Affiliated Tribes of Northwest Indians AirWorks, Inc. Alaska Housing Finance Corporation Alliance to Save Energy Allumia Alternative Energy Resources Organization American Rivers Backbone Campaign Beneficial State Bank BlueGreen Alliance Bonneville Environmental Foundation Centerstone Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon City of Ashland City of Seattle Office of Sustainability & Environment CleanTech Alliance Climate Solutions Community Action Center of Whitman County Community Action Partnership Assoc. of Idaho Community Action Partnership of Oregon Earth and Spirit Council Earth Ministry Ecova eFormative Options Energy350 Energy Savvy Energy Trust of Oregon Environment Oregon Environment Washington EQL Energy Forth Home Performance Guild of Oregon Home Performance Washington Housing and Comm. Services Agency of Lane Co. Human Resources Council, District XI Idaho Clean Energy Association Idaho Conservation League Idaho Rivers United Interfaith Network for Earth Concerns League of Women Voters Idaho League of Women Voters Oregon League of Women Voters Washington Montana Audubon Montana Environmental Information Center Montana Renewable Energy Association Montana River Action National Center for Appropriate Technology National Grid Natural Resources Defense Council New Buildings Institute Northern Plains Resource Council Northwest Energy Efficiency Council NW Natural OneEnergy Renewables Opower Opportunities Industrialization Center of WA Opportunity Council Oregon Energy Fund Oregon Environmental Council Oregon Physicians for Social Responsibility OSĚIA Pacific Energy Innovation Association Pacific NW Regional Council of Carpenters Portland Energy Conservation Inc. Portland General Electric Puget Sound Advocates for Retired Action Puget Sound Cooperative Credit Union Puget Sound Energy Renewable Northwest Save Our wild Salmon Seattle City Light Seinergy Sierra Club Sierra Club, Idaho Chapter Sierra Club, Montana Chapter Sierra Club, Washington Chapter Small Business Utility Advocates Smart Grid Northwest Snake River Alliance Solar Installers of Washington Solar Oregon Solar Washington South Central Community Action Partnership Southeast Idaho Community Action Partners Spark Northwest Spokane Neighborhood Action Partners Sustainable Connections The Climate Trust The Energy Project UCONS, LLC Union Of Concerned Scientists United Steelworkers of America, District 12 US Green Building Council, Idaho Chapter Washington Environmental Council Washington Local Energy Alliance Washington Physicians for Social Responsibility Washington State Department of Commerce ashington State University Energy Program YMCA Earth Service Corps



November 17, 2017

Steven V. King Executive Director and Secretary Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive S. W. P.O. Box 47250

Olympia, Washington 98504-7250 Re: Docket No. UE-171033 – Comments of NW Energy Coalition regarding Relemating F WASH RANSP to Implement Rules Regarding the Utilities and Transportation Commission's Jurisdiction and Regulation of Community Solar Companies.

Dear Mr. King,

The NW Energy Coalition appreciates the opportunity to respond to the questions posed by the Commission regarding the Commission's jurisdiction and regulation of community solar companies in Docket UE-171033 on October 19, 2017. Having very little real-world experience with community solar projects, our comments at this time are brief. We intend to remain involved in this process, as there will clearly be other questions and concerns that arise as the rules are amended.

O1. Consumer rules for electric companies are found in Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 480-100-103 through 480-100-199. Based on your understanding of community solar company business practices, are there any sections of WAC 480-100 that should not be applied to the new consumer protection rules and why? Are there additional consumer protection issues that we should address?

Response 1. Since participants in community solar projects continue to be customers of the utility, the rules would still apply to the utility as they do now. However, at least several rules may need to be modified to better accommodate community solar projects;

WAC 480-100-103 Information to consumers could be broadened to include information on community solar projects interconnected with that utility. Information on participation costs, management or administration fees and operations should be provided in a clear and transparent fashion to all customers, either by the utility or the administrator.

WAC 480-153 Disclosure of private information may make it more difficult for customers to participate in a community solar project; this section may impose barriers to non-utility administrators locating possible customer participants or communicating with a utility' customers about non-profit or public housing administered projects.

WAC 480-100-173 Electric utility responsibility for complaints and disputes may not work as written. Customers may have disputes with the administrator, rather than the utility. Clarification is needed on how those disputes should be handled.

O2. We examined WAC 480-14 as an example of rules for applications; reporting: fees; and suspension, cancellation, and reinstatement of permits. Specifically, we looked at WAC 480- 14-140, 480-14-150, 480-14-180, 480-14-190, 480-14-220, 480-14-230, and 480-14-999. Based on your understanding of community solar company business practices, are there other rules that should be considered? In addition, which rules do you disagree with and why?

Records Management

11/21/17 08:34

Response 2. Since the community solar projects will be administered and managed by a utility, a utility subsidiary, a non-profit or a public housing entity, it seems the registrations should be as comprehensive and straightforward as possible.

Q3. ESSB 5939 identifies community solar projects as no larger than 1000 kilowatts with at least 10 participants. If a project has fewer than 10 participants, does that project need to be included on the list published by the commission?

Response 3: The law calls for "all entities that organize and administer community solar projects" (section 7(10)(a) and (b)), to be published, no matter the size of the project or number of participants in a project. It would be useful to also publish *all* the community solar projects each entity organizes, as well, if the entity administers more than one project.

Q4. Based on your understanding of community solar company business practices, are there other rules that should be considered? Which rules do you disagree with and why?

Response 4: The disclosures required in new section 7(3) through (8) may need to be explained further in the development of rules. For example, what constitutes "fair and non-discriminatory" opportunities for participation, or what constitutes "a reasonable fee" to cover organizing and administration costs and how will that be presented to customers as an impact on bills.

Since the cost cap for the entire solar program in Washington is low enough that parties are worried the incentive will be exhausted in a relatively short time, we urge the Commission to adopt rules as expeditiously as possible, so projects may be developed.

If you have any further questions, please contact us.

Cordially,

Joni Bosh

Senior Policy Associate NW Energy Coalition 811 1st Avenue, Suite 305 Seattle, WA 98104 joni@nwenergy.org 206-735-2720 cell 206-621-0094 office