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| In the Matter ofAVISTA CORPORATION,Energy Recovery Mechanism (ERM) Annual Filing to Review Deferrals for Calendar Year 2008. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .  | ))))))))) | DOCKET UE-090452ORDER 01ORDER DEEMING RECOVERY MECHANISM DEFERRALS FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2008 PRUDENT |

**BACKGROUND**

1. The Utilities and Transportation Commission (Commission) in its Fifth Supplemental Order in Docket UE-011595 (June 18, 2002), authorized Avista Corporation (Avista or Company) to implement an Energy Recovery Mechanism (ERM) allowing for positive or negative adjustments to its rates to account for fluctuations in power costs outside of an authorized band for power-cost recovery in base rates. Under the Settlement Stipulation approved by the Commission in the same order, Avista is required to make a filing by April 1 of each year regarding the power costs it deferred the prior calendar year under the ERM. *Settlement Stipulation in Docket UE-011595at 6-7,4.b.*
2. The Company’s April 1 filings are intended to be sufficient to provide the Commission and interested parties an opportunity to audit and review the prudence of the ERM deferrals for the year in question. *Id.* A 90-day review period is contemplated, though that period can be extended.  *Id.*
3. On March 27, 2009, Avista filed testimony, exhibits and supporting documentation relating to power costs deferred under the ERM for calendar year *200*8. In this docket, the 90-day review period was April 1, 2009, to June 30, 2009.
4. The first ERM annual review covered the period July 1, 2002, through Dec*ember* 31, 2002,[[1]](#footnote-1) and resulted in a Commission Order approving a settlement of the issues presented. *WUTC v. Avista Corp., Docket No. UE-030751, Order Approving and Adopting Settlement Stipulation (Order 05, February 3, 2004)*. Among other things, the Settlement Stipulation in Docket UE-030751 identified specific documentation the Company would file in future ERM annual review proceedings. *See Settlement Stipulation in Docket UE-030751 at 6-7, ¶ III.C.*
5. Pursuant to the terms of the ERM, the first $4 million of amounts of net power supply costs above the authorized level is absorbed by the Company; the next $6 million is split equally between the Company and rate payers; and 90 percent of any remaining amount over $10 million is deferred. In 2008 the ERM resulted in a deferral of $7,048,583.
6. Staff has conducted a review of the Company’s ERM annual review filing in this docket, and is satisfied the Company provided adequate documentation of its ERM power cost deferrals for calendar year 2008 and recommends the deferrals be deemed prudent.
7. Staff has not identified any related issues nor has any other person or party filed comments with the Commission within the review period or asked to extend the review period.

**FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS**

1. (1) The Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission is an agency of the State of Washington vested by statute with the authority to regulate rates, rules, regulations, practices, accounts, securities, and transfers of property and affiliated interests of public service companies, including electric companies. *RCW 80.01.040, RCW 80.04, RCW 80.28, RCW 80.16, RCW 80.08 and RCW 80.12.*
2. (2) Avista is a public service company subject to Commission jurisdiction. Avista is engaged in the business of providing electric and natural gas service within the state of Washington.
3. (3) This matter was brought before the Commission at its regularly scheduled meeting on July 16, 2009. The Commission received no written or oral comments from any person or party other than Commission Staff.
4. (4) The Company has provided adequate documentation of its ERM power cost deferrals for calendar year 2008 to support a total power cost expense over authorized power costs of $14,498,426.

**ORDER**

1. (1) Avista Corporation’s filing meets the requirements in Dockets UE-011595 and UE-030751 and the company’s 2008 Energy Recovery Mechanism deferrals are deemed prudent.
2. (2) Pursuant to the terms of the Energy Recovery Mechanism, Avista Corporation is authorized to recover $7,048,583.
3. (3) Avista Corporation is still required to make annual filings with supporting documentation consistent with the Orders in Dockets UE-011595 and UE-030751.
4. (4) This Order shall in no way affect the Commission’s authority over rates, services, accounts, valuations, estimations, or determination of costs, or any matters whatsoever that may come before it. Nor shall this Order be construed as an agreement to any estimate or determination of costs, or any valuation of property claimed or asserted.
5. (5) The Commission retains jurisdiction to effectuate the terms of this Order.

DATED at Olympia, Washington, and effective July 16, 2009.

WASHINGTON STATE UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

JEFFREY D. GOLTZ, Chairman

PATRICK J. OSHIE, Commissioner

PHILIP B. JONES, Commissioner

1. The review period was less than one year because the ERM did not begin until July 1, 2002. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)