.	No Comments.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Advisory Group Role in prudence review</b></li> </ul>	See Section III, Part B(3)“Regulatory Issues, Assessment of DSM Prudence” in Public Counsel’s Comments.	Should be resolved by March of 2013 In a separate policy proceeding that may result in an Interpretive and Policy Statement.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Demand Side Resources RFP review</b></li> </ul>	No Comments.	No Comments.

\*This issue was raised by Chairman Goltz during the July 27 Open Meeting.