

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

APPEARANCES (CONT.)

TELEDYNE WAH CHANG, by RICHARD H. WILLIAMS,
Attorney at Law, 520 Southwest Yamhill Street, Suite
800, Portland, Oregon 97204.

US ECOLOGY, INC., by JAMES M. VanNOSTRAND,
Attorney at Law, 411 - 108th Avenue Northeast, Suite
1800, Bellevue, Washington 98004.

WASHINGTON PUBLIC POWER SUPPLY SYSTEM, by
MELVIN N. HATCHER, Attorney at Law, Mail Drop 396, PO
Box 968, Richland, Washington 99352.

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
COMMISSION STAFF, by ANN RENDAHL, Assistant Attorney
General, 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest,
Olympia, Washington 98504.

00003

1

I N D E X

2

3

WITNESSES: EXAM C EXAM RC

4

(No witnesses were sworn.)

5

6

EXHIBITS: MARKED ADMITTED

7

(No exhibits were marked.)

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

00004

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 JUDGE HAENLE: The hearing will come to
3 order.

4 This is a hearing in Docket No. UR-950619,
5 which is the filing of US Ecology, Inc. This is a
6 prehearing conference that was set by a Notice of
7 Prehearing Conference dated June 14, 1995, it's taking
8 place on June 21, 1995, at Olympia, Washington. The
9 hearing is being held before Administrative Law Judge,
10 Alice L. Haenle, and Hearings Examiner, John Prusia,
11 P-r-u-s-i-a.

12 We had some discussion off the record. I
13 indicated we would take appearances first, we will take
14 motions and petitions to intervene, then we will go off
15 the record to discuss scheduling and the other issues.

16 One of the other issues we're going to
17 discuss is the status of a companion filing by US
18 Ecology, that is Docket No. UR-950620, isn't it?

19 MS. RENDAHL: Yes.

20 JUDGE HAENLE: Yes, 20, and we will be
21 discussing that as well.

22 When you are petitioning to intervene, I
23 would appreciate if you would indicate if you are not
24 interested in both of those dockets. I will assume that
25 you're interested in both of them unless you tell me you

00005

1 are not. Okay?

2 Let's begin with the company please,

3 Mr. VanNostrand.

4 MR. VanNOSTRAND: Thank you, Your Honor.

5 On behalf of the company, James M.

6 VanNostrand, and Kimberly Jones; 411 - 108th Avenue

7 Northeast, Bellevue, Washington 98004.

8 JUDGE HAENLE: Remember that we don't have
9 any microphones, you're going to need to speak loudly
10 enough to be sure that the reporter gets everything
11 down.

12 And for the Staff, please?

13 MS. RENDAHL: Ann Rendahl, Assistant
14 Attorney General, representing Commissioned Staff.

15 Do you want our addresses as well?

16 JUDGE HAENLE: Yes, please.

17 MS. RENDAHL: 1400 South Evergreen Park
18 Drive Southwest, Olympia, Washington 98504.

19 JUDGE HAENLE: Thank you.

20 Is there anyone present from public counsel?

21 Has anyone heard whether public counsel is
22 planning to participate in this matter?

23 Okay. What I will do is, after the hearing,
24 call them and ask. They are not required to file a
25 petition to intervene, so it's sometimes not clear. I

00006

1 will put into the prehearing conference order whether
2 they indicate they will participate or not. You may
3 revise your distribution list accordingly once you get
4 that information. Until that point, assume they are
5 going to be part of the hearing. I will tell you in the
6 prehearing conference order if they are not going to, if
7 that process is all right with everybody. I don't know
8 any other way to do it, and that will save that
9 distribution if they are not.

10 Does anyone object to that process?

11 All right. No response. I will do it that
12 way.

13 Then just let's go around the table and
14 begin with Mr. Dudley, I will need a full appearance
15 this morning please, including your name and your
16 client's name please, and would you indicate also
17 whether you are a signatory to the stipulation.

18 Go ahead, Mr. Dudley.

19 MR. DUDLEY: Yes, thank you. I'm Jay
20 Dudley. I represent Portland General Electric Company,
21 my address is 121 Southwest Salmon Street, 1WTC13,
22 that's Portland, Oregon 97204, and we have signed the
23 stipulation.

24 JUDGE HAENLE: Thank you.

25 Sir? Oh, you don't -- that's right. I'm

00007

1 sorry, you don't have to enter an appearance. I was
2 asking for counsel, my mistake.

3 MR. HATCHER: I think it's my turn. My name
4 is Melvin Hatcher. I'm with the Washington Public Power
5 Supply System, our mailing address is Mail Drop 396,
6 Post Office Box 968, Richland, Washington. We have
7 signed the proposed stipulation.

8 JUDGE HAENLE: Thank you.

9 MR. DAVIDSON: Good morning, Your Honor.
10 Mark A. Davidson of LeBoeuf, Lamb, Greene & MacRae, 633
11 Seventeenth Street, Suite 2800, Denver, Colorado 80202,
12 appearance on behalf of the Public Service Company of
13 Colorado in this proceeding. Public Service Company
14 of Colorado is a signatory to this stipulation.

15 JUDGE HAENLE: Thank you.

16 The BPA, is there -- you need to come up,
17 sir, to the table in order to enter your appearance,
18 and take a seat, because otherwise I will not remember
19 that you're part of all of this. Thank you.

20 MR. IRISH: Sorry. Good morning.

21 My name is James T. Irish. I represent
22 Bonneville Power Administration, Mail Drop 399, 3000
23 George Washington Way, Richland, Washington 99352; and
24 Bonneville is a signatory on the stipulation here.

25 JUDGE HAENLE: And what is your position

00008

1 with Bonneville, Mr. Irish?

2 MR. IRISH: I'm the Program Analyst.

3 JUDGE HAENLE: Will Bonneville be
4 represented by an attorney in the remainder of the
5 hearing or will you be doing all of the representing?

6 MR. IRISH: And/or.

7 JUDGE HAENLE: If there is going to be an
8 attorney involved, we're going to need to know that
9 attorney's name and address. Do you have that today?

10 MR. IRISH: No, I do not.

11 JUDGE HAENLE: Okay. Would you provide --

12 MR. IRISH: I will supply that.

13 JUDGE HAENLE: Let me finish. Will you
14 provide that by a letter to the Commission with copies
15 to all of the parties who are ultimately participants?

16 MR. IRISH: Yes.

17 JUDGE HAENLE: All right.

18 MR. PAINE: My name is James C. Paine, with
19 Stoel, Rives, Boley, Jones & Grey, Suite 2300, 900
20 Southwest Fifth Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97204,
21 appearing on behalf of Precision Castparts Corp.
22 Precision has not executed the proposed stipulation.

23 JUDGE HAENLE: All right.

24 Sir?

25 MR. WILLIAMS: Richard Williams with Lane,

00009

1 Powell, Spears, Lubersky, 520 Southwest Yamhill Street,
2 Suite 800, Portland, Oregon 97204. I'm representing
3 Teledyne Wah Chang. Teledyne Wah Chang has signed the
4 stipulation.

5 JUDGE HAENLE: All right.

6 Now, was there anyone else in the hearing
7 room that represents someone who wants to be an
8 intervenor in this matter that has not spoken up yet?

9 All right.

10 The first order of business then will be the
11 petitions and motions to intervene. I asked you to
12 distribute those to each other this morning, some of you
13 sent them in before today, some of you brought them with
14 you, and we have one oral motion to intervene, as I
15 understand.

16 I am going to suggest that everyone be
17 allowed the opportunity to make comments; because I have
18 to start at one end or the other, I don't want people to
19 be disadvantaged by which petitions are taken first in
20 the ability to comment. So I'm taking them in random
21 order.

22 Mr. Hatcher, your client has filed a
23 petition -- if you have not seen a copy of any of the
24 petitions that I ask about, you will need to indicate at
25 the time I talk about the petition, otherwise I will

00010

1 assume you have seen it and read it.

2 Did you have anything to add to your
3 petition, Mr. Hatcher?

4 MR. HATCHER: Our petition is a standard
5 form petition. We are here primarily to support the
6 stipulation that we have, in fact, signed, and
7 participate in other matters that come before the
8 Commission as appropriate.

9 JUDGE HAENLE: All right. Thank you.

10 Is there any objection to the participation
11 of the Supply System?

12 Hearing no response, then I will grant the
13 petition to intervene of the Washington Public Power
14 Supply System.

15 The next one I have is yours, Mr. Dudley.
16 Did you have anything to add to the petition?

17 MR. DUDLEY: No, except to say that we want
18 to participate fully in the proceedings, as Mr. Hatcher
19 indicated, including the consolidation of the accounting
20 order that is up for consideration here this morning as
21 well.

22 JUDGE HAENLE: Thank you.

23 Does anyone have an objection to the
24 participation of Portland General Electric in this
25 matter?

00011

1 Hearing no response, then I will grant the
2 petition to intervene.

3 The next one is yours, Mr. Davidson. Did
4 you have anything to add to it?

5 MR. DAVIDSON: I don't believe so, Your
6 Honor.

7 JUDGE HAENLE: And you were interested in
8 both of the dockets?

9 MR. DAVIDSON: Yes. Yes. Especially, if
10 they're consolidated and considered as one proceeding.

11 JUDGE HAENLE: We discussed, before we went
12 on the record, consolidation of that accounting
13 petition, we'll take that up as the next order of
14 business, but I had asked people to indicate whether
15 they were interested in both, and I appreciate you doing
16 that.

17 Any objection to the participation of Public
18 Service Company of Colorado?

19 Hearing no response, then I will grant the
20 petition to intervene.

21 The next one is yours, Mr. Williams. Did
22 you have anything to add to it?

23 MR. WILLIAMS: No, except that I would like
24 it to be considered as also a petition to intervene in
25 Docket No. UR-950620, petition for the accounting

00012

1 order.

2 JUDGE HAENLE: All right.

3 Any objection to the participation of
4 Teledyne Wah Chang?

5 Hearing no response, then that petition will
6 be granted.

7 And the last written petition I have is your
8 client, Mr. Paine. Did you have anything to add to
9 this?

10 MR. PAINE: Nothing, other than what
11 Mr. Williams has indicated, Precision is interested in
12 the accounting order and asks for leave to intervene in
13 that docket as well.

14 JUDGE HAENLE: All right.

15 Any objection to the participation of
16 Precision Castparts?

17 MR. VanNOSTRAND: Your Honor, we don't have
18 a copy of that.

19 JUDGE HAENLE: Let's go off the record to
20 allow Mr. VanNostrand to look at that.

21 (Discussion off the record.)

22 JUDGE HAENLE: Let's be back on the record.

23 During the time we were off the record
24 Mr. VanNostrand looked at a copy of the petition.

25 Did you have any objection to the

00013

1 participation now that you have read the copy,
2 Mr. VanNostrand?

3 MR. VanNOSTRAND: No, Your Honor, everything
4 seems to be in order.

5 JUDGE HAENLE: All right.

6 Any objection to the petition now?

7 All right. I will grant the petition of
8 Precision Castparts.

9 Now, you were going to make an oral motion
10 to intervene in this matter, Mr. Irish. You indicated
11 in your appearance what your name was, your position and
12 your company's name, I need to have you indicate what
13 your interest is in this. Describe just briefly what
14 your interest is in this matter, including whether or
15 not you signed the stipulation, just as a piece of that.

16 Go ahead, sir.

17 MR. IRISH: Bonneville Power Administration
18 has been actively involved in the collaborative
19 proceedings and I am representing as a signatory on the
20 stipulation agreement.

21 Bonneville has 30 percent financial interest
22 in Trojan operated -- owned and operated by Portland
23 General Electric, and 100 percent of the financial
24 interest in the Washington Power Supply System,
25 operation of Plant No. 2. It is deemed -- has been

00014

1 deemed by our company that it is in Bonneville's best
2 interest, as far as rate settings, et cetera, to be
3 totally involved and actively involved along with their
4 proponents in this prehearing process and in this
5 hearing process.

6 JUDGE HAENLE: All right.

7 Is there any objection to the participation
8 of the Bonneville Power Administration in this manner?

9 Hearing no response, then I'll grant that
10 oral motion to intervene.

11 MR. IRISH: Thank you.

12 JUDGE HAENLE: Now, is there anyone else
13 that needed to move to intervene?

14 I hear no response.

15 I am going to assume that you who are here
16 today are the contact persons for your entity. That
17 means that you who are here today distribute things to
18 each other to the single contact person. I don't want
19 to have more than one official contact person per entity
20 so that people can know that they have distributed
21 properly when they send to the one.

22 Mr. Irish, you would be the only one that I
23 would wonder about. Are you going to be the official
24 contact person?

25 MR. IRISH: Yes, I am.

00015

1 JUDGE HAENLE: All right. Good. And I will
2 assume that those of you who gave appearances will be
3 the official contact person.

4 For the company, it is Mr. VanNostrand?

5 MR. VanNOSTRAND: Yes, Your Honor.

6 JUDGE HAENLE: All right.

7 So you will have fulfilled your
8 responsibility if you serve that single person. You can
9 make side arrangements to your heart's content about
10 distributing otherwise, but the single contact person
11 officially is the one that has given the appearance
12 today.

13 All right. That was the tough part. Why
14 don't we talk about the consolidation of the two dockets
15 first before we go off the record so that we know what
16 we are scheduling for.

17 In the meantime, also, I have sent around a
18 list for you to write down your name and fax number so
19 that the Commission will have those in case something
20 needs to be sent quickly. If your address or your fax
21 number changes during the course of this proceeding,
22 please be sure you notify the Commission by letter with
23 copies to all of the other parties.

24 Yes, Mr. Irish?

25 MR. IRISH: Your Honor, I didn't indicate,

00016

1 but I am interested in both dockets 19 and 20, please.

2 JUDGE HAENLE: Thank you for that
3 clarification.

4 When the materials came in, Docket
5 No. UR-950619 was given a docket number separate from
6 the accounting petition that was filed, I believe, on
7 the same date; is that right, Mr. VanNostrand?

8 MR. VanNOSTRAND: Yes, Your Honor.

9 JUDGE HAENLE: That accounting petition,
10 it's entitled, Petition for Accounting Order, the
11 heading is, Petition of US Ecology, Inc. for an Order
12 Regarding the Accounting Treatment of the Benton County
13 Property Tax Liability; that was given No. 950620, and
14 it was not included on the notice of hearing today.
15 Now, it seems to me that the company anticipated that
16 this would be heard at the same time, since one of their
17 witnesses has that accounting order as an exhibit, a
18 prefiled exhibit, and I don't recall that it's his or
19 her prefile testimony. It seemed to me today that if
20 someone wanted to make an oral motion for consolidation
21 of these two, that that would be appropriate, and then
22 we can take comments about whether anyone objects to
23 that process.

24 Do you want to do that, Mr. VanNostrand?

25 MR. VanNOSTRAND: Sure, Your Honor.

00017

1 JUDGE HAENLE: Thank you.

2 MR. VanNOSTRAND: Pursuant to WAC 480-09-610
3 it appears that the facts or principles of law in the
4 petition for accounting order are related to the issues,
5 the facts or principles of law in the general rate
6 problem, and the company would move to consolidate the
7 proceeding in accordance to that relation.

8 JUDGE HAENLE: All right.

9 Does anyone have any comment on that motion
10 to consolidate, pro or con?

11 What I want to be sure is that there is no
12 challenge later on to the notice for this prehearing
13 conference, because there was not a notice of hearing
14 issued separately listing that docket number for the
15 accounting petition. I want to be sure that no one was
16 caught unawares.

17 Any comments?

18 MS. RENDAHL: Your Honor, Staff would
19 support the motion to consolidate, and waives any
20 objection to notice not being provided for this
21 prehearing.

22 JUDGE HAENLE: Thank you.

23 Now, I will assume then from your silence
24 that everyone waives any challenge you might have if
25 there is a procedural defect in failing to do a notice

00018

1 of hearing that includes the docket number that is the
2 accounting petition. That is, that all of you were
3 anticipating, I expect, that we would take the
4 accounting petition today -- I mean, begin the process
5 for the accounting petition today and hear that at the
6 same time as the other filing.

7 I hear no one saying that they don't agree
8 with that, so I appreciate your cooperation.

9 Well, my suggestion is now that we go off
10 the record to discuss scheduling, discovery, exhibits,
11 that kind of thing, we come back on the record once we
12 have got something to describe to the record.

13 I told you before we went on the record also
14 that it is my intention to be sure that everyone has the
15 opportunity to put into the official record any
16 statements they need to make. By going off the record
17 what I want to do is try to work the bugs out, try to
18 have a free discussion, and then go back on the record
19 and recite what we did while we were off the record. So
20 we may need to do it in a couple of different stages.

21 Be sure, if there is something you feel that
22 is important to put on the record to preserve your
23 client's position, that you indicate to me that you need
24 to do that when we're on that section of it. I don't
25 want to preclude anyone from making their comments.

00019

1 Let's go off the record for the discussion.

2 (Discussion off the record.)

3 JUDGE HAENLE: Let's be back on the record.

4 It was pointed out to me that I hadn't ruled
5 on the motion to consolidate. I will grant the motion
6 to consolidate, and that indication will be in the
7 prehearing conference order that I have granted the
8 motion of Mr. VanNostrand's oral motion to consolidate.
9 I will change the heading on the documents from now on.
10 When you include that, please include also the heading
11 for the accounting petition, which is the one that I
12 just read, Docket No. UR-950620 so that everything from
13 now on will reflect both of those docket numbers which
14 are involved.

15 Thank you, Mr. Davidson.

16 Let's go off the record for the discussion.

17 (Discussion off the record.)

18 JUDGE HAENLE: Let's go back on the record.

19 During the time we were off the record we
20 primarily discussed scheduling.

21 I indicated that we needed to work backward
22 from the brief due date of September 30 -- I'm sorry,
23 it's now October 31 was the brief due date that we were
24 working back from. So in doing that, I think that we
25 have an agreement by all of the parties to the various

00020

1 steps in the scheduling that we did. Because the
2 stipulation provides that if the Commission fails to act
3 by two weeks prior to the prefiling date of the Staff
4 and intervenors that the stipulation would fail. The
5 Commission has indicated it will set a hearing on the
6 stipulation.

7 Prior to that time, then we set a prefiling
8 date for some additional materials, and we have set two
9 other hearings pieces, I guess, but some of them are
10 multiple dates. So we have a hearing on the
11 stipulation, which we have set for July 13 and 14, July
12 13 primarily and July 14 if we need it.

13 We have set the opportunity for public
14 comment on the stipulation be noticed for 1:30 on July
15 13, so that if anyone who is a non-party wants to
16 comment on the stipulation, they would have a specific
17 time to be able to do that.

18 We have set hearings for the taking of
19 company direct and cross on August 15 and 16. We have
20 set a hearing for cross of all remaining material, which
21 is the direct, cross and rebuttal, I guess, then of
22 Staff and intervenors, and the direct and cross of
23 company rebuttal for October 9 through 11.

24 All of those hearings will take place in
25 Olympia at the Commission's hearing room, and there will

00021

1 be hearing notices issued on them.

2 Now, in connection with those hearing dates
3 then we also set a scheduling of prefiling dates as
4 follows. We have set the date of July 7 for the receipt
5 of several things. The company has prefiled testimony
6 and exhibits, we have asked the company to excerpt from
7 that prefiled testimony and exhibits the portions that
8 pertain to the stipulation and to file those separately
9 so that we know specifically which pieces those are.
10 The second item is the signed stipulation will be due at
11 the Commission and copies to the parties. The third
12 piece is the prefiling as an exhibit any data that was
13 derived from data that the collaborative considered, and
14 a brief statement of position from nonsignatories, and a
15 list of witnesses from everybody that they intend to
16 present for the hearing on the stipulation. The idea of
17 the prefiling as an exhibit of any derivative data was
18 to allow counsel to intelligently cross-examine on
19 numbers they might not have seen before. We are trying
20 to prevent any surprise, but we didn't feel that there
21 was a need to prefile all testimony and exhibits
22 entirely.

23 So please keep in mind our goal, and that is
24 to let everyone know what you have got and what your
25 witness is going to testify about so that there won't be

00022

1 any surprises.

2 We have the hearing on the stipulation, and
3 then I asked the company hypothetically, if the
4 Commission rejected the stipulation, would the company
5 want to prefile additional testimony and exhibits in
6 support of its position. I believe you indicated you
7 did not know whether you would or not but wanted to have
8 a date set to reserve that; is that correct,
9 Mr. VanNostrand?

10 MR. VanNOSTRAND: Yes, Your Honor.

11 JUDGE HAENLE: That date we have set is
12 July 28. Then the company cross on August 15 and 16,
13 prefiling of Staff and intervenor, direct testimony and
14 exhibits, September 1; prefiling of all parties in
15 rebuttal to all other parties, September 22; cross of
16 all of the rest of the material then that's been
17 prefiled, October 9 through 11; and simultaneous briefs
18 due October 31.

19 I don't really believe we have got time for
20 any reply briefs, and hopefully if you have all filed
21 rebuttal to each other, reply briefs won't be necessary
22 in any case.

23 We agreed that all of these prefiling dates
24 were receipt dates. That is, that the item to be
25 prefiled must be received in the Commission's offices

00023

1 and logged before 5:00 on the day specified, and you
2 also need to make arrangements for those documents to be
3 received by other counsel also on that date, either by
4 overnighting it or by mailing it a couple of days in
5 advance. In any case, that is a receipt date, not a
6 mailing date.

7 I indicated also that if counsel wanted to
8 bring with them to the hearing on the stipulation on
9 July 13, if you wanted to bring with you a memorandum
10 regarding the effect of a stipulation that is not signed
11 by all of the parties, as we have here, assuming it is
12 still not signed by all of the parties then, then you
13 may bring that with you to the hearing on July 13.

14 Now, did I leave out anything we discussed
15 while we were off the record?

16 Did I misstate anything?

17 Is there anything that we need to add
18 regarding the things that we have discussed off the
19 record before we go on with the other things?

20 Anyone?

21 All right. Thank you.

22 Let's go off the record then and discuss the
23 remaining items for the prehearing conference.

24 (Discussion off the record.)

25 JUDGE HAENLE: Let's be back on the record.

00024

1 During the time we were off the record we
2 discussed a number of other prehearing conference type
3 issues.

4 I asked the parties whether they had agreed
5 to resolution of any of the issues to attempt to narrow
6 the issues, and they referred me to the stipulation and
7 had indicated earlier that they were still talking about
8 the stipulation and the nonsignatory parties. So I
9 guess we won't pursue that any further today.

10 I had asked before we went on the record and
11 wanted to bring up again formally that Mr. Prusia is
12 from Regulatory Affairs. The Commission will, effective
13 the 24th of July, be taking the hearing function back
14 into the Commission itself, rather than using Office of
15 Administrative Hearings. I asked Mr. Prusia to sit in
16 today, and someone from Regulatory Affairs will be
17 sitting with the Commissioners on the remainder of the
18 hearing. I wanted to be sure that that would not be a
19 basis for challenge by any of the parties later on,
20 although it's a little bit early before the transition
21 from the Office of Administrative Hearings. I don't
22 think there is a problem, as long as the Commissioners
23 are sitting with someone from Regulatory Affairs,
24 sitting in and presiding at the hearing.

25 One of my primary concerns was to be sure

00025

1 that you have the same person all the way through, or
2 have some continuity, rather than changing people in
3 midstream when the Office of Administrative Hearings
4 ceases to exist. I asked the parties if they would
5 agree to waive any challenge they might have to that
6 procedurally, I believe everyone agreed before we went
7 on the record.

8 Does anyone have a problem with that?

9 Hearing no response, I appreciate your
10 courtesy.

11 We discussed a number of discovery issues.
12 The parties agreed to shorten the response time for
13 responses to data requests to five working days after
14 September 1; down from the usual ten working days.

15 The parties have agreed that the Commission
16 should invoke the rule relating to methods for obtaining
17 data in adjudicative proceedings, which is WAC
18 480-09-480, and I think that is appropriate, so I will
19 invoke that.

20 I asked if the parties were going to request
21 a protective order, and I believe the parties agreed a
22 protective order was appropriate. I indicated that the
23 Commission will then issue a protective order in the
24 usual format, and I passed a copy of the usual format
25 around for everyone to look at. I'll ask them to get it

00026

1 out as soon as possible; but in the meantime, if the
2 parties want to agree to act as if they are already
3 bound by a protective order in order to facilitate
4 discovery, they're welcome to do that.

5 I believe all of the parties indicate they
6 wanted to do that; is that correct? Was there anyone
7 who didn't want to do that?

8 And is the company able to the comply,
9 Mr. VanNostrand?

10 MR. VanNOSTRAND: Yes.

11 JUDGE HAENLE: All right. Please remember
12 that it starts immediately, and you need to remember to
13 send in the form that will need to be attached to the
14 back of the protective order to cover your attorneys
15 and/or experts.

16 We talked briefly about the procedures for
17 distribution of protective materials, both in discovery
18 and as prefiled documents. Remember that that needs to
19 be segregated. Do not distribute anything that is
20 protected material to anyone who has not signed the
21 protective order.

22 I also indicated that responses to discovery
23 requests must be sent directly to Ms. Rendahl, do not
24 send those through the Commission secretary; but all
25 other prefiled materials and case-related correspondence

00027

1 needs to be filed through the Commission secretary. If
2 the Commission secretary has not logged it in, it is not
3 filed under the Commission's rules, so remember please.

4 The Commission is asking people to use the
5 Post Office Box address, not the street address, for
6 sending things in because of the campus mail. The
7 address is PO Box 47250, Olympia, Washington
8 98504-7250.

9 Prefiled materials, you need to send an
10 original plus 19 copies, please; and if you would send
11 a courtesy copy to Mr. Prusia at Regulatory Affairs.
12 I understand that the rules say now to the
13 Administrative Law Judge, but send it to Mr. Prusia
14 please, and Mr. Prusia has asked that you not send
15 confidential material in that packet.

16 Did I miss anything then, Mr. Prusia?

17 MR. PRUSIA: That, I think. I'm not sure
18 that you got this on the record.

19 JUDGE HAENLE: All right. Now, is there
20 anything that we discussed off the record this last time
21 that we need to talk about that we haven't?

22 MR. DAVIDSON: Could get Mr. Prusia's
23 office?

24 JUDGE HAENLE: Send it to the Commission's
25 address, the same Post Office Box.

00028

1 MR. DAVIDSON: Oh, the same one?

2 JUDGE HAENLE: It's John Prusia, Regulatory
3 Affairs Section.

4 MR. DAVIDSON: Okay.

5 JUDGE HAENLE: Prusia is P-r-u-s-i-a for
6 that courtesy copy.

7 Now, is there anything else that we covered
8 that we need to talk about? Anyone?

9 All right. I will adjourn the prehearing
10 conference then. The next time we meet will be for the
11 hearing on the stipulation July 13, remember those
12 prefiling dates, and thank you all.

13 (Hearing recessed at 11:45 a.m.)

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25