```
1
                   BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE
             UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
 2.
     In the Matter of the
                                      DOCKET NO. TV-051472
     PENALTY ASSESSMENT AGAINST
                                   )
     JORDAN RIVER MOVING &
                                   )
                                      Volume I
 4
                                      Pages 1 to 11
     STORAGE, INC.
                                   )
 5
                                   _)
 6
                A hearing in the above matter was held on
     December 14, 2005, from 1:30 p.m to 2:00 p.m., at 1300
 7
 8
     South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Room 108, Olympia,
 9
     Washington, before Administrative Law Judge THEODORA
10
     MACE.
11
                The parties were present as follows:
                THE COMMISSION, by JENNIFER
12
     CAMERON-RULKOWSKI, Assistant Attorney General, 1400
     South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Post Office Box
13
     40128, Olympia, Washington 98504, Telephone (360)
     664-1188, Fax (360) 586-5522, E-Mail
14
     jcameron@wutc.wa.gov.
15
                JORDAN RIVER MOVING & STORAGE, INC., via
     bridge line by MARK D. KIMBALL, Attorney at Law, 10900
     Northeast Fourth, Suite 2300, Bellevue, Washington
16
     98004, Telephone (425) 455-9610, Fax (425) 455-1170,
     E-Mail is mark@mdklaw.com.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
     Joan E. Kinn, CCR, RPR
25
     Court Reporter
```

D	R	\cap	\sim	F	F	D	Т	M	α	S	

- 2 JUDGE MACE: Let's be on the record in Docket
- 3 Number TV-051472. This is the matter of the penalty
- 4 assessment against Jordan River Moving & Storage, Inc.
- 5 Today's date is December 14th, 2005, and we are convened
- 6 at the offices of the Washington Utilities and
- 7 Transportation Commission in Olympia, Washington. My
- 8 name is Theodora Mace, I am the Administrative Law Judge
- 9 who has been assigned to hear this case.
- 10 I am going to take the oral appearances now
- 11 of counsel and the parties. I will be asking for what's
- 12 called the long form of appearance, and this means that
- 13 I would like to have your name, who you represent, your
- 14 address, phone, fax, and E-mail contact information, and
- 15 I'm going to begin with Staff is in the hearing room, so
- 16 if you would please give us your appearance.
- 17 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I'm Jennifer
- 18 Cameron-Rulkowski, and I'm representing Commission
- 19 Staff. My address is 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive
- 20 Southwest, that's P.O. Box 40128, Olympia, Washington
- 21 98504, telephone is area code (360) 664-1186, fax is
- 22 area code (360) 586-5522, E-mail is
- jcameron@wutc.wa.gov.
- JUDGE MACE: Thank you.
- Mr. Kimball, could you please introduce

- 1 yourself for the record.
- 2 MR. KIMBALL: Thank you, this is Mark
- 3 Kimball, I'm representing Jordan River Moving & Storage,
- 4 Inc., which is the responding party in this matter. My
- 5 address for the record is 10900 Northeast Fourth Street,
- 6 Suite 2300, Bellevue, Washington 98004. My phone number
- 7 is (425) 455-9610, fax number is (425) 455-1170.
- JUDGE MACE: I'm sorry, could you repeat
- 9 that.
- 10 MR. KIMBALL: Yes, it's (425) 455-1170.
- JUDGE MACE: Thank you.
- 12 MR. KIMBALL: And my E-mail is mark, M-A-R-K,
- 13 @mdklaw.com.
- JUDGE MACE: Thank you.
- 15 All right, we have a certain series of items
- 16 that we need to cover and we usually cover in a
- 17 prehearing conference of this nature. One of them has
- 18 to do with discovery, another whether a protective order
- 19 will be entered, and then there's the question of
- 20 schedule. So let me ask first whether or not the
- 21 parties wish to have the discovery rule invoked.
- 22 Mr. Kimball?
- MR. KIMBALL: Yes.
- JUDGE MACE: All right.
- 25 And do the parties see a need for a

0004

- 1 protective order?
- MR. KIMBALL: Your Honor, this is Mark
- 3 Kimball again.
- 4 JUDGE MACE: Yes.
- 5 MR. KIMBALL: I'm not exactly sure what all
- 6 would come out in discovery, but when there are -- to
- 7 the extent that forms such as the bill of ladings, other
- 8 information documents relating to moving or customer
- 9 moves would be produced, there may be credit card
- 10 information on that, there may be -- I don't think there
- 11 is Social Security information, but there may be credit
- 12 card information, possibly even copies of checks in
- 13 files. I think that having some sort of protective
- 14 order available would be a good idea simply to protect
- 15 the integrity of my client's customers.
- JUDGE MACE: All right, I will see to it that
- 17 a protective order is entered.
- 18 Then I guess next the question of a schedule.
- 19 Oftentimes these are handled in one day in a more or
- 20 less informal type of a proceeding. I don't want to
- 21 blow this out of proportion, but I do want to give
- 22 everybody a chance to present the testimony and evidence
- 23 that they want to. Have the parties had a chance to
- 24 talk about scheduling?
- MR. KIMBALL: No.

0005

- 1 JUDGE MACE: Have the parties talked about
- 2 resolving this complaint or this assessment?
- 3 MR. KIMBALL: I don't believe so.
- 4 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Not to my knowledge.
- 5 JUDGE MACE: Okay. What I would like to have
- 6 you do is take a few minutes to discuss scheduling, and
- 7 you might want to insert a time frame for discussing a
- 8 date upon which you would discuss settlement if that's
- 9 something that the parties are amenable to. That way
- 10 it's in the schedule, and everybody knows it's there,
- 11 and it's something for you as a target for you to think
- 12 about. It may be that this case couldn't settle, but
- 13 there is always that possibility. So if you would
- 14 include something like that in the schedule, I would
- 15 appreciate it.
- 16 Anything else before I go off the record to
- 17 let you have time to discuss schedule?
- 18 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: I would like to say
- 19 that Commission Staff is always amenable to offers of
- 20 settlement, and perhaps we could clarify which of the
- 21 penalty assessment items are contested.
- 22 JUDGE MACE: And I think that would be a good
- 23 plan. I don't know if it's a good use of our time on
- 24 the record today. I don't know if Mr. Kimball would be
- 25 prepared to do that. Maybe you could take some time

- 1 after we're off the record, I would be happy to just let
- 2 you use the phone after that so that you could have that
- 3 discussion right away, but I don't know if I want to
- 4 take up time on the record to do that.
- 5 MR. KIMBALL: Your Honor, I'll just indicate
- 6 really quickly, in our request for hearing we actually
- 7 did list which items were contested and which ones were
- 8 not contested.
- 9 JUDGE MACE: And you haven't changed from
- 10 that since that point; is that correct?
- 11 MR. KIMBALL: Correct.
- 12 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Perhaps we can
- 13 discuss that off the record.
- 14 JUDGE MACE: Yes.
- 15 All right, well, let's take 15 minutes now, I
- 16 will let you take some time to discuss a schedule. I
- just want to point out that I am in hearing from roughly
- 18 the 3rd of January until the 20th unless that hearing
- 19 schedule changes, and then I have many free days after
- 20 that, but I wanted to point that out. And I can't think
- 21 of anything else, so I will adjourn for 15 minutes and
- 22 let you talk about scheduling. I'm right in the office
- 23 across the way, you can come and get me if you're done
- 24 sooner than that.
- 25 (Discussion off the record.)

- 1 JUDGE MACE: So Ms. Rulkowski, would you like
- 2 to report on what you have discussed.
- 3 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Certainly. We have
- 4 discussed getting in some settlement proposals by Jordan
- 5 River by the 10th of January and then having a
- 6 settlement discussion on the 20th of January. And if
- 7 we're not able to resolve the matter at that time, then
- 8 we would go to discovery with a discovery cutoff date of
- 9 the 17th of February. And then we had discussed
- 10 briefing if that's something that you would like to see.
- JUDGE MACE: What about a hearing, have
- 12 you --
- MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Yes, we had discussed
- 14 having a hearing on or after March 27th, depending on
- 15 your availability, and we had discussed briefing
- 16 deadlines dependent on that hearing date.
- 17 JUDGE MACE: Well, I would like to hold a
- 18 hearing in the first or second full week of March, maybe
- 19 the 15th of March.
- 20 MR. KIMBALL: That's fine. I guess we would
- 21 have to then obviously adjust the other dates.
- JUDGE MACE: Well, we have a month from --
- 23 well, we won't of course be having prefiled testimony,
- 24 so that's not an issue, but you have a month from your
- 25 discovery cutoff, in fact you have two months, three

- 1 months, four months from today, sorry. I mean it's not
- 2 like you're not aware of what the assessments are. I
- 3 don't know what adjustment you would have to make, but
- 4 that's up to you. I think I would like to shoot for
- 5 March 15th as a hearing date unless there is some other
- 6 scheduling difficulty I'm not aware of.
- 7 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: That's fine.
- 8 MR. KIMBALL: That's fine.
- 9 JUDGE MACE: And then what were you talking
- 10 about for briefs?
- 11 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: We were talking about
- 12 having an initial simultaneous brief due from both sides
- 13 two weeks before the hearing and a responsive brief due
- 14 a week before the hearing.
- 15 MR. KIMBALL: I guess in light of the moved
- 16 up schedule, what I would request then would be the
- 17 initial briefs due maybe on --
- 18 JUDGE MACE: Well, I actually was thinking of
- 19 briefs after the hearing. That's usually the way we do
- 20 it here, unless you had some other reason for having the
- 21 briefs ahead of the hearing. I mean I know that under
- 22 certain circumstances in court you do pretrial briefs,
- 23 but I think for this we don't necessarily need to have
- 24 that. In fact, we could dispense with briefs all
- 25 together, and you could just make oral argument at the

- 1 close of your hearing on the 15th, then you wouldn't
- 2 have to prepare briefs.
- 3 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: That's fine.
- 4 JUDGE MACE: Mr. Kimball, what do you think?
- 5 MR. KIMBALL: I don't want to waive the right
- 6 to submit a brief. Maybe we could leave that issue open
- 7 through the hearing, and then if either party wants to
- 8 request the opportunity to present a brief at the time
- 9 of the hearing or after the hearing, maybe we could
- 10 address it then.
- JUDGE MACE: Okay, so right now I will put in
- 12 the schedule that we will have oral arguments at the
- 13 close of hearing but that briefing is not waived, and
- 14 you can have a chance at that point to ask me if you can
- 15 submit briefs.
- MR. KIMBALL: All right.
- 17 JUDGE MACE: If I do allow briefs, probably
- 18 there won't be very much time. I mean I will probably
- 19 give you two weeks, three weeks at the outside, so just
- 20 so you know up front that there won't be a lengthy
- 21 period of time after the hearing for briefing.
- MR. KIMBALL: That's more than enough time.
- MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: That's fine.
- 24 JUDGE MACE: I would like to have some idea
- of how many witnesses and what type and number of

- 1 exhibits we may be looking at for the hearing. I have
- 2 assumed that it can take place in one day, but it might
- 3 be helpful to get a better idea for the number of
- 4 witnesses.
- 5 Ms. Rulkowski, how about Staff?
- 6 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Ms. Young.
- JUDGE MACE: And, Mr. Kimball, what do you
- 8 think?
- 9 MR. KIMBALL: I would think probably three,
- 10 and my recollection in meeting with my client is that
- 11 two of the three principals have information about the
- 12 allegations, and then I believe there was one driver
- 13 that they would call as a witness as well.
- 14 JUDGE MACE: All right. I'm wondering if we
- 15 have a hearing set for the 15th, I would like to have a
- 16 list of your witnesses by March 10th just so I'm not
- 17 surprised. It doesn't sound like there's any problem
- 18 based on your estimate, but I think it would be a good
- 19 idea to have you submit a list of witnesses. And I
- 20 would also like to have a list of the exhibits you're
- 21 going to be bringing to the hearing. It doesn't have to
- 22 be any lengthy description, but just something that
- 23 gives us an idea of what you intend to bring.
- MR. KIMBALL: All right.
- JUDGE MACE: And on that same date.

- 1 MR. KIMBALL: And that would be March 10th?
- JUDGE MACE: Yeah, so witnesses and exhibits.
- 3 Is there anything else that either of the
- 4 parties wants to bring to my attention at this point?
- 5 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Nothing from Staff.
- JUDGE MACE: Okay.
- 7 Mr. Kimball?
- 8 MR. KIMBALL: Nothing further.
- 9 JUDGE MACE: All right. Please contact me if
- 10 you run into any problems with either the settlement
- 11 proposals or discovery. Otherwise unless, well, and of
- 12 course I encourage you to in your settlement discussions
- 13 please let me know what happens as a result of those. I
- 14 would appreciate getting an E-mail or a phone call from
- 15 you after the 20th of January just to let me know what
- 16 the status is.
- 17 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Will do.
- 18 JUDGE MACE: And other than that, I will see
- 19 you on the 15th of March next year.
- MR. KIMBALL: Thank you.
- MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you.
- JUDGE MACE: All right, thank you.
- 23 (Hearing adjourned at 2:00 p.m.)

24

25