

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND)	
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,)	
)	Docket No. UE-050684
Complainant,)	
)	Docket No. UE-050412
v.)	
)	<i>(consolidated)</i>
PACIFICORP d/b/a PACIFIC POWER &)	
LIGHT COMPANY)	
)	
Respondent.)	

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (MPG-22)

PACIFICORP RESPONSE TO ICNU DATA REQUEST NO. 15.9

January 27, 2006

ICNU Data Request 15.9

With respect to Commitment No. O8, please provide the following:

- A. The basis for West Valley cost reductions referenced. Are these expected to result from reductions in cost? If so, please identify potential sources of savings, and amounts.
- B. An explanation of whether the West Valley savings [are] included in the rate credits referenced in O7.
- C. A detailed explanation of how the Company would establish that offsets were applicable under O8(b).

Response to ICNU Data Request 15.9

- A. Yes, Commitment O8 is expected to result from reductions in cost but the source of the reductions has not yet been identified.
- B. Yes, the West Valley savings are included in the rate credits referenced in O7. See Appendix 2 of Exhibit 1 to the Oregon Stipulation.
- C. In order to offset the West Valley rate credit, MEHC and PacifiCorp will need to demonstrate that the costs of the West Valley lease have been reduced by the amount of the West Valley rate credit.

Responder: MEHC/PacifiCorp

Witness: Not Identified