Law Office of Richard A. Finnigan

Richard A. Finnigan (360) 956-7001 B. Seth Bailey (360) 956-7211 Suite B-1
Olympia, Washington 98502
Fax (360) 753-6862

Kathy McCrary (360) 753-7012 Paige Lemcke (360) 753-4679 Paralegals

September 3, 2003

Ms. Carole J. Washburn, Executive Secretary Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, WA 98504-7250

Re: Docket No. UT-033011

Dear Ms. Washburn:

Enclosed are the original and nineteen copies of FairPoint Carrier Services, Inc.'s f/k/a FairPoint Communications Solutions Corp. Answer to the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission's Amended Complaint and Notice of Prehearing Conference.

RICHARD A. FINNIGAN

RAF/km Enclosures

cc:

Service List Shirley Linn John LaPenta

1		
2		RECORI 03 SE STA UTIL. CC
3		SEP - STATE IL. AAN COMM
4		TANA TOP THE STORY
5		IINGTON UTILITIES = \$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{
6	AND TRANSPORTA	TION COMMISSION
7 8	WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,) DOCKET NO. UT-033011
9	Complainant,)
10	- ')
11	V.)
12	ADVANCED TELECOM GROUP, INC.; ALLEGIANCE TELECOM, INC.; AT&T) FAIRPOINT CARRIER) SERVICES, INC.'S f/k/a FAIRPOINT
13	CORP., COVAD COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY; ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE,) COMMUNICATIONS SOLUTIONS) CORP. ANSWER
14	INC.; ESCHELON TELECOM, INC. f/k/a ADVANCED TELECOMMUNICATIONS,) TO THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES) AND TRANSPORTATION
15	INC.; FAIRPOINT COMMUNICATIONS) COMMISSION'S AMENDED
16	SOLUTIONS, INC.; GLOBAL CROSSING LOCAL SERVICES, INC.; INTEGRA) COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF) PREHEARING CONFERENCE
17	TELECOM, INC.; MCI WORLDCOM, INC.; McLEODUSA, INC.; SBC TELECOM, INC.;))
18	QWEST CORPORATION; XO COMMUNICATIONS, INC. f/k/a NEXTLINK)
19	COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,)
20	Respondents.)
21)
22		
23	COMES NOW Defendant, FairPoint Carrier Services, Inc., f/k/a FairPoint Communications	
24	Solutions Corp., ("FairPoint"), by and through The	e Law Office of Richard A. Finnigan, and submits
25		Law Office of
26	FAIRPOINT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO WUTC'S AMENDED COMPLAINT - 1	Richard A. Finnigan 2405 Evergreen Park Dr. SW Suite B-1 Olympia, WA 98502 (360) 956-7001

1 2

this Answer to the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission's ("WUTC" or

"Commission") Amended Complaint and Notice of Prehearing Conference (hereafter "Complaint").

Defendant admits, denies, answers and alleges as follows:

4

3

5

6

7

2.

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

FAIRPOINT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO WUTC'S AMENDED COMPLAINT - 2 ANSWER

Paragraph 1 states matters which need not be admitted or denied. 1.

FairPoint enters a general denial of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 2 through 9. FairPoint asserts that the documents cited in Paragraphs 2 through 9 speak for themselves, and therefore need not be admitted or denied. FairPoint asserts that its legal name is FairPoint Carrier Services, Inc. and that its legal name at the time the billing dispute settlement agreement in question was entered into between FairPoint and Owest Corporation ("Qwest") was FairPoint Communications Solutions Corp., not FairPoint Communications Solutions, Inc., as alleged in the Complaint. FairPoint further asserts that the allegations in Paragraphs 2 through 9 contain misstatements of law. FairPoint also alleges that FairPoint no longer provides local exchange services that are the subject of the Complaint. FairPoint also alleges that Paragraphs 2 through 9 contain statements about which FairPoint is without knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or accuracy of the allegations, and FairPoint therefore denies these allegations.

3. FairPoint admits the allegations of Paragraph 10.

4. With respect to Paragraph 11, FairPoint admits that it is a telecommunications company subject to regulation by the WUTC as an interexchange carrier. FairPoint asserts that RCW 80.01.040(3) speaks for itself and need not be admitted or denied. Further, FairPoint is without knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or accuracy of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 11, and FairPoint therefore denies these allegations.

5

12 13

14

15 16

17

19

18

21

20

2223

24

25

26

FAIRPOINT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO WUTC'S AMENDED COMPLAINT - 3

FairPoint denies that the WUTC has jurisdiction over the billing dispute settlement agreement at issue because the agreement was a settlement agreement to remedy past harms and not an agreement or contract to buy services as alleged in the Complaint, and therefore denies that the WUTC has jurisdiction over this dispute as alleged in Paragraph 12.

- With respect to Paragraph 13, FairPoint admits that it is a telecommunications company authorized to provide telecommunications service in the state of Washington. FairPoint is without knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or accuracy of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 13, and FairPoint therefore denies these allegations.
- 7. With respect to Paragraph 14, FairPoint admits that it is subject, in certain respects, to regulation by the WUTC under Title 80 RCW as an interexchange carrier. FairPoint denies any remaining allegations of Paragraph 14.
- 8. FairPoint is without knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or accuracy of the allegations of Paragraph 15 as they relate to the other parties designated by the Commission as Qwest and the CLEC Respondents, and FairPoint therefore denies these allegations. Further FairPoint denies that the agreement at issue between FairPoint and Qwest was required to be filed with the Commission under 47 U.S.C. § 252.
- 9. With respect to Paragraph 16, FairPoint asserts that 47 U.S.C. § 252(a)(1) and (e) and RCW 80.36.150 speak for themselves and need not be admitted or denied. FairPoint denies that it was under any obligation to file the agreement listed in Exhibit A with the WUTC.
- 10. The allegations of Paragraph 17 do not pertain to FairPoint, and FairPoint is without knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or accuracy of the allegations of Paragraph 17, and FairPoint therefore denies these allegations.
- 11. FairPoint re-admits and re-denies as delineated above the allegations of Paragraphs 2 through 17 of the Complaint re-alleged by the WUTC in Paragraph 18.

FAIRPOINT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO WUTC'S AMENDED COMPLAINT - 4

25

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO

WUTC'S AMENDED COMPLAINT - 5

2

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

FAIRPOINT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO WUTC'S AMENDED COMPLAINT - 6

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

BY WAY OF FURTHER ANSWER, and by way of affirmative defenses, FairPoint hereby alleges the WUTC's claims should be dismissed, in whole or in part, based on the following affirmative defenses:

- 1. The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
- 2. The Commission lacks subject matter jurisdiction over the billing dispute settlement agreement in question as identified in the Complaint.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, FairPoint prays that this matter be dismissed as to any allegations against FairPoint.

Dated this 3rd day of September, 2003.

RICHARD A FINNIGAN, WSBA #6443 Attorney for Respondent, FairPoint Carrier Services, Inc.