

Ranking of ATT Priority List Items Identified as 1's - 04-04-02

Concept Agreed To?	Language Agreed To?	Issue #	Issue	Allegiance	AT&T	Covad	Eschelon	Qwest	WorldCom	Total
Yes	Language available; pending Redesign Team review	I.A.12.	Qwest to propose language on the criteria used to determine method of implementing regulatory changes. (CMP Issues Log # 243.)	8	2	1	4	1	2	18
Yes	Yes	I.A.9.	Provide a decision on whether to provide copies of documentation regarding prioritization and sizing. (CMP Issues Log # 196.) This issue includes completion of the prioritization process within CMP (CMP Gap Analysis ## 117 – 120 & 124.) [Late Adder]	9	3	3	2	2	8	27
Yes	Language available; pending Redesign Team review	Also, discuss the Special Change Request Process (SCRP)								
Yes	Language available; pending Redesign Team review	I.A.11.	What is the status of a change when the escalation or dispute resolution is invoked? (CMP Issues Log # 226.) Embedded within this issue is the imbalance in treatment that CLEC CRs receive versus Qwest CRs. (CMP Gap Analysis # 20.) [Postponement language]	1	8	8	1	5	7	30
Yes	Yes	I.A.2.	State the criteria for Deny (reasons why) for the CR process. (CMP Issues Log #118; CMP Gap Analysis # 59.)	11	1	2	5	4	9	32
Yes	Pending Qwest modification	I.A.1	Review the CR process to insure that the description of the output of each step of the process is clearly defined; i.e., LOE (range of hours) and affinity. (CMP Issues Log #214; CMP Gap Analysis ## 121 – 123.)	6	6	5	9	3	6	35
Yes	Pending modification	V.c.	What changes are CLEC-impacting and what process governs them? What is the process when a CLEC-impacting change occurs, but was not expected? (CMP Issues Log ## 110 & 179.) 3/18: Team agreed that this item pertains to the IT Help Desk and ISC help desk relationship.	2	10	7	6	7	4	36
Yes	Language available; pending Redesign Team review	I.A.7.	Where will a CR that impacts both an OSS interface and process be addressed – at the Systems or Product/Process CMP Meeting? We will need to develop language to address this issue. (CMP Issues Log # 163.) Embedded in this issue is Part B of AT&T's February CMP Comments: product/process must be addressed at least to the extent that there is a process to handle crossover issues. [Crossover CR]	10	4	4	7	10	3	38

Ranking of ATT Priority List Items Identified as 1's - 04-04-02

Concept Agreed To?	Language Agreed To?	Issue #	Issue	Allegiance	AT&T	Covad	Eschelon	Qwest	WorldCom	Total
Yes	Pending Qwest modification	III. Part H	The significant CMP Product/Process issues need to be resolved in order for Qwest to rely on its SGAT as support for its section 271 application. References to Qwest PCATs and Technical Publications in the SGAT cannot change the existing SGATs and interconnection agreements. However, to the extent that Qwest wishes to change the terms of the SGAT by its PCATs or Technical Publications, there must be an effective, balanced industry process that controls the changes to those product documents. CMP Product/Process is currently a "notice and go" process. Qwest tells CLECs that Qwest is changing something and then Qwest implements the change. There is only discussion after the fact. This process must be more collaborative. CLECs should have input into changes before they are implemented. See also CMP Gap Analysis ## 20 – 22 & 114. 1 [Qwest-initiated Product/Process Change Process]	5	9	9	11	6	1	41
Yes	Pending	I.A.6.	What is the process to manage changes to performance reporting calculations, etc.? How do we handle the overlaps between what is being negotiated at the CMP Redesign and CPAP-like procedures? (CMP Issues Log # 158.) This includes establishing a process connection between PIDs and CMP as described in Part F of AT&T's February CMP Comments.	4	5	11	8	9	5	42
Yes	Yes	I.A.3.	Determine whether a process is necessary to address non-coding changes. (CMP Issues Log #137.)	7	7	6	10	8	10	48
Yes	Yes	V.d.	What is CMP's role in rate changes or rate "validation"? (CMP Gap Analysis ## 1 & 2.)	3	11	10	3	11	11	49