Docket No. TR-180466 - Vol. II

Whatcom County v. Burlington Northern Sante Fe Railway

March 5, 2019



COURT REPORTING AND LEGAL VIDEO

206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101 www.buellrealtime.com email: info@buellrealtime.com



Docket No. TR-180466 - Vol. II - 3/5/2019

		Page 12			Page 14
	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	-	1 2	INDEX WITNESS NAME:	PAGE NO.
	WHATCOM COUNTY,)		3 4 5	CODY SWAN Cross by Ms. Endres Redirect by Mr. Quinn	17 34
) Petitioner,) Docket No.		6 7 8	Recross by Ms. Endres Recross by Mr. Quinn	35 61
) vs.) TR-180466		9	BETTY YOUNG	
	BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY,)		10	Cross by Ms. Endres	77 93
) Respondent.)		11 12	Redirect by Mr. Roberson STEPHEN SEMENICK	90
	VOLUME II EVIDENTIARY HEARING		13 14 15	Cross by Mr. Quinn Cross by Mr. Roberson	98 116 118
	Pages 12-132		16	Redirect by Ms. Endres DUSTY ARRINGTON (Via Tele	
	DATE: March 5, 2019		17	Cross by Mr. Roberson	127
	PLACE: 311 Grand Avenue Bellingham, Washington		19	INFORMATION REC	QUESTED
	BEFORE: ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE RAYNE PEARSON ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE LAURA CHARTOFF		20 21	Pages 95-96	
			22	Page 125	
	Reported by: Connie Recob, CCR, RMR, CRR, CLR CCR No. 2631		23 24 25		
	J	Page 13	-		Page 15
1 2	APPEARANCES		1	PROCEEDING	3 S
2 3 4	For the Petitioner: CHRISTOPHER QUINN		2	<<<<< >>>>>	
5	Deputy Prosecuting Attorney Whatcom County Prosecutor's Office		4		We are on the record. Good
6	Suite 201 311 Grand Avenue		5	morning. Today is Tuesday, M	
	Bellingham, Washington 98225		6	approximately 9:33. We are he	
7	(360) 778-5729 cquinn@co.whatcom.wa.us		7	hearing in Docket TR-180466 r	
8 9	For the Respondent:		8	Whatcom County to approve th	
10	KELSEY ENDRES		10	barriers at a highway rail grade	-
11	Montgomery Scarp & Chait, PLLC Suite 2500		10	in Whatcom County as part of a My name is Laura Charto	
	1218 Third Avenue		12	Pearson. We are administrative	
12	Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 625-1801		13	Washington Utilities and Trans	
13 14	kelsey@montgomeryscarp.com JENNIFER L. WILLINGHAM		14	co-presiding on this matter so l'	
	General Attorney, BNSF Railway		15	but either of us will jump in at ar	
15	2500 Lou Menk Drive Fort Worth, Texas 76131		16	Okay. So we took care o	
16	(871) 352-2160		17	matters off the record this morn	
17	Jennifer.Willingham@bnsf.com		18	stipulated to the admission of al	
18	WUTC Staff:		19	and exhibits so I will give a copy	of the exhibit list to
19	JEFF ROBERSON Assistant Attorney General		20	the court reporter instead of rea	ding it into the record.
20	P.O. Box 40128 1500 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest		21	We will address the cross exhib	its at the time that they are
21	Olympia, Washington 98504		22	offered.	
22	(360) 664-1188 Jeff.Roberson@utc.wa.gov		23	So as far as the order of	
23	Controboroon euto.wa.gov		24	going to start with Whatcom Co	
24 25			25	UTC staff's witness and then B	NSF's witnesses.
1					

1 (Pages 12 to 15)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

	Page 16	E	age 18
1	Just for the record, we are in the Whatcom County	1 Q that has been submitted on your behalf eith	er in
2	courthouse and we will also be here this evening for the	 2 your pre-file or your rebuttal testimony that you thin 	
3	public comment hearing that's scheduled to begin at	3 would be helpful to explain your answer, please let	
4	6:00 p.m.	and collectively we've got it here somewhere and w	
5	So let's start by taking short appearances.	5 provide that to you.	
6	Please state your name and who you represent for the record.	6 JUDGE PEARSON: So I think we accidental	ly
7	MR. QUINN: Christopher Quinn with Whatcom	7 stole his exhibits so we'll pass them back down. Or m	
8	County Prosecutor's Office representing Whatcom County in	8 not. I don't think actually we have there were some	,
9	this matter in support of its petition.	9 copies sitting up on the bench, but I don't think it was	
10	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you.	10 JUDGE CHARTOFF: It was these.	
11	MR. ROBERSON: Jeff Roberson, AAG, appearing	11 JUDGE PEARSON: Oh, yeah, you're right.	
12	for Commission staff.	12 MS. WILLINGHAM: Your Honor, may I appr	bach
13	MS. ENDRES: Good morning. Kelsey Endres for	13 with the binder?	
14	BNSF Railway Company. With me this morning is Jennifer	14 JUDGE CHARTOFF: Yes.	
15	Willingham and also Stephen Semenick.	15 MS. ENDRES: Hopefully we have everything	ı in
16	JUDGE CHARTOFF: If there's nothing else	16 there.	
17	we'll get started by calling witnesses, so Whatcom County,	17 MR. QUINN: Looks like you do.	
18	if you would please call your first witness.	18 MS. ENDRES: If not, we'll figure it out. I	
19	MR. QUINN: That would be Mr. Cody Swan.	19 will tell you there are some exhibits that have been	
20	CODY SWAN, having been first duly sworn,	numbered twice, either they were submitted once in so	omeone's
21	testified as follows:	21 pre-file testimony and then later as a cross-examination	n
22		exhibit so I'll try to be really clear in using both	
23	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. Please be	23 numbers. I'll also tell you because that's our attempt to	D
24	seated. Please state your name, spelling your last name for	save trees. There are some places where you'll see a	page
25	the record.	25 that says go to this other location, so we'll walk throug	h
	Page 17	I	age 19
1			age 19
1 2	Page 17 THE WITNESS: Cody Swan, S-W-A-N. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you.		Page 19
	THE WITNESS: Cody Swan, S-W-A-N.	1 that together but just as a heads-up.	
2	THE WITNESS: Cody Swan, S-W-A-N. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you.	 that together but just as a heads-up. BY MS. ENDRES: 	of
2 3	THE WITNESS: Cody Swan, S-W-A-N. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I have no direct	 that together but just as a heads-up. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. As an initial matter I'd like to do a little bit of 	of v down.
2 3 4	THE WITNESS: Cody Swan, S-W-A-N. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I have no direct questions of Mr. Swan at this time.	 that together but just as a heads-up. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. As an initial matter I'd like to do a little bit of housekeeping so that we all have our terminology 	of v down. testimony
2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: Cody Swan, S-W-A-N. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I have no direct questions of Mr. Swan at this time. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Thank you. So cross?	 that together but just as a heads-up. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. As an initial matter I'd like to do a little bit of housekeeping so that we all have our terminology We've been using a lot of acronyms in the pre-file 	of down. testimony hat we're
2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: Cody Swan, S-W-A-N. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I have no direct questions of Mr. Swan at this time. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Thank you. So cross? MS. ENDRES: Do Your Honors have a preference	 that together but just as a heads-up. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. As an initial matter I'd like to do a little bit of housekeeping so that we all have our terminology We've been using a lot of acronyms in the pre-file and just so we have a clear record to make sure t 	of down. testimony hat we're susing.
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: Cody Swan, S-W-A-N. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I have no direct questions of Mr. Swan at this time. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Thank you. So cross? MS. ENDRES: Do Your Honors have a preference whether we cross from the podium or stay at our seats?	 that together but just as a heads-up. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. As an initial matter I'd like to do a little bit of housekeeping so that we all have our terminology. We've been using a lot of acronyms in the pre-file and just so we have a clear record to make sure t all on the same page in the terminology that we're 	of down. testimony hat we're using. ile
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: Cody Swan, S-W-A-N. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I have no direct questions of Mr. Swan at this time. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Thank you. So cross? MS. ENDRES: Do Your Honors have a preference whether we cross from the podium or stay at our seats?	 that together but just as a heads-up. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. As an initial matter I'd like to do a little bit of housekeeping so that we all have our terminology. We've been using a lot of acronyms in the pre-file and just so we have a clear record to make sure t all on the same page in the terminology that we're The first is QZRI, we've seen that a lot in the pre-file 	of down. testimony hat we're using. ile
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Cody Swan, S-W-A-N. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I have no direct questions of Mr. Swan at this time. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Thank you. So cross? MS. ENDRES: Do Your Honors have a preference whether we cross from the podium or stay at our seats? JUDGE CHARTOFF: You can stay in your seat.	 that together but just as a heads-up. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. As an initial matter I'd like to do a little bit of housekeeping so that we all have our terminology. We've been using a lot of acronyms in the pre-file and just so we have a clear record to make sure t all on the same page in the terminology that we're The first is QZRI, we've seen that a lot in the pre-file testimony which is the Quiet Zone Risk Index if I're the same page in the terminology is the same set of the same page in the terminology that we're the first is QZRI, we've seen that a lot in the pre-file testimony which is the Quiet Zone Risk Index if I're the same page in the terminology is the same set of the same page in the terminology is the same set of the same page in the terminology is the same set of the same page in the terminology that we're the same page the same page in the terminology that we're the same page the same page the same page the same page terminology that we're the same page terminology the same page terminology that we're terminology terminol	of down. testimony hat we're using. ile
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: Cody Swan, S-W-A-N. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I have no direct questions of Mr. Swan at this time. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Thank you. So cross? MS. ENDRES: Do Your Honors have a preference whether we cross from the podium or stay at our seats? JUDGE CHARTOFF: You can stay in your seat. CROSS-EXAMINATION	 that together but just as a heads-up. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. As an initial matter I'd like to do a little bit of housekeeping so that we all have our terminology We've been using a lot of acronyms in the pre-file and just so we have a clear record to make sure t all on the same page in the terminology that we're The first is QZRI, we've seen that a lot in the pre-file testimony which is the Quiet Zone Risk Index if I'r is that right? 	of down. testimony hat we're using. ile n correct;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: Cody Swan, S-W-A-N. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I have no direct questions of Mr. Swan at this time. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Thank you. So cross? MS. ENDRES: Do Your Honors have a preference whether we cross from the podium or stay at our seats? JUDGE CHARTOFF: You can stay in your seat. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ENDRES:	 that together but just as a heads-up. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. As an initial matter I'd like to do a little bit of housekeeping so that we all have our terminology We've been using a lot of acronyms in the pre-file and just so we have a clear record to make sure to all on the same page in the terminology that we're The first is QZRI, we've seen that a lot in the pre-file testimony which is the Quiet Zone Risk Index if I'r is that right? A. Yep. 	of down. testimony hat we're using. ile n correct; ewhere
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: Cody Swan, S-W-A-N. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I have no direct questions of Mr. Swan at this time. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Thank you. So cross? MS. ENDRES: Do Your Honors have a preference whether we cross from the podium or stay at our seats? JUDGE CHARTOFF: You can stay in your seat. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Swan. My name is Kelsey Endres.	1that together but just as a heads-up.2BY MS. ENDRES:3Q. As an initial matter I'd like to do a little bit of4housekeeping so that we all have our terminology5We've been using a lot of acronyms in the pre-file6and just so we have a clear record to make sure t7all on the same page in the terminology that we're8The first is QZRI, we've seen that a lot in the pre-file9testimony which is the Quiet Zone Risk Index if I'r10is that right?11A. Yep.12Q. And that as I saw in your testimony and els	of down. testimony hat we're using. ile n correct; ewhere n the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: Cody Swan, S-W-A-N. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I have no direct questions of Mr. Swan at this time. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Thank you. So cross? MS. ENDRES: Do Your Honors have a preference whether we cross from the podium or stay at our seats? JUDGE CHARTOFF: You can stay in your seat. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Swan. My name is Kelsey Endres. Again, I represent BNSF Railway Company. I'm going to be asking you some questions today as we have a group discussion about the warning devices or supplemental safety	 that together but just as a heads-up. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. As an initial matter I'd like to do a little bit of housekeeping so that we all have our terminology. We've been using a lot of acronyms in the pre-file and just so we have a clear record to make sure t all on the same page in the terminology that we're the first is QZRI, we've seen that a lot in the pre-file testimony which is the Quiet Zone Risk Index if I'r is that right? A. Yep. Q. And that as I saw in your testimony and els is the measure of the risk to the motoring public is 	of down. testimony hat we're using. ile n correct; ewhere n the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Cody Swan, S-W-A-N. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I have no direct questions of Mr. Swan at this time. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Thank you. So cross? MS. ENDRES: Do Your Honors have a preference whether we cross from the podium or stay at our seats? JUDGE CHARTOFF: You can stay in your seat. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Swan. My name is Kelsey Endres. Again, I represent BNSF Railway Company. I'm going to be asking you some questions today as we have a group discussion about the warning devices or supplemental safety devices that should be installed at Cliffside Drive when	1that together but just as a heads-up.2BY MS. ENDRES:3Q. As an initial matter I'd like to do a little bit of4housekeeping so that we all have our terminology5We've been using a lot of acronyms in the pre-file6and just so we have a clear record to make sure t7all on the same page in the terminology that we're8The first is QZRI, we've seen that a lot in the pre-file9testimony which is the Quiet Zone Risk Index if I'r10is that right?11A. Yep.12Q. And that as I saw in your testimony and els13is the measure of the risk to the motoring public i14absence of the regular sounding of the train horn15right?16A. I believe so, yes.	of down. testimony hat we're e using. ile n correct; ewhere n the is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Cody Swan, S-W-A-N. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I have no direct questions of Mr. Swan at this time. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Thank you. So cross? MS. ENDRES: Do Your Honors have a preference whether we cross from the podium or stay at our seats? JUDGE CHARTOFF: You can stay in your seat. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Swan. My name is Kelsey Endres. Again, I represent BNSF Railway Company. I'm going to be asking you some questions today as we have a group discussion about the warning devices or supplemental safety devices that should be installed at Cliffside Drive when it's converted to a quiet zone.	1that together but just as a heads-up.2BY MS. ENDRES:3Q. As an initial matter I'd like to do a little bit of4housekeeping so that we all have our terminology5We've been using a lot of acronyms in the pre-file6and just so we have a clear record to make sure t7all on the same page in the terminology that we're8The first is QZRI, we've seen that a lot in the pre-file9testimony which is the Quiet Zone Risk Index if I'r10is that right?11A. Yep.12Q. And that as I saw in your testimony and els13is the measure of the risk to the motoring public i14absence of the regular sounding of the train horn;15right?16A. I believe so, yes.17Q. And that must be below the National Nation	of down. testimony hat we're e using. ile n correct; ewhere n the ; is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Cody Swan, S-W-A-N. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I have no direct questions of Mr. Swan at this time. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Thank you. So cross? MS. ENDRES: Do Your Honors have a preference whether we cross from the podium or stay at our seats? JUDGE CHARTOFF: You can stay in your seat. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Swan. My name is Kelsey Endres. Again, I represent BNSF Railway Company. I'm going to be asking you some questions today as we have a group discussion about the warning devices or supplemental safety devices that should be installed at Cliffside Drive when it's converted to a quiet zone. As an initial matter if I ask you a question that	1that together but just as a heads-up.2BY MS. ENDRES:3Q. As an initial matter I'd like to do a little bit of4housekeeping so that we all have our terminology5We've been using a lot of acronyms in the pre-file6and just so we have a clear record to make sure t7all on the same page in the terminology that we're8The first is QZRI, we've seen that a lot in the pre-file9testimony which is the Quiet Zone Risk Index if I'r10is that right?11A. Yep.12Q. And that as I saw in your testimony and els13is the measure of the risk to the motoring public i14absence of the regular sounding of the train horn;15right?16A. I believe so, yes.17Q. And that must be below the National National18Significant Risk Threshold or the NSRT, in order to	of down. testimony hat we're e using. ile n correct; ewhere n the is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: Cody Swan, S-W-A-N. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I have no direct questions of Mr. Swan at this time. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Thank you. So cross? MS. ENDRES: Do Your Honors have a preference whether we cross from the podium or stay at our seats? JUDGE CHARTOFF: You can stay in your seat. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Swan. My name is Kelsey Endres. Again, I represent BNSF Railway Company. I'm going to be asking you some questions today as we have a group discussion about the warning devices or supplemental safety devices that should be installed at Cliffside Drive when it's converted to a quiet zone. As an initial matter if I ask you a question that you don't understand, and I'm sure it will happen, please	1that together but just as a heads-up.2BY MS. ENDRES:3Q. As an initial matter I'd like to do a little bit of4housekeeping so that we all have our terminology5We've been using a lot of acronyms in the pre-file6and just so we have a clear record to make sure t7all on the same page in the terminology that we're8The first is QZRI, we've seen that a lot in the pre-file9testimony which is the Quiet Zone Risk Index if I'r10is that right?11A. Yep.12Q. And that as I saw in your testimony and els13is the measure of the risk to the motoring public i14absence of the regular sounding of the train horn;15right?16A. I believe so, yes.17Q. And that must be below the National National18Significant Risk Threshold or the NSRT, in order to19the quiet zone, the crossing to a quiet zone; is that	of down. testimony hat we're e using. ile n correct; ewhere n the is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: Cody Swan, S-W-A-N. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I have no direct questions of Mr. Swan at this time. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Thank you. So cross? MS. ENDRES: Do Your Honors have a preference whether we cross from the podium or stay at our seats? JUDGE CHARTOFF: You can stay in your seat. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Swan. My name is Kelsey Endres. Again, I represent BNSF Railway Company. I'm going to be asking you some questions today as we have a group discussion about the warning devices or supplemental safety devices that should be installed at Cliffside Drive when it's converted to a quiet zone. As an initial matter if I ask you a question that you don't understand, and I'm sure it will happen, please let me know and I'll rephrase it. Otherwise I'm going to	1that together but just as a heads-up.2BY MS. ENDRES:3Q. As an initial matter I'd like to do a little bit of4housekeeping so that we all have our terminology5We've been using a lot of acronyms in the pre-file6and just so we have a clear record to make sure to7all on the same page in the terminology that we're8The first is QZRI, we've seen that a lot in the pre-file9testimony which is the Quiet Zone Risk Index if I'r10is that right?11A. Yep.12Q. And that as I saw in your testimony and els13is the measure of the risk to the motoring public i14absence of the regular sounding of the train horn15right?16A. I believe so, yes.17Q. And that must be below the National National18Significant Risk Threshold or the NSRT, in order to19the quiet zone, the crossing to a quiet zone; is that20A. That's right.	of down. testimony hat we're e using. ile n correct; ewhere n the is that onwide o convert at right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Cody Swan, S-W-A-N. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I have no direct questions of Mr. Swan at this time. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Thank you. So cross? MS. ENDRES: Do Your Honors have a preference whether we cross from the podium or stay at our seats? JUDGE CHARTOFF: You can stay in your seat. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Swan. My name is Kelsey Endres. Again, I represent BNSF Railway Company. I'm going to be asking you some questions today as we have a group discussion about the warning devices or supplemental safety devices that should be installed at Cliffside Drive when it's converted to a quiet zone. Ms an initial matter if I ask you a question that you don't understand, and I'm sure it will happen, please let me know and I'll rephrase it. Otherwise I'm going to assume we're on the same page; is that fair?	1 that together but just as a heads-up. 2 BY MS. ENDRES: 3 Q. As an initial matter I'd like to do a little bit of housekeeping so that we all have our terminology. 5 We've been using a lot of acronyms in the pre-file 6 and just so we have a clear record to make sure to all on the same page in the terminology that we're 7 all on the same page in the terminology that we're 8 The first is QZRI, we've seen that a lot in the pre-file 9 testimony which is the Quiet Zone Risk Index if I'r 10 is that right? 11 A. Yep. 12 Q. And that as I saw in your testimony and els 13 is the measure of the risk to the motoring public i 14 absence of the regular sounding of the train horn 15 right? 16 A. I believe so, yes. 17 Q. And that must be below the National National 18 Significant Risk Threshold or the NSRT, in order to the quiet zone, the crossing to a quiet zone; is that A. That's right. 21 Q. And in this particular case your testimony in the sum of the train the sum of the train the sum of the the sum of the train the sum of the the sum of th	of down. testimony hat we're a using. ile n correct; ewhere n the tis that onwide o convert tt right? s that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Cody Swan, S-W-A-N. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I have no direct questions of Mr. Swan at this time. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Thank you. So cross? MS. ENDRES: Do Your Honors have a preference whether we cross from the podium or stay at our seats? JUDGE CHARTOFF: You can stay in your seat. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Swan. My name is Kelsey Endres. Again, I represent BNSF Railway Company. I'm going to be asking you some questions today as we have a group discussion about the warning devices or supplemental safety devices that should be installed at Cliffside Drive when it's converted to a quiet zone. Ms an initial matter if I ask you a question that you don't understand, and I'm sure it will happen, please let me know and I'll rephrase it. Otherwise I'm going to assume we're on the same page; is that fair? A. Sounds good.	1 that together but just as a heads-up. 2 BY MS. ENDRES: 3 Q. As an initial matter I'd like to do a little bit of housekeeping so that we all have our terminology. 5 We've been using a lot of acronyms in the pre-file 6 and just so we have a clear record to make sure to all on the same page in the terminology that we're 7 all on the same page in the terminology that we're 8 The first is QZRI, we've seen that a lot in the pre-file 9 testimony which is the Quiet Zone Risk Index if I'r 10 is that right? 11 A. Yep. 12 Q. And that as I saw in your testimony and els 13 is the measure of the risk to the motoring public i 14 absence of the regular sounding of the train horn 15 right? 16 A. I believe so, yes. 17 Q. And that must be below the National National 18 Significant Risk Threshold or the NSRT, in order to the quiet zone, the crossing to a quiet zone; is that A. That's right. 21 Q. And in this particular case your testimony if the Nationwide Significant Risk Threshold, that methods the sisthot the significant Risk Th	of down. testimony hat we're a using. ile n correct; ewhere n the is that onwide o convert tt right? s that aximum
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Cody Swan, S-W-A-N. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I have no direct questions of Mr. Swan at this time. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Thank you. So cross? MS. ENDRES: Do Your Honors have a preference whether we cross from the podium or stay at our seats? JUDGE CHARTOFF: You can stay in your seat. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Swan. My name is Kelsey Endres. Again, I represent BNSF Railway Company. I'm going to be asking you some questions today as we have a group discussion about the warning devices or supplemental safety devices that should be installed at Cliffside Drive when it's converted to a quiet zone. As an initial matter if I ask you a question that you don't understand, and I'm sure it will happen, please let me know and I'll rephrase it. Otherwise I'm going to assume we're on the same page; is that fair? A. Sounds good. Q. We do have – I can't see up there if we have a	1that together but just as a heads-up.2BY MS. ENDRES:3Q. As an initial matter I'd like to do a little bit of4housekeeping so that we all have our terminology5We've been using a lot of acronyms in the pre-file6and just so we have a clear record to make sure t7all on the same page in the terminology that we're8The first is QZRI, we've seen that a lot in the pre-file9testimony which is the Quiet Zone Risk Index if I'r10is that right?11A. Yep.12Q. And that as I saw in your testimony and els13is the measure of the risk to the motoring public i14absence of the regular sounding of the train horn15right?16A. I believe so, yes.17Q. And that must be below the National Natio18Significant Risk Threshold or the NSRT, in order to19the quiet zone, the crossing to a quiet zone; is that20A. That's right.21Q. And in this particular case your testimony i22the Nationwide Significant Risk Threshold, that m23number, for the Cliffside Drive crossing is 14,723	of down. testimony hat we're a using. ile n correct; ewhere n the is that onwide o convert tt right? s that aximum
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE WITNESS: Cody Swan, S-W-A-N. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I have no direct questions of Mr. Swan at this time. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Thank you. So cross? MS. ENDRES: Do Your Honors have a preference whether we cross from the podium or stay at our seats? JUDGE CHARTOFF: You can stay in your seat. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Swan. My name is Kelsey Endres. Again, I represent BNSF Railway Company. I'm going to be asking you some questions today as we have a group discussion about the warning devices or supplemental safety devices that should be installed at Cliffside Drive when it's converted to a quiet zone. Ms an initial matter if I ask you a question that you don't understand, and I'm sure it will happen, please let me know and I'll rephrase it. Otherwise I'm going to assume we're on the same page; is that fair? A. Sounds good. Q. We do have – I can't see up there if we have a binder of exhibits for you, but if there is anything –	1 that together but just as a heads-up. 2 BY MS. ENDRES: 3 Q. As an initial matter I'd like to do a little bit of housekeeping so that we all have our terminology. 5 We've been using a lot of acronyms in the pre-file and just so we have a clear record to make sure t all on the same page in the terminology that we're? 6 and just so we have a clear record to make sure t all on the same page in the terminology that we're? 7 all on the same page in the terminology that we're? 8 The first is QZRI, we've seen that a lot in the pre-file testimony which is the Quiet Zone Risk Index if I'r 10 is that right? 11 A. Yep. 12 Q. And that as I saw in your testimony and els 13 is the measure of the risk to the motoring public i absence of the regular sounding of the train horn; right? 16 A. I believe so, yes. 17 Q. And that must be below the National Nationa Nationa National	of down. testimony hat we're a using. ile n correct; ewhere n the is that onwide o convert tt right? s that aximum
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Cody Swan, S-W-A-N. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I have no direct questions of Mr. Swan at this time. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. Thank you. So cross? MS. ENDRES: Do Your Honors have a preference whether we cross from the podium or stay at our seats? JUDGE CHARTOFF: You can stay in your seat. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Swan. My name is Kelsey Endres. Again, I represent BNSF Railway Company. I'm going to be asking you some questions today as we have a group discussion about the warning devices or supplemental safety devices that should be installed at Cliffside Drive when it's converted to a quiet zone. As an initial matter if I ask you a question that you don't understand, and I'm sure it will happen, please let me know and I'll rephrase it. Otherwise I'm going to assume we're on the same page; is that fair? A. Sounds good. Q. We do have – I can't see up there if we have a	1that together but just as a heads-up.2BY MS. ENDRES:3Q. As an initial matter I'd like to do a little bit of4housekeeping so that we all have our terminology5We've been using a lot of acronyms in the pre-file6and just so we have a clear record to make sure t7all on the same page in the terminology that we're8The first is QZRI, we've seen that a lot in the pre-file9testimony which is the Quiet Zone Risk Index if I'r10is that right?11A. Yep.12Q. And that as I saw in your testimony and els13is the measure of the risk to the motoring public i14absence of the regular sounding of the train horn15right?16A. I believe so, yes.17Q. And that must be below the National Natio18Significant Risk Threshold or the NSRT, in order to19the quiet zone, the crossing to a quiet zone; is that20A. That's right.21Q. And in this particular case your testimony i22the Nationwide Significant Risk Threshold, that m23number, for the Cliffside Drive crossing is 14,723	of down. testimony hat we're a using. ile n correct; ewhere n the is that onwide o convert tt right? s that aximum

2 (Pages 16 to 19)

	Page 20		Page 22
1	calculations then that's what I believe to be correct.	1	priority here as we look at what type of devices or
2	Q. Feel free		additional supplemental safety measure should be installed?
3	A. Which one was that?	3	A. It is, amongst other considerations.
4	Q. The first set should be all of yours, so your	4	Q. Do you agree that all railroad crossings are
5	pre-file direct testimony is CS1T, should be that first tab	5	inherently dangerous to some degree?
6	I think, and then the exhibits that you submitted are tabbed	6	A. Yes, they all carry a risk index of some sort, yes.
7	behind it.	7	Q. And obviously it's everyone's goal to prevent an
8	A. Yeah, 14,723.	8	accident with a train at this crossing once it's converted
9	Q. And I think that the quiet zone calculator exhibit,	9	to a quiet zone?
10	if I'm right, is CS-9?	10	A. That's correct.
11	A. It's not 9. Oh, this is Exhibit	11	JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. Endres, I just want to
12	Q. Do you have a tab that says CS-9?	12	clarify one thing. When I'm looking at Exhibit CS-9, I
13	A. I do but I don't see the quiet zone calculator in		think what I'm seeing, correct me if I'm wrong, is that the
14	there.		Nationwide Significant Risk Threshold is the 14,723 number
15	MS. ENDRES: May I approach, Your Honor?		that you referenced and for this crossing in particular
16	JUDGE PEARSON: Yes.	16	Mr. Swan calculated it 14.562.45.
17	THE WITNESS: For some reason it's not oh,	17	MS. ENDRES: That's the Quiet Zone Risk Index
18	it's on the text. I was looking behind sorry.	18	if that's my understanding.
19	BY MS. ENDRES:	19	JUDGE PEARSON: For this particular crossing?
20	Q. So okay, so we're going to be hearing the term	20	MS. ENDRES: Correct. Maybe Mr. Swan can
21	"QZRI" and term "NSRT," although perhaps for clarity we can		clarify what the calculation is on Exhibit CS
22	try to say their full names. The next one is that I saw	22	THE WITNESS: I can clarify. So there's a
23	is "SSM" or supplemental safety measure, just for	23	couple pieces of information here. So up you'll see
24	terminology?	24	traffic. Traffic is ADT traffic count. That kind of is a
25	A. Yes.		consideration in determining the Quiet Zone Risk Index of
	Page 21		Page 23
1	Q. Okay.	1	that crossing. As that changed, the Quiet Zone Risk Index
2	A. Yep.	2	changes and the risk index performance changes. This is
3	Q. And in this particular case, the County is	3	what we believe to be the existing risk index at the
4	proposing the installation of the supplemental safety	4	crossing as is with no improvements, so
5	measure of a traversable median with channelization paddles	5	JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you for that
6	or devices; is that right?	6	clarification. Go ahead.
7	A. That's right.	7	BY MS. ENDRES:
8	Q. I'm going to talk a little bit more about the QZRI	8	Q. And for just clarity of the record, let's see here.
9	calculations and the SSM that the County has proposed but	9	CS-9 is also CS-16X. Now, another thing to see if we can
10	before I do I want to see if there are some things that we	10	all agree on is that every railroad crossing is unique which
11	can all agree on just to set our framework as we're moving	11	is one of the reasons that a diagnostic team is gathered to
12	forward this morning.	12	explore potential changes on the conversion to a quiet zone;
13	Is it your understanding from the materials you	13	is that fair to say?
14	reviewed that the County, the UTC and the BNSF materials all	14	A. I missed the first part of that. Will you repeat
15	agree that it's a better idea to install some kind of	15	the question?
16	supplemental safety measure at this crossing once it's	16	Q. Sure. One of the reasons that a diagnostic team is
17	converted to a quiet zone as compared to just leaving it how	17	gathered to address potential modifications to a crossing
18	it is?	18	upon conversion to a quiet zone is that every crossing is
19	A. Well, yeah. The code says that the risk index,	19	unique, there's no, not necessarily one size fits all any
20	being the Quiet Zone Risk Index, being below the Nationwide	20	time a crossing is converted to a quiet zone; is that fair
21	Significant Risk Threshold, this qualifies as being a quiet	21	to say?
22	zone as it sits right now. However, we feel that an	22	A. I would say that's a fair assumption in most cases.
23	additional supplemental safety measure to increase that risk	23	Q. And as I said, I'm going to ask you some questions
24	to the motoring public that uses quiet zone is a good idea.	24	about the SSM that the County has proposed, but just
25	Q. And the safety of the motoring public is this	25	generally do you agree that nonmountable medians lower the

3 (Pages 20 to 23)

	Page 24		Page 26
1	risk of an accident at this crossing more than	1	Q. And you may recognize these as exhibits that were
2	channelization traversable channelization?	2	used in your deposition testimony. You can see that CS-22X
3	A. I would say could you please repeat the question	3	was Exhibit 4 and CS-23X was Exhibit 9.
4	one more time?	4	Do you see where those stickers are?
5	Q. Sure. Do you agree that nonmountable medians lower	5	A. Uh-huh, yes.
6	the risk of an accident at this crossing more than	6	Q. So you've seen these before?
7	traversable channelization?	7	A. Yes.
8	A. I would say they do 5 percent less.	8	Q. And we can see from Exhibit CS-22X the entire
9	Q. Is it fair to say that to some degree a	9	footprint of the residential area served by the Cliffside
10	nonmountable median makes the crossing safer than	10	Drive railroad crossing?
11	traversable channelization?	11	A. Actually I was looking at the wrong one, but
12	A. In this situation I think there's several	12	sorry. I have also seen these ones too. Yes.
13	considerations of what would be the safest option and	13	Q. And then Exhibit CS-23X we had used in your
14	there's plenty of other options that are safer. We weren't	14	deposition as a not-to-scale estimate of the approximate
15	trying to choose the safest option because safest option	15	footprint of the traversable channelization that the County
16	would be us requesting BNSF to put in four quadrant gates	16	has proposed that you had marked in Sharpie on that exhibit;
17	and we'll install the median on our road and that would be	17	is that correct?
18	the safest aside from closing the road or doing a grade	18	A. That's right.
19	separation. So we were doing something that was economical,	19	Q. One of the topics that I want to ask you about that
20	safe and provided a greatly reduced Quiet Zone Risk Index.	20	you have described as one of the bases for the proposed
21	Q. And nobody's debating that it reduces the Quiet	21	safety measure that the County has suggested has to do with
22	Zone Risk Index. My question, though, is that one of those	22	the installation and repair issues presented by mountable
23	safer options to some degree is a nonmountable median; do	23	channelization devices as compared to a nonmountable median;
24	you agree?	24	is that right?
25	A. Yeah, they both significantly reduce the Quiet Zone	25	A. Yes.
1	Page 25 Risk Index.	1	Page 27 Q. Okay. And am I using the terminology you're
1 2		1	Page 27 Q. Okay. And am I using the terminology you're comfortable with when I say "traversable channelization" as
	Risk Index.	1	Q. Okay. And am I using the terminology you're
2	Risk Index. Q. Just	2	Q. Okay. And am I using the terminology you're comfortable with when I say "traversable channelization" as
2 3	Risk Index. Q. Just A. Yes, 5 percent.	2 3	Q. Okay. And am I using the terminology you're comfortable with when I say "traversable channelization" as being the option the County's proposed and a "nonmountable
2 3 4	Risk Index. Q. Just A. Yes, 5 percent. Q comparing a reversible median and a nonmountable	2 3 4	Q. Okay. And am I using the terminology you're comfortable with when I say "traversable channelization" as being the option the County's proposed and a "nonmountable median" as being the option that BNSF prefers?
2 3 4 5	Risk Index. Q. Just A. Yes, 5 percent. Q comparing a reversible median and a nonmountable median, to some degree the nonmountable median makes the	2 3 4 5	 Q. Okay. And am I using the terminology you're comfortable with when I say "traversable channelization" as being the option the County's proposed and a "nonmountable median" as being the option that BNSF prefers? A. I understand what you're talking about, yes.
2 3 4 5 6	Risk Index. Q. Just A. Yes, 5 percent. Q comparing a reversible median and a nonmountable median, to some degree the nonmountable median makes the crossing a little bit safer?	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Okay. And am I using the terminology you're comfortable with when I say "traversable channelization" as being the option the County's proposed and a "nonmountable median" as being the option that BNSF prefers? A. I understand what you're talking about, yes. Q. What terminology would you use?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Risk Index. Q. Just A. Yes, 5 percent. Q comparing a reversible median and a nonmountable median, to some degree the nonmountable median makes the crossing a little bit safer? A. 5 percent safer. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Okay. And am I using the terminology you're comfortable with when I say "traversable channelization" as being the option the County's proposed and a "nonmountable median" as being the option that BNSF prefers? A. I understand what you're talking about, yes. Q. What terminology would you use? A. That's that's fine. Just the use of the term
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Risk Index. Q. Just A. Yes, 5 percent. Q comparing a reversible median and a nonmountable median, to some degree the nonmountable median makes the crossing a little bit safer? A. 5 percent safer. Q. And this particular location is no outlet road, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Okay. And am I using the terminology you're comfortable with when I say "traversable channelization" as being the option the County's proposed and a "nonmountable median" as being the option that BNSF prefers? A. I understand what you're talking about, yes. Q. What terminology would you use? A. That's that's fine. Just the use of the term "median" is kind of defined differently within the final
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Risk Index. Q. Just A. Yes, 5 percent. Q comparing a reversible median and a nonmountable median, to some degree the nonmountable median makes the crossing a little bit safer? A. 5 percent safer. Q. And this particular location is no outlet road, there's no other access to the neighborhood? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Okay. And am I using the terminology you're comfortable with when I say "traversable channelization" as being the option the County's proposed and a "nonmountable median" as being the option that BNSF prefers? A. I understand what you're talking about, yes. Q. What terminology would you use? A. That's that's fine. Just the use of the term "median" is kind of defined differently within the final code, but or the final rule, but I understand what you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Risk Index. Q. Just A. Yes, 5 percent. Q comparing a reversible median and a nonmountable median, to some degree the nonmountable median makes the crossing a little bit safer? A. 5 percent safer. Q. And this particular location is no outlet road, there's no other access to the neighborhood? A. That's correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Okay. And am I using the terminology you're comfortable with when I say "traversable channelization" as being the option the County's proposed and a "nonmountable median" as being the option that BNSF prefers? A. I understand what you're talking about, yes. Q. What terminology would you use? A. That's that's fine. Just the use of the term "median" is kind of defined differently within the final code, but or the final rule, but I understand what you're talking about that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Risk Index. Q. Just A. Yes, 5 percent. Q comparing a reversible median and a nonmountable median, to some degree the nonmountable median makes the crossing a little bit safer? A. 5 percent safer. Q. And this particular location is no outlet road, there's no other access to the neighborhood? A. That's correct. MS. ENDRES: We had premarked as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Okay. And am I using the terminology you're comfortable with when I say "traversable channelization" as being the option the County's proposed and a "nonmountable median" as being the option that BNSF prefers? A. I understand what you're talking about, yes. Q. What terminology would you use? A. That's that's fine. Just the use of the term "median" is kind of defined differently within the final code, but or the final rule, but I understand what you're talking about that. JUDGE PEARSON: Can I interject here? I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Risk Index. Q. Just A. Yes, 5 percent. Q comparing a reversible median and a nonmountable median, to some degree the nonmountable median makes the crossing a little bit safer? A. 5 percent safer. Q. And this particular location is no outlet road, there's no other access to the neighborhood? A. That's correct. MS. ENDRES: We had premarked as cross-examination exhibits CS-22X and CS-23X as two aerial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Okay. And am I using the terminology you're comfortable with when I say "traversable channelization" as being the option the County's proposed and a "nonmountable median" as being the option that BNSF prefers? A. I understand what you're talking about, yes. Q. What terminology would you use? A. That's that's fine. Just the use of the term "median" is kind of defined differently within the final code, but or the final rule, but I understand what you're speaking of when you're talking about that. JUDGE PEARSON: Can I interject here? I think that for brevity sake we could just say "mountable"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Risk Index. Q. Just A. Yes, 5 percent. Q comparing a reversible median and a nonmountable median, to some degree the nonmountable median makes the crossing a little bit safer? A. 5 percent safer. Q. And this particular location is no outlet road, there's no other access to the neighborhood? A. That's correct. MS. ENDRES: We had premarked as cross-examination exhibits CS-22X and CS-23X as two aerial views of the area in the crossing configuration. I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Okay. And am I using the terminology you're comfortable with when I say "traversable channelization" as being the option the County's proposed and a "nonmountable median" as being the option that BNSF prefers? A. I understand what you're talking about, yes. Q. What terminology would you use? A. That's that's fine. Just the use of the term "median" is kind of defined differently within the final code, but or the final rule, but I understand what you're speaking of when you're talking about that. JUDGE PEARSON: Can I interject here? I think that for brevity sake we could just say "mountable" and "nonmountable."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Risk Index. Q. Just A. Yes, 5 percent. Q comparing a reversible median and a nonmountable median, to some degree the nonmountable median makes the crossing a little bit safer? A. 5 percent safer. Q. And this particular location is no outlet road, there's no other access to the neighborhood? A. That's correct. MS. ENDRES: We had premarked as cross-examination exhibits CS-22X and CS-23X as two aerial views of the area in the crossing configuration. I would like to ask you about those but given our initial 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Okay. And am I using the terminology you're comfortable with when I say "traversable channelization" as being the option the County's proposed and a "nonmountable median" as being the option that BNSF prefers? A. I understand what you're talking about, yes. Q. What terminology would you use? A. That's that's fine. Just the use of the term "median" is kind of defined differently within the final code, but or the final rule, but I understand what you're speaking of when you're talking about that. JUDGE PEARSON: Can I interject here? I think that for brevity sake we could just say "mountable" and "nonmountable." MS. ENDRES: Okay. We can do that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Risk Index. Q. Just A. Yes, 5 percent. Q comparing a reversible median and a nonmountable median, to some degree the nonmountable median makes the crossing a little bit safer? A. 5 percent safer. Q. And this particular location is no outlet road, there's no other access to the neighborhood? A. That's correct. MS. ENDRES: We had premarked as cross-examination exhibits CS-22X and CS-23X as two aerial views of the area in the crossing configuration. I would like to ask you about those but given our initial housekeeping matter, those are exhibits that BNSF would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Okay. And am I using the terminology you're comfortable with when I say "traversable channelization" as being the option the County's proposed and a "nonmountable median" as being the option that BNSF prefers? A. I understand what you're talking about, yes. Q. What terminology would you use? A. That's that's fine. Just the use of the term "median" is kind of defined differently within the final code, but or the final rule, but I understand what you're speaking of when you're talking about that. JUDGE PEARSON: Can I interject here? I think that for brevity sake we could just say "mountable" and "nonmountable." MS. ENDRES: Okay. We can do that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Risk Index. Q. Just A. Yes, 5 percent. Q comparing a reversible median and a nonmountable median, to some degree the nonmountable median makes the median, to some degree the nonmountable median makes the crossing a little bit safer? A. 5 percent safer. Q. And this particular location is no outlet road, there's no other access to the neighborhood? A. That's correct. MS. ENDRES: We had premarked as cross-examination exhibits CS-22X and CS-23X as two aerial views of the area in the crossing configuration. I would like to ask you about those but given our initial housekeeping matter, those are exhibits that BNSF would offer into evidence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Okay. And am I using the terminology you're comfortable with when I say "traversable channelization" as being the option the County's proposed and a "nonmountable median" as being the option that BNSF prefers? A. I understand what you're talking about, yes. Q. What terminology would you use? A. That's that's fine. Just the use of the term "median" is kind of defined differently within the final code, but or the final rule, but I understand what you're speaking of when you're talking about that. JUDGE PEARSON: Can I interject here? I think that for brevity sake we could just say "mountable" and "nonmountable." MS. ENDRES: Okay. We can do that. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. When we discussed this during your deposition, if I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Risk Index. Q. Just A. Yes, 5 percent. Q comparing a reversible median and a nonmountable median, to some degree the nonmountable median makes the crossing a little bit safer? A. 5 percent safer. Q. And this particular location is no outlet road, there's no other access to the neighborhood? A. That's correct. MS. ENDRES: We had premarked as cross-examination exhibits CS-22X and CS-23X as two aerial views of the area in the crossing configuration. I would like to ask you about those but given our initial housekeeping matter, those are exhibits that BNSF would offer into evidence. JUDGE PEARSON: Are there any objections to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Okay. And am I using the terminology you're comfortable with when I say "traversable channelization" as being the option the County's proposed and a "nonmountable median" as being the option that BNSF prefers? A. I understand what you're talking about, yes. Q. What terminology would you use? A. That's that's fine. Just the use of the term "median" is kind of defined differently within the final code, but or the final rule, but I understand what you're speaking of when you're talking about that. JUDGE PEARSON: Can I interject here? I think that for brevity sake we could just say "mountable" and "nonmountable." MS. ENDRES: Okay. We can do that. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. When we discussed this during your deposition, if I have this down right, you said the installation costs are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Risk Index. Q. Just A. Yes, 5 percent. Q comparing a reversible median and a nonmountable median, to some degree the nonmountable median makes the crossing a little bit safer? A. 5 percent safer. Q. And this particular location is no outlet road, there's no other access to the neighborhood? A. That's correct. MS. ENDRES: We had premarked as cross-examination exhibits CS-22X and CS-23X as two aerial views of the area in the crossing configuration. I would like to ask you about those but given our initial housekeeping matter, those are exhibits that BNSF would offer into evidence. JUDGE PEARSON: Are there any objections to those two exhibits, Mr. Quinn? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Okay. And am I using the terminology you're comfortable with when I say "traversable channelization" as being the option the County's proposed and a "nonmountable median" as being the option that BNSF prefers? A. I understand what you're talking about, yes. Q. What terminology would you use? A. That's that's fine. Just the use of the term "median" is kind of defined differently within the final code, but or the final rule, but I understand what you're speaking of when you're talking about that. JUDGE PEARSON: Can I interject here? I think that for brevity sake we could just say "mountable" and "nonmountable." MS. ENDRES: Okay. We can do that. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. When we discussed this during your deposition, if I have this down right, you said the installation costs are relatively the same to install the nonmountable system
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Risk Index. Q. Just A. Yes, 5 percent. Q comparing a reversible median and a nonmountable median, to some degree the nonmountable median makes the median, to some degree the nonmountable median makes the crossing a little bit safer? A. 5 percent safer. Q. And this particular location is no outlet road, there's no other access to the neighborhood? A. That's correct. MS. ENDRES: We had premarked as cross-examination exhibits CS-22X and CS-23X as two aerial views of the area in the crossing configuration. I would like to ask you about those but given our initial housekeeping matter, those are exhibits that BNSF would offer into evidence. JUDGE PEARSON: Are there any objections to those two exhibits, Mr. Quinn? MR. QUINN: No, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Okay. And am I using the terminology you're comfortable with when I say "traversable channelization" as being the option the County's proposed and a "nonmountable median" as being the option that BNSF prefers? A. I understand what you're talking about, yes. Q. What terminology would you use? A. That's that's fine. Just the use of the term "median" is kind of defined differently within the final code, but or the final rule, but I understand what you're speaking of when you're talking about that. JUDGE PEARSON: Can I interject here? I think that for brevity sake we could just say "mountable" and "nonmountable." MS. ENDRES: Okay. We can do that. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. When we discussed this during your deposition, if I have this down right, you said the installation costs are relatively the same to install the nonmountable system versus the mountable system?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Risk Index. Q. Just A. Yes, 5 percent. Q comparing a reversible median and a nonmountable median, to some degree the nonmountable median makes the crossing a little bit safer? A. 5 percent safer. Q. And this particular location is no outlet road, there's no other access to the neighborhood? A. That's correct. MS. ENDRES: We had premarked as cross-examination exhibits CS-22X and CS-23X as two aerial views of the area in the crossing configuration. I would like to ask you about those but given our initial housekeeping matter, those are exhibits that BNSF would offer into evidence. JUDGE PEARSON: Are there any objections to those two exhibits, Mr. Quinn? MR. QUINN: No, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: We will admit those into the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Okay. And am I using the terminology you're comfortable with when I say "traversable channelization" as being the option the County's proposed and a "nonmountable median" as being the option that BNSF prefers? A. I understand what you're talking about, yes. Q. What terminology would you use? A. That's that's fine. Just the use of the term "median" is kind of defined differently within the final code, but or the final rule, but I understand what you're speaking of when you're talking about that. JUDGE PEARSON: Can I interject here? I think that for brevity sake we could just say "mountable" and "nonmountable." MS. ENDRES: Okay. We can do that. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. When we discussed this during your deposition, if I have this down right, you said the installation costs are relatively the same to install the nonmountable system versus the mountable system? A. Yeah, and after I reconsidered that, I mean, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Risk Index. Q. Just A. Yes, 5 percent. Q comparing a reversible median and a nonmountable median, to some degree the nonmountable median makes the crossing a little bit safer? A. 5 percent safer. Q. And this particular location is no outlet road, there's no other access to the neighborhood? A. That's correct. MS. ENDRES: We had premarked as cross-examination exhibits CS-22X and CS-23X as two aerial views of the area in the crossing configuration. I would like to ask you about those but given our initial housekeeping matter, those are exhibits that BNSF would offer into evidence. JUDGE PEARSON: Are there any objections to those two exhibits, Mr. Quinn? MR. QUINN: No, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: We will admit those into the record then and mark them accordingly. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Okay. And am I using the terminology you're comfortable with when I say "traversable channelization" as being the option the County's proposed and a "nonmountable median" as being the option that BNSF prefers? A. I understand what you're talking about, yes. Q. What terminology would you use? A. That's that's fine. Just the use of the term "median" is kind of defined differently within the final code, but or the final rule, but I understand what you're speaking of when you're talking about that. JUDGE PEARSON: Can I interject here? I think that for brevity sake we could just say "mountable" and "nonmountable." MS. ENDRES: Okay. We can do that. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. When we discussed this during your deposition, if I have this down right, you said the installation costs are relatively the same to install the nonmountable system versus the mountable system? A. Yeah, and after I reconsidered that, I mean, I didn't do a direct analysis of what the costs are to install
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Risk Index. Q. Just A. Yes, 5 percent. Q comparing a reversible median and a nonmountable median, to some degree the nonmountable median makes the crossing a little bit safer? A. 5 percent safer. Q. And this particular location is no outlet road, there's no other access to the neighborhood? A. That's correct. MS. ENDRES: We had premarked as cross-examination exhibits CS-22X and CS-23X as two aerial views of the area in the crossing configuration. I would like to ask you about those but given our initial housekeeping matter, those are exhibits that BNSF would offer into evidence. JUDGE PEARSON: Are there any objections to those two exhibits, Mr. Quinn? MR. QUINN: No, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: We will admit those into the record then and mark them accordingly. (Exhibit Nos. CS-22X and CS-23X admitted.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Okay. And am I using the terminology you're comfortable with when I say "traversable channelization" as being the option the County's proposed and a "nonmountable median" as being the option that BNSF prefers? A. I understand what you're talking about, yes. Q. What terminology would you use? A. That's that's fine. Just the use of the term "median" is kind of defined differently within the final code, but or the final rule, but I understand what you're speaking of when you're talking about that. JUDGE PEARSON: Can I interject here? I think that for brevity sake we could just say "mountable" and "nonmountable." MS. ENDRES: Okay. We can do that. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. When we discussed this during your deposition, if I have this down right, you said the installation costs are relatively the same to install the nonmountable system versus the mountable system? A. Yeah, and after I reconsidered that, I mean, I didn't do a direct analysis of what the costs are to install either one of them really, but I would say they are similar
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Risk Index. Q. Just - A. Yes, 5 percent. Q comparing a reversible median and a nonmountable median, to some degree the nonmountable median makes the crossing a little bit safer? A. 5 percent safer. Q. And this particular location is no outlet road, there's no other access to the neighborhood? A. That's correct. MS. ENDRES: We had premarked as cross-examination exhibits CS-22X and CS-23X as two aerial views of the area in the crossing configuration. I would like to ask you about those but given our initial housekeeping matter, those are exhibits that BNSF would offer into evidence. JUDGE PEARSON: Are there any objections to those two exhibits, Mr. Quinn? MR. QUINN: No, Your Honor. JUDGE PEARSON: We will admit those into the record then and mark them accordingly. (Exhibit Nos. CS-22X and CS-23X admitted.) BY MS. ENDRES:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Okay. And am I using the terminology you're comfortable with when I say "traversable channelization" as being the option that BNSF prefers? A. I understand what you're talking about, yes. Q. What terminology would you use? A. That's that's fine. Just the use of the term "median" is kind of defined differently within the final code, but or the final rule, but I understand what you're speaking of when you're talking about that. JUDGE PEARSON: Can I interject here? I think that for brevity sake we could just say "mountable" and "nonmountable." MS. ENDRES: Okay. We can do that. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. When we discussed this during your deposition, if I have this down right, you said the installation costs are relatively the same to install the nonmountable system versus the mountable system? A. Yeah, and after I reconsidered that, I mean, I didn't do a direct analysis of what the costs are to install either one of them really, but I would say they are similar based on some assumptions on how frequently we paint road

4 (Pages 24 to 27)

	Page 28		Page 30
1	mountable medians.	1	A. Yes.
2	And I've talked to other agencies and how they have	2	JUDGE PEARSON: I have a quick question. Can
3	performed for them, and mainly Kent, and they said they	3	we get a definition for "fog line"?
4	haven't had to replace much of the curb in the past 15 years	4	THE WITNESS: It would be the edge striping
5	so I think the maintenance cost on that is relatively low.	5	of the road, the white line.
6	Q. And when you say curb you mean the nonmountable	6	JUDGE PEARSON: On the outside edges of the
7	system?	7	road?
8	A. No, the I'm sorry. The mountable plastic	8	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
9	composite curb is what I'm talking about.	9	BY MS. ENDRES:
10	Q. Okay.	10	Q. And if that were the case that a contractor would
11	A. So there hasn't been a direct analysis of gathering	11	have to pour the concrete curb off the fog line to protect
12	information about maintenance costs for both curbs, but we	12	the warning lights, could presumably that company also
13	anticipate that we could be more proactive in our approach	13	present a bid to install a nonmountable median?
14	to maintaining the mountable medians.	14	A. Sure.
15	Q. But at the time I took your deposition your	15	Q. And is it the case that anywhere concrete curbs or
16	testimony was that you expect the initial installation cost	16	medians exist in the County system, you have to have a
17	to be about the same?	17	contractor come out and do that work?
18	A. Oh, yeah, yeah. I thought you said maintenance	18	A. Yeah, we don't do any concrete work ourselves.
19	costs.	19	Q. But the County does paint so if there was an issue
20	Q. I apologize if I misspoke or wasn't clear.	20	with painting of a nonmountable system, that's something the
21	And like you just said, one of the things you like	21	County could do itself?
22	about the mountable system is you can install that with	22	A. Yes.
23	County forces where if you install the nonmountable system	23	Q. And when I took your deposition, if I have this
24	you have to get a contractor to come out and do that	24	right, you said at that time you had not analyzed the
25	concrete work initially?	25	frequency of repair between nonmountable and mountable
	Page 29		Page 31
	5		rage St
1	A. That's right.	1	systems; is that right?
1 2	_	1 2	
	A. That's right.		systems; is that right?
2	 A. That's right. Q. And you estimated that process might take about a 	2	systems; is that right? A. That's right.
2 3	 A. That's right. Q. And you estimated that process might take about a month? 	2 3	systems; is that right? A. That's right. Q. I'm going to talk a bit about the Yacht Club Road in more detail in a minute but that is a system that has a nonmountable protection; is that right?
2 3 4	 A. That's right. Q. And you estimated that process might take about a month? A. Yeah, perhaps. Maybe longer. Q. And so I'm clear, the County's petition reflects that it intends to install a curb off the fog line to 	2 3 4	systems; is that right? A. That's right. Q. I'm going to talk a bit about the Yacht Club Road in more detail in a minute but that is a system that has a
2 3 4 5	 A. That's right. Q. And you estimated that process might take about a month? A. Yeah, perhaps. Maybe longer. Q. And so I'm clear, the County's petition reflects that it intends to install a curb off the fog line to protect the warning devices at the crossing. Is that a 	2 3 4 5 6 7	systems; is that right? A. That's right. Q. I'm going to talk a bit about the Yacht Club Road in more detail in a minute but that is a system that has a nonmountable protection; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6	 A. That's right. Q. And you estimated that process might take about a month? A. Yeah, perhaps. Maybe longer. Q. And so I'm clear, the County's petition reflects that it intends to install a curb off the fog line to 	2 3 4 5 6	 systems; is that right? A. That's right. Q. I'm going to talk a bit about the Yacht Club Road in more detail in a minute but that is a system that has a nonmountable protection; is that right? A. That's right.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. That's right. Q. And you estimated that process might take about a month? A. Yeah, perhaps. Maybe longer. Q. And so I'm clear, the County's petition reflects that it intends to install a curb off the fog line to protect the warning devices at the crossing. Is that a 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 systems; is that right? A. That's right. Q. I'm going to talk a bit about the Yacht Club Road in more detail in a minute but that is a system that has a nonmountable protection; is that right? A. That's right. Q. And since that's been installed, the County has not had to have a contractor come repair the concrete work; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. That's right. Q. And you estimated that process might take about a month? A. Yeah, perhaps. Maybe longer. Q. And so I'm clear, the County's petition reflects that it intends to install a curb off the fog line to protect the warning devices at the crossing. Is that a different type of installation process or do you also have to have a contractor come do that curb work? A. It depends on what we actually use in that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 systems; is that right? A. That's right. Q. I'm going to talk a bit about the Yacht Club Road in more detail in a minute but that is a system that has a nonmountable protection; is that right? A. That's right. Q. And since that's been installed, the County has not had to have a contractor come repair the concrete work; is that right? A. No, it's been installed for about a year but the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. That's right. Q. And you estimated that process might take about a month? A. Yeah, perhaps. Maybe longer. Q. And so I'm clear, the County's petition reflects that it intends to install a curb off the fog line to protect the warning devices at the crossing. Is that a different type of installation process or do you also have to have a contractor come do that curb work? A. It depends on what we actually use in that situation, what BNSF this was a request by BNSF. I guess 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 systems; is that right? A. That's right. Q. I'm going to talk a bit about the Yacht Club Road in more detail in a minute but that is a system that has a nonmountable protection; is that right? A. That's right. Q. And since that's been installed, the County has not had to have a contractor come repair the concrete work; is that right? A. No, it's been installed for about a year but the channelization devices have suffered some impacts and one of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. That's right. Q. And you estimated that process might take about a month? A. Yeah, perhaps. Maybe longer. Q. And so I'm clear, the County's petition reflects that it intends to install a curb off the fog line to protect the warning devices at the crossing. Is that a different type of installation process or do you also have to have a contractor come do that curb work? A. It depends on what we actually use in that situation, what BNSF this was a request by BNSF. I guess it's some type of policy that they need to protect those 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 systems; is that right? A. That's right. Q. I'm going to talk a bit about the Yacht Club Road in more detail in a minute but that is a system that has a nonmountable protection; is that right? A. That's right. Q. And since that's been installed, the County has not had to have a contractor come repair the concrete work; is that right? A. No, it's been installed for about a year but the channelization devices have suffered some impacts and one of them is lost at the westerly end and we've sent in a federal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. That's right. Q. And you estimated that process might take about a month? A. Yeah, perhaps. Maybe longer. Q. And so I'm clear, the County's petition reflects that it intends to install a curb off the fog line to protect the warning devices at the crossing. Is that a different type of installation process or do you also have to have a contractor come do that curb work? A. It depends on what we actually use in that situation, what BNSF this was a request by BNSF. I guess it's some type of policy that they need to protect those warning devices that aren't a certain distance from the fog 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 systems; is that right? A. That's right. Q. I'm going to talk a bit about the Yacht Club Road in more detail in a minute but that is a system that has a nonmountable protection; is that right? A. That's right. Q. And since that's been installed, the County has not had to have a contractor come repair the concrete work; is that right? A. No, it's been installed for about a year but the channelization devices have suffered some impacts and one of them is lost at the westerly end and we've sent in a federal application to shorten that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. That's right. Q. And you estimated that process might take about a month? A. Yeah, perhaps. Maybe longer. Q. And so I'm clear, the County's petition reflects that it intends to install a curb off the fog line to protect the warning devices at the crossing. Is that a different type of installation process or do you also have to have a contractor come do that curb work? A. It depends on what we actually use in that situation, what BNSF this was a request by BNSF. I guess it's some type of policy that they need to protect those warning devices that aren't a certain distance from the fog line. So provided they approve something that's precast, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 systems; is that right? A. That's right. Q. I'm going to talk a bit about the Yacht Club Road in more detail in a minute but that is a system that has a nonmountable protection; is that right? A. That's right. Q. And since that's been installed, the County has not had to have a contractor come repair the concrete work; is that right? A. No, it's been installed for about a year but the channelization devices have suffered some impacts and one of them is lost at the westerly end and we've sent in a federal application to shorten that. Q. And I apologize if my question was unclear. For
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. That's right. Q. And you estimated that process might take about a month? A. Yeah, perhaps. Maybe longer. Q. And so I'm clear, the County's petition reflects that it intends to install a curb off the fog line to protect the warning devices at the crossing. Is that a different type of installation process or do you also have to have a contractor come do that curb work? A. It depends on what we actually use in that situation, what BNSF this was a request by BNSF. I guess it's some type of policy that they need to protect those warning devices that aren't a certain distance from the fog line. So provided they approve something that's precast, no, we wouldn't have to do extra concrete work, we'd install 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 systems; is that right? A. That's right. Q. I'm going to talk a bit about the Yacht Club Road in more detail in a minute but that is a system that has a nonmountable protection; is that right? A. That's right. Q. And since that's been installed, the County has not had to have a contractor come repair the concrete work; is that right? A. No, it's been installed for about a year but the channelization devices have suffered some impacts and one of them is lost at the westerly end and we've sent in a federal application to shorten that. Q. And I apologize if my question was unclear. For this particular question I'm just asking about the curb, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. That's right. Q. And you estimated that process might take about a month? A. Yeah, perhaps. Maybe longer. Q. And so I'm clear, the County's petition reflects that it intends to install a curb off the fog line to protect the warning devices at the crossing. Is that a different type of installation process or do you also have to have a contractor come do that curb work? A. It depends on what we actually use in that situation, what BNSF this was a request by BNSF. I guess it's some type of policy that they need to protect those warning devices that aren't a certain distance from the fog line. So provided they approve something that's precast, no, we wouldn't have to do extra concrete work, we'd install something that's precast and that would be what we would 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 systems; is that right? A. That's right. Q. I'm going to talk a bit about the Yacht Club Road in more detail in a minute but that is a system that has a nonmountable protection; is that right? A. That's right. Q. And since that's been installed, the County has not had to have a contractor come repair the concrete work; is that right? A. No, it's been installed for about a year but the channelization devices have suffered some impacts and one of them is lost at the westerly end and we've sent in a federal application to shorten that. Q. And I apologize if my question was unclear. For this particular question I'm just asking about the curb, the concrete part itself.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. That's right. Q. And you estimated that process might take about a month? A. Yeah, perhaps. Maybe longer. Q. And so I'm clear, the County's petition reflects that it intends to install a curb off the fog line to protect the warning devices at the crossing. Is that a different type of installation process or do you also have to have a contractor come do that curb work? A. It depends on what we actually use in that situation, what BNSF this was a request by BNSF. I guess it's some type of policy that they need to protect those warning devices that aren't a certain distance from the fog line. So provided they approve something that's precast, no, we wouldn't have to do extra concrete work, we'd install something that's precast and that would be what we would propose. So no. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 systems; is that right? A. That's right. Q. I'm going to talk a bit about the Yacht Club Road in more detail in a minute but that is a system that has a nonmountable protection; is that right? A. That's right. Q. And since that's been installed, the County has not had to have a contractor come repair the concrete work; is that right? A. No, it's been installed for about a year but the channelization devices have suffered some impacts and one of them is lost at the westerly end and we've sent in a federal application to shorten that. Q. And I apologize if my question was unclear. For this particular question I'm just asking about the curb, the concrete part itself. A. The concrete? No, we have not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. That's right. Q. And you estimated that process might take about a month? A. Yeah, perhaps. Maybe longer. Q. And so I'm clear, the County's petition reflects that it intends to install a curb off the fog line to protect the warning devices at the crossing. Is that a different type of installation process or do you also have to have a contractor come do that curb work? A. It depends on what we actually use in that situation, what BNSF this was a request by BNSF. I guess it's some type of policy that they need to protect those warning devices that aren't a certain distance from the fog line. So provided they approve something that's precast, no, we wouldn't have to do extra concrete work, we'd install something that's precast and that would be what we would propose. So no. Q. But if BNSF standard is to have something other 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 systems; is that right? A. That's right. Q. I'm going to talk a bit about the Yacht Club Road in more detail in a minute but that is a system that has a nonmountable protection; is that right? A. That's right. Q. And since that's been installed, the County has not had to have a contractor come repair the concrete work; is that right? A. No, it's been installed for about a year but the channelization devices have suffered some impacts and one of them is lost at the westerly end and we've sent in a federal application to shorten that. Q. And I apologize if my question was unclear. For this particular question I'm just asking about the curb, the concrete part itself. A. The concrete? No, we have not. Q. And the County doesn't have any other crossings
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. That's right. Q. And you estimated that process might take about a month? A. Yeah, perhaps. Maybe longer. Q. And so I'm clear, the County's petition reflects that it intends to install a curb off the fog line to protect the warning devices at the crossing. Is that a different type of installation process or do you also have to have a contractor come do that curb work? A. It depends on what we actually use in that situation, what BNSF this was a request by BNSF. I guess it's some type of policy that they need to protect those warning devices that aren't a certain distance from the fog line. So provided they approve something that's precast, no, we wouldn't have to do extra concrete work, we'd install something that's precast and that would be what we would propose. So no. Q. But if BNSF standard is to have something other than precast, if concrete had to be installed for that part 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 systems; is that right? A. That's right. Q. I'm going to talk a bit about the Yacht Club Road in more detail in a minute but that is a system that has a nonmountable protection; is that right? A. That's right. Q. And since that's been installed, the County has not had to have a contractor come repair the concrete work; is that right? A. No, it's been installed for about a year but the channelization devices have suffered some impacts and one of them is lost at the westerly end and we've sent in a federal application to shorten that. Q. And I apologize if my question was unclear. For this particular question I'm just asking about the curb, the concrete part itself. A. The concrete? No, we have not. Q. And the County doesn't have any other crossings with a mountable median or mountable system; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. That's right. Q. And you estimated that process might take about a month? A. Yeah, perhaps. Maybe longer. Q. And so I'm clear, the County's petition reflects that it intends to install a curb off the fog line to protect the warning devices at the crossing. Is that a different type of installation process or do you also have to have a contractor come do that curb work? A. It depends on what we actually use in that situation, what BNSF this was a request by BNSF. I guess it's some type of policy that they need to protect those warning devices that aren't a certain distance from the fog line. So provided they approve something that's precast, no, we wouldn't have to do extra concrete work, we'd install something that's precast and that would be what we would propose. So no. Q. But if BNSF standard is to have something other than precast, if concrete had to be installed for that part of the project, then it would have to be a contractor to do that part of the project, then it would have to be actinated for that part of the project, then it would have to be actinated for that part of the project, then it would have to be actinated for that part of the project, then it would have to be actinated for that part of the project, then it would have to be actinated for that part of the project, then it would have to be actinated for that part of the project, then it would have to be actinated for that part of the project, then it would have to be actinated for that part of the project is the project in the project is the p	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 systems; is that right? A. That's right. D. I'm going to talk a bit about the Yacht Club Road in more detail in a minute but that is a system that has a nonmountable protection; is that right? A. That's right. O. And since that's been installed, the County has not had to have a contractor come repair the concrete work; is that right? A. No, it's been installed for about a year but the channelization devices have suffered some impacts and one of them is lost at the westerly end and we've sent in a federal application to shorten that. O. And I apologize if my question was unclear. For this particular question I'm just asking about the curb, the concrete part itself. A. The concrete? No, we have not. O. And the County doesn't have any other crossings with a mountable median or mountable system; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. That's right. 9. And you estimated that process might take about a month? A. Yeah, perhaps. Maybe longer. 9. And so I'm clear, the County's petition reflects that it intends to install a curb off the fog line to protect the warning devices at the crossing. Is that a different type of installation process or do you also have to have a contractor come do that curb work? A. It depends on what we actually use in that situation, what BNSF this was a request by BNSF. I guess it's some type of policy that they need to protect those warning devices that aren't a certain distance from the fog line. So provided they approve something that's precast, no, we wouldn't have to do extra concrete work, we'd install something that's precast and that would be what we would propose. So no. Q. But if BNSF standard is to have something other than precast, if concrete had to be installed for that part of the project, then it would have to be a contractor to come do it? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 systems; is that right? A. That's right. D. I'm going to talk a bit about the Yacht Club Road in more detail in a minute but that is a system that has a nonmountable protection; is that right? A. That's right. D. And since that's been installed, the County has not had to have a contractor come repair the concrete work; is that right? A. No, it's been installed for about a year but the channelization devices have suffered some impacts and one of them is lost at the westerly end and we've sent in a federal application to shorten that. D. And I apologize if my question was unclear. For this particular question I'm just asking about the curb, the concrete part itself. A. The concrete? No, we have not. D. And the County doesn't have any other crossings with a mountable median or mountable system; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. That's right. 9. And you estimated that process might take about a month? A. Yeah, perhaps. Maybe longer. 9. And so I'm clear, the County's petition reflects that it intends to install a curb off the fog line to protect the warning devices at the crossing. Is that a different type of installation process or do you also have to have a contractor come do that curb work? A. It depends on what we actually use in that situation, what BNSF this was a request by BNSF. I guess it's some type of policy that they need to protect those warning devices that aren't a certain distance from the fog line. So provided they approve something that's precast, no, we wouldn't have to do extra concrete work, we'd install something that's precast and that would be what we would propose. So no. 0. But if BNSF standard is to have something other than precast, if concrete had to be installed for that part of the project, then it would have to be a contractor to come do it? A. Uh-huh. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 systems; is that right? A. That's right. Q. I'm going to talk a bit about the Yacht Club Road in more detail in a minute but that is a system that has a nonmountable protection; is that right? A. That's right. Q. And since that's been installed, the County has not had to have a contractor come repair the concrete work; is that right? A. No, it's been installed for about a year but the channelization devices have suffered some impacts and one of them is lost at the westerly end and we've sent in a federal application to shorten that. Q. And I apologize if my question was unclear. For this particular question I'm just asking about the curb, the concrete part itself. A. The concrete? No, we have not. Q. And the County doesn't have any other crossings with a mountable median or mountable system; is that right? A. As far as I know we only have the Yacht Club Road. Q. But Yacht Club Road has a nonmountable system; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. That's right. 9. And you estimated that process might take about a month? A. Yeah, perhaps. Maybe longer. 9. And so I'm clear, the County's petition reflects that it intends to install a curb off the fog line to protect the warning devices at the crossing. Is that a different type of installation process or do you also have to have a contractor come do that curb work? A. It depends on what we actually use in that situation, what BNSF this was a request by BNSF. I guess it's some type of policy that they need to protect those warning devices that aren't a certain distance from the fog line. So provided they approve something that's precast, no, we wouldn't have to do extra concrete work, we'd install something that's precast and that would be what we would propose. So no. 9. But if BNSF standard is to have something other than precast, if concrete had to be installed for that part of the project, then it would have to be a contractor to come do it? A. Uh-huh. 9. "Yes"? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 systems; is that right? A. That's right. A. That's right. A. I'm going to talk a bit about the Yacht Club Road in more detail in a minute but that is a system that has a nonmountable protection; is that right? A. That's right. A. And since that's been installed, the County has not had to have a contractor come repair the concrete work; is that right? A. No, it's been installed for about a year but the channelization devices have suffered some impacts and one of them is lost at the westerly end and we've sent in a federal application to shorten that. A. And I apologize if my question was unclear. For this particular question I'm just asking about the curb, the concrete part itself. A. The concrete? No, we have not. A. And the County doesn't have any other crossings with a mountable median or mountable system; is that right? A. As far as I know we only have the Yacht Club Road. But Yacht Club Road has a nonmountable system; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. That's right. 9. And you estimated that process might take about a month? A. Yeah, perhaps. Maybe longer. 9. And so I'm clear, the County's petition reflects that it intends to install a curb off the fog line to protect the warning devices at the crossing. Is that a different type of installation process or do you also have to have a contractor come do that curb work? A. It depends on what we actually use in that situation, what BNSF this was a request by BNSF. I guess it's some type of policy that they need to protect those warning devices that aren't a certain distance from the fog line. So provided they approve something that's precast, no, we wouldn't have to do extra concrete work, we'd install something that's precast and that would be what we would propose. So no. 9. But if BNSF standard is to have something other than precast, if concrete had to be installed for that part of the project, then it would have to be a contractor to come do it? A. Uh-huh. 9. "Yes"? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 systems; is that right? A. That's right. Q. I'm going to talk a bit about the Yacht Club Road in more detail in a minute but that is a system that has a nonmountable protection; is that right? A. That's right. Q. And since that's been installed, the County has not had to have a contractor come repair the concrete work; is that right? A. No, it's been installed for about a year but the channelization devices have suffered some impacts and one of them is lost at the westerly end and we've sent in a federal application to shorten that. Q. And I apologize if my question was unclear. For this particular question I'm just asking about the curb, the concrete part itself. A. The concrete? No, we have not. Q. And the County doesn't have any other crossings with a mountable median or mountable system; is that right? A. As far as I know we only have the Yacht Club Road. Q. But Yacht Club Road has a nonmountable system; is that right? A. With any median, yes, mountable or otherwise. Q. You provided, with the initial petition to close
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. That's right. 9. And you estimated that process might take about a month? A. Yeah, perhaps. Maybe longer. 9. And so I'm clear, the County's petition reflects that it intends to install a curb off the fog line to protect the warning devices at the crossing. Is that a different type of installation process or do you also have to have a contractor come do that curb work? A. It depends on what we actually use in that situation, what BNSF this was a request by BNSF. I guess it's some type of policy that they need to protect those warning devices that aren't a certain distance from the fog line. So provided they approve something that's precast, no, we wouldn't have to do extra concrete work, we'd install something that's precast and that would be what we would propose. So no. 9. But if BNSF standard is to have something other than precast, if concrete had to be installed for that part of the project, then it would have to be a contractor to come do it? A. Uh-huh. 9. "Yes"? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 systems; is that right? A. That's right. A. That's right. A. I'm going to talk a bit about the Yacht Club Road in more detail in a minute but that is a system that has a nonmountable protection; is that right? A. That's right. A. And since that's been installed, the County has not had to have a contractor come repair the concrete work; is that right? A. No, it's been installed for about a year but the channelization devices have suffered some impacts and one of them is lost at the westerly end and we've sent in a federal application to shorten that. A. And I apologize if my question was unclear. For this particular question I'm just asking about the curb, the concrete part itself. A. The concrete? No, we have not. A. And the County doesn't have any other crossings with a mountable median or mountable system; is that right? A. As far as I know we only have the Yacht Club Road. But Yacht Club Road has a nonmountable system; is that right?

5 (Pages 28 to 31)

	Page 32	Page 34
1	recall that photo I'm talking about?	1 JUDGE PEARSON: Sure.
2	A. I do.	
3	Q. And the petition for the installation I believe is	3 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
4	CS-3. Am I correct that the photo was submitted with the	4 BY MR. QUINN:
5	petition or	5 Q. Mr. Swan, do you know how old that SSM is?
6	A. I think it was the notice of the quiet zone.	6 A. I have no idea.
7	Q. Was the quiet zone. So the notice of the quiet	7 Q. Okay. And what's the setting in which it's
8	zone was CS-2. And those were the two color photographs	
9	that accompanied that petition; is that right?	9 A. It's yes, it's a close proximity railroad
10	A. Yes.	10 crossing to an intersection on a highway.
11	Q. Or excuse me. The notice. And when I took your	11 Q. Okay. And do we know what the ADTs at that
12	deposition, you stated that you had not had a conversation	12 crossing are?
13	with the Vancouver engineer about their repair or	13 A. I have no idea.
14	maintenance costs at that crossing; is that right still?	14 Q. Do we know if trucks or buses use that crossing on
15	A. That's correct.	15 a regular basis?
16	Q. We've submitted some photographs of this crossing	16 A. I have no idea.
17	that we found from Google Earth as CS-12X, 13X, let's see,	Q. You've previously said that you can't say it's an
18	14X and 15X. Do you have those there with you?	18 apple-to-apples comparison. Do you want to expand on that
19	A. Yes.	19 for purposes of counsel wants to suggest that this is a
20	MS. ENDRES: And we would also offer those	20 demonstration of how these wear over time, how they degrade
21	exhibits into evidence, Your Honor.	21 over time.
22	JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Quinn?	22 Would that help the fact finder determine that that
23	MR. QUINN: I'm going to object at this time.	23 would be the case for the Cliffside Drive crossing?
24	No. 1, I don't think there's proper foundation, and No. 2,	A. No, because I don't know what the ADT is, and like
25	I'm not sure what the relevance is. Maybe that could be	25 you said, we don't know what type of vehicles use this road.
	Page 33	Page 35
1	addressed.	1 We don't know how old they are. We obviously probably would
2	JUDGE PEARSON: Go ahead, Ms. Endres, if you	2 differ a little bit in our maintenance plan than Vancouver,
3	want to explain the purpose for that	3 so I would say it's not a fair comparison.
4	MS. ENDRES: I can ask a foundational	4 MR. QUINN: All right. No further questions,
5	question. The relevance would be to show the damage that	5 Your Honor. I stand by the objection.
6	has a summed to the summer is a that the Osciety such as its days as	
_	has occurred to the crossing that the County submitted as an	6 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So I think that these
7	example of the type of devices that it proposes to install	7 exhibits, I'm going to allow them. I think they have
8	с ,	 exhibits, I'm going to allow them. I think they have limited probative value. So with that caveat, I'll admit
	example of the type of devices that it proposes to install as well as the configuration that we'll be exploring in later questioning as a potential in this case.	7 exhibits, I'm going to allow them. I think they have
8 9 10	example of the type of devices that it proposes to install as well as the configuration that we'll be exploring in later questioning as a potential in this case. JUDGE PEARSON: And how about the foundation?	 exhibits, I'm going to allow them. I think they have limited probative value. So with that caveat, I'll admit them into the record and we will afford them weight accordingly.
8 9 10 11	example of the type of devices that it proposes to install as well as the configuration that we'll be exploring in later questioning as a potential in this case. JUDGE PEARSON: And how about the foundation? MS. ENDRES: The foundation.	 exhibits, I'm going to allow them. I think they have limited probative value. So with that caveat, I'll admit them into the record and we will afford them weight accordingly. (Exhibit Nos. CS-12X-15X admitted.)
8 9 10 11 12	example of the type of devices that it proposes to install as well as the configuration that we'll be exploring in later questioning as a potential in this case. JUDGE PEARSON: And how about the foundation? MS. ENDRES: The foundation. BY MS. ENDRES:	 exhibits, I'm going to allow them. I think they have limited probative value. So with that caveat, I'll admit them into the record and we will afford them weight accordingly. (Exhibit Nos. CS-12X-15X admitted.) MS. ENDRES: So Your Honor, just for clarity,
8 9 10 11 12 13	example of the type of devices that it proposes to install as well as the configuration that we'll be exploring in later questioning as a potential in this case. JUDGE PEARSON: And how about the foundation? MS. ENDRES: The foundation. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Mr. Swan, do you recognize these photos as showing	 exhibits, I'm going to allow them. I think they have limited probative value. So with that caveat, I'll admit them into the record and we will afford them weight accordingly. (Exhibit Nos. CS-12X-15X admitted.) MS. ENDRES: So Your Honor, just for clarity, is this 12 through 15?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 example of the type of devices that it proposes to install as well as the configuration that we'll be exploring in later questioning as a potential in this case. JUDGE PEARSON: And how about the foundation? MS. ENDRES: The foundation. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Mr. Swan, do you recognize these photos as showing the same crossing that you had submitted as an example in 	 exhibits, I'm going to allow them. I think they have limited probative value. So with that caveat, I'll admit them into the record and we will afford them weight accordingly. (Exhibit Nos. CS-12X-15X admitted.) MS. ENDRES: So Your Honor, just for clarity, is this 12 through 15? JUDGE PEARSON: Correct. You can continue
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 example of the type of devices that it proposes to install as well as the configuration that we'll be exploring in later questioning as a potential in this case. JUDGE PEARSON: And how about the foundation? MS. ENDRES: The foundation. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Mr. Swan, do you recognize these photos as showing the same crossing that you had submitted as an example in the notice 	 exhibits, I'm going to allow them. I think they have limited probative value. So with that caveat, I'll admit them into the record and we will afford them weight accordingly. (Exhibit Nos. CS-12X-15X admitted.) MS. ENDRES: So Your Honor, just for clarity, is this 12 through 15? JUDGE PEARSON: Correct. You can continue with your questions with the understanding that Mr. Swan
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 example of the type of devices that it proposes to install as well as the configuration that we'll be exploring in later questioning as a potential in this case. JUDGE PEARSON: And how about the foundation? MS. ENDRES: The foundation. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Mr. Swan, do you recognize these photos as showing the same crossing that you had submitted as an example in the notice A. Yeah, I believe this is Evergreen Highway which I 	 exhibits, I'm going to allow them. I think they have limited probative value. So with that caveat, I'll admit them into the record and we will afford them weight accordingly. (Exhibit Nos. CS-12X-15X admitted.) MS. ENDRES: So Your Honor, just for clarity, is this 12 through 15? JUDGE PEARSON: Correct. You can continue with your questions with the understanding that Mr. Swan probably isn't going to have a lot of information.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 example of the type of devices that it proposes to install as well as the configuration that we'll be exploring in later questioning as a potential in this case. JUDGE PEARSON: And how about the foundation? MS. ENDRES: The foundation. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Mr. Swan, do you recognize these photos as showing the same crossing that you had submitted as an example in the notice A. Yeah, I believe this is Evergreen Highway which I don't believe would be apples to apples. It's a highway. 	 exhibits, I'm going to allow them. I think they have limited probative value. So with that caveat, I'll admit them into the record and we will afford them weight accordingly. (Exhibit Nos. CS-12X-15X admitted.) MS. ENDRES: So Your Honor, just for clarity, is this 12 through 15? JUDGE PEARSON: Correct. You can continue with your questions with the understanding that Mr. Swan probably isn't going to have a lot of information. MS. ENDRES: Understood.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 example of the type of devices that it proposes to install as well as the configuration that we'll be exploring in later questioning as a potential in this case. JUDGE PEARSON: And how about the foundation? MS. ENDRES: The foundation. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Mr. Swan, do you recognize these photos as showing the same crossing that you had submitted as an example in the notice A. Yeah, I believe this is Evergreen Highway which I don't believe would be apples to apples. It's a highway. We're talking about a residential no outlet crossing. 	 exhibits, I'm going to allow them. I think they have limited probative value. So with that caveat, I'll admit them into the record and we will afford them weight accordingly. (Exhibit Nos. CS-12X-15X admitted.) MS. ENDRES: So Your Honor, just for clarity, is this 12 through 15? JUDGE PEARSON: Correct. You can continue with your questions with the understanding that Mr. Swan probably isn't going to have a lot of information. MS. ENDRES: Understood.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 example of the type of devices that it proposes to install as well as the configuration that we'll be exploring in later questioning as a potential in this case. JUDGE PEARSON: And how about the foundation? MS. ENDRES: The foundation. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Mr. Swan, do you recognize these photos as showing the same crossing that you had submitted as an example in the notice A. Yeah, I believe this is Evergreen Highway which I don't believe would be apples to apples. It's a highway. We're talking about a residential no outlet crossing. Q. Do you recognize this as the same crossing 	 exhibits, I'm going to allow them. I think they have limited probative value. So with that caveat, I'll admit them into the record and we will afford them weight accordingly. (Exhibit Nos. CS-12X-15X admitted.) MS. ENDRES: So Your Honor, just for clarity, is this 12 through 15? JUDGE PEARSON: Correct. You can continue with your questions with the understanding that Mr. Swan probably isn't going to have a lot of information. MS. ENDRES: Understood. RECROSS-EXAMINATION
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 example of the type of devices that it proposes to install as well as the configuration that we'll be exploring in later questioning as a potential in this case. JUDGE PEARSON: And how about the foundation? MS. ENDRES: The foundation. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Mr. Swan, do you recognize these photos as showing the same crossing that you had submitted as an example in the notice A. Yeah, I believe this is Evergreen Highway which I don't believe would be apples to apples. It's a highway. We're talking about a residential no outlet crossing. Q. Do you recognize this as the same crossing A. Yes. 	 exhibits, I'm going to allow them. I think they have limited probative value. So with that caveat, I'll admit them into the record and we will afford them weight accordingly. (Exhibit Nos. CS-12X-15X admitted.) MS. ENDRES: So Your Honor, just for clarity, is this 12 through 15? JUDGE PEARSON: Correct. You can continue with your questions with the understanding that Mr. Swan probably isn't going to have a lot of information. MS. ENDRES: Understood. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ENDRES:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 example of the type of devices that it proposes to install as well as the configuration that we'll be exploring in later questioning as a potential in this case. JUDGE PEARSON: And how about the foundation? MS. ENDRES: The foundation. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Mr. Swan, do you recognize these photos as showing the same crossing that you had submitted as an example in the notice A. Yeah, I believe this is Evergreen Highway which I don't believe would be apples to apples. It's a highway. We're talking about a residential no outlet crossing. Q. Do you recognize this as the same crossing A. Yes. Q as the photos that were submitted with the 	 exhibits, I'm going to allow them. I think they have limited probative value. So with that caveat, I'll admit them into the record and we will afford them weight accordingly. (Exhibit Nos. CS-12X-15X admitted.) MS. ENDRES: So Your Honor, just for clarity, is this 12 through 15? JUDGE PEARSON: Correct. You can continue with your questions with the understanding that Mr. Swan probably isn't going to have a lot of information. MS. ENDRES: Understood. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Well, let me just ask you this basically, Mr. Swan.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 example of the type of devices that it proposes to install as well as the configuration that we'll be exploring in later questioning as a potential in this case. JUDGE PEARSON: And how about the foundation? MS. ENDRES: The foundation. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Mr. Swan, do you recognize these photos as showing the same crossing that you had submitted as an example in the notice A. Yeah, I believe this is Evergreen Highway which I don't believe would be apples to apples. It's a highway. We're talking about a residential no outlet crossing. Q. Do you recognize this as the same crossing A. Yes. Q as the photos that were submitted with the notice of intent to establish a quiet zone? 	 exhibits, I'm going to allow them. I think they have limited probative value. So with that caveat, I'll admit them into the record and we will afford them weight accordingly. (Exhibit Nos. CS-12X-15X admitted.) MS. ENDRES: So Your Honor, just for clarity, is this 12 through 15? JUDGE PEARSON: Correct. You can continue with your questions with the understanding that Mr. Swan probably isn't going to have a lot of information. MS. ENDRES: Understood. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Well, let me just ask you this basically, Mr. Swan. Does the depiction of the condition of the devices in that
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 example of the type of devices that it proposes to install as well as the configuration that we'll be exploring in later questioning as a potential in this case. JUDGE PEARSON: And how about the foundation? MS. ENDRES: The foundation. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Mr. Swan, do you recognize these photos as showing the same crossing that you had submitted as an example in the notice A. Yeah, I believe this is Evergreen Highway which I don't believe would be apples to apples. It's a highway. We're talking about a residential no outlet crossing. Q. Do you recognize this as the same crossing A. Yes. Q as the photos that were submitted with the notice of intent to establish a quiet zone? A. Yes. 	 exhibits, I'm going to allow them. I think they have limited probative value. So with that caveat, I'll admit them into the record and we will afford them weight accordingly. (Exhibit Nos. CS-12X-15X admitted.) MS. ENDRES: So Your Honor, just for clarity, is this 12 through 15? JUDGE PEARSON: Correct. You can continue with your questions with the understanding that Mr. Swan probably isn't going to have a lot of information. MS. ENDRES: Understood. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Well, let me just ask you this basically, Mr. Swan. Does the depiction of the condition of the devices in that crossing, which is the crossing that the County submitted in
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 example of the type of devices that it proposes to install as well as the configuration that we'll be exploring in later questioning as a potential in this case. JUDGE PEARSON: And how about the foundation? MS. ENDRES: The foundation. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Mr. Swan, do you recognize these photos as showing the same crossing that you had submitted as an example in the notice A. Yeah, I believe this is Evergreen Highway which I don't believe would be apples to apples. It's a highway. We're talking about a residential no outlet crossing. Q. Do you recognize this as the same crossing A. Yes. Q as the photos that were submitted with the notice of intent to establish a quiet zone? 	 exhibits, I'm going to allow them. I think they have limited probative value. So with that caveat, I'll admit them into the record and we will afford them weight accordingly. (Exhibit Nos. CS-12X-15X admitted.) MS. ENDRES: So Your Honor, just for clarity, is this 12 through 15? JUDGE PEARSON: Correct. You can continue with your questions with the understanding that Mr. Swan probably isn't going to have a lot of information. MS. ENDRES: Understood. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Well, let me just ask you this basically, Mr. Swan. Does the depiction of the condition of the devices in that

6 (Pages 32 to 35)

	Page 36		Page 38
1	traversable devices are appropriate here?	1	Road, the repetitive driving over of the last reflector to
2	A. No.	2	the west on that crossing broke at the base which was
3	Q. Or mountable devices?	3	connected to the concrete which don't apply in this
4	A. Are appropriate when you say "here" you mean at	4	situation because that base is not required to install them
5	Cliffside?	5	on the quick curb. So I didn't see them shear off there
6	Q. Yes, thank you.	6	after repetitive abuse.
7	A. Yes.	7	Q. We're going we've talked already a bit and the
8	Q. These photographs show that on one side of the	8	materials reflect discussion about what was done at Yacht
9	crossing there's a mountable system. We can see that	9	Club Road. So we all understand the configuration of that
10	there's at least one paddle missing on that side but it	10	crossing, it's also a crossing that generally runs
11	looks like on the far side that they installed a	11	east/west. In that particular case it's from the Chumstick
12	nonmountable system or a concrete curve.	12	Highway across you're correcting me, it's not a highway?
13	Do you see that?	13	A. Chuckanut.
14	A. I do.	14	Q. Chuckanut, thank you. Chuckanut Highway across
15	Q. And my question to you is whether that's also a	15	BNSF's tracks to another residential road on the west side
16	configuration that the County would think appropriate at the	16	of BNSF's tracks; is that right?
17	Cliffside Drive crossing, to have a nonmountable system on	17	A. That's right.
18	the east side of the tracks even if there's a mountable	18	Q. And that particular case, once you cross the tracks
19	system order installed on the west side?	19	and you get to the end of the nonmountable median that was
20	A. No, because what we're proposing is an SSM that	20	installed, it comes to a T right at the end of that; is that
21	satisfies the requirements of the final rule so I think that	21	right?
22	there's no reason to mix and match. Picking one would be	22	A. That's right.
23	easier maintenance effort, not picking both.	23	Q. Whereas in this particular case with Cliffside, we
24	Q. So is it the County's position that regardless of	24	don't have that T configuration right at the end of the
25	whether an alternative configuration could make the crossing	25	nonmountable system, the road continues heading - it curves
	Page 37		Page 39
1	safer for a similar cost because the FRA calculation allows	1	more towards the westerly direction and parallels the water;
2	for the installation of a mountable system, that's simply	2	is that right?
3	what the County the County's position is appropriate?	3	A. That's right.
4	A. Could you please repeat that?	4	Q. Now, when I took your deposition, you said you were
5	Q. Sure. If I understood your answer just now, you	5	not able to quantify how often concrete would need to be
6	said the FRA regulations and calculation allows for the	6	repaired as compared to repainted; is that right?
7	installation of a mountable system as an appropriate	7	A. That's right.
8	supplemental safety device.	8	Q. Or how often it may need to be repainted?
9	Do I have that accurately?	9	A. No. This is kind of a test scenario.
10	A. Uh-huh.	10	Q. And you characterized the Cliffside Drive as a
11	Q. "Yes"?	11	very, very low traffic road compared to other locations in
12	A. Yes.	12	the county; is that right?
13	Q. And is it the County's position that regardless of	13	A. That's right.
14	if another system could be installed for the same cost and	14	Q. And that you would then expect the frequency of
15	make this crossing safer, the County still believes that	15	needing to repaint or repair a damaged concrete median to be
16	having a mountable system on both sides of the crossing is	16 17	correspondingly much lower than if it were on a road with
17	the right approach in this case?	18	much higher traffic use; is that right still?
18 19	A. The yes.	19	A. I would say yes to that as well as the other
20	Q. Now, the mountable system has these channelization devices or paddles. Can those be twisted off or does there	20	median, the mountable median to be installed. Q. My question was specific to the non-traversable
20 21	have to be a pretty significant impact to actually shear one	20	system or the concrete.
21	off of the base?	21	A. Yes.
23	A. They're pretty durable. I believe there would have	23	Q. And you had stated that you would be the County
24	to be a significant and repetitive abuse of the paddle	24	would be able to replace a deficiency quicker with a
25	before it became sheared off at the rubber. At Yacht Club	25	mountable system than if it had to contract work out with a

7 (Pages 36 to 39)

	Page 40		Page 42
1	nonmountable system; is that right?	1	testimony reflects that the, at least when you submitted it,
2	A. I would say yes.	2	the existing QZRI at Cliffside Drive is 13,837 and 78?
3	Q. But that assumes that a deficiency is encountered;	3	A. Can I reference a
4	is that still the case?	4	Q. Yes, please.
5	A. Yes.	5	A. Which?
6	Q. And concrete is less likely to be damaged to the	6	Q. Pre-file testimony at Page 4, your pre-file
7	point of repair than a channelization marker that's been	7	testimony is CS-1T. It should be the first thing in there.
8	driven over; is that fair to say?	8	A. What page are we looking at?
9	A. Yeah. Yes.	9	Q. Page 4.
10	Q. And the County, I apologize if I asked you this	10	A. (Witness reviews document.) Yeah.
11	already, but the County has not had to repair the median	11	Q. And so that assumes that a horn is not blown; is
12	system at Yacht Club Road to this point other than you	12	that right?
13	mentioned there were a couple of the paddles that have been	13	A. That assumes the Quiet Zone Risk Index assumes
14	removed?	14	that there's no horn or that existing one assumes there's
15	A. Yes.	15	no horn or supplemental safety measure.
16	Q. At the Cliffside Drive it services, I think I saw	16	Q. Okay. And then with the proposed SSM of a
17	40 or 44 residential homes; is that right?	17	mountable system, the risk index was reduced by 75 percent
18	A. That's correct. Low 40s, yes.	18	to 3,459.45; is that right?
19	Q. But the petition reflects or states there are no	19	A. That's right.
20	school buses?	20	Q. And that 75 percent figure, that comes from the FRA
21	A. Yes.	21	regulation?
22	Q. Where is the school bus stop service for those	22	A. It does.
23	residences?	23	Q. Did you calculate what the QZRI would be with
24	A. I'm not sure.	24	BNSF's proposed supplemental safety measure?
25	Q. But it's a solely residential neighborhood, there	25	A. I did. It would be 5 percent more than that. I
	Page 41		Page 43
1	aren't commercial businesses?	1	don't know if I if it's included in this document, but,
2	A. That's correct.	2	yeah.
3	Q. And the County plans to inspect the crossing	3	Q. So to do that calculation we would take the
4	monthly?	4	existing QZRI, same existing QZRI of 13,837.78 and then
5	A. That is the plan.	5	using the FRA percentage we would reduce that by 80 percent?
6	Q. And is that the same plan whether a traversable	6	A. That's right.
7	system median is installed or a non-traversable median is	7	Q. Okay. And by my calculation then that comes up
8	installed?	8	with a QZRI of 2,767.56. Do you have any reason to disagree
9	A. Yeah, that's the plan initially. That could change	9	with that?
10	as we see how they're performing. I mean, our sign crew	10	A. If that's 5 percent less or 80 percent from that,
11	does a monthly sign inventory so that would just be	11	then yes, that's correct.
12	incorporated into that.	12	Q. And you're welcome to do I don't know if you
13	Q. So is there a scenario where the County would	13	have a calculator
14	inspect the crossing system more frequently than once a	14	A. I don't.
15	month?	15	Q on your phone or you want to do that yourself
16	A. More frequently? If it proves to be something that	16	and not take my word for it you're welcome to.
17	we have to do after inspecting it monthly and it warrants us	17	A. I take your word for it.
18	inspecting it more often or potentially changing it, I would	18	Q. So then when I compare the QZRI of the County's
19	say yes.	19	proposed supplemental safety measure of 3,459.45 and I
20	Q. The FRA regulations don't require the reflective	20	compare that with the QZRI of BNSF's proposed supplemental
21	panels to be installed if a nonmountable system is selected;	21	safety measure QZRI of 2,767.56 and I compare those two,
22	is that true?	22	that's a 20 percent difference between those two, not 5
23 24	A. Yes. A. Iwant to ask you a bit about the OZPI or the Quiet	23 24	percent? A. I don't then the math is wrong I would say.
24 25	Q. I want to ask you a bit about the QZRI or the Quiet Zone Risk Index calculations that you did. Your pre-file	24	Q. My math is wrong?
40	Zone mak much calculations that you ulu. Tour pre-file	25	w. my man is wrony:

8 (Pages 40 to 43)

	Page 44	Page 46
1	A. I would say the reduction from the Quiet Zone Risk	1 Q. If the FRA regulation had that as more than a
2	Index of 75 percent to 3,459 is 75 percent.	2 5 percent difference, would that make a difference to you?
3	Q. Right.	3 A. I don't know. I would have to be presented with
4	A. The reduction, your method is 80 percent. That	4 that. I have no idea.
5	delta is 5 percent.	5 Q. Your rebuttal testimony that you submitted
б	Q. I understand the delta between the 75 and 80 is	6 MS. ENDRES: Has the rebuttal testimony been
7	5 percent.	7 pre-admitted?
8	A. You're saying you reduce our Quiet Zone Risk Index	8 JUDGE PEARSON: Yes.
9	20 percent. I mean that's a different way of looking at it	9 BY MS. ENDRES:
10	I would say.	10 Q. Okay. You calculated a different QZRI using an
11	Q. Let me ask it this way: Assume that's correct.	11 updated traffic count that the County ran after your
12	Assume that we're comparing the difference between the Quiet	12 deposition?
13	Zone Risk Index of the County's proposed supplemental safety	13 A. Sure.
14	measure and BNSF's proposed supplemental safety measure. If	14Q. And in that particular case, you increased the
15	BNSF's proposed measure reduces the QZRI of the County's	average daily traffic count to 324 vehicles instead of 300
16	proposed measure by 20 percent, does that change your	16 that you initially used; is that right?
17	opinion?	17 A. That's right.
18	A. I don't believe that's how we would assess these	18 Q. And that was based on the fact that when we took a
19	percentages. I would say they both have significant risk	19 closer look at the traffic count materials that the County
20	reduction. I mean I don't necessarily think that it does	²⁰ had relied on, we realized they had only looked at two
21	reduce it 20 more percent. I think it reduces it another	21 weekdays and so you had a new traffic count run using and
22	5 percent from 75 to 80 percent.	that case if I remember right, nine days, and the County
23	Q. Does that make a difference to you whether it	23 used seven of those meaning that two were outliers; is that
24	reduces the County	24 right?
25	A. That 5 percent safety?	A. Actually three were outliers. That 324 number
	Page 45	Page 47
1	Q. Let me make sure I get my question out clearly just	1 includes one of the outliers so it is a skewed high ADT,
2	for our record and to make sure I'm doing this right in my	2 so
3	head.	3 Q. And this
4	The difference between the QZRIs calculated using	4 A yes.
5	the County's proposed supplemental safety measure and	
6		5 Q. Sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt you. This is
7	BNSF's, if BNSF's reduces the County's QZRI by an additional	6 the only time the County's actually measured seven days or
	20 percent, does that make a difference to you?	 the only time the County's actually measured seven days or more at this crossing to your knowledge?
8	20 percent, does that make a difference to you? A. If it was an additional 25 or 20 percent and it	 the only time the County's actually measured seven days or more at this crossing to your knowledge? A. Since my time here, yes, since 2013, but it's very
8 9	20 percent, does that make a difference to you? A. If it was an additional 25 or 20 percent and it was reduced to 95 percent below the QZRI, then that would be	 the only time the County's actually measured seven days or more at this crossing to your knowledge? A. Since my time here, yes, since 2013, but it's very common for places like Cliffside that have not seen any
8 9 10	20 percent, does that make a difference to you? A. If it was an additional 25 or 20 percent and it was reduced to 95 percent below the QZRI, then that would be a different consideration, but I don't believe the	 the only time the County's actually measured seven days or more at this crossing to your knowledge? A. Since my time here, yes, since 2013, but it's very common for places like Cliffside that have not seen any development in decades to not change much. So snapshots in
8 9 10 11	20 percent, does that make a difference to you? A. If it was an additional 25 or 20 percent and it was reduced to 95 percent below the QZRI, then that would be a different consideration, but I don't believe the 20 percent mathematics that's involved in this is the	 the only time the County's actually measured seven days or more at this crossing to your knowledge? A. Since my time here, yes, since 2013, but it's very common for places like Cliffside that have not seen any development in decades to not change much. So snapshots in the middle of the week represent a good indication of what
8 9 10 11 12	20 percent, does that make a difference to you? A. If it was an additional 25 or 20 percent and it was reduced to 95 percent below the QZRI, then that would be a different consideration, but I don't believe the 20 percent mathematics that's involved in this is the 20 percent reduction in the QZRI.	 the only time the County's actually measured seven days or more at this crossing to your knowledge? A. Since my time here, yes, since 2013, but it's very common for places like Cliffside that have not seen any development in decades to not change much. So snapshots in the middle of the week represent a good indication of what the average daily traffic is on a weekly basis. Sometimes
8 9 10 11 12 13	 20 percent, does that make a difference to you? A. If it was an additional 25 or 20 percent and it was reduced to 95 percent below the QZRI, then that would be a different consideration, but I don't believe the 20 percent mathematics that's involved in this is the 20 percent reduction in the QZRI. Q. Is there any percent say there was another 	 the only time the County's actually measured seven days or more at this crossing to your knowledge? A. Since my time here, yes, since 2013, but it's very common for places like Cliffside that have not seen any development in decades to not change much. So snapshots in the middle of the week represent a good indication of what the average daily traffic is on a weekly basis. Sometimes they might need it for a project or might need a quick
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 20 percent, does that make a difference to you? A. If it was an additional 25 or 20 percent and it was reduced to 95 percent below the QZRI, then that would be a different consideration, but I don't believe the 20 percent mathematics that's involved in this is the 20 percent reduction in the QZRI. Q. Is there any percent say there was another alternative that cost the same that cut the County's 	 the only time the County's actually measured seven days or more at this crossing to your knowledge? A. Since my time here, yes, since 2013, but it's very common for places like Cliffside that have not seen any development in decades to not change much. So snapshots in the middle of the week represent a good indication of what the average daily traffic is on a weekly basis. Sometimes they might need it for a project or might need a quick little snapshot and that's probably what that was. And it's
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 20 percent, does that make a difference to you? A. If it was an additional 25 or 20 percent and it was reduced to 95 percent below the QZRI, then that would be a different consideration, but I don't believe the 20 percent mathematics that's involved in this is the 20 percent reduction in the QZRI. Q. Is there any percent say there was another alternative that cost the same that cut the County's proposed QZRI, the new one of 3,459, cut that by half, is 	 the only time the County's actually measured seven days or more at this crossing to your knowledge? A. Since my time here, yes, since 2013, but it's very common for places like Cliffside that have not seen any development in decades to not change much. So snapshots in the middle of the week represent a good indication of what the average daily traffic is on a weekly basis. Sometimes they might need it for a project or might need a quick little snapshot and that's probably what that was. And it's upheld by the one we just did a couple months ago, I mean,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 20 percent, does that make a difference to you? A. If it was an additional 25 or 20 percent and it was reduced to 95 percent below the QZRI, then that would be a different consideration, but I don't believe the 20 percent mathematics that's involved in this is the 20 percent reduction in the QZRI. Q. Is there any percent say there was another alternative that cost the same that cut the County's proposed QZRI, the new one of 3,459, cut that by half, is there 	 the only time the County's actually measured seven days or more at this crossing to your knowledge? A. Since my time here, yes, since 2013, but it's very common for places like Cliffside that have not seen any development in decades to not change much. So snapshots in the middle of the week represent a good indication of what the average daily traffic is on a weekly basis. Sometimes they might need it for a project or might need a quick little snapshot and that's probably what that was. And it's upheld by the one we just did a couple months ago, I mean, it supports it.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 20 percent, does that make a difference to you? A. If it was an additional 25 or 20 percent and it was reduced to 95 percent below the QZRI, then that would be a different consideration, but I don't believe the 20 percent mathematics that's involved in this is the 20 percent reduction in the QZRI. Q. Is there any percent say there was another alternative that cost the same that cut the County's proposed QZRI, the new one of 3,459, cut that by half, is there A. I don't know that one. I don't know what that 	 the only time the County's actually measured seven days or more at this crossing to your knowledge? A. Since my time here, yes, since 2013, but it's very common for places like Cliffside that have not seen any development in decades to not change much. So snapshots in the middle of the week represent a good indication of what the average daily traffic is on a weekly basis. Sometimes they might need it for a project or might need a quick little snapshot and that's probably what that was. And it's upheld by the one we just did a couple months ago, I mean, it supports it. Q. So initially the FRA calculator had 450 set there
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 20 percent, does that make a difference to you? A. If it was an additional 25 or 20 percent and it was reduced to 95 percent below the QZRI, then that would be a different consideration, but I don't believe the 20 percent mathematics that's involved in this is the 20 percent reduction in the QZRI. Q. Is there any percent say there was another alternative that cost the same that cut the County's proposed QZRI, the new one of 3,459, cut that by half, is there A. I don't know that one. I don't know what that would be. I don't think that was a consideration in the 	 the only time the County's actually measured seven days or more at this crossing to your knowledge? A. Since my time here, yes, since 2013, but it's very common for places like Cliffside that have not seen any development in decades to not change much. So snapshots in the middle of the week represent a good indication of what the average daily traffic is on a weekly basis. Sometimes they might need it for a project or might need a quick little snapshot and that's probably what that was. And it's upheld by the one we just did a couple months ago, I mean, it supports it. Q. So initially the FRA calculator had 450 set there as a preset or whatever their most recent information was
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 20 percent, does that make a difference to you? A. If it was an additional 25 or 20 percent and it was reduced to 95 percent below the QZRI, then that would be a different consideration, but I don't believe the 20 percent mathematics that's involved in this is the 20 percent reduction in the QZRI. Q. Is there any percent say there was another alternative that cost the same that cut the County's proposed QZRI, the new one of 3,459, cut that by half, is there A. I don't know that one. I don't know what that would be. I don't think that was a consideration in the code or the final rule that came to that conclusion. These 	 the only time the County's actually measured seven days or more at this crossing to your knowledge? A. Since my time here, yes, since 2013, but it's very common for places like Cliffside that have not seen any development in decades to not change much. So snapshots in the middle of the week represent a good indication of what the average daily traffic is on a weekly basis. Sometimes they might need it for a project or might need a quick little snapshot and that's probably what that was. And it's upheld by the one we just did a couple months ago, I mean, it supports it. Q. So initially the FRA calculator had 450 set there as a preset or whatever their most recent information was until the parties here started modifying the numbers to make
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 20 percent, does that make a difference to you? A. If it was an additional 25 or 20 percent and it was reduced to 95 percent below the QZRI, then that would be a different consideration, but I don't believe the 20 percent mathematics that's involved in this is the 20 percent reduction in the QZRI. Q. Is there any percent say there was another alternative that cost the same that cut the County's proposed QZRI, the new one of 3,459, cut that by half, is there A. I don't know that one. I don't know what that would be. I don't think that was a consideration in the code or the final rule that came to that conclusion. These are kind of comparable solutions. We chose one that for 	 the only time the County's actually measured seven days or more at this crossing to your knowledge? A. Since my time here, yes, since 2013, but it's very common for places like Cliffside that have not seen any development in decades to not change much. So snapshots in the middle of the week represent a good indication of what the average daily traffic is on a weekly basis. Sometimes they might need it for a project or might need a quick little snapshot and that's probably what that was. And it's upheld by the one we just did a couple months ago, I mean, it supports it. Q. So initially the FRA calculator had 450 set there as a preset or whatever their most recent information was until the parties here started modifying the numbers to make different calculations; is that right?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 20 percent, does that make a difference to you? A. If it was an additional 25 or 20 percent and it was reduced to 95 percent below the QZRI, then that would be a different consideration, but I don't believe the 20 percent mathematics that's involved in this is the 20 percent reduction in the QZRI. Q. Is there any percent say there was another alternative that cost the same that cut the County's proposed QZRI, the new one of 3,459, cut that by half, is there A. I don't know that one. I don't know what that would be. I don't think that was a consideration in the code or the final rule that came to that conclusion. These are kind of comparable solutions. We chose one that for other reasons we thought was a better fit at this location. 	 the only time the County's actually measured seven days or more at this crossing to your knowledge? A. Since my time here, yes, since 2013, but it's very common for places like Cliffside that have not seen any development in decades to not change much. So snapshots in the middle of the week represent a good indication of what the average daily traffic is on a weekly basis. Sometimes they might need it for a project or might need a quick little snapshot and that's probably what that was. And it's upheld by the one we just did a couple months ago, I mean, it supports it. Q. So initially the FRA calculator had 450 set there as a preset or whatever their most recent information was until the parties here started modifying the numbers to make different calculations; is that right? A. Yes.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 20 percent, does that make a difference to you? A. If it was an additional 25 or 20 percent and it was reduced to 95 percent below the QZRI, then that would be a different consideration, but I don't believe the 20 percent mathematics that's involved in this is the 20 percent reduction in the QZRI. Q. Is there any percent say there was another alternative that cost the same that cut the County's proposed QZRI, the new one of 3,459, cut that by half, is there A. I don't know that one. I don't know what that would be. I don't think that was a consideration in the code or the final rule that came to that conclusion. These are kind of comparable solutions. We chose one that for other reasons we thought was a better fit at this location. So the 5 percent for us is pretty insignificant when there's 	 the only time the County's actually measured seven days or more at this crossing to your knowledge? A. Since my time here, yes, since 2013, but it's very common for places like Cliffside that have not seen any development in decades to not change much. So snapshots in the middle of the week represent a good indication of what the average daily traffic is on a weekly basis. Sometimes they might need it for a project or might need a quick little snapshot and that's probably what that was. And it's upheld by the one we just did a couple months ago, I mean, it supports it. Q. So initially the FRA calculator had 450 set there as a preset or whatever their most recent information was until the parties here started modifying the numbers to make different calculations; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And you said there was an estate sale that weekend?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 20 percent, does that make a difference to you? A. If it was an additional 25 or 20 percent and it was reduced to 95 percent below the QZRI, then that would be a different consideration, but I don't believe the 20 percent mathematics that's involved in this is the 20 percent reduction in the QZRI. Q. Is there any percent say there was another alternative that cost the same that cut the County's proposed QZRI, the new one of 3,459, cut that by half, is there A. I don't know that one. I don't know what that would be. I don't think that was a consideration in the code or the final rule that came to that conclusion. These are kind of comparable solutions. We chose one that for other reasons we thought was a better fit at this location. So the 5 percent for us is pretty insignificant when there's other safety factors that come into play with this crossing 	 the only time the County's actually measured seven days or more at this crossing to your knowledge? A. Since my time here, yes, since 2013, but it's very common for places like Cliffside that have not seen any development in decades to not change much. So snapshots in the middle of the week represent a good indication of what the average daily traffic is on a weekly basis. Sometimes they might need it for a project or might need a quick little snapshot and that's probably what that was. And it's upheld by the one we just did a couple months ago, I mean, it supports it. Q. So initially the FRA calculator had 450 set there as a preset or whatever their most recent information was until the parties here started modifying the numbers to make different calculations; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And you said there was an estate sale that weekend? A. Yes.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 20 percent, does that make a difference to you? A. If it was an additional 25 or 20 percent and it was reduced to 95 percent below the QZRI, then that would be a different consideration, but I don't believe the 20 percent mathematics that's involved in this is the 20 percent reduction in the QZRI. Q. Is there any percent say there was another alternative that cost the same that cut the County's proposed QZRI, the new one of 3,459, cut that by half, is there A. I don't know that one. I don't know what that would be. I don't think that was a consideration in the code or the final rule that came to that conclusion. These are kind of comparable solutions. We chose one that for other reasons we thought was a better fit at this location. So the 5 percent for us is pretty insignificant when there's 	 the only time the County's actually measured seven days or more at this crossing to your knowledge? A. Since my time here, yes, since 2013, but it's very common for places like Cliffside that have not seen any development in decades to not change much. So snapshots in the middle of the week represent a good indication of what the average daily traffic is on a weekly basis. Sometimes they might need it for a project or might need a quick little snapshot and that's probably what that was. And it's upheld by the one we just did a couple months ago, I mean, it supports it. Q. So initially the FRA calculator had 450 set there as a preset or whatever their most recent information was until the parties here started modifying the numbers to make different calculations; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And you said there was an estate sale that weekend?

9 (Pages 44 to 47)

	Page 50
 A. Friday, Saturday and Sunday, yes. 	1 supplemental safety measure put us well below the Quiet Zone
2 Q. And did you do any determination of other events or	2 Risk Index. I mean up until you get to about 250,000 ADT,
³ sales that that area has had throughout the last year, say?	3 then it starts to get funny, but I mean whatever you put
4 A. No.	4 into that calculator as the ADT, with the installation of
5 Q. So when you ran the new QZRI, the new risk index,	5 the supplemental safety measure brings us below our
6 the number you came up with was 14,562.45? And this is, if	6 threshold.
7 you want to look at I think CS-9?	7 Q. The new number that you calculated using 324
8 A. Okay. That's the existing one.	8 vehicles, that's right at the threshold, right?
9 Q. That's where traffic counts as 324?	9 A. With no yeah, that's right, so
10 A. Yeah, but that's not the calculated one. There's	10 Q. Your 14,562.45 was your calculation and the
been no that's the yeah, with no supplemental safety	11 threshold is 14,723, that's 98 or 99 percent there; is that
12 measures that's the number.	12 right?
13 Q. And that was using the newer traffic information?	13 A. Oh, yeah, it's real close. That's why we're
14 A. Yeah, that's 324.	14 installing supplemental safety measures.
Q. I meant to ask you this before just generally about	15 Q. Right. So there's not much wiggle room there with
16 running this QZRI calculator. This is a website that you	16 the traffic count in terms of just that initial QZRI without
17 can log into that the FRA provides to be able to input	17 the additional modifications?
different pieces of information to see how that changes the	18 A. Yeah, there's not a lot of wiggle room to be
19 QZRI; is that right?	19 eligible to do something that we can by the code which is
20 A. Yes.	20 install or establish this as a quiet zone as is with no
21 Q. So things that you can modify like you did here are	21 supplemental safety measures. There's not a lot of wiggle
the average daily traffic count, right?	22 room there, no.
A. That's right.	23 Q. And if you used all of the traffic counts that you
24 Q. What other things can you modify?	24 measured that would put it over the national Nationwide
A. You can modify train speeds, train counts. There's	25 Significant Risk Threshold without making modifications?
Page 49	Page 51
1 a couple other things. The main thing that I would modify	
a couple other things. The main thing that I would modify in that case or the things that I definitively know are	1 A. Yeah.
2 in that case or the things that I definitively know are	1A. Yeah.2Q. The traffic counts that the County got were
 in that case or the things that I definitively know are different than what they prompt you to, that's the ADT and I 	1A. Yeah.2Q. The traffic counts that the County got were
 in that case or the things that I definitively know are different than what they prompt you to, that's the ADT and I got train counts from Stephen, so 	 A. Yeah. Q. The traffic counts that the County got were submitted to your rebuttal pre-file testimony and those
 in that case or the things that I definitively know are different than what they prompt you to, that's the ADT and I got train counts from Stephen, so 	1A. Yeah.2Q. The traffic counts that the County got were3submitted to your rebuttal pre-file testimony and those4were those have been marked as CS-8 and CS-17X.
 in that case or the things that I definitively know are different than what they prompt you to, that's the ADT and I got train counts from Stephen, so Q. What train counts did you use? 	 A. Yeah. Q. The traffic counts that the County got were submitted to your rebuttal pre-file testimony and those were those have been marked as CS-8 and CS-17X. MS. ENDRES: BNSF would move to admit.
 in that case or the things that I definitively know are different than what they prompt you to, that's the ADT and I got train counts from Stephen, so Q. What train counts did you use? A. 19. 	 A. Yeah. Q. The traffic counts that the County got were submitted to your rebuttal pre-file testimony and those were those have been marked as CS-8 and CS-17X. MS. ENDRES: BNSF would move to admit. JUDGE PEARSON: Can you tell us again which
 in that case or the things that I definitively know are different than what they prompt you to, that's the ADT and I got train counts from Stephen, so Q. What train counts did you use? A. 19. Q. And how many trains per day? 	 A. Yeah. Q. The traffic counts that the County got were submitted to your rebuttal pre-file testimony and those were those have been marked as CS-8 and CS-17X. MS. ENDRES: BNSF would move to admit. JUDGE PEARSON: Can you tell us again which exhibits those are?
 in that case or the things that I definitively know are different than what they prompt you to, that's the ADT and I got train counts from Stephen, so Q. What train counts did you use? A. 19. Q. And how many trains per day? 8 A. That would be 19 trains per day. 	1 A. Yeah. 2 Q. The traffic counts that the County got were 3 submitted to your rebuttal pre-file testimony and those 4 were those have been marked as CS-8 and CS-17X. 5 MS. ENDRES: BNSF would move to admit. 6 JUDGE PEARSON: Can you tell us again which 7 exhibits those are? 8 MS. ENDRES: CS well, we would move to
 in that case or the things that I definitively know are different than what they prompt you to, that's the ADT and I got train counts from Stephen, so Q. What train counts did you use? A. 19. Q. And how many trains per day? A. That would be 19 trains per day. Q. So correct me if I'm wrong, my understanding is 	1 A. Yeah. 2 Q. The traffic counts that the County got were 3 submitted to your rebuttal pre-file testimony and those 4 were those have been marked as CS-8 and CS-17X. 5 MS. ENDRES: BNSF would move to admit. 6 JUDGE PEARSON: Can you tell us again which 7 exhibits those are? 8 MS. ENDRES: CS well, we would move to 9 admit the new quiet zone calculation that he ran and the new 10 traffic count so those are the quiet zone calculation is 11 CS-9 and it's also CS-16.
 in that case or the things that I definitively know are different than what they prompt you to, that's the ADT and I got train counts from Stephen, so Q. What train counts did you use? A. 19. Q. And how many trains per day? A. That would be 19 trains per day. Q. So correct me if I'm wrong, my understanding is that there are two pieces of information that you input 	1 A. Yeah. 2 Q. The traffic counts that the County got were 3 submitted to your rebuttal pre-file testimony and those 4 were those have been marked as CS-8 and CS-17X. 5 MS. ENDRES: BNSF would move to admit. 6 JUDGE PEARSON: Can you tell us again which 7 exhibits those are? 8 MS. ENDRES: CS well, we would move to 9 admit the new quiet zone calculation that he ran and the new 10 traffic count so those are the quiet zone calculation is
 in that case or the things that I definitively know are different than what they prompt you to, that's the ADT and I got train counts from Stephen, so Q. What train counts did you use? A. 19. Q. And how many trains per day? A. That would be 19 trains per day. Q. So correct me if I'm wrong, my understanding is that there are two pieces of information that you input about train counts: One is total trains per day and of that 	1 A. Yeah. 2 Q. The traffic counts that the County got were 3 submitted to your rebuttal pre-file testimony and those 4 were those have been marked as CS-8 and CS-17X. 5 MS. ENDRES: BNSF would move to admit. 6 JUDGE PEARSON: Can you tell us again which 7 exhibits those are? 8 MS. ENDRES: CS well, we would move to 9 admit the new quiet zone calculation that he ran and the new 10 traffic count so those are the quiet zone calculation is 11 CS-9 and it's also CS-16.
 in that case or the things that I definitively know are different than what they prompt you to, that's the ADT and I got train counts from Stephen, so Q. What train counts did you use? A. 19. Q. And how many trains per day? A. That would be 19 trains per day. Q. So correct me if I'm wrong, my understanding is that there are two pieces of information that you input about train counts: One is total trains per day and of that you say how many are in the daytime; is that your 	1 A. Yeah. 2 Q. The traffic counts that the County got were 3 submitted to your rebuttal pre-file testimony and those 4 were those have been marked as CS-8 and CS-17X. 5 MS. ENDRES: BNSF would move to admit. 6 JUDGE PEARSON: Can you tell us again which 7 exhibits those are? 8 MS. ENDRES: CS well, we would move to 9 admit the new quiet zone calculation that he ran and the new 10 traffic count so those are the quiet zone calculation is 11 CS-9 and it's also CS-16. 12 JUDGE PEARSON: So those are already in the
 in that case or the things that I definitively know are different than what they prompt you to, that's the ADT and I got train counts from Stephen, so Q. What train counts did you use? A. 19. Q. And how many trains per day? A. That would be 19 trains per day. Q. So correct me if I'm wrong, my understanding is that there are two pieces of information that you input about train counts: One is total trains per day and of that you say how many are in the daytime; is that your understanding? 	1 A. Yeah. 2 Q. The traffic counts that the County got were 3 submitted to your rebuttal pre-file testimony and those 4 were those have been marked as CS-8 and CS-17X. 5 MS. ENDRES: BNSF would move to admit. 6 JUDGE PEARSON: Can you tell us again which 7 exhibits those are? 8 MS. ENDRES: CS well, we would move to 9 admit the new quiet zone calculation that he ran and the new 10 traffic count so those are the quiet zone calculation is 11 CS-9 and it's also CS-16. 12 JUDGE PEARSON: So those are already in the 13 record, those are pre-filed.
 in that case or the things that I definitively know are different than what they prompt you to, that's the ADT and I got train counts from Stephen, so Q. What train counts did you use? A. 19. Q. And how many trains per day? A. That would be 19 trains per day. Q. So correct me if I'm wrong, my understanding is that there are two pieces of information that you input about train counts: One is total trains per day and of that you say how many are in the daytime; is that your understanding? A. Oh, no, it just gives you train average daily 	1 A. Yeah. 2 Q. The traffic counts that the County got were 3 submitted to your rebuttal pre-file testimony and those 4 were those have been marked as CS-8 and CS-17X. 5 MS. ENDRES: BNSF would move to admit. 6 JUDGE PEARSON: Can you tell us again which 7 exhibits those are? 8 MS. ENDRES: CS well, we would move to 9 admit the new quiet zone calculation that he ran and the new 10 traffic count so those are the quiet zone calculation is 11 CS-9 and it's also CS-16. 12 JUDGE PEARSON: So those are already in the 13 record, those are pre-filed. 14 MS. ENDRES: Oh, you're right, 8 and 9. 15 BY MS. ENDRES: 16 Q. I just have two more topics to cover with you,
 in that case or the things that I definitively know are different than what they prompt you to, that's the ADT and I got train counts from Stephen, so Q. What train counts did you use? A. 19. Q. And how many trains per day? A. That would be 19 trains per day. Q. So correct me if I'm wrong, my understanding is that there are two pieces of information that you input about train counts: One is total trains per day and of that you say how many are in the daytime; is that your understanding? A. Oh, no, it just gives you train average daily train count. 	1 A. Yeah. 2 Q. The traffic counts that the County got were 3 submitted to your rebuttal pre-file testimony and those 4 were those have been marked as CS-8 and CS-17X. 5 MS. ENDRES: BNSF would move to admit. 6 JUDGE PEARSON: Can you tell us again which 7 exhibits those are? 8 MS. ENDRES: CS well, we would move to 9 admit the new quiet zone calculation that he ran and the new 10 traffic count so those are the quiet zone calculation is 11 CS-9 and it's also CS-16. 12 JUDGE PEARSON: So those are already in the 13 record, those are pre-filed. 14 MS. ENDRES: Oh, you're right, 8 and 9. 15 BY MS. ENDRES:
 in that case or the things that I definitively know are different than what they prompt you to, that's the ADT and I got train counts from Stephen, so Q. What train counts did you use? A. 19. Q. And how many trains per day? A. That would be 19 trains per day. Q. So correct me if I'm wrong, my understanding is that there are two pieces of information that you input about train counts: One is total trains per day and of that you say how many are in the daytime; is that your understanding? A. Oh, no, it just gives you train average daily train count. Q. Okay. So your calculations do include 19 trains 	1 A. Yeah. 2 Q. The traffic counts that the County got were 3 submitted to your rebuttal pre-file testimony and those 4 were those have been marked as CS-8 and CS-17X. 5 MS. ENDRES: BNSF would move to admit. 6 JUDGE PEARSON: Can you tell us again which 7 exhibits those are? 8 MS. ENDRES: CS well, we would move to 9 admit the new quiet zone calculation that he ran and the new 10 traffic count so those are the quiet zone calculation is 11 CS-9 and it's also CS-16. 12 JUDGE PEARSON: So those are already in the 13 record, those are pre-filed. 14 MS. ENDRES: Oh, you're right, 8 and 9. 15 BY MS. ENDRES: 16 Q. I just have two more topics to cover with you,
 in that case or the things that I definitively know are different than what they prompt you to, that's the ADT and I got train counts from Stephen, so Q. What train counts did you use? A. 19. Q. And how many trains per day? A. That would be 19 trains per day. Q. So correct me if I'm wrong, my understanding is that there are two pieces of information that you input about train counts: One is total trains per day and of that you say how many are in the daytime; is that your understanding? A. Oh, no, it just gives you train average daily train count. Q. Okay. So your calculations do include 19 trains per day? A. Yes. Q. And then if there was another space to input the 	1 A. Yeah. 2 Q. The traffic counts that the County got were 3 submitted to your rebuttal pre-file testimony and those 4 were those have been marked as CS-8 and CS-17X. 5 MS. ENDRES: BNSF would move to admit. 6 JUDGE PEARSON: Can you tell us again which 7 exhibits those are? 8 MS. ENDRES: CS well, we would move to 9 admit the new quiet zone calculation that he ran and the new 10 traffic count so those are the quiet zone calculation is 11 CS-9 and it's also CS-16. 12 JUDGE PEARSON: So those are already in the 13 record, those are pre-filed. 14 MS. ENDRES: Oh, you're right, 8 and 9. 15 BY MS. ENDRES: 16 Q. I just have two more topics to cover with you, 17 Mr. Swan, and I'll try to move it along here. 18 I want to talk about the consideration of the 19 motorist safety at the crossing. We can agree that a
 in that case or the things that I definitively know are different than what they prompt you to, that's the ADT and I got train counts from Stephen, so Q. What train counts did you use? A. 19. Q. And how many trains per day? A. That would be 19 trains per day. Q. So correct me if I'm wrong, my understanding is that there are two pieces of information that you input about train counts: One is total trains per day and of that you say how many are in the daytime; is that your understanding? A. Oh, no, it just gives you train average daily train count. Q. Okay. So your calculations do include 19 trains per day? A. Yes. Q. And then if there was another space to input the number of those that are in the daytime, I take it you 	1 A. Yeah. 2 Q. The traffic counts that the County got were 3 submitted to your rebuttal pre-file testimony and those 4 were those have been marked as CS-8 and CS-17X. 5 MS. ENDRES: BNSF would move to admit. 6 JUDGE PEARSON: Can you tell us again which 7 exhibits those are? 8 MS. ENDRES: CS well, we would move to 9 admit the new quiet zone calculation that he ran and the new 10 traffic count so those are the quiet zone calculation is 11 CS-9 and it's also CS-16. 12 JUDGE PEARSON: So those are already in the 13 record, those are pre-filed. 14 MS. ENDRES: Oh, you're right, 8 and 9. 15 BY MS. ENDRES: 16 Q. I just have two more topics to cover with you, 17 Mr. Swan, and I'll try to move it along here. 18 I want to talk about the consideration of the 19 motorist safety at the crossing. We can agree that a 20 nonmountable system is also an FRA approved supplemental
 in that case or the things that I definitively know are different than what they prompt you to, that's the ADT and I got train counts from Stephen, so Q. What train counts did you use? A. 19. Q. And how many trains per day? A. That would be 19 trains per day. Q. So correct me if I'm wrong, my understanding is that there are two pieces of information that you input about train counts: One is total trains per day and of that you say how many are in the daytime; is that your understanding? A. Oh, no, it just gives you train average daily train count. Q. Okay. So your calculations do include 19 trains per day? A. Yes. Q. And then if there was another space to input the number of those that are in the daytime, I take it you didn't change that? 	1 A. Yeah. 2 Q. The traffic counts that the County got were 3 submitted to your rebuttal pre-file testimony and those 4 were those have been marked as CS-8 and CS-17X. 5 MS. ENDRES: BNSF would move to admit. 6 JUDGE PEARSON: Can you tell us again which 7 exhibits those are? 8 MS. ENDRES: CS well, we would move to 9 admit the new quiet zone calculation that he ran and the new 10 traffic count so those are the quiet zone calculation is 11 CS-9 and it's also CS-16. 12 JUDGE PEARSON: So those are already in the 13 record, those are pre-filed. 14 MS. ENDRES: Oh, you're right, 8 and 9. 15 BY MS. ENDRES: 16 Q. I just have two more topics to cover with you, 17 Mr. Swan, and I'll try to move it along here. 18 I want to talk about the consideration of the 19 motorist safety at the crossing. We can agree that a 10 nonmountable system is also an FRA approved supplemental 21 safety measure at this crossing once it's converted to a
 in that case or the things that I definitively know are different than what they prompt you to, that's the ADT and I got train counts from Stephen, so Q. What train counts did you use? A. 19. Q. And how many trains per day? A. That would be 19 trains per day. Q. So correct me if I'm wrong, my understanding is that there are two pieces of information that you input about train counts: One is total trains per day and of that you say how many are in the daytime; is that your understanding? A. Oh, no, it just gives you train average daily train count. Q. Okay. So your calculations do include 19 trains per day? A. Yes. Q. And then if there was another space to input the number of those that are in the daytime, I take it you didn't, but I did one thing I did do is I 	1 A. Yeah. 2 Q. The traffic counts that the County got were 3 submitted to your rebuttal pre-file testimony and those 4 were those have been marked as CS-8 and CS-17X. 5 MS. ENDRES: BNSF would move to admit. 6 JUDGE PEARSON: Can you tell us again which 7 exhibits those are? 8 MS. ENDRES: CS well, we would move to 9 admit the new quiet zone calculation that he ran and the new 10 traffic count so those are the quiet zone calculation is 11 CS-9 and it's also CS-16. 12 JUDGE PEARSON: So those are already in the 13 record, those are pre-filed. 14 MS. ENDRES: Oh, you're right, 8 and 9. 15 BY MS. ENDRES: 16 Q. I just have two more topics to cover with you, 17 Mr. Swan, and I'll try to move it along here. 18 I want to talk about the consideration of the 19 motorist safety at the crossing. We can agree that a 10 nonmountable system is also an FRA approved supplemental 11 safety measure at this crossing once it's converted to a 10 quiet zone?
 in that case or the things that I definitively know are different than what they prompt you to, that's the ADT and I got train counts from Stephen, so Q. What train counts did you use? A. 19. Q. And how many trains per day? A. That would be 19 trains per day. Q. So correct me if I'm wrong, my understanding is that there are two pieces of information that you input about train counts: One is total trains per day and of that you say how many are in the daytime; is that your understanding? A. Oh, no, it just gives you train average daily train count. Q. Okay. So your calculations do include 19 trains per day? A. Yes. Q. And then if there was another space to input the number of those that are in the daytime, I take it you didn't change that? A. I didn't, but I did one thing I did do is I manipulated that data wildly in varying directions and it 	1 A. Yeah. 2 Q. The traffic counts that the County got were 3 submitted to your rebuttal pre-file testimony and those 4 were those have been marked as CS-8 and CS-17X. 5 MS. ENDRES: BNSF would move to admit. 6 JUDGE PEARSON: Can you tell us again which 7 exhibits those are? 8 MS. ENDRES: CS well, we would move to 9 admit the new quiet zone calculation that he ran and the new 10 traffic count so those are the quiet zone calculation is 11 CS-9 and it's also CS-16. 12 JUDGE PEARSON: So those are already in the 13 record, those are pre-filed. 14 MS. ENDRES: Oh, you're right, 8 and 9. 15 BY MS. ENDRES: 16 Q. I just have two more topics to cover with you, 17 Mr. Swan, and I'll try to move it along here. 18 I want to talk about the consideration of the 19 motorist safety at the crossing. We can agree that a 10 nonmountable system is also an FRA approved supplemental 11 safety measure at this crossing once it's converted to a 10 quiet zone?
 in that case or the things that I definitively know are different than what they prompt you to, that's the ADT and I got train counts from Stephen, so Q. What train counts did you use? A. 19. Q. And how many trains per day? A. That would be 19 trains per day. Q. So correct me if I'm wrong, my understanding is that there are two pieces of information that you input about train counts: One is total trains per day and of that you say how many are in the daytime; is that your understanding? A. Oh, no, it just gives you train average daily train count. Q. Okay. So your calculations do include 19 trains per day? A. Yes. Q. And then if there was another space to input the number of those that are in the daytime, I take it you didn't, but I did one thing I did do is I 	1 A. Yeah. 2 Q. The traffic counts that the County got were 3 submitted to your rebuttal pre-file testimony and those 4 were those have been marked as CS-8 and CS-17X. 5 MS. ENDRES: BNSF would move to admit. 6 JUDGE PEARSON: Can you tell us again which 7 exhibits those are? 8 MS. ENDRES: CS well, we would move to 9 admit the new quiet zone calculation that he ran and the new 10 traffic count so those are the quiet zone calculation is 11 CS-9 and it's also CS-16. 12 JUDGE PEARSON: So those are already in the 13 record, those are pre-filed. 14 MS. ENDRES: Oh, you're right, 8 and 9. 15 BY MS. ENDRES: 16 Q. I just have two more topics to cover with you, 17 Mr. Swan, and I'll try to move it along here. 18 I want to talk about the consideration of the 19 motorist safety at the crossing. We can agree that a 10 nonmountable system is also an FRA approved supplemental 11 safety measure at this crossing once it's converted to a 10 quiet zone?

10 (Pages 48 to 51)

	Page 52		Page 54
1	quiet zone?	1	Q. 8.
2	A. Yes.	2	A. (Witness reviewing document.)
3	Q. And the purpose of the Supplemental Safety Measures	3	The timing would have to be impeccable between the
4	that the County's proposed, or any I suppose Supplemental	4	hours of like 10:00 to say 7:00 in the morning to encounter
5	Safety Measures, is to deter bad driver behavior at railroad	5	a train, but yeah, there's traffic at night.
6	crossings; is that fair to say?	6	Q. I mean just taking that very first day, for
7	A. Yes.	7	example, January 5th, there were six vehicles between
8	Q. Because unfortunately drivers don't always make	8	12:00 a.m. and 4:00 a.m.?
9	smart or logical or lawful decisions at railroad crossings,	9	A. Yeah.
10	right?	10	Q. Between westbound and eastbound traffic? Yeah?
11	A. That's correct.	11	A. Yeah.
12	Q. And can we agree that most people probably don't	12	Q. And the mountable devices or the channelization
13	like waiting for trains to clear the railroad crossing?	13	devices, those are designed so that if someone is determined
14	A. I'd say that's very subjective. I mean maybe.	14	and drives over them, they will in theory pop back up
15	Q. And this location, as you noted, is a no outlet	15	without being broken off; is that right?
16	road?	16	A. Yes.
17	A. That's right.	17	Q. They can drive over that nonmountable system if
18	Q. And so if a car or vehicle is stuck waiting for a	18	they choose to?
19	train either to get into the neighborhood or to get out,	19	A. Yes.
20	there's not an alternative way to go, there's no overpass or	20	MS. ENDRES: I think I can wrap up before
21	underpass, they just have to wait?	21	taking a break, if that works?
22	A. That's right.	22	JUDGE PEARSON: If it works for you.
23	Q. And the petition reflects that there are 17 freight	23	BY MS. ENDRES:
24	trains a day on average going about 45 or timetable speed	24	Q. The east side of the crossing, in your discussions
25	limits 45 miles an hour?	25	with emergency response, did they raise any concerns
1	A. I believe so.		Page 55
-		1 1	specific to a nonmountable system east of the crossing?
2		1	specific to a nonmountable system east of the crossing?
2 3	Q. And if you want to look at your petition that's	1 2 3	A. No.
	Q. And if you want to look at your petition that's CS-3, if you feel like you want to refresh your memory.	2	A. No. Q. And it's on the west side of the crossing that has
3	Q. And if you want to look at your petition that's	2 3	 A. No. Q. And it's on the west side of the crossing that has that home with its driveway that comes
3 4	 Q. And if you want to look at your petition that's CS-3, if you feel like you want to refresh your memory. A. I think I just looked at it as well. Q. If you need to. 	2 3 4	 A. No. Q. And it's on the west side of the crossing that has that home with its driveway that comes A. It's not even that. It's the geometry of the road
3 4 5	 Q. And if you want to look at your petition that's CS-3, if you feel like you want to refresh your memory. A. I think I just looked at it as well. Q. If you need to. A. (Witness reviewing document.) 	2 3 4 5	 A. No. Q. And it's on the west side of the crossing that has that home with its driveway that comes A. It's not even that. It's the geometry of the road that doesn't allow them to their trailing rail to track
3 4 5 6	 Q. And if you want to look at your petition that's CS-3, if you feel like you want to refresh your memory. A. I think I just looked at it as well. Q. If you need to. 	2 3 4 5 6	 A. No. Q. And it's on the west side of the crossing that has that home with its driveway that comes A. It's not even that. It's the geometry of the road
3 4 5 6 7	 Q. And if you want to look at your petition that's CS-3, if you feel like you want to refresh your memory. A. I think I just looked at it as well. Q. If you need to. A. (Witness reviewing document.) Q. Right? A. Yep. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. No. Q. And it's on the west side of the crossing that has that home with its driveway that comes A. It's not even that. It's the geometry of the road that doesn't allow them to their trailing rail to track completely on the road without going over the center line.
3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. And if you want to look at your petition that's CS-3, if you feel like you want to refresh your memory. A. I think I just looked at it as well. Q. If you need to. A. (Witness reviewing document.) Q. Right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. No. Q. And it's on the west side of the crossing that has that home with its driveway that comes A. It's not even that. It's the geometry of the road that doesn't allow them to their trailing rail to track completely on the road without going over the center line. Q. And the County intends to widen the shoulder part
3 4 5 7 8 9	 Q. And if you want to look at your petition that's CS-3, if you feel like you want to refresh your memory. A. I think I just looked at it as well. Q. If you need to. A. (Witness reviewing document.) Q. Right? A. Yep. Q. That is the number plus two passenger trains a day, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. No. Q. And it's on the west side of the crossing that has that home with its driveway that comes A. It's not even that. It's the geometry of the road that doesn't allow them to their trailing rail to track completely on the road without going over the center line. Q. And the County intends to widen the shoulder part of the road on the west side of the crossing regardless of which supplemental safety is installed; that was your
3 4 5 7 8 9 10	 Q. And if you want to look at your petition that's CS-3, if you feel like you want to refresh your memory. A. I think I just looked at it as well. Q. If you need to. A. (Witness reviewing document.) Q. Right? A. Yep. Q. That is the number plus two passenger trains a day, that's the number you used for the train count for your QZRI 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. No. Q. And it's on the west side of the crossing that has that home with its driveway that comes A. It's not even that. It's the geometry of the road that doesn't allow them to their trailing rail to track completely on the road without going over the center line. Q. And the County intends to widen the shoulder part of the road on the west side of the crossing regardless of
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. And if you want to look at your petition that's CS-3, if you feel like you want to refresh your memory. A. I think I just looked at it as well. Q. If you need to. A. (Witness reviewing document.) Q. Right? A. Yep. Q. That is the number plus two passenger trains a day, that's the number you used for the train count for your QZRI calculations? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. No. Q. And it's on the west side of the crossing that has that home with its driveway that comes A. It's not even that. It's the geometry of the road that doesn't allow them to their trailing rail to track completely on the road without going over the center line. Q. And the County intends to widen the shoulder part of the road on the west side of the crossing regardless of which supplemental safety is installed; that was your deposition testimony?
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. And if you want to look at your petition that's CS-3, if you feel like you want to refresh your memory. A. I think I just looked at it as well. Q. If you need to. A. (Witness reviewing document.) Q. Right? A. Yep. Q. That is the number plus two passenger trains a day, that's the number you used for the train count for your QZRI calculations? A. That's right. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. No. Q. And it's on the west side of the crossing that has that home with its driveway that comes A. It's not even that. It's the geometry of the road that doesn't allow them to their trailing rail to track completely on the road without going over the center line. Q. And the County intends to widen the shoulder part of the road on the west side of the crossing regardless of which supplemental safety is installed; that was your deposition testimony? A. Widening widening the gravel portion of the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. And if you want to look at your petition that's CS-3, if you feel like you want to refresh your memory. A. I think I just looked at it as well. Q. If you need to. A. (Witness reviewing document.) Q. Right? A. Yep. Q. That is the number plus two passenger trains a day, that's the number you used for the train count for your QZRI calculations? A. That's right. Q. And so 17 or 19 times a day, those are all 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. No. Q. And it's on the west side of the crossing that has that home with its driveway that comes A. It's not even that. It's the geometry of the road that doesn't allow them to their trailing rail to track completely on the road without going over the center line. Q. And the County intends to widen the shoulder part of the road on the west side of the crossing regardless of which supplemental safety is installed; that was your deposition testimony? A. Widening widening the gravel portion of the shoulder to provide support for the vehicles, the larger
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. And if you want to look at your petition that's CS-3, if you feel like you want to refresh your memory. A. I think I just looked at it as well. Q. If you need to. A. (Witness reviewing document.) Q. Right? A. Yep. Q. That is the number plus two passenger trains a day, that's the number you used for the train count for your QZRI calculations? A. That's right. Q. And so 17 or 19 times a day, those are all potential times that the residents are going to be stuck 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. No. Q. And it's on the west side of the crossing that has that home with its driveway that comes A. It's not even that. It's the geometry of the road that doesn't allow them to their trailing rail to track completely on the road without going over the center line. Q. And the County intends to widen the shoulder part of the road on the west side of the crossing regardless of which supplemental safety is installed; that was your deposition testimony? A. Widening widening the gravel portion of the shoulder to provide support for the vehicles, the larger vehicles.
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. And if you want to look at your petition that's CS-3, if you feel like you want to refresh your memory. A. I think I just looked at it as well. Q. If you need to. A. (Witness reviewing document.) Q. Right? A. Yep. Q. That is the number plus two passenger trains a day, that's the number you used for the train count for your QZRI calculations? A. That's right. Q. And so 17 or 19 times a day, those are all potential times that the residents are going to be stuck waiting for a train at that crossing? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. No. Q. And it's on the west side of the crossing that has that home with its driveway that comes A. It's not even that. It's the geometry of the road that doesn't allow them to their trailing rail to track completely on the road without going over the center line. Q. And the County intends to widen the shoulder part of the road on the west side of the crossing regardless of which supplemental safety is installed; that was your deposition testimony? A. Widening widening the gravel portion of the shoulder to provide support for the vehicles, the larger vehicles. Q. And that's regardless of which supplemental safety
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. And if you want to look at your petition that's CS-3, if you feel like you want to refresh your memory. A. I think I just looked at it as well. Q. If you need to. A. (Witness reviewing document.) Q. Right? A. Yep. Q. That is the number plus two passenger trains a day, that's the number you used for the train count for your QZRI calculations? A. That's right. Q. And so 17 or 19 times a day, those are all potential times that the residents are going to be stuck waiting for a train at that crossing? A. A portion of those, whatever is during the day when 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. No. Q. And it's on the west side of the crossing that has that home with its driveway that comes A. It's not even that. It's the geometry of the road that doesn't allow them to their trailing rail to track completely on the road without going over the center line. Q. And the County intends to widen the shoulder part of the road on the west side of the crossing regardless of which supplemental safety is installed; that was your deposition testimony? A. Widening widening the gravel portion of the shoulder to provide support for the vehicles, the larger vehicles. Q. And that's regardless of which supplemental safety measure is installed?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. And if you want to look at your petition that's CS-3, if you feel like you want to refresh your memory. A. I think I just looked at it as well. Q. If you need to. A. (Witness reviewing document.) Q. Right? A. Yep. Q. That is the number plus two passenger trains a day, that's the number you used for the train count for your QZRI calculations? A. That's right. Q. And so 17 or 19 times a day, those are all potential times that the residents are going to be stuck waiting for a train at that crossing? A. A portion of those, whatever is during the day when you have those traffic volumes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. No. Q. And it's on the west side of the crossing that has that home with its driveway that comes A. It's not even that. It's the geometry of the road that doesn't allow them to their trailing rail to track completely on the road without going over the center line. Q. And the County intends to widen the shoulder part of the road on the west side of the crossing regardless of which supplemental safety is installed; that was your deposition testimony? A. Widening widening the gravel portion of the shoulder to provide support for the vehicles, the larger vehicles. Q. And that's regardless of which supplemental safety measure is installed? A. Regardless.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And if you want to look at your petition that's CS-3, if you feel like you want to refresh your memory. A. I think I just looked at it as well. Q. If you need to. A. (Witness reviewing document.) Q. Right? A. Yep. Q. That is the number plus two passenger trains a day, that's the number you used for the train count for your QZRI calculations? A. That's right. Q. And so 17 or 19 times a day, those are all potential times that the residents are going to be stuck waiting for a train at that crossing? A. A portion of those, whatever is during the day when you have those traffic volumes. Q. But the traffic count that the County did, weren't 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. No. Q. And it's on the west side of the crossing that has that home with its driveway that comes A. It's not even that. It's the geometry of the road that doesn't allow them to their trailing rail to track completely on the road without going over the center line. Q. And the County intends to widen the shoulder part of the road on the west side of the crossing regardless of which supplemental safety is installed; that was your deposition testimony? A. Widening widening the gravel portion of the shoulder to provide support for the vehicles, the larger vehicles. Q. And that's regardless of which supplemental safety measure is installed? A. Regardless. Q. And your testimony was that you believe emergency
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. And if you want to look at your petition that's CS-3, if you feel like you want to refresh your memory. A. I think I just looked at it as well. Q. If you need to. A. (Witness reviewing document.) Q. Right? A. Yep. Q. That is the number plus two passenger trains a day, that's the number you used for the train count for your QZRI calculations? A. That's right. Q. And so 17 or 19 times a day, those are all potential times that the residents are going to be stuck waiting for a train at that crossing? A. A portion of those, whatever is during the day when you have those traffic count that the County did, weren't there also some amount of vehicular traffic at all hours of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. No. Q. And it's on the west side of the crossing that has that home with its driveway that comes A. It's not even that. It's the geometry of the road that doesn't allow them to their trailing rail to track completely on the road without going over the center line. Q. And the County intends to widen the shoulder part of the road on the west side of the crossing regardless of which supplemental safety is installed; that was your deposition testimony? A. Widening widening the gravel portion of the shoulder to provide support for the vehicles, the larger vehicles. Q. And that's regardless of which supplemental safety measure is installed? A. Regardless. Q. And your testimony was that you believe emergency vehicles do have sufficient space to traverse the road even
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. And if you want to look at your petition that's CS-3, if you feel like you want to refresh your memory. A. I think I just looked at it as well. Q. If you need to. A. (Witness reviewing document.) Q. Right? A. Yep. Q. That is the number plus two passenger trains a day, that's the number you used for the train count for your QZRI calculations? A. That's right. Q. And so 17 or 19 times a day, those are all potential times that the residents are going to be stuck waiting for a train at that crossing? A. A portion of those, whatever is during the day when you have those traffic count that the County did, weren't there also some amount of vehicular traffic at all hours of the day and night? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. No. Q. And it's on the west side of the crossing that has that home with its driveway that comes A. It's not even that. It's the geometry of the road that doesn't allow them to their trailing rail to track completely on the road without going over the center line. Q. And the County intends to widen the shoulder part of the road on the west side of the crossing regardless of which supplemental safety is installed; that was your deposition testimony? A. Widening widening the gravel portion of the shoulder to provide support for the vehicles, the larger vehicles. Q. And that's regardless of which supplemental safety measure is installed? A. Regardless. Q. And your testimony was that you believe emergency vehicles do have sufficient space to traverse the road even if a nonmountable system is installed; is that correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And if you want to look at your petition that's CS-3, if you feel like you want to refresh your memory. A. I think I just looked at it as well. Q. If you need to. A. (Witness reviewing document.) Q. Right? A. Yep. Q. That is the number plus two passenger trains a day, that's the number you used for the train count for your QZRI calculations? A. That's right. Q. And so 17 or 19 times a day, those are all potential times that the residents are going to be stuck waiting for a train at that crossing? A. A portion of those, whatever is during the day when you have those traffic count that the County did, weren't there also some amount of vehicular traffic at all hours of the day and night? A. Perhaps, yeah. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. No. Q. And it's on the west side of the crossing that has that home with its driveway that comes A. It's not even that. It's the geometry of the road that doesn't allow them to their trailing rail to track completely on the road without going over the center line. Q. And the County intends to widen the shoulder part of the road on the west side of the crossing regardless of which supplemental safety is installed; that was your deposition testimony? A. Widening widening the gravel portion of the shoulder to provide support for the vehicles, the larger vehicles. Q. And that's regardless of which supplemental safety measure is installed? A. Regardless. Q. And your testimony was that you believe emergency vehicles do have sufficient space to traverse the road even if a nonmountable system is installed; is that correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And if you want to look at your petition that's CS-3, if you feel like you want to refresh your memory. A. I think I just looked at it as well. Q. If you need to. A. (Witness reviewing document.) Q. Right? A. Yep. Q. That is the number plus two passenger trains a day, that's the number you used for the train count for your QZRI calculations? A. That's right. Q. And so 17 or 19 times a day, those are all potential times that the residents are going to be stuck waiting for a train at that crossing? A. A portion of those, whatever is during the day when you have those traffic volumes. Q. But the traffic count that the County did, weren't there also some amount of vehicular traffic at all hours of the day and night? A. Perhaps, yeah. Q. Do you have that there? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. No. Q. And it's on the west side of the crossing that has that home with its driveway that comes A. It's not even that. It's the geometry of the road that doesn't allow them to their trailing rail to track completely on the road without going over the center line. Q. And the County intends to widen the shoulder part of the road on the west side of the crossing regardless of which supplemental safety is installed; that was your deposition testimony? A. Widening widening the gravel portion of the shoulder to provide support for the vehicles, the larger vehicles. Q. And that's regardless of which supplemental safety measure is installed? A. Regardless. Q. And your testimony was that you believe emergency vehicles do have sufficient space to traverse the road even if a nonmountable system is installed; is that correct? A. I believe they will get to where they're going regardless of what supplemental safety measure is installed.

11 (Pages 52 to 55)

	Page 56		Page 58
1	around west of the tracks if they needed to; is that right?	1	me of, yes.
2	A. I would which document are you looking at here?	2	Q. If a nonmountable median was installed, your
3	Q. We're looking at the photo that showed the aerial	3	deposition testimony was that that footprint would be within
4	view of the neighborhood.	4	the currently painted stripe?
5	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you identify these by	5	A. If a non yes.
6	exhibit number?	6	Q. And widening the shoulder width using gravel is
7	MS. ENDRES: I'm flipping through it.	7	meant to allow all emergency vehicles to traverse the
8	BY MS. ENDRES:	8	roadway without having to encroach on the median?
9	Q. I believe this was CS-22X. It was the one that was	9	A. Yes.
10	Exhibit 4 to your deposition. Yes.	10	Q. So under normal circumstances, even if the UTC
11	A. Okay. Yeah, there appears to be about three,	11	orders that a nonmountable system be installed, you expect
12	perhaps more but at least three.	12	emergency responders will be able to travel up and down the
13	Q. And do you agree that for emergency response	13	road freely?
14	traversing a crossing that Yacht Club Road is actually a	14	A. I would say the incumbrance of a nonmountable
15	tighter configuration than would exist at Cliffside?	15	versus a mountable may impact the response time to those
16	A. I'm not sure. I don't know the exact width of the	16	emergencies but they will get to where they need to go, yes.
17	road but I would say there's definitely geometry issues with	17	Q. What's the basis
18	Yacht Club Road, that's why we proposed mountable medians	18	A. Could potentially impact those response times.
19		19	Q. Do you have any data that supports that?
20	there. Q. When a vehicle is approaching the Yacht Club	20	A. No, but I've talked to drivers that at Yacht Club
20		21	Road specifically are very cognizant of the fact that
21	crossing in a westbound direction, before they get to the	22	there's something there and very watching for it at all
22	tracks on the right side there's like a rock face there,	23	
23	there's literally no space next to the road; is that right?	24	costs and driving very slowly down that access to get to the bottom of Yacht Club Road.
24	A. There's a little bit, but yeah, there is a big slope right there, yeah.	24	Q. Okay. But here for Cliffside you expect emergency
20	sope ngin mere, yean.	25	a. Oray. But here for chinside you expect emergency
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. And whereas with Cliffside when you're approaching heading towards the track in the westbound direction on the east side, there is some shoulder width there that's grassy and has at least more space to the right compared to Yacht Club Road? A. Yeah. Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	responders will be able to navigate the road even with a nonmountable system installed? A. Yes. Q. And if there's an emergency, whether a mountable system is installed or a nonmountable system is installed, you would expect the responders to flag traffic like they
8	Q. And you said earlier obviously we don't have that T configuration at Cliffside?	8	would anywhere else if they needed to?A. I would expect that of them, yeah.
9	A. That's right.	9	Q. And you weren't provided with any data about the
10	Q. And the crossing has a phone number to call the	10	actual response frequency to that neighborhood on the west
11	railroad if something happens near the crossing itself; is	11	side of the tracks?
12	that right?	12	A. I mean, no, I wasn't provided any direct data
13	A. I believe so.	13	besides some kind of vague the fire marshal's office gave
14		14	me some kind of things they respond to during the summer
15	Q. And the homeowner near the crossing has its own	15	
16	turnaround driveway if someone needed to pull in there and turn around?	16	months which are brush fires down there and he says they do
17		17	it frequently.
	A. No, it's locked up. Are you talking at the T?		Q. But they didn't tell you how often?
18	Q. I'm sorry. I apologize. At Cliffside Drive	18	A. The frequency, no.
19	there's a home just west of the railroad crossing and that	19	Q. And the County didn't submit any testimony on
20	home has its own turnaround, correct?	20	behalf of the fire department itself, did it?
21	A. Yes.	21	A. No.
22	Q. And you said that that homeowner has advised the	22	MS. ENDRES: I think I'm just about finished.
23	County they don't mind driving down the way to turn around	23	If I can just take about 30 seconds and flip back through my
24	if it means they get a quiet zone?	24	notes and make sure I don't have any other questions, I'd
25	A. That's what our special programs manager informed	25	appreciate that.
1		1	

12 (Pages 56 to 59)

	Page 60		Page 62
1	BY MS. ENDRES:	1	Q. Is this the only means for accessing that
2	Q. My last question for you, Mr. Swan, is: Can we	2	neighborhood which houses the 40 some odd residences?
3	agree that one train accident at this crossing would be too	3	A. That's correct.
4	many?	4	Q. If you can describe for the Court, what's the
5	A. That's right.	5	socioeconomic, as far as you know, makeup of this community?
6	MS. ENDRES: That's all I have. I appreciate	6	A. I would say they're upper middle class, some
7	your time.	7	affluent people down there, yeah.
8	MR. QUINN: May we break now? I'll have a	8	Q. Some nicer homes?
9	few questions for Mr. Swan when we get back.	9	A. Yeah.
10	JUDGE PEARSON: That sounds good. So let's	10	Q. And to date have there been any issues at this
11	go ahead and be in recess for 30 minutes and come back.	11	crossing with motorists trying to run gates without SSMs?
12	MR. QUINN: Whatever works for counsel.	12	A. Not to my knowledge. There has been no evidence
13	JUDGE PEARSON: Does that work?	13	that I've found through looking at traffic data and anything
14	We'll come back then at approximately 11:10.	14	like that of any citations for that.
15	(Recess 10:38-11:10.)	15	Q. And in the County's interaction with its
16	JUDGE CHARTOFF: We are back on the record.	16	constituents with its residents on this issue, have they
17	Mr. Swan, you're still under oath. Mr. Quinn, you may	17	expressed concerns about road motorists or aggressive
18	proceed with your redirect.	18	motorists trying to run the gates in this location?
19	MR. QUINN: I'm not sure whether actually UTC	19	A. They have not.
20	intended to cross before with that procedurally.	20	Q. Okay. Now, currently there are no I'm going to
21	MR. ROBERSON: It seemed like time for cross.	21	refer to them as "mountable curbs" versus "nonmountable
22	So staff has no questions.	22	curbs"; is that fair?
23	MR. QUINN: No questions? Thanks.	23	A. Perfect.
24	////	24	Q. And maybe if we could describe for the Court, so
25	////	25	what is the physical makeup, just of the curb now, that's
	Page 61		Daga 62
			Page 63
1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	1	_
1 2	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. QUINN:	1	Page 03 mountable? Give me the dimensions if you could. A. It's approximately I think a little shy of
			mountable? Give me the dimensions if you could.
2	BY MR. QUINN:	2	mountable? Give me the dimensions if you could. A. It's approximately I think a little shy of
2 3	BY MR. QUINN: Q. Mr. Swan, if we could I'd like to talk a little bit	2 3	mountable? Give me the dimensions if you could.A. It's approximately I think a little shy of12 inches wide domed and about three and a half inches tall,
2 3 4	BY MR. QUINN: Q. Mr. Swan, if we could I'd like to talk a little bit more about the community that's being served at the	2 3 4	 mountable? Give me the dimensions if you could. A. It's approximately I think a little shy of 12 inches wide domed and about three and a half inches tall, made out of a composite, a durable composite rubber with
2 3 4 5	BY MR. QUINN: Q. Mr. Swan, if we could I'd like to talk a little bit more about the community that's being served at the crossing. We touched on it in your cross-examination but	2 3 4 5	 mountable? Give me the dimensions if you could. A. It's approximately I think a little shy of 12 inches wide domed and about three and a half inches tall, made out of a composite, a durable composite rubber with
2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. QUINN: Q. Mr. Swan, if we could I'd like to talk a little bit more about the community that's being served at the crossing. We touched on it in your cross-examination but can you please describe for the Court the community that's	2 3 4 5 6	mountable? Give me the dimensions if you could. A. It's approximately I think a little shy of 12 inches wide domed and about three and a half inches tall, made out of a composite, a durable composite rubber with that are painted yellow and right in those curves is a groove to attach the channelization devices.
2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. QUINN: Q. Mr. Swan, if we could I'd like to talk a little bit more about the community that's being served at the crossing. We touched on it in your cross-examination but can you please describe for the Court the community that's being served and the area that's going to be included in the	2 3 4 5 6 7	 mountable? Give me the dimensions if you could. A. It's approximately I think a little shy of 12 inches wide domed and about three and a half inches tall, made out of a composite, a durable composite rubber with that are painted yellow and right in those curves is a groove to attach the channelization devices. Q. When we talk about channelization devices, we're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. QUINN: Q. Mr. Swan, if we could I'd like to talk a little bit more about the community that's being served at the crossing. We touched on it in your cross-examination but can you please describe for the Court the community that's being served and the area that's going to be included in the quiet zone?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 mountable? Give me the dimensions if you could. A. It's approximately I think a little shy of 12 inches wide domed and about three and a half inches tall, made out of a composite, a durable composite rubber with that are painted yellow and right in those curves is a groove to attach the channelization devices. Q. When we talk about channelization devices, we're talking about those reflective panels that you discussed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. QUINN: Q. Mr. Swan, if we could I'd like to talk a little bit more about the community that's being served at the crossing. We touched on it in your cross-examination but can you please describe for the Court the community that's being served and the area that's going to be included in the quiet zone? A. Yes. It's a no outlet road servicing approximately	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 mountable? Give me the dimensions if you could. A. It's approximately I think a little shy of 12 inches wide domed and about three and a half inches tall, made out of a composite, a durable composite rubber with that are painted yellow and right in those curves is a groove to attach the channelization devices. Q. When we talk about channelization devices, we're talking about those reflective panels that you discussed A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. QUINN: Q. Mr. Swan, if we could I'd like to talk a little bit more about the community that's being served at the crossing. We touched on it in your cross-examination but can you please describe for the Court the community that's being served and the area that's going to be included in the quiet zone? A. Yes. It's a no outlet road servicing approximately 44 residents, all of which are very familiar with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 mountable? Give me the dimensions if you could. A. It's approximately I think a little shy of 12 inches wide domed and about three and a half inches tall, made out of a composite, a durable composite rubber with that are painted yellow and right in those curves is a groove to attach the channelization devices. Q. When we talk about channelization devices, we're talking about those reflective panels that you discussed A. That's correct. Q not only in your pre-file testimony but during
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY MR. QUINN: Q. Mr. Swan, if we could I'd like to talk a little bit more about the community that's being served at the crossing. We touched on it in your cross-examination but can you please describe for the Court the community that's being served and the area that's going to be included in the quiet zone? A. Yes. It's a no outlet road servicing approximately 44 residents, all of which are very familiar with the existing crossing, use it about 160 times a day based on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 mountable? Give me the dimensions if you could. A. It's approximately I think a little shy of 12 inches wide domed and about three and a half inches tall, made out of a composite, a durable composite rubber with that are painted yellow and right in those curves is a groove to attach the channelization devices. Q. When we talk about channelization devices, we're talking about those reflective panels that you discussed A. That's correct. Q not only in your pre-file testimony but during cross-examination?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. QUINN: Q. Mr. Swan, if we could I'd like to talk a little bit more about the community that's being served at the crossing. We touched on it in your cross-examination but can you please describe for the Court the community that's being served and the area that's going to be included in the quiet zone? A. Yes. It's a no outlet road servicing approximately 44 residents, all of which are very familiar with the existing crossing, use it about 160 times a day based on traffic counts and are very aware of the proposed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 mountable? Give me the dimensions if you could. A. It's approximately I think a little shy of 12 inches wide domed and about three and a half inches tall, made out of a composite, a durable composite rubber with that are painted yellow and right in those curves is a groove to attach the channelization devices. Q. When we talk about channelization devices, we're talking about those reflective panels that you discussed A. That's correct. Q not only in your pre-file testimony but during cross-examination? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. QUINN: Q. Mr. Swan, if we could I'd like to talk a little bit more about the community that's being served at the crossing. We touched on it in your cross-examination but can you please describe for the Court the community that's being served and the area that's going to be included in the quiet zone? A. Yes. It's a no outlet road servicing approximately 44 residents, all of which are very familiar with the existing crossing, use it about 160 times a day based on traffic counts and are very aware of the proposed improvement that's under discussion today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 mountable? Give me the dimensions if you could. A. It's approximately I think a little shy of 12 inches wide domed and about three and a half inches tall, made out of a composite, a durable composite rubber with that are painted yellow and right in those curves is a groove to attach the channelization devices. Q. When we talk about channelization devices, we're talking about those reflective panels that you discussed A. That's correct. Q not only in your pre-file testimony but during cross-examination? A. That's correct. Q. So this is an added feature on top of the curb
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 BY MR. QUINN: Q. Mr. Swan, if we could I'd like to talk a little bit more about the community that's being served at the crossing. We touched on it in your cross-examination but can you please describe for the Court the community that's being served and the area that's going to be included in the quiet zone? A. Yes. It's a no outlet road servicing approximately 44 residents, all of which are very familiar with the existing crossing, use it about 160 times a day based on traffic counts and are very aware of the proposed improvement that's under discussion today. Q. And why do you say that the residents of this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 mountable? Give me the dimensions if you could. A. It's approximately I think a little shy of 12 inches wide domed and about three and a half inches tall, made out of a composite, a durable composite rubber with that are painted yellow and right in those curves is a groove to attach the channelization devices. Q. When we talk about channelization devices, we're talking about those reflective panels that you discussed A. That's correct. Q not only in your pre-file testimony but during cross-examination? A. That's correct. Q. So this is an added feature on top of the curb A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 BY MR. QUINN: Q. Mr. Swan, if we could I'd like to talk a little bit more about the community that's being served at the crossing. We touched on it in your cross-examination but can you please describe for the Court the community that's being served and the area that's going to be included in the quiet zone? A. Yes. It's a no outlet road servicing approximately 44 residents, all of which are very familiar with the existing crossing, use it about 160 times a day based on traffic counts and are very aware of the proposed improvement that's under discussion today. Q. And why do you say that the residents of this community are very familiar with the proposed improvements? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 mountable? Give me the dimensions if you could. A. It's approximately I think a little shy of 12 inches wide domed and about three and a half inches tall, made out of a composite, a durable composite rubber with that are painted yellow and right in those curves is a groove to attach the channelization devices. Q. When we talk about channelization devices, we're talking about those reflective panels that you discussed A. That's correct. Q not only in your pre-file testimony but during cross-examination? A. That's correct. Q. So this is an added feature on top of the curb A. That's correct. Q that's mounted to the ground. And then how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 BY MR. QUINN: Q. Mr. Swan, if we could I'd like to talk a little bit more about the community that's being served at the crossing. We touched on it in your cross-examination but can you please describe for the Court the community that's being served and the area that's going to be included in the quiet zone? A. Yes. It's a no outlet road servicing approximately 44 residents, all of which are very familiar with the existing crossing, use it about 160 times a day based on traffic counts and are very aware of the proposed improvement that's under discussion today. Q. And why do you say that the residents of this community are very familiar with the proposed improvements? A. So this was initiated by that small proactive 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 mountable? Give me the dimensions if you could. A. It's approximately I think a little shy of 12 inches wide domed and about three and a half inches tall, made out of a composite, a durable composite rubber with that are painted yellow and right in those curves is a groove to attach the channelization devices. Q. When we talk about channelization devices, we're talking about those reflective panels that you discussed A. That's correct. Q not only in your pre-file testimony but during cross-examination? A. That's correct. Q. So this is an added feature on top of the curb A. That's correct. Q that's mounted to the ground. And then how many how many intervals or at what intervals are these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 BY MR. QUINN: Q. Mr. Swan, if we could I'd like to talk a little bit more about the community that's being served at the crossing. We touched on it in your cross-examination but can you please describe for the Court the community that's being served and the area that's going to be included in the quiet zone? A. Yes. It's a no outlet road servicing approximately 44 residents, all of which are very familiar with the existing crossing, use it about 160 times a day based on traffic counts and are very aware of the proposed improvement that's under discussion today. Q. And why do you say that the residents of this community are very familiar with the proposed improvements? A. So this was initiated by that small proactive community addressing our council and requesting assistance 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 mountable? Give me the dimensions if you could. A. It's approximately I think a little shy of 12 inches wide domed and about three and a half inches tall, made out of a composite, a durable composite rubber with that are painted yellow and right in those curves is a groove to attach the channelization devices. Q. When we talk about channelization devices, we're talking about those reflective panels that you discussed A. That's correct. Q not only in your pre-file testimony but during cross-examination? A. That's correct. Q. So this is an added feature on top of the curb A. That's correct. Q that's mounted to the ground. And then how many how many intervals or at what intervals are these panels posted on top of them?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 BY MR. QUINN: Q. Mr. Swan, if we could I'd like to talk a little bit more about the community that's being served at the crossing. We touched on it in your cross-examination but can you please describe for the Court the community that's being served and the area that's going to be included in the quiet zone? A. Yes. It's a no outlet road servicing approximately 44 residents, all of which are very familiar with the existing crossing, use it about 160 times a day based on traffic counts and are very aware of the proposed improvement that's under discussion today. Q. And why do you say that the residents of this community are very familiar with the proposed improvements? A. So this was initiated by that small proactive community addressing our council and requesting assistance on creating a quiet zone at that crossing. They were 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 mountable? Give me the dimensions if you could. A. It's approximately I think a little shy of 12 inches wide domed and about three and a half inches tall, made out of a composite, a durable composite rubber with that are painted yellow and right in those curves is a groove to attach the channelization devices. Q. When we talk about channelization devices, we're talking about those reflective panels that you discussed A. That's correct. Q. or not only in your pre-file testimony but during cross-examination? A. That's correct. Q. So this is an added feature on top of the curb A. That's correct. Q that's mounted to the ground. And then how many how many intervals or at what intervals are these panels posted on top of them? A. I want to say 44 inches, I believe it's 44 inches.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 BY MR. QUINN: Q. Mr. Swan, if we could I'd like to talk a little bit more about the community that's being served at the crossing. We touched on it in your cross-examination but can you please describe for the Court the community that's being served and the area that's going to be included in the quiet zone? A. Yes. It's a no outlet road servicing approximately 44 residents, all of which are very familiar with the existing crossing, use it about 160 times a day based on traffic counts and are very aware of the proposed improvement that's under discussion today. Q. And why do you say that the residents of this community are very familiar with the proposed improvements? A. So this was initiated by that small proactive community addressing our council and requesting assistance on creating a quiet zone at that crossing. They were actually willing to pay for those costs or a portion of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 mountable? Give me the dimensions if you could. A. It's approximately I think a little shy of 12 inches wide domed and about three and a half inches tall, made out of a composite, a durable composite rubber with that are painted yellow and right in those curves is a groove to attach the channelization devices. Q. When we talk about channelization devices, we're talking about those reflective panels that you discussed A. That's correct. Q. or not only in your pre-file testimony but during cross-examination? A. That's correct. Q. So this is an added feature on top of the curb A. That's correct. Q that's mounted to the ground. And then how many how many intervals or at what intervals are these panels posted on top of them? A. I want to say 44 inches, I believe it's 44 inches. Q. And how tall are these panels?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 BY MR. QUINN: Q. Mr. Swan, if we could I'd like to talk a little bit more about the community that's being served at the crossing. We touched on it in your cross-examination but can you please describe for the Court the community that's being served and the area that's going to be included in the quiet zone? A. Yes. It's a no outlet road servicing approximately 44 residents, all of which are very familiar with the existing crossing, use it about 160 times a day based on traffic counts and are very aware of the proposed improvement that's under discussion today. Q. And why do you say that the residents of this community are very familiar with the proposed improvements? A. So this was initiated by that small proactive community addressing our council and requesting assistance on creating a quiet zone at that crossing. They were actually willing to pay for those costs or a portion of those costs and by them bringing this to counsel we have 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 mountable? Give me the dimensions if you could. A. It's approximately I think a little shy of 12 inches wide domed and about three and a half inches tall, made out of a composite, a durable composite rubber with that are painted yellow and right in those curves is a groove to attach the channelization devices. Q. When we talk about channelization devices, we're talking about those reflective panels that you discussed A. That's correct. Q. or not only in your pre-file testimony but during cross-examination? A. That's correct. Q. So this is an added feature on top of the curb A. That's correct. Q that's mounted to the ground. And then how many how many intervals or at what intervals are these panels posted on top of them? A. I want to say 44 inches, I believe it's 44 inches. Q. And how tall are these panels? A. I can tell you exactly how they are. They're 34
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 BY MR. QUINN: Q. Mr. Swan, if we could I'd like to talk a little bit more about the community that's being served at the crossing. We touched on it in your cross-examination but can you please describe for the Court the community that's being served and the area that's going to be included in the quiet zone? A. Yes. It's a no outlet road servicing approximately 44 residents, all of which are very familiar with the existing crossing, use it about 160 times a day based on traffic counts and are very aware of the proposed improvement that's under discussion today. Q. And why do you say that the residents of this community are very familiar with the proposed improvements? A. So this was initiated by that small proactive community addressing our council and requesting assistance on creating a quiet zone at that crossing. They were actually willing to pay for those costs or a portion of those costs and by them bringing this to counsel we have moved to the stage of the process. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 mountable? Give me the dimensions if you could. A. It's approximately I think a little shy of 12 inches wide domed and about three and a half inches tall, made out of a composite, a durable composite rubber with that are painted yellow and right in those curves is a groove to attach the channelization devices. Q. When we talk about channelization devices, we're talking about those reflective panels that you discussed A. That's correct. Q not only in your pre-file testimony but during cross-examination? A. That's correct. Q. So this is an added feature on top of the curb A. That's correct. Q that's mounted to the ground. And then how many how many intervals or at what intervals are these panels posted on top of them? A. I want to say 44 inches, I believe it's 44 inches. Q. And how tall are these panels? A. I can tell you exactly how they are. They're 34 plus 5 they're 41 inches tall.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 BY MR. QUINN: Q. Mr. Swan, if we could I'd like to talk a little bit more about the community that's being served at the crossing. We touched on it in your cross-examination but can you please describe for the Court the community that's being served and the area that's going to be included in the quiet zone? A. Yes. It's a no outlet road servicing approximately 44 residents, all of which are very familiar with the existing crossing, use it about 160 times a day based on traffic counts and are very aware of the proposed improvement that's under discussion today. Q. And why do you say that the residents of this community are very familiar with the proposed improvements? A. So this was initiated by that small proactive community addressing our council and requesting assistance on creating a quiet zone at that crossing. They were actually willing to pay for those costs or a portion of those costs and by them bringing this to counsel we have moved to the stage of the process. Q. And fair to say – strike that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 mountable? Give me the dimensions if you could. A. It's approximately I think a little shy of 12 inches wide domed and about three and a half inches tall, made out of a composite, a durable composite rubber with that are painted yellow and right in those curves is a groove to attach the channelization devices. Q. When we talk about channelization devices, we're talking about those reflective panels that you discussed A. That's correct. Q not only in your pre-file testimony but during cross-examination? A. That's correct. Q. So this is an added feature on top of the curb A. That's correct. Q that's mounted to the ground. And then how many how many intervals or at what intervals are these panels posted on top of them? A. I want to say 44 inches, I believe it's 44 inches. Q. And how tall are these panels? A. I can tell you exactly how they are. They're 34 plus 5 they're 41 inches tall. Q. Okay. So it's the 41 inches plus the three inches
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 BY MR. QUINN: Q. Mr. Swan, if we could I'd like to talk a little bit more about the community that's being served at the crossing. We touched on it in your cross-examination but can you please describe for the Court the community that's being served and the area that's going to be included in the quiet zone? A. Yes. It's a no outlet road servicing approximately 44 residents, all of which are very familiar with the existing crossing, use it about 160 times a day based on traffic counts and are very aware of the proposed improvement that's under discussion today. Q. And why do you say that the residents of this community are very familiar with the proposed improvements? A. So this was initiated by that small proactive community addressing our council and requesting assistance on creating a quiet zone at that crossing. They were actually willing to pay for those costs or a portion of those costs and by them bringing this to counsel we have moved to the stage of the process. Q. And fair to say - strike that. And this is the only crossing in the proposed quiet 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 mountable? Give me the dimensions if you could. A. It's approximately I think a little shy of 12 inches wide domed and about three and a half inches tall, made out of a composite, a durable composite rubber with that are painted yellow and right in those curves is a groove to attach the channelization devices. Q. When we talk about channelization devices, we're talking about those reflective panels that you discussed A. That's correct. Q not only in your pre-file testimony but during cross-examination? A. That's correct. Q. So this is an added feature on top of the curb A. That's correct. Q that's mounted to the ground. And then how many how many intervals or at what intervals are these panels posted on top of them? A. I want to say 44 inches, I believe it's 44 inches. Q. And how tall are these panels? A. I can tell you exactly how they are. They're 34 plus 5 they're 41 inches tall. Q. Okay. So it's the 41 inches plus the three inches give or take maybe a couple inches because

13 (Pages 60 to 63)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

	Page 64	Page	66
1	assessment? What's it serve to do?	1 crossings with very specific needs and you can choose from	
2	A. Physical determent for circumventing the gates and	2 several.	
3	provide safer crossing based on some risk index numbers.	3 Q. And I know you discussed this on cross and we	
4	Q. And that's recognized by the federal rule, I'll	4 focused on the curbs as SSMs. They have to be coupled of	of
5	refer to the FRA's final rule and I should have said that,	5 course with gates at the crossing, correct?	
6	the final rule that's 22 excuse me 49 CFR 222, what's	6 A. That's correct.	
7	been previously been admitted into evidence. That's	7 Q. And those gates already exist, that's not an issue?	
8	considered an approved channelization device for purposes of	8 A. That's correct.	
9	applying for quiet zone and reducing QZRs, correct?	9 Q. Okay. What's another SSM that the FRA approves for	or
10	A. It's approved supplemental safety measure based on	10 purposes of establishing a quiet zone?	
11	the final code.	11 A. Four quadrant gates, four quadrant gates with	
12	Q. Right. Now, let's talk about what the railroad	12 medians closing the road.	
13	BNSF would like you to install. Now, that's a nonmountable	13 Q. Okay.	
14	curb, correct?	A. Making it a private crossing when they have other	
15	A. Yeah, theoretically, yes.	15 rules they follow, yeah.	
16	Q. Theoretically a nonmountable curb. Describe that	16 Q. Let's talk about the four quadrant gates. So right	
17	physically, if you would?	17 now there's just one gate on either side and I assume the	
18	A. It's approximately 12 inches wide with near	18 four quadrant gates mean two gates on each side so that of	cars
19	vertical faces six inches tall.	are deterred from crossing because there's two barriers?	
20	Q. Okay. And then what goes on does any	A. That's correct.	
21	channelization devices, any reflective panels go on top of	Q. The whole crossing is blocked off; is that correct?	
22	that?	A. Essentially, yes.	
23	A. BNSF is requiring no reflective channelization	23 Q. Who and where are those gates located if	
24	devices.	24 installed?	
25	Q. They're not requiring them?	A. Opposite the existing gates.	
	Page 65	Page	<u> </u>
		Idge	6/
1			
1 2	 A. They're not requiring that. Q. Okay. So what's the purpose and I believe 	1 Q. Okay. Who is responsible for those installations	
	 A. They're not requiring that. Q. Okay. So what's the purpose and I believe 	1 Q. Okay. Who is responsible for those installations	
2	A. They're not requiring that.	1Q. Okay. Who is responsible for those installations2A. Within BNSF's right of way then BNSF would be	s?
2 3	 A. They're not requiring that. Q. Okay. So what's the purpose and I believe correct me if I'm wrong, I believe that's referred to, this 	 Q. Okay. Who is responsible for those installations A. Within BNSF's right of way then BNSF would be responsible for installation. Q. So County is responsible for the approach to road 	s? ad
2 3 4	 A. They're not requiring that. Q. Okay. So what's the purpose and I believe correct me if I'm wrong, I believe that's referred to, this type of nonmountable curb is referred to in the rule, final 	 Q. Okay. Who is responsible for those installations A. Within BNSF's right of way then BNSF would be responsible for installation. Q. So County is responsible for the approach to road 	s? ad ble
2 3 4 5	 A. They're not requiring that. Q. Okay. So what's the purpose and I believe correct me if I'm wrong, I believe that's referred to, this type of nonmountable curb is referred to in the rule, final rule as a "non-traversable curb"; is that your 	 Q. Okay. Who is responsible for those installations A. Within BNSF's right of way then BNSF would be responsible for installation. Q. So County is responsible for the approach to road leading to the tracks and then the railroad is responsible 	s? ad ble
2 3 4 5 6	 A. They're not requiring that. Q. Okay. So what's the purpose and I believe correct me if I'm wrong, I believe that's referred to, this type of nonmountable curb is referred to in the rule, final rule as a "non-traversable curb"; is that your understanding? 	 Q. Okay. Who is responsible for those installations A. Within BNSF's right of way then BNSF would be responsible for installation. Q. So County is responsible for the approach to roal leading to the tracks and then the railroad is responsible basically for the tracks and probably a parallel area on 	s? ad ble
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. They're not requiring that. Q. Okay. So what's the purpose and I believe correct me if I'm wrong, I believe that's referred to, this type of nonmountable curb is referred to in the rule, final rule as a "non-traversable curb"; is that your understanding? A. Yes, I believe so. 	 Q. Okay. Who is responsible for those installations A. Within BNSF's right of way then BNSF would be responsible for installation. Q. So County is responsible for the approach to road leading to the tracks and then the railroad is responsible basically for the tracks and probably a parallel area on either side? I'm not sure how far it extends, but 	s? ad ble
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. They're not requiring that. Q. Okay. So what's the purpose and I believe correct me if I'm wrong, I believe that's referred to, this type of nonmountable curb is referred to in the rule, final rule as a "non-traversable curb"; is that your understanding? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. Okay. And what's the purpose of a non-traversable 	 Q. Okay. Who is responsible for those installations A. Within BNSF's right of way then BNSF would be responsible for installation. Q. So County is responsible for the approach to road leading to the tracks and then the railroad is responsible basically for the tracks and probably a parallel area on either side? I'm not sure how far it extends, but A. Yes, that's correct. 	s? ad ble
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. They're not requiring that. Q. Okay. So what's the purpose and I believe correct me if I'm wrong, I believe that's referred to, this type of nonmountable curb is referred to in the rule, final rule as a "non-traversable curb"; is that your understanding? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. Okay. And what's the purpose of a non-traversable curb? 	 Q. Okay. Who is responsible for those installations A. Within BNSF's right of way then BNSF would be responsible for installation. Q. So County is responsible for the approach to roa leading to the tracks and then the railroad is responsible basically for the tracks and probably a parallel area on either side? I'm not sure how far it extends, but A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Now, those four quadrant gates, does that have 	s? ad ble
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. They're not requiring that. Q. Okay. So what's the purpose and I believe correct me if I'm wrong, I believe that's referred to, this type of nonmountable curb is referred to in the rule, final rule as a "non-traversable curb"; is that your understanding? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. Okay. And what's the purpose of a non-traversable curb? A. To deter motorists from circumventing the gate. 	 Q. Okay. Who is responsible for those installations A. Within BNSF's right of way then BNSF would be responsible for installation. Q. So County is responsible for the approach to road leading to the tracks and then the railroad is responsible basically for the tracks and probably a parallel area on either side? I'm not sure how far it extends, but A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Now, those four quadrant gates, does that have a does that reduce the risk index? 	s? ad ble
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. They're not requiring that. Q. Okay. So what's the purpose and I believe correct me if I'm wrong, I believe that's referred to, this type of nonmountable curb is referred to in the rule, final rule as a "non-traversable curb"; is that your understanding? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. Okay. And what's the purpose of a non-traversable curb? A. To deter motorists from circumventing the gate. Q. It's actually not to prevent them from crossing 	1Q. Okay. Who is responsible for those installations2A. Within BNSF's right of way then BNSF would be3responsible for installation.4Q. So County is responsible for the approach to road5leading to the tracks and then the railroad is responsible6basically for the tracks and probably a parallel area on7either side? I'm not sure how far it extends, but8A. Yes, that's correct.9Q. Now, those four quadrant gates, does that have a does that reduce the risk index?11A. It does, yes.	s? ad ble
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. They're not requiring that. Q. Okay. So what's the purpose and I believe correct me if I'm wrong, I believe that's referred to, this type of nonmountable curb is referred to in the rule, final rule as a "non-traversable curb"; is that your understanding? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. Okay. And what's the purpose of a non-traversable curb? A. To deter motorists from circumventing the gate. Q. It's actually not to prevent them from crossing lanes, is it? 	 Q. Okay. Who is responsible for those installations A. Within BNSF's right of way then BNSF would be responsible for installation. Q. So County is responsible for the approach to roa leading to the tracks and then the railroad is responsible basically for the tracks and probably a parallel area on either side? I'm not sure how far it extends, but A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Now, those four quadrant gates, does that have does that reduce the risk index? A. It does, yes. Q. Do you know off the top of your head how 	s? ad ble
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. They're not requiring that. Q. Okay. So what's the purpose and I believe correct me if I'm wrong, I believe that's referred to, this type of nonmountable curb is referred to in the rule, final rule as a "non-traversable curb"; is that your understanding? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. Okay. And what's the purpose of a non-traversable curb? A. To deter motorists from circumventing the gate. Q. It's actually not to prevent them from crossing lanes, is it? A. It's to deter them. 	 Q. Okay. Who is responsible for those installations A. Within BNSF's right of way then BNSF would be responsible for installation. Q. So County is responsible for the approach to road leading to the tracks and then the railroad is responsible basically for the tracks and probably a parallel area on either side? I'm not sure how far it extends, but A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Now, those four quadrant gates, does that have a does that reduce the risk index? A. It does, yes. Q. Do you know off the top of your head how significant 	s? ad ble
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. They're not requiring that. Q. Okay. So what's the purpose and I believe correct me if I'm wrong, I believe that's referred to, this type of nonmountable curb is referred to in the rule, final rule as a "non-traversable curb"; is that your understanding? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. Okay. And what's the purpose of a non-traversable curb? A. To deter motorists from circumventing the gate. Q. It's actually not to prevent them from crossing lanes, is it? A. It's to deter them. Q. So it serves the same purpose as the mountable curb 	 Q. Okay. Who is responsible for those installations A. Within BNSF's right of way then BNSF would be responsible for installation. Q. So County is responsible for the approach to road leading to the tracks and then the railroad is responsible basically for the tracks and probably a parallel area on either side? I'm not sure how far it extends, but A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Now, those four quadrant gates, does that have and does that reduce the risk index? A. It does, yes. Q. Do you know off the top of your head how significant A. I think it's 77 percent but I'm not sure. There's other factors that come into play with continued some type of system that's a type of technology that's built into 	s? ad ble
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. They're not requiring that. Q. Okay. So what's the purpose and I believe correct me if I'm wrong, I believe that's referred to, this type of nonmountable curb is referred to in the rule, final rule as a "non-traversable curb"; is that your understanding? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. Okay. And what's the purpose of a non-traversable curb? A. To deter motorists from circumventing the gate. Q. It's actually not to prevent them from crossing lanes, is it? A. It's to deter them. Q. So it serves the same purpose as the mountable curb that the County's proposing; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And so I'm clear, the County is going to be 	 Q. Okay. Who is responsible for those installations A. Within BNSF's right of way then BNSF would be responsible for installation. Q. So County is responsible for the approach to roa leading to the tracks and then the railroad is responsible basically for the tracks and probably a parallel area on either side? I'm not sure how far it extends, but A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Now, those four quadrant gates, does that have does that reduce the risk index? A. It does, yes. Q. Do you know off the top of your head how significant A. I think it's 77 percent but I'm not sure. There's other factors that come into play with continued some type of system that's a type of technology that's built into the railroad system that affects that effectiveness rating, 	s? ad ble 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. They're not requiring that. Q. Okay. So what's the purpose and I believe correct me if I'm wrong, I believe that's referred to, this type of nonmountable curb is referred to in the rule, final rule as a "non-traversable curb"; is that your understanding? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. Okay. And what's the purpose of a non-traversable curb? A. To deter motorists from circumventing the gate. Q. It's actually not to prevent them from crossing lanes, is it? A. It's to deter them. Q. So it serves the same purpose as the mountable curb that the County's proposing; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And so I'm clear, the County is going to be incurring the cost for the installation of these SSMs, 	 Q. Okay. Who is responsible for those installations A. Within BNSF's right of way then BNSF would be responsible for installation. Q. So County is responsible for the approach to road leading to the tracks and then the railroad is responsible basically for the tracks and probably a parallel area on either side? I'm not sure how far it extends, but A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Now, those four quadrant gates, does that have a does that reduce the risk index? A. It does, yes. Q. Do you know off the top of your head how significant A. I think it's 77 percent but I'm not sure. There's other factors that come into play with continued some type of system that's a type of technology that's built into the railroad system that affects that effectiveness rating, and coupled with channelization devices or curb medians of 	s? ad ble 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. They're not requiring that. Q. Okay. So what's the purpose and I believe correct me if I'm wrong, I believe that's referred to, this type of nonmountable curb is referred to in the rule, final rule as a "non-traversable curb"; is that your understanding? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. Okay. And what's the purpose of a non-traversable curb? A. To deter motorists from circumventing the gate. Q. It's actually not to prevent them from crossing lanes, is it? A. It's to deter them. Q. So it serves the same purpose as the mountable curb that the County's proposing; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And so I'm clear, the County is going to be incurring the cost for the installation of these SSMs, correct? 	 Q. Okay. Who is responsible for those installations A. Within BNSF's right of way then BNSF would be responsible for installation. Q. So County is responsible for the approach to road leading to the tracks and then the railroad is responsible basically for the tracks and probably a parallel area on either side? I'm not sure how far it extends, but A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Now, those four quadrant gates, does that have a does that reduce the risk index? A. It does, yes. Q. Do you know off the top of your head how significant A. I think it's 77 percent but I'm not sure. There's other factors that come into play with continued some type of system that's a type of technology that's built into the railroad system that affects that effectiveness rating, and coupled with channelization devices or curb medians of 	s? ad ble h
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. They're not requiring that. Q. Okay. So what's the purpose and I believe correct me if I'm wrong, I believe that's referred to, this type of nonmountable curb is referred to in the rule, final rule as a "non-traversable curb"; is that your understanding? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. Okay. And what's the purpose of a non-traversable curb? A. To deter motorists from circumventing the gate. Q. It's actually not to prevent them from crossing lanes, is it? A. It's to deter them. Q. So it serves the same purpose as the mountable curb that the County's proposing; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And so I'm clear, the County is going to be incurring the cost for the installation of these SSMs, correct? A. That's correct. 	 Q. Okay. Who is responsible for those installations A. Within BNSF's right of way then BNSF would be responsible for installation. Q. So County is responsible for the approach to road leading to the tracks and then the railroad is responsible basically for the tracks and probably a parallel area on either side? I'm not sure how far it extends, but A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Now, those four quadrant gates, does that have a does that reduce the risk index? A. It does, yes. Q. Do you know off the top of your head how significant A. I think it's 77 percent but I'm not sure. There's other factors that come into play with continued some type of system that's a type of technology that's built into the railroad system that affects that effectiveness rating, and coupled with channelization devices or curb medians of either type it goes up to 92 percent. Q. Sure. So there's so we can actually make this 	s? ad ble h
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. They're not requiring that. Q. Okay. So what's the purpose and I believe correct me if I'm wrong, I believe that's referred to, this type of nonmountable curb is referred to in the rule, final rule as a "non-traversable curb"; is that your understanding? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. Okay. And what's the purpose of a non-traversable curb? A. To deter motorists from circumventing the gate. Q. It's actually not to prevent them from crossing lanes, is it? A. It's to deter them. Q. So it serves the same purpose as the mountable curb that the County's proposing; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And so I'm clear, the County is going to be incurring the cost for the installation of these SSMs, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And so I'm clear, the final rule, the FRA's final 	1Q. Okay. Who is responsible for those installations2A. Within BNSF's right of way then BNSF would be3responsible for installation.4Q. So County is responsible for the approach to road5leading to the tracks and then the railroad is responsible6basically for the tracks and probably a parallel area on7either side? I'm not sure how far it extends, but8A. Yes, that's correct.9Q. Now, those four quadrant gates, does that have10does that reduce the risk index?11A. It does, yes.12Q. Do you know off the top of your head how13significant14A. I think it's 77 percent but I'm not sure. There's15other factors that come into play with continued some16type of system that's a type of technology that's built into17the railroad system that affects that effectiveness rating,18and coupled with channelization devices or curb medians of19either type it goes up to 92 percent.20Q. Sure. So there's so we can actually make this21crossing even safer if we want to, right? We could put	s? ad ble h
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. They're not requiring that. Q. Okay. So what's the purpose and I believe correct me if I'm wrong, I believe that's referred to, this type of nonmountable curb is referred to in the rule, final rule as a "non-traversable curb"; is that your understanding? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. Okay. And what's the purpose of a non-traversable curb? A. To deter motorists from circumventing the gate. Q. It's actually not to prevent them from crossing lanes, is it? A. It's to deter them. Q. So it serves the same purpose as the mountable curb that the County's proposing; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And so I'm clear, the County is going to be incurring the cost for the installation of these SSMs, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And so I'm clear, the final rule, the FRA's final rule does not require the County to utilize a specific SSM, 	1Q. Okay. Who is responsible for those installations2A. Within BNSF's right of way then BNSF would be3responsible for installation.4Q. So County is responsible for the approach to road5leading to the tracks and then the railroad is responsible6basically for the tracks and probably a parallel area on7either side? I'm not sure how far it extends, but8A. Yes, that's correct.9Q. Now, those four quadrant gates, does that have10does that reduce the risk index?11A. It does, yes.12Q. Do you know off the top of your head how13significant14A. I think it's 77 percent but I'm not sure. There's15other factors that come into play with continued some16type of system that's a type of technology that's built into17the railroad system that affects that effectiveness rating,18and coupled with channelization devices or curb medians of19either type it goes up to 92 percent.20Q. Sure. So there's so we can actually make this21crossing even safer if we want to, right? We could put22quadrant gates; is that right?	s? ad ble h
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. They're not requiring that. Q. Okay. So what's the purpose and I believe correct me if I'm wrong, I believe that's referred to, this type of nonmountable curb is referred to in the rule, final rule as a "non-traversable curb"; is that your understanding? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. Okay. And what's the purpose of a non-traversable curb? A. To deter motorists from circumventing the gate. Q. It's actually not to prevent them from crossing lanes, is it? A. It's to deter them. Q. So it serves the same purpose as the mountable curb that the County's proposing; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And so I'm clear, the County is going to be incurring the cost for the installation of these SSMs, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And so I'm clear, the final rule, the FRA's final rule does not require the County to utilize a specific SSM, only that it use an approved SSM; is that correct? 	 Q. Okay. Who is responsible for those installations A. Within BNSF's right of way then BNSF would be responsible for installation. Q. So County is responsible for the approach to road leading to the tracks and then the railroad is responsible basically for the tracks and probably a parallel area on either side? I'm not sure how far it extends, but A. Yes, that's correct. Q. Now, those four quadrant gates, does that have does that reduce the risk index? A. It does, yes. Q. Do you know off the top of your head how significant A. I think it's 77 percent but I'm not sure. There's other factors that come into play with continued some type of system that's a type of technology that's built into the railroad system that affects that effectiveness rating, and coupled with channelization devices or curb medians of either type it goes up to 92 percent. Q. Sure. So there's so we can actually make this crossing even safer if we want to, right? We could put quadrant gates; is that right? A. Yes. 	s? ad ble h
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. They're not requiring that. Q. Okay. So what's the purpose and I believe correct me if I'm wrong, I believe that's referred to, this type of nonmountable curb is referred to in the rule, final rule as a "non-traversable curb"; is that your understanding? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. Okay. And what's the purpose of a non-traversable curb? A. To deter motorists from circumventing the gate. Q. It's actually not to prevent them from crossing lanes, is it? A. It's to deter them. Q. So it serves the same purpose as the mountable curb that the County's proposing; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And so I'm clear, the County is going to be incurring the cost for the installation of these SSMs, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And so I'm clear, the final rule, the FRA's final rule does not require the County to utilize a specific SSM, 	1Q. Okay. Who is responsible for those installations2A. Within BNSF's right of way then BNSF would be3responsible for installation.4Q. So County is responsible for the approach to road5leading to the tracks and then the railroad is responsible6basically for the tracks and probably a parallel area on7either side? I'm not sure how far it extends, but8A. Yes, that's correct.9Q. Now, those four quadrant gates, does that have10does that reduce the risk index?11A. It does, yes.12Q. Do you know off the top of your head how13significant14A. I think it's 77 percent but I'm not sure. There's15other factors that come into play with continued some16type of system that's a type of technology that's built into17the railroad system that affects that effectiveness rating,18and coupled with channelization devices or curb medians of19either type it goes up to 92 percent.20Q. Sure. So there's so we can actually make this21crossing even safer if we want to, right? We could put22quadrant gates; is that right?	s? ad ble h

14 (Pages 64 to 67)

	Page 68		Page 70
1	A. Yes.	1	Threshold?
2	MS. ENDRES: I'm going to object to the term	2	A. That's right.
3	"responsible" to the extent we're getting into legal	3	Q. And the Nationwide Significant Risk Threshold at
4	opinions about cost sharing regulations and FRA preemption	4	this crossing that you've discussed is 14,723, that was your
5	issues and I think we're going afield of what's been	5	testimony, your pre-file testimony and also your response on
6	submitted in the pre-filed testimony or raised in the	6	cross; is that correct?
7	cross-exam.	7	A. That's correct.
8	JUDGE PEARSON: Do you want to rephrase your	8	Q. Okay. What is the current QZRI; in other words,
9	question?	9	what is the current risk index without horns, right, because
10	MR. QUINN: Sure, sure.	10	that's what the QZRI is the risk index without horns, at
11	BY MR. QUINN:	11	Cliffside Drive?
12	Q. Could the railroad install quadrant gates at this	12	A. That is 14,562.45.
13	crossing?	13	Q. So that is currently and that's with an ADT of
14	A. Yes, they can.	14	how many?
15	MS. ENDRES: And Your Honor, I apologize but	15	A. 324.
16	same objection about UTC regulation and laws. I'm not sure	16	Q. And that was based on a traffic study; is that
17	that Mr. Swan has the appropriate foundation to be	17	correct?
18	testifying about cost allocation, installation and that	18	A. Yes.
19	process with the UTC for the four quadrant gates.	19	Q. That was conducted when?
20	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I understand your	20	A. When was that conducted? Starting the week of
21	objection. I'm going to allow it insofar as I think	21	January 5th.
22	Mr. Quinn is trying to establish that there are other SSMs	22	Q. Okay. And did you comply with the requirements of
23	available at the crossing and I'll ignore anything that has	23	a proper traffic study?
24	to do with cost allocation.	24	A. We did a seven-day traffic study. I think these
25	BY MR. QUINN:	25	are used for different things, yes.
	Page 69		Page 71
1	Q. And has BNSF asked that the County install quadrant	1	Q. Are you confident that the ADT you utilized is an
2	gates	2	accurate depiction of the trips for that community?
3	A. No.	3	A. Through this week, yes, I would say based on
4	Q. – at Cliffside? A. No.	5	interpreting this data I would say it's less than that
5 6		6	actually because there's some skewed amounts in there.
7	Q. Has the has BNSF asked that you install medians to the approaches for Cliffside Drive? Medians, not curbs	7	Q. "Skewed amounts," in other words, there was some additional trips because there were there was an estate
8	as we've discussed	8	sale in the community on one of the days that you took data?
9	A. Okay.	9	A. Yes, that's correct.
10	Q but medians as described in the rule?	10	Q. And so if the current QZRI is below the Nationwide
11	A. No.	11	Significant Risk Threshold, and let me clarify, under the
12	Q. Okay. Has BNSF suggested using wayside horns as an	12	rule you don't have to install any SSMs; is that correct?
	additional protection in this quiet zone?	13	
13 14	A. No.	14	 A. That's correct. Q. Which means you wouldn't have to petition the UTC
14	Q. Are wayside horns something that could make the	15	for the installation of these mountable curbs; is that
16	crossing safer also?	16	correct?
17	A. I'm not usually familiar on the effectiveness	17	A. That's correct.
	rating and how that reduces risk, but so I don't know.	18	Q. So why are we doing this?
18 19	Q. Okay. I'd like there was a lot of discussion	19	A. To reduce the risk index below the Risk Index With
20	about the calculations. What I'd like you to do let's	20	Horns as it currently exists and make the crossing
20	just take this from the beginning.	20	ultimately safer than it stands now with the horns sounding.
21	For the County to establish a quiet zone, the QZRI,	22	Q. Okay. In your department, the Department of Public
23	right?	23	Works for Whatcom County, who are your clients? Who are you
24	A. Sure.	24	primarily concerned with?
25	Q. Has to be below the Nationwide Significant Risk	25	A. The Whatcom County citizens.
2.5	S. The to be before the nationalite orginiteant (15K	1	. The matter county on Long.

15 (Pages 68 to 71)

	Page 72		Page 74
1	Q. These residents in particular as it applies to your	1	Drive?
2	application?	2	A. Both of them significantly reduce the risk based on
3	A. That's right.	3	these numbers, yes.
4	Q. And do you think that this decision to get well	4	Q. So regardless of what the Commission decides, this
5	below not just the QZRI but the current Risk Index With	5	is going to be a significantly safer crossing than it was or
6	Horns is in your community's best interest?	6	than it currently is
7	A. I do, and I believe the community feels that way	7	A. Yes.
8	because they're they've been with us the whole process	8	Q going forward; is that fair to say?
9	and they're astutely aware of the improvements that are	9	A. Yes.
10	proposed there and how the crossing will change.	10	MR. QUINN: I have no further questions.
11	Q. So the current QZRI, which is below the Nationwide	11	Thank you.
12	Significant Risk Threshold, is 13,837. What is the risk	12	JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. I have a couple
13	index currently with horns?	13	of questions for you, Mr. Swan, and let me know if they
14	A. 8,730.	14	would more appropriately be addressed to either one of
15	Q. I'm sorry. Can you say that one more time, 8	15	BNSF's witnesses or Ms. Young. This is just for our
16	A. 8,730.49.	16	informational purposes.
17	Q. So that is with horns and no additional SSMs?	17	Judge Chartoff and I did visit the crossing
18	A. That's correct.	18	yesterday, drove across it, drove through the neighborhood,
19	Q. And how long have we been operating under that	19	and we were curious whether trains travel in both directions
20	under that rule? Because there's a trade horn rule in	20	on that track or only one direction, and if so, which
21	place, right, I think it was	21	direction do they travel?
22	A. Yeah, I'm not entirely sure off the top of my head.	22	THE WITNESS: I would assume they travel both
23	Q. All right. Not a problem. So implementing a	23	directions but BNSF would be better suited to answer that
24	mountable curb with channelization devices reduces that QZR	24	question.
25	by 75 percent; is that correct?	25	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll ask them. And
1	Page 73 A. Yes.	1	Page 75 then this also might not be a question you can answer.
2	Q. Okay. So that gets us to 3,459. Is that well	2	Do you know how much time elapses between the time
3	below the current risk index at that crossing?	3	the flashing lights come on and the gates go down and when
4	A. It's below both the Risk Index With Horns and the	4	the train actually passes the crossing?
5	Nationwide Significant Risk Threshold, yes.	5	THE WITNESS: I don't off the top of my head.
6	Q. Would you agree with me that it's significantly	6	
7	below the current risk index for Cliffside Drive?		JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And so with respect to
	below the current risk index for Cliffside Drive?	7	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And so with respect to the Yacht Club Road crossing that has come up a couple of
8	A. I would agree that it's significantly below, yes.		the Yacht Club Road crossing that has come up a couple of
8 9		7	
	A. I would agree that it's significantly below, yes.	7 8	the Yacht Club Road crossing that has come up a couple of times, I'm interested in hearing both your answer to this
9	A. I would agree that it's significantly below, yes.Q. And in fact if we look at the Nationwide	7 8 9	the Yacht Club Road crossing that has come up a couple of times, I'm interested in hearing both your answer to this and also Ms. Young's as to why did the parties decide that
9 10	 A. I would agree that it's significantly below, yes. Q. And in fact if we look at the Nationwide Significant Risk Threshold of 14,723, what percentage 	7 8 9 10	the Yacht Club Road crossing that has come up a couple of times, I'm interested in hearing both your answer to this and also Ms. Young's as to why did the parties decide that concrete medians were more appropriate at that crossing and
9 10 11	 A. I would agree that it's significantly below, yes. Q. And in fact if we look at the Nationwide Significant Risk Threshold of 14,723, what percentage reduction do we have if the QZRI is knocked down to 3,459? 	7 8 9 10 11	the Yacht Club Road crossing that has come up a couple of times, I'm interested in hearing both your answer to this and also Ms. Young's as to why did the parties decide that concrete medians were more appropriate at that crossing and what's the difference between that crossing and the crossing
9 10 11 12	 A. I would agree that it's significantly below, yes. Q. And in fact if we look at the Nationwide Significant Risk Threshold of 14,723, what percentage reduction do we have if the QZRI is knocked down to 3,459? A. I'm not sure off the top of my head. I don't have 	7 8 9 10 11 12	the Yacht Club Road crossing that has come up a couple of times, I'm interested in hearing both your answer to this and also Ms. Young's as to why did the parties decide that concrete medians were more appropriate at that crossing and what's the difference between that crossing and the crossing at issue here?
9 10 11 12 13	 A. I would agree that it's significantly below, yes. Q. And in fact if we look at the Nationwide Significant Risk Threshold of 14,723, what percentage reduction do we have if the QZRI is knocked down to 3,459? A. I'm not sure off the top of my head. I don't have calculations but it would be over 75 percent. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the Yacht Club Road crossing that has come up a couple of times, I'm interested in hearing both your answer to this and also Ms. Young's as to why did the parties decide that concrete medians were more appropriate at that crossing and what's the difference between that crossing and the crossing at issue here? THE WITNESS: So originally the same thing
9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. I would agree that it's significantly below, yes. Q. And in fact if we look at the Nationwide Significant Risk Threshold of 14,723, what percentage reduction do we have if the QZRI is knocked down to 3,459? A. I'm not sure off the top of my head. I don't have calculations but it would be over 75 percent. Q. Okay. And if we utilize the nonmountable curb, 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the Yacht Club Road crossing that has come up a couple of times, I'm interested in hearing both your answer to this and also Ms. Young's as to why did the parties decide that concrete medians were more appropriate at that crossing and what's the difference between that crossing and the crossing at issue here? THE WITNESS: So originally the same thing was proposed at Yacht Club Road as it was at Cliffside. At
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I would agree that it's significantly below, yes. Q. And in fact if we look at the Nationwide Significant Risk Threshold of 14,723, what percentage reduction do we have if the QZRI is knocked down to 3,459? A. I'm not sure off the top of my head. I don't have calculations but it would be over 75 percent. Q. Okay. And if we utilize the nonmountable curb, again, assuming that the 80 percent reduction is 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the Yacht Club Road crossing that has come up a couple of times, I'm interested in hearing both your answer to this and also Ms. Young's as to why did the parties decide that concrete medians were more appropriate at that crossing and what's the difference between that crossing and the crossing at issue here? THE WITNESS: So originally the same thing was proposed at Yacht Club Road as it was at Cliffside. At the 11th hour kind of prehearing conference I believe it was
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. I would agree that it's significantly below, yes. Q. And in fact if we look at the Nationwide Significant Risk Threshold of 14,723, what percentage reduction do we have if the QZRI is knocked down to 3,459? A. I'm not sure off the top of my head. I don't have calculations but it would be over 75 percent. Q. Okay. And if we utilize the nonmountable curb, again, assuming that the 80 percent reduction is appropriate, what's the difference between what's the 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the Yacht Club Road crossing that has come up a couple of times, I'm interested in hearing both your answer to this and also Ms. Young's as to why did the parties decide that concrete medians were more appropriate at that crossing and what's the difference between that crossing and the crossing at issue here? THE WITNESS: So originally the same thing was proposed at Yacht Club Road as it was at Cliffside. At the 11th hour kind of prehearing conference I believe it was called, we decided to concede to BNSF and install what they
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. I would agree that it's significantly below, yes. Q. And in fact if we look at the Nationwide Significant Risk Threshold of 14,723, what percentage reduction do we have if the QZRI is knocked down to 3,459? A. I'm not sure off the top of my head. I don't have calculations but it would be over 75 percent. Q. Okay. And if we utilize the nonmountable curb, again, assuming that the 80 percent reduction is appropriate, what's the difference between what's the difference or what's the percentage reduction? 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the Yacht Club Road crossing that has come up a couple of times, I'm interested in hearing both your answer to this and also Ms. Young's as to why did the parties decide that concrete medians were more appropriate at that crossing and what's the difference between that crossing and the crossing at issue here? THE WITNESS: So originally the same thing was proposed at Yacht Club Road as it was at Cliffside. At the 11th hour kind of prehearing conference I believe it was called, we decided to concede to BNSF and install what they had what they requested. We did that as a matter of not
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I would agree that it's significantly below, yes. Q. And in fact if we look at the Nationwide Significant Risk Threshold of 14,723, what percentage reduction do we have if the QZRI is knocked down to 3,459? A. I'm not sure off the top of my head. I don't have calculations but it would be over 75 percent. Q. Okay. And if we utilize the nonmountable curb, again, assuming that the 80 percent reduction is appropriate, what's the difference between what's the difference or what's the percentage reduction? A. Between the nonmountable and the Nationwide 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the Yacht Club Road crossing that has come up a couple of times, I'm interested in hearing both your answer to this and also Ms. Young's as to why did the parties decide that concrete medians were more appropriate at that crossing and what's the difference between that crossing and the crossing at issue here? THE WITNESS: So originally the same thing was proposed at Yacht Club Road as it was at Cliffside. At the 11th hour kind of prehearing conference I believe it was called, we decided to concede to BNSF and install what they had what they requested. We did that as a matter of not going this far along in litigation and just thinking that
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. I would agree that it's significantly below, yes. Q. And in fact if we look at the Nationwide Significant Risk Threshold of 14,723, what percentage reduction do we have if the QZRI is knocked down to 3,459? A. I'm not sure off the top of my head. I don't have calculations but it would be over 75 percent. Q. Okay. And if we utilize the nonmountable curb, again, assuming that the 80 percent reduction is appropriate, what's the difference between what's the difference or what's the percentage reduction? A. Between the nonmountable and the Nationwide Significant, well, it would be probably right around 80 or a 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the Yacht Club Road crossing that has come up a couple of times, I'm interested in hearing both your answer to this and also Ms. Young's as to why did the parties decide that concrete medians were more appropriate at that crossing and what's the difference between that crossing and the crossing at issue here? THE WITNESS: So originally the same thing was proposed at Yacht Club Road as it was at Cliffside. At the 11th hour kind of prehearing conference I believe it was called, we decided to concede to BNSF and install what they had what they requested. We did that as a matter of not going this far along in litigation and just thinking that that might be maybe an isolated quiet zone. We had a lot of
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. I would agree that it's significantly below, yes. Q. And in fact if we look at the Nationwide Significant Risk Threshold of 14,723, what percentage reduction do we have if the QZRI is knocked down to 3,459? A. I'm not sure off the top of my head. I don't have calculations but it would be over 75 percent. Q. Okay. And if we utilize the nonmountable curb, again, assuming that the 80 percent reduction is appropriate, what's the difference between what's the difference or what's the percentage reduction? A. Between the nonmountable and the Nationwide Significant, well, it would be probably right around 80 or a little bit more. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the Yacht Club Road crossing that has come up a couple of times, I'm interested in hearing both your answer to this and also Ms. Young's as to why did the parties decide that concrete medians were more appropriate at that crossing and what's the difference between that crossing and the crossing at issue here? THE WITNESS: So originally the same thing was proposed at Yacht Club Road as it was at Cliffside. At the 11th hour kind of prehearing conference I believe it was called, we decided to concede to BNSF and install what they had what they requested. We did that as a matter of not going this far along in litigation and just thinking that that might be maybe an isolated quiet zone. We had a lot of familiarity with any quiet zones. I think there's a handful
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I would agree that it's significantly below, yes. Q. And in fact if we look at the Nationwide Significant Risk Threshold of 14,723, what percentage reduction do we have if the QZRI is knocked down to 3,459? A. I'm not sure off the top of my head. I don't have calculations but it would be over 75 percent. Q. Okay. And if we utilize the nonmountable curb, again, assuming that the 80 percent reduction is appropriate, what's the difference between what's the difference or what's the percentage reduction? A. Between the nonmountable and the Nationwide Significant, well, it would be probably right around 80 or a little bit more. Q. Okay. So we're talking about a 3 or 4 percent reduction? A. No more than 5. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the Yacht Club Road crossing that has come up a couple of times, I'm interested in hearing both your answer to this and also Ms. Young's as to why did the parties decide that concrete medians were more appropriate at that crossing and what's the difference between that crossing and the crossing at issue here? THE WITNESS: So originally the same thing was proposed at Yacht Club Road as it was at Cliffside. At the 11th hour kind of prehearing conference I believe it was called, we decided to concede to BNSF and install what they had what they requested. We did that as a matter of not going this far along in litigation and just thinking that that might be maybe an isolated quiet zone. We had a lot of familiarity with any quiet zones. I think there's a handful in the state and none in Whatcom County well, one in Whatcom County now, but so it was just getting to know that process and I guess we installed what BNSF required at
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I would agree that it's significantly below, yes. Q. And in fact if we look at the Nationwide Significant Risk Threshold of 14,723, what percentage reduction do we have if the QZRI is knocked down to 3,459? A. I'm not sure off the top of my head. I don't have calculations but it would be over 75 percent. Q. Okay. And if we utilize the nonmountable curb, again, assuming that the 80 percent reduction is appropriate, what's the difference between what's the difference or what's the percentage reduction? A. Between the nonmountable and the Nationwide Significant, well, it would be probably right around 80 or a little bit more. Q. Okay. So we're talking about a 3 or 4 percent 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the Yacht Club Road crossing that has come up a couple of times, I'm interested in hearing both your answer to this and also Ms. Young's as to why did the parties decide that concrete medians were more appropriate at that crossing and what's the difference between that crossing and the crossing at issue here? THE WITNESS: So originally the same thing was proposed at Yacht Club Road as it was at Cliffside. At the 11th hour kind of prehearing conference I believe it was called, we decided to concede to BNSF and install what they had what they requested. We did that as a matter of not going this far along in litigation and just thinking that that might be maybe an isolated quiet zone. We had a lot of familiarity with any quiet zones. I think there's a handful in the state and none in Whatcom County well, one in Whatcom County now, but so it was just getting to know

16 (Pages 72 to 75)

	Page 76		Page 78
1	have went through that process then to get the mountable	1	in your mouth so you can rephrase this after my question but
2	medians because we've we've had firsthand concerns by	2	just so I understand clearly UTC's staff's position, is it
3	larger vehicles that use that use that road about	3	staff's position that whatever supplemental safety measures
4	continuous wear and tear on trash vehicles.	4	the County proposes are fine so long as the QZRI is below
5	The fire marshal drove that as a training	5	that national threshold when the crossing is converted to a
6	exercise. I don't know why they chose that road but they	6	quiet zone?
7	chose that road and it was difficult for them to move in and	7	A. Yes.
8	out of that the T intersection to make the corner, and at	8	Q. Does staff agree that to some degree a nonmountable
9	that point in time we changed to the nonmountable and kept	9	median presents a safer alternative supplemental safety
10	the lengths the same. Since then we've submitted a federal	10	measure at this crossing?
11	application to shorten that, the length of that to make that	11	A. Yes, according to the FRA. In the federal rule
12	turning movement easier for all big trucks that use that	12	there's a 5 percent difference in the effectiveness rating.
13	based on the feedback from the community.	13	Q. If the County had proposed installing a
14	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. I think	14	non-traversable system, non-traversable median, concrete
15	that's it. I'll ask those questions to the other witnesses	15	median, is that something the staff would have supported in
16	when they're up here.	16	this case?
17	THE WITNESS: Okay.	17	A. Yes.
18	JUDGE PEARSON: So you are excused. Thank	18	Q. And if the judge orders, based on all the evidence
19	you.	19	presented here, that a nonmountable system is appropriate
20	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Ms. Young, can you please	20	either on one side or both sides of the crossing, is that a
21	come up?	21	decision that staff would support?
22		22	A. Yes.
23	BETTY YOUNG, having been first duly sworn,	23	Q. Was it your predecessor well, strike that.
24	testified as follows:	24	Who on behalf of UTC staff was involved in the
25		25	discourse about the yacht club supplemental safety measures?
	Page 77		Page 79
1	JUDGE CHARTOFF: And please state your name	1	A. A staff person named Bob Boston.
2	and spell your last name for the record.	2	Q. And in that case and I think this is BY-5 and
3	THE WITNESS: Sure. It's Betty, last name is	3	it's an exhibit that was submitted to your testimony.
4	Young, Y-O-U-N-G.	4	Mr. Boston on staff's behalf wrote a letter in that case
5	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you.	5	saying that staff's opinion, and take a moment and flip to
6	JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Roberson, is she	6	it.
7	available for cross?	7	Do you have that there?
8	MR. ROBERSON: I don't need to introduce her	8	A. I do.
9	if you don't need that done.	9	Q. In the yacht club proceeding it was the staff's
10	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay, thanks. Ms. Endres can	10	opinion, and I'm reading on Page 2, that first paragraph,
11	go ahead.	11	"It is Commission staff's opinion that non-traversable
12	<u> </u>	12	medians provide a much higher disincentive for motorists to
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION	13	drive over them because of the potential damage to
14	BY MS. ENDRES:	14	vehicles."
15	Q. Good morning, Ms. Young. We haven't met. My name	15	Did I read that correctly?
16	is Kelsey. I'm going to be asking you some questions this	16	A. Yes.
17	morning about this proceeding. Thank you for being here	17	Q. And understanding that your statement that so long
18	today.	18	as the risk index is low enough the staff supports the
19	Is this the first quiet zone "discussion" for lack	19	proposed modifications of the County, does the staff still
20	of a better word that you've been involved with on the UTC's	20	believe that non-traversable medians in general provide a
21	staff's behalf or were you also involved in the Yacht Club	21	much higher disincentive for motorists to drive over them
22	Road or others?	22	because of the potential damage to vehicles?
23	A. This is the first petition I've been involved with	23	A. I can't speak to Mr. Boston's opinion, but in my
24	before the Commission specifically.	24	personal opinion, there's a 5 percent difference in the
25	Q. Is it the UTC's now, I'm not trying to put words	25	effectiveness rating according to the federal code so

17 (Pages 76 to 79)

	Page 80	Page 8
1		
1	there's a bigger disincentive because of potential damage to	1 This was submitted to your pretrial testimony. It's also
2	a vehicle.	2 BY-11X for the record.
3	Q. As compared to a traversable system?	3 A. I do, yes.
4	A. Correct.	4 Q. And what was the purpose of submitting this as an
5	Q. Now, at particular crossings, and I'll just ask	5 exhibit to your testimony? How did this impact the staff's
6	this question: The tracks at this location trains travel in	6 opinion?
7	both directions; is that your understanding?	7 A. Well, my purpose for including it is this is really
8	A. That's my understanding, yes.	8 the first time that in my experience that the quiet zone
9	Q. And they can travel through that crossing any time	9 conversation is coming before the Commission and it was
10	of day. Other than Amtrak trains, freight trains don't run	10 really my effort at educating the Commission more about the
11	on schedules necessarily; is that your understanding?	11 process. Again, this is a guide. This is not the code.
12	A. That's my understanding, yes.	12 This is not the federal rule. So it's just a guidance
13	Q. And so can vehicle and train traffic patterns vary	13 document that the FRA produces for local jurisdictions that
14	over time?	14 want to create a quiet zone. So it was informational.
15	A. Yes.	15 Q. Okay. And I understand if a diagnostic team
16	Q. So when we look at or when you're participating in	16 meeting isn't required but there is some involvement or a
17	a diagnostic team evaluation at a particular crossing, are	17 least communication with the railroads that is required
18	you trying to select a system that to some degree can handle	18 before a crossing zone is created; is that right?
19	some traffic fluctuations in vehicle traffic or train	19 A. I believe that's true. Again, it's a federal
20	traffic?	20 process.
21	A. So I want to clarify some things about diagnostic	21 Q. Okay. I'm looking, just for example, on Page 8 of
22	team meetings. They are not required in the federal quiet	22 this exhibit where it's got a headline called Role of
23	zone process. It's just suggested. When UTC staff	23 Railroads. Do you see that there?
24	participates as a member of a diagnostic team, we're not	24 A. Yes.
25	traffic engineers. We're not railroad engineers. So we do	25 Q. Okay. And so at least this document that was
	Page 81	Page 8
1		
1 2	not make any sort of comments about design. Certainly the	
	not make any sort of comments about design. Certainly the County as the expert on its roads and its traffic management	1 attached as an exhibit to your testimony in this section
2	not make any sort of comments about design. Certainly the	 attached as an exhibit to your testimony in this section states that they're "required to send a notice of intent and
2 3	not make any sort of comments about design. Certainly the County as the expert on its roads and its traffic management techniques, they would be the ones to have the say what the	 attached as an exhibit to your testimony in this section states that they're "required to send a notice of intent and a notice of quiet zone establishment to railroads operating
2 3 4	not make any sort of comments about design. Certainly the County as the expert on its roads and its traffic management techniques, they would be the ones to have the say what the best traffic management device would be.	 attached as an exhibit to your testimony in this section states that they're "required to send a notice of intent and a notice of quiet zone establishment to railroads operating over the public highway rail grade crossings within the
2 3 4 5	not make any sort of comments about design. Certainly the County as the expert on its roads and its traffic management techniques, they would be the ones to have the say what the best traffic management device would be. So my role when I participate as part of a	 attached as an exhibit to your testimony in this section states that they're "required to send a notice of intent and a notice of quiet zone establishment to railroads operating over the public highway rail grade crossings within the proposed quiet zone. Railroad officials can provide
2 3 4 5 6	not make any sort of comments about design. Certainly the County as the expert on its roads and its traffic management techniques, they would be the ones to have the say what the best traffic management device would be. So my role when I participate as part of a diagnostic team is really just to give administrative	 attached as an exhibit to your testimony in this section states that they're "required to send a notice of intent and a notice of quiet zone establishment to railroads operating over the public highway rail grade crossings within the proposed quiet zone. Railroad officials can provide valuable input during the quiet zone establishment process
2 3 4 5 6 7	not make any sort of comments about design. Certainly the County as the expert on its roads and its traffic management techniques, they would be the ones to have the say what the best traffic management device would be. So my role when I participate as part of a diagnostic team is really just to give administrative guidance about what the regulations are and what the process	 attached as an exhibit to your testimony in this section states that they're "required to send a notice of intent and a notice of quiet zone establishment to railroads operating over the public highway rail grade crossings within the proposed quiet zone. Railroad officials can provide valuable input during the quiet zone establishment process and should be included on all diagnostic teams."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	not make any sort of comments about design. Certainly the County as the expert on its roads and its traffic management techniques, they would be the ones to have the say what the best traffic management device would be. So my role when I participate as part of a diagnostic team is really just to give administrative guidance about what the regulations are and what the process is before the Comission.	1attached as an exhibit to your testimony in this section2states that they're "required to send a notice of intent and3a notice of quiet zone establishment to railroads operating4over the public highway rail grade crossings within the5proposed quiet zone. Railroad officials can provide6valuable input during the quiet zone establishment process7and should be included on all diagnostic teams."8Did I read that correctly?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	not make any sort of comments about design. Certainly the County as the expert on its roads and its traffic management techniques, they would be the ones to have the say what the best traffic management device would be. So my role when I participate as part of a diagnostic team is really just to give administrative guidance about what the regulations are and what the process is before the Comission. Q. In past diagnostic meetings that you've attended	1attached as an exhibit to your testimony in this section2states that they're "required to send a notice of intent and3a notice of quiet zone establishment to railroads operating4over the public highway rail grade crossings within the5proposed quiet zone. Railroad officials can provide6valuable input during the quiet zone establishment process7and should be included on all diagnostic teams."8Did I read that correctly?9A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	not make any sort of comments about design. Certainly the County as the expert on its roads and its traffic management techniques, they would be the ones to have the say what the best traffic management device would be. So my role when I participate as part of a diagnostic team is really just to give administrative guidance about what the regulations are and what the process is before the Comission. Q. In past diagnostic meetings that you've attended have there been discussions about how to select a system	1attached as an exhibit to your testimony in this section2states that they're "required to send a notice of intent and3a notice of quiet zone establishment to railroads operating4over the public highway rail grade crossings within the5proposed quiet zone. Railroad officials can provide6valuable input during the quiet zone establishment process7and should be included on all diagnostic teams."8Did I read that correctly?9A. Yes.10Q. So that's something that BNSF in this case has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	not make any sort of comments about design. Certainly the County as the expert on its roads and its traffic management techniques, they would be the ones to have the say what the best traffic management device would be. So my role when I participate as part of a diagnostic team is really just to give administrative guidance about what the regulations are and what the process is before the Comission. Q. In past diagnostic meetings that you've attended have there been discussions about how to select a system that can handle any fluctuations in rail or vehicular	1attached as an exhibit to your testimony in this section2states that they're "required to send a notice of intent and3a notice of quiet zone establishment to railroads operating4over the public highway rail grade crossings within the5proposed quiet zone. Railroad officials can provide6valuable input during the quiet zone establishment process7and should be included on all diagnostic teams."8Did I read that correctly?9A. Yes.10Q. So that's something that BNSF in this case has11participated in the diagnostic discussion that was commence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	not make any sort of comments about design. Certainly the County as the expert on its roads and its traffic management techniques, they would be the ones to have the say what the best traffic management device would be. So my role when I participate as part of a diagnostic team is really just to give administrative guidance about what the regulations are and what the process is before the Comission. Q. In past diagnostic meetings that you've attended have there been discussions about how to select a system that can handle any fluctuations in rail or vehicular traffic?	1attached as an exhibit to your testimony in this section2states that they're "required to send a notice of intent and3a notice of quiet zone establishment to railroads operating4over the public highway rail grade crossings within the5proposed quiet zone. Railroad officials can provide6valuable input during the quiet zone establishment process7and should be included on all diagnostic teams."8Did I read that correctly?9A. Yes.10Q. So that's something that BNSF in this case has11participated in the diagnostic discussion that was commence12in this particular instance?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	not make any sort of comments about design. Certainly the County as the expert on its roads and its traffic management techniques, they would be the ones to have the say what the best traffic management device would be. So my role when I participate as part of a diagnostic team is really just to give administrative guidance about what the regulations are and what the process is before the Comission. Q. In past diagnostic meetings that you've attended have there been discussions about how to select a system that can handle any fluctuations in rail or vehicular traffic? A. I imagine there would have been. I don't recall	1attached as an exhibit to your testimony in this section2states that they're "required to send a notice of intent and3a notice of quiet zone establishment to railroads operating4over the public highway rail grade crossings within the5proposed quiet zone. Railroad officials can provide6valuable input during the quiet zone establishment process7and should be included on all diagnostic teams."8Did I read that correctly?9A. Yes.10Q. So that's something that BNSF in this case has11participated in the diagnostic discussion that was commence12in this particular instance?13A. Is that a question for me?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	not make any sort of comments about design. Certainly the County as the expert on its roads and its traffic management techniques, they would be the ones to have the say what the best traffic management device would be. So my role when I participate as part of a diagnostic team is really just to give administrative guidance about what the regulations are and what the process is before the Comission. Q. In past diagnostic meetings that you've attended have there been discussions about how to select a system that can handle any fluctuations in rail or vehicular traffic? A. I imagine there would have been. I don't recall that specifically at this particular meeting.	1attached as an exhibit to your testimony in this section2states that they're "required to send a notice of intent and3a notice of quiet zone establishment to railroads operating4over the public highway rail grade crossings within the5proposed quiet zone. Railroad officials can provide6valuable input during the quiet zone establishment process7and should be included on all diagnostic teams."8Did I read that correctly?9A. Yes.10Q. So that's something that BNSF in this case has11participated in the diagnostic discussion that was commence12in this particular instance?13A. Is that a question for me?14Q. Well, I suppose. BNSF was at the diagnostic
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	not make any sort of comments about design. Certainly the County as the expert on its roads and its traffic management techniques, they would be the ones to have the say what the best traffic management device would be. So my role when I participate as part of a diagnostic team is really just to give administrative guidance about what the regulations are and what the process is before the Comission. Q. In past diagnostic meetings that you've attended have there been discussions about how to select a system that can handle any fluctuations in rail or vehicular traffic? A. I imagine there would have been. I don't recall that specifically at this particular meeting. Q. Okay. And you stated that traffic or excuse	1attached as an exhibit to your testimony in this section2states that they're "required to send a notice of intent and3a notice of quiet zone establishment to railroads operating4over the public highway rail grade crossings within the5proposed quiet zone. Railroad officials can provide6valuable input during the quiet zone establishment process7and should be included on all diagnostic teams."8Did I read that correctly?9A. Yes.10Q. So that's something that BNSF in this case has11participated in the diagnostic discussion that was commence12in this particular instance?13A. Is that a question for me?14Q. Well, I suppose. BNSF was at the diagnostic15meeting you attended?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	not make any sort of comments about design. Certainly the County as the expert on its roads and its traffic management techniques, they would be the ones to have the say what the best traffic management device would be. So my role when I participate as part of a diagnostic team is really just to give administrative guidance about what the regulations are and what the process is before the Comission. Q. In past diagnostic meetings that you've attended have there been discussions about how to select a system that can handle any fluctuations in rail or vehicular traffic? A. I imagine there would have been. I don't recall that specifically at this particular meeting. Q. Okay. And you stated that traffic or excuse me diagnostic team meetings are not required but they're	1attached as an exhibit to your testimony in this section2states that they're "required to send a notice of intent and3a notice of quiet zone establishment to railroads operating4over the public highway rail grade crossings within the5proposed quiet zone. Railroad officials can provide6valuable input during the quiet zone establishment process7and should be included on all diagnostic teams."8Did I read that correctly?9A. Yes.10Q. So that's something that BNSF in this case has11participated in the diagnostic discussion that was commence12in this particular instance?13A. Is that a question for me?14Q. Well, I suppose. BNSF was at the diagnostic15meeting you attended?16A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	not make any sort of comments about design. Certainly the County as the expert on its roads and its traffic management techniques, they would be the ones to have the say what the best traffic management device would be. So my role when I participate as part of a diagnostic team is really just to give administrative guidance about what the regulations are and what the process is before the Comission. Q. In past diagnostic meetings that you've attended have there been discussions about how to select a system that can handle any fluctuations in rail or vehicular traffic? A. I imagine there would have been. I don't recall that specifically at this particular meeting. Q. Okay. And you stated that traffic or excuse me diagnostic team meetings are not required but they're recommended.	1attached as an exhibit to your testimony in this section2states that they're "required to send a notice of intent and3a notice of quiet zone establishment to railroads operating4over the public highway rail grade crossings within the5proposed quiet zone. Railroad officials can provide6valuable input during the quiet zone establishment process7and should be included on all diagnostic teams."8Did I read that correctly?9A. Yes.10Q. So that's something that BNSF in this case has11participated in the diagnostic discussion that was commence12in this particular instance?13A. Is that a question for me?14Q. Well, I suppose. BNSF was at the diagnostic15meeting you attended?16A. Yes.17Q. Okay. Did you have a chance or opportunity or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	not make any sort of comments about design. Certainly the County as the expert on its roads and its traffic management techniques, they would be the ones to have the say what the best traffic management device would be. So my role when I participate as part of a diagnostic team is really just to give administrative guidance about what the regulations are and what the process is before the Comission. Q. In past diagnostic meetings that you've attended have there been discussions about how to select a system that can handle any fluctuations in rail or vehicular traffic? A. I imagine there would have been. I don't recall that specifically at this particular meeting. Q. Okay. And you stated that traffic or excuse me diagnostic team meetings are not required but they're recommended. Are you referring to the FRA's guide to the quiet	1attached as an exhibit to your testimony in this section2states that they're "required to send a notice of intent and3a notice of quiet zone establishment to railroads operating4over the public highway rail grade crossings within the5proposed quiet zone. Railroad officials can provide6valuable input during the quiet zone establishment process7and should be included on all diagnostic teams."8Did I read that correctly?9A. Yes.10Q. So that's something that BNSF in this case has11participated in the diagnostic discussion that was commence12in this particular instance?13A. Is that a question for me?14Q. Well, I suppose. BNSF was at the diagnostic15meeting you attended?16A. Yes.17Q. Okay. Did you have a chance or opportunity or18reason to read through Cody Swan's deposition? It was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	not make any sort of comments about design. Certainly the County as the expert on its roads and its traffic management techniques, they would be the ones to have the say what the best traffic management device would be. So my role when I participate as part of a diagnostic team is really just to give administrative guidance about what the regulations are and what the process is before the Comission. Q. In past diagnostic meetings that you've attended have there been discussions about how to select a system that can handle any fluctuations in rail or vehicular traffic? A. I imagine there would have been. I don't recall that specifically at this particular meeting. Q. Okay. And you stated that traffic or excuse me diagnostic team meetings are not required but they're recommended. Are you referring to the FRA's guide to the quiet zone establishment process?	1 attached as an exhibit to your testimony in this section 2 states that they're "required to send a notice of intent and 3 a notice of quiet zone establishment to railroads operating 4 over the public highway rail grade crossings within the 5 proposed quiet zone. Railroad officials can provide 6 valuable input during the quiet zone establishment process 7 and should be included on all diagnostic teams." 8 Did I read that correctly? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. So that's something that BNSF in this case has 11 participated in the diagnostic discussion that was commence 12 in this particular instance? 13 A. Is that a question for me? 14 Q. Well, I suppose. BNSF was at the diagnostic 15 meeting you attended? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Okay. Did you have a chance or opportunity or 18 reason to read through Cody Swan's deposition? It was 19 submitted as a cross-examination exhibit in this case.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 not make any sort of comments about design. Certainly the County as the expert on its roads and its traffic management techniques, they would be the ones to have the say what the best traffic management device would be. So my role when I participate as part of a diagnostic team is really just to give administrative guidance about what the regulations are and what the process is before the Comission. Q. In past diagnostic meetings that you've attended have there been discussions about how to select a system that can handle any fluctuations in rail or vehicular traffic? A. I imagine there would have been. I don't recall that specifically at this particular meeting. Q. Okay. And you stated that traffic or excuse me diagnostic team meetings are not required but they're recommended. Are you referring to the FRA's guide to the quiet zone establishment process? A. Not specifically. It's my understanding that is 	1attached as an exhibit to your testimony in this section2states that they're "required to send a notice of intent and3a notice of quiet zone establishment to railroads operating4over the public highway rail grade crossings within the5proposed quiet zone. Railroad officials can provide6valuable input during the quiet zone establishment process7and should be included on all diagnostic teams."8Did I read that correctly?9A. Yes.10Q. So that's something that BNSF in this case has11participated in the diagnostic discussion that was commence12in this particular instance?13A. Is that a question for me?14Q. Well, I suppose. BNSF was at the diagnostic15meeting you attended?16A. Yes.17Q. Okay. Did you have a chance or opportunity or18reason to read through Cody Swan's deposition? It was19submitted as a cross-examination exhibit in this case.20A. Cross-examination exhibit for me?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	not make any sort of comments about design. Certainly the County as the expert on its roads and its traffic management techniques, they would be the ones to have the say what the best traffic management device would be. So my role when I participate as part of a diagnostic team is really just to give administrative guidance about what the regulations are and what the process is before the Comission. Q. In past diagnostic meetings that you've attended have there been discussions about how to select a system that can handle any fluctuations in rail or vehicular traffic? A. I imagine there would have been. I don't recall that specifically at this particular meeting. Q. Okay. And you stated that traffic or excuse me diagnostic team meetings are not required but they're recommended. Are you referring to the FRA's guide to the quiet zone establishment process? A. Not specifically. It's my understanding that is part of the quiet zone evaluation process. Again, this is a	1 attached as an exhibit to your testimony in this section 2 states that they're "required to send a notice of intent and 3 a notice of quiet zone establishment to railroads operating 4 over the public highway rail grade crossings within the 5 proposed quiet zone. Railroad officials can provide 6 valuable input during the quiet zone establishment process 7 and should be included on all diagnostic teams." 8 Did I read that correctly? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. So that's something that BNSF in this case has 11 participated in the diagnostic discussion that was commence 12 in this particular instance? 13 A. Is that a question for me? 14 Q. Well, I suppose. BNSF was at the diagnostic 15 meeting you attended? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Okay. Did you have a chance or opportunity or 18 reason to read through Cody Swan's deposition? It was 19 submitted as a cross-examination exhibit in this case. 20 A. Cross-examination exhibit for me? 21 Q. No, it wasn't for you. I just was wondering, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 not make any sort of comments about design. Certainly the County as the expert on its roads and its traffic management techniques, they would be the ones to have the say what the best traffic management device would be. So my role when I participate as part of a diagnostic team is really just to give administrative guidance about what the regulations are and what the process is before the Comission. Q. In past diagnostic meetings that you've attended have there been discussions about how to select a system that can handle any fluctuations in rail or vehicular traffic? A. I imagine there would have been. I don't recall that specifically at this particular meeting. Q. Okay. And you stated that traffic or excuse me diagnostic team meetings are not required but they're recommended. Are you referring to the FRA's guide to the quiet zone establishment process? A. Not specifically. It's my understanding that is part of the quiet zone evaluation process. Again, this is a federal process so I am not the expert on it. It's my 	1 attached as an exhibit to your testimony in this section 2 states that they're "required to send a notice of intent and 3 a notice of quiet zone establishment to railroads operating 4 over the public highway rail grade crossings within the 5 proposed quiet zone. Railroad officials can provide 6 valuable input during the quiet zone establishment process 7 and should be included on all diagnostic teams." 8 Did I read that correctly? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. So that's something that BNSF in this case has 11 participated in the diagnostic discussion that was commence 12 in this particular instance? 13 A. Is that a question for me? 14 Q. Well, I suppose. BNSF was at the diagnostic 15 meeting you attended? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Okay. Did you have a chance or opportunity or 18 reason to read through Cody Swan's deposition? It was 19 submitted as a cross-examination exhibit in this case. 20 A. Cross-examination exhibit for me? 21 Q. No, it wasn't for you. I just was wondering, in 22

18 (Pages 80 to 83)

	Page 84		Page 86
1	Q. So his deposition testimony was something that we	1	BY MS. ENDRES:
2	took with a court reporter. It was a different format than	2	Q. In the we had a discussion with Mr. Swan about
3	what was submitted.	3	whether the County would support installing a
4	A. I apologize. No, I have not seen that.	4	non-traversable system on the east side of the tracks and a
5	Q. You've heard today some discussion about the ease	5	traversable system on the west side of the tracks.
6	of maintenance for the County. The County has said if	6	Do you recall hearing that this morning?
7	there's repair needed to these reflectorized paddles, that's	7	A. Yes.
8	something they could do themselves. If there's repair	8	Q. Is that something that UTC staff would feel
9	needed to a concrete curb they have to have a contractor	9	appropriate to this crossing?
10	come in and do that.	10	A. You know, the burden of proof when a petition is
11	Do you remember hearing that this morning?	11	filed before the Commission is on the petitioner, and if
12	A. Yes.	12	this was the approach that the County deemed was best for
13	Q. Okay. Does the ease of installation or repair for	13	its traffic control, then assuming I had attended a
14	the County factor into the staff's opinion as to what	14	diagnostic meeting where that specifically was discussed and
15	supplemental safety measures are appropriate?	15	the reasons why, I could see that I would support that.
16	MR. ROBERSON: Objection. This is beyond the	16	JUDGE PEARSON: Can I just clarify? You're
17	scope of her direct testimony.	17	talking about a concrete median going into the neighborhood
18	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Do you want to either	18	or coming out of the neighborhood?
19	rephrase that or move on?	19	MS. ENDRES: So the neighborhood is on the
20	MS. ENDRES: Well, I think it's certainly	20	west side of the tracks so having a mountable system on the
21	fair to inquire as to the bases for the staff's opinion that	21	west side of the tracks on the homeowner side.
22	they've made in this case and understand. I think that is	22	JUDGE PEARSON: Right. And having concrete
23	the topic of her pre-file testimony.	23	on the other side?
24	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.	24	MS. ENDRES: On the east side having
25	MR. ROBERSON: She doesn't testify about	25	concrete, similar to that Vancouver crossing has two
	Page 85		Page 87
1	maintenance or anything. Her testimony is totally dedicated	1	different setups.
2	to application of the federal code, the effectiveness	2	JUDGE PEARSON: Got it.
3	ratings of the supplementary safety measures. That's what	3	BY MS. ENDRES:
4 5	she said she formed her opinion based on. This is beyond the scope of that.	5	Q. Was the traffic count provided by the County
6	JUDGE PEARSON: So I'll sustain the objection	6	something that impacted staff's opinion? A. Opinion related to what?
7	and I'll rephrase the question for you. You can ask her	7	Q. What system would be appropriate?
8	what she considered in making her recommendation.	8	A. In a roundabout way. I mean really all of this
9	BY MS. ENDRES:	9	goes into what goes into that calculator, the federal quiet
10	Q. In making sure we understand what you did consider,	10	zone calculator, which is a planning tool that the FRA
11	am I correct in understanding that the repair or maintenance	11	provides for and anyone that's trying to plan a quiet zone
12	issues by the County was not something that you considered?	12	and it's just one of the variables. So it was one of the
13	A. That's correct.	13	elements on the petition. Yes, it was part of the
14	Q. Would Commission staff support the installation of	14	consideration.
15	a nonmountable median on the east side of the tracks and the	15	Q. And on that topic I saw in your rebuttal testimony
16	installation of a mountable system on the west side of the	16	that you attempted to replicate the calculation in that
17	tracks?	17	planning tool that Mr. Semenick's testimony provided using
18	MR. QUINN: For clarification purposes, Your	18	that 450 traffic count number that was preloaded in there.
19	Honor, I heard the term "median." Are we referring to the	19	Do you remember that?
20	curb or median as defined under the federal rule?	20	A. Yes.
21	MS. ENDRES: Well, the system that bisects	21	Q. And were you ever able to replicate his figure?
22	the roadway. The system between the two lanes of travel.	22	A. I tried it multiple different ways. I think the
23	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you repeat that question	23	key for me here, and it was something I would like to
24	so I can understand exactly what you're talking about?	24	clarify, is that the initial calculation that you get when
25	MS. ENDRES: Sure.	25	you use the FRA quiet zone calculator is the information
			·

19 (Pages 84 to 87)

	Page 88		Page 90
1	that exists in the FRA's federal crossing inventory. So	the railroad has asked to install	those or not.
2	that information is updated by the UTC for the state which	Do you recall hearing that	
3	means that the local road authorities like Whatcom County	A. Yes.	e e
4	can contact us if traffic counts have changed and we can	Q. And in your experience h	nave you been involved in
5	make those changes at the UTC.	diagnostic team meetings when	e four quadrant gates were
6	The railroad is responsible for updating its train	discussed?	
7	counts and all of its train information, I believe the	A. Yes.	
8	requirement is every three years. So if you don't	Q. And is that something th	at is I'm saying
9	manipulate anything, any of the numbers when you first go	governed by," that's not the ri	ght word, but subject to the
10	into the quiet zone calculator, you strictly are getting the	standards of the MUTCD for wh	
11	information that comes from the federal crossing inventory.	appropriate?	
12	I touched none of that. I ran the calculation with	A. So just for the Commissio	n's information, the MUTCD
13	exactly what exists in the inventory today. So it's my	is the Manual on Uniform Traffic	
14	understanding now that Mr. Swan and Mr. Semenick both	Commission adopts that and 480)-62-999 is the national
15	manipulated the numbers so I think there's reason why we all	standard. So Part 8 deals with ra	ailroad devices, traffic
16	came out with different numbers.	devices related to railroad crossi	ngs and the guidance about
17	Q. So you didn't change the train count numbers, you	anything related to quiet zones, i	t's just that they have to
18	left whatever the numbers were that were automatically	comply with the MUTCD. There	
19	preloaded based on the crossing inventory data that existed	talks about four quadrant gates t	o my knowledge related to
20	at that time?	SSMs.	
21	A. That's correct.	Q. The MUTCD's discussion	n of four quadrants gates, are
22	Q. Did you have an opportunity to review	2 those only recommended when	a daily traffic rises to a certain
23	Mr. Arrington's rebuttal pre-file testimony?	Bevel?	-
24	A. Yes.	A. Well, the MUTCD's guida	nce is that there is no one
25	Q. And he submitted some information relating to how	standard treatment that works at	every single crossing
	Page 89		Page 91
1	Page 89	because of all the variables, train	Page 91
1	the FRA appears to have generated those risk reduction	because of all the variables, train	speeds, traffic, et
2	the FRA appears to have generated those risk reduction percentages. Did you read that section?	2 cetera, et cetera. So it's really up	a speeds, traffic, et to the diagnostic
2 3	the FRA appears to have generated those risk reduction percentages. Did you read that section? MR. ROBERSON: Okay. This is Mr. Arrington's	cetera, et cetera. So it's really up team, or an engineering study in	a speeds, traffic, et to to the diagnostic this case, that's the
2 3 4	the FRA appears to have generated those risk reduction percentages. Did you read that section? MR. ROBERSON: Okay. This is Mr. Arrington's testimony. It's not Ms. Young's testimony. This is beyond	 cetera, et cetera. So it's really up team, or an engineering study in expertise of the railroad and the 	a speeds, traffic, et to to the diagnostic this case, that's the expertise of the road
2 3 4 5	the FRA appears to have generated those risk reduction percentages. Did you read that section? MR. ROBERSON: Okay. This is Mr. Arrington's testimony. It's not Ms. Young's testimony. This is beyond the scope.	 cetera, et cetera. So it's really up team, or an engineering study in expertise of the railroad and the authority to decide which treatment 	a speeds, traffic, et to to the diagnostic this case, that's the expertise of the road ent is the most appropriate.
2 3 4 5 6	the FRA appears to have generated those risk reduction percentages. Did you read that section? MR. ROBERSON: Okay. This is Mr. Arrington's testimony. It's not Ms. Young's testimony. This is beyond the scope. JUDGE PEARSON: So can you I wasn't	 cetera, et cetera. So it's really up team, or an engineering study in expertise of the railroad and the authority to decide which treatme When you're talking about 	a speeds, traffic, et to to the diagnostic this case, that's the expertise of the road ent is the most appropriate. quiet zones, it's one of
2 3 4 5 6 7	the FRA appears to have generated those risk reduction percentages. Did you read that section? MR. ROBERSON: Okay. This is Mr. Arrington's testimony. It's not Ms. Young's testimony. This is beyond the scope. JUDGE PEARSON: So can you I wasn't listening to exactly what you were referring to because I	 cetera, et cetera. So it's really up team, or an engineering study in expertise of the railroad and the authority to decide which treatme When you're talking about those options that the FRA provi 	a speeds, traffic, et to to the diagnostic this case, that's the expertise of the road ent is the most appropriate. quiet zones, it's one of des that the local agency
2 3 4 5 6	the FRA appears to have generated those risk reduction percentages. Did you read that section? MR. ROBERSON: Okay. This is Mr. Arrington's testimony. It's not Ms. Young's testimony. This is beyond the scope. JUDGE PEARSON: So can you I wasn't listening to exactly what you were referring to because I was looking at the exhibit list.	 cetera, et cetera. So it's really up team, or an engineering study in expertise of the railroad and the or authority to decide which treatment When you're talking about those options that the FRA provision can pursue whichever one is sele 	a speeds, traffic, et to to the diagnostic this case, that's the expertise of the road ent is the most appropriate. quiet zones, it's one of des that the local agency ected. Generally unless it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the FRA appears to have generated those risk reduction percentages. Did you read that section? MR. ROBERSON: Okay. This is Mr. Arrington's testimony. It's not Ms. Young's testimony. This is beyond the scope. JUDGE PEARSON: So can you I wasn't listening to exactly what you were referring to because I was looking at the exhibit list. Can you repeat the question?	 cetera, et cetera. So it's really up team, or an engineering study in expertise of the railroad and the or authority to decide which treatment When you're talking about those options that the FRA provision can pursue whichever one is sele a first class city, the entity has to 	a speeds, traffic, et to to the diagnostic this case, that's the expertise of the road ent is the most appropriate. quiet zones, it's one of des that the local agency ected. Generally unless it's o come in here and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the FRA appears to have generated those risk reduction percentages. Did you read that section? MR. ROBERSON: Okay. This is Mr. Arrington's testimony. It's not Ms. Young's testimony. This is beyond the scope. JUDGE PEARSON: So can you I wasn't listening to exactly what you were referring to because I was looking at the exhibit list. Can you repeat the question? MS. ENDRES: Sure. I just asked if she had	 cetera, et cetera. So it's really up team, or an engineering study in expertise of the railroad and the or authority to decide which treatmed When you're talking about those options that the FRA provision can pursue whichever one is seled a first class city, the entity has to petition the Commission to make 	a speeds, traffic, et to to the diagnostic this case, that's the expertise of the road ent is the most appropriate. quiet zones, it's one of des that the local agency ected. Generally unless it's o come in here and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the FRA appears to have generated those risk reduction percentages. Did you read that section? MR. ROBERSON: Okay. This is Mr. Arrington's testimony. It's not Ms. Young's testimony. This is beyond the scope. JUDGE PEARSON: So can you I wasn't listening to exactly what you were referring to because I was looking at the exhibit list. Can you repeat the question? MS. ENDRES: Sure. I just asked if she had reviewed the portion of his testimony where he describes how	 cetera, et cetera. So it's really up team, or an engineering study in expertise of the railroad and the or authority to decide which treatmed When you're talking about those options that the FRA provision can pursue whichever one is seled a first class city, the entity has to petition the Commission to make crossing. 	a speeds, traffic, et to to the diagnostic this case, that's the expertise of the road ent is the most appropriate. quiet zones, it's one of des that the local agency ected. Generally unless it's to come in here and that change at the railroad
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the FRA appears to have generated those risk reduction percentages. Did you read that section? MR. ROBERSON: Okay. This is Mr. Arrington's testimony. It's not Ms. Young's testimony. This is beyond the scope. JUDGE PEARSON: So can you I wasn't listening to exactly what you were referring to because I was looking at the exhibit list. Can you repeat the question? MS. ENDRES: Sure. I just asked if she had reviewed the portion of his testimony where he describes how the FRA apparently generated the risk reduction percentages.	 cetera, et cetera. So it's really up team, or an engineering study in expertise of the railroad and the or authority to decide which treatmed When you're talking about those options that the FRA provision can pursue whichever one is seled a first class city, the entity has to petition the Commission to make crossing. Q. Are you aware of any quite 	a speeds, traffic, et to to the diagnostic this case, that's the expertise of the road ent is the most appropriate. quiet zones, it's one of des that the local agency ected. Generally unless it's to come in here and that change at the railroad iet zone crossings with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the FRA appears to have generated those risk reduction percentages. Did you read that section? MR. ROBERSON: Okay. This is Mr. Arrington's testimony. It's not Ms. Young's testimony. This is beyond the scope. JUDGE PEARSON: So can you I wasn't listening to exactly what you were referring to because I was looking at the exhibit list. Can you repeat the question? MS. ENDRES: Sure. I just asked if she had reviewed the portion of his testimony where he describes how	 cetera, et cetera. So it's really up team, or an engineering study in expertise of the railroad and the or authority to decide which treatmed When you're talking about those options that the FRA providing can pursue whichever one is seled a first class city, the entity has to petition the Commission to make crossing. Q. Are you aware of any quiding similar ADT counts, here the m 	a speeds, traffic, et to to the diagnostic this case, that's the expertise of the road ent is the most appropriate. quiet zones, it's one of des that the local agency ected. Generally unless it's o come in here and that change at the railroad e that change at the railroad
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the FRA appears to have generated those risk reduction percentages. Did you read that section? MR. ROBERSON: Okay. This is Mr. Arrington's testimony. It's not Ms. Young's testimony. This is beyond the scope. JUDGE PEARSON: So can you I wasn't listening to exactly what you were referring to because I was looking at the exhibit list. Can you repeat the question? MS. ENDRES: Sure. I just asked if she had reviewed the portion of his testimony where he describes how the FRA apparently generated the risk reduction percentages. I'm just asking at this point if she read that as part of what she reviewed.	 cetera, et cetera. So it's really up team, or an engineering study in expertise of the railroad and the or authority to decide which treatmed When you're talking about those options that the FRA provide can pursue whichever one is seled a first class city, the entity has to petition the Commission to maked crossing. Q. Are you aware of any quide similar ADT counts, here the m 	a speeds, traffic, et to to the diagnostic this case, that's the expertise of the road ent is the most appropriate. quiet zones, it's one of des that the local agency ected. Generally unless it's o come in here and that change at the railroad e that change at the railroad
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the FRA appears to have generated those risk reduction percentages. Did you read that section? MR. ROBERSON: Okay. This is Mr. Arrington's testimony. It's not Ms. Young's testimony. This is beyond the scope. JUDGE PEARSON: So can you I wasn't listening to exactly what you were referring to because I was looking at the exhibit list. Can you repeat the question? MS. ENDRES: Sure. I just asked if she had reviewed the portion of his testimony where he describes how the FRA apparently generated the risk reduction percentages. I'm just asking at this point if she read that as part of	 cetera, et cetera. So it's really up team, or an engineering study in expertise of the railroad and the or authority to decide which treatmed When you're talking about those options that the FRA provide can pursue whichever one is seled a first class city, the entity has to petition the Commission to maked crossing. Q. Are you aware of any quide similar ADT counts, here the m 	a speeds, traffic, et to to the diagnostic this case, that's the expertise of the road ent is the most appropriate. quiet zones, it's one of des that the local agency ected. Generally unless it's to come in here and that change at the railroad e that change at the railroad iet zone crossings with ost recent figure is 324, re installed as an SSM?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the FRA appears to have generated those risk reduction percentages. Did you read that section? MR. ROBERSON: Okay. This is Mr. Arrington's testimony. It's not Ms. Young's testimony. This is beyond the scope. JUDGE PEARSON: So can you I wasn't listening to exactly what you were referring to because I was looking at the exhibit list. Can you repeat the question? MS. ENDRES: Sure. I just asked if she had reviewed the portion of his testimony where he describes how the FRA apparently generated the risk reduction percentages. I'm just asking at this point if she read that as part of what she reviewed. JUDGE PEARSON: I'll allow it.	 cetera, et cetera. So it's really up team, or an engineering study in expertise of the railroad and the or authority to decide which treatmed When you're talking about those options that the FRA provide can pursue whichever one is seled a first class city, the entity has to petition the Commission to make crossing. Q. Are you aware of any quide similar ADT counts, here the m where four quadrants gates we A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. And another alternative to 	a speeds, traffic, et to to the diagnostic this case, that's the expertise of the road ent is the most appropriate. quiet zones, it's one of des that the local agency ected. Generally unless it's o come in here and that change at the railroad e that change at the railroad de that change at the railroad
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 the FRA appears to have generated those risk reduction percentages. Did you read that section? MR. ROBERSON: Okay. This is Mr. Arrington's testimony. It's not Ms. Young's testimony. This is beyond the scope. JUDGE PEARSON: So can you I wasn't listening to exactly what you were referring to because I was looking at the exhibit list. Can you repeat the question? MS. ENDRES: Sure. I just asked if she had reviewed the portion of his testimony where he describes how the FRA apparently generated the risk reduction percentages. I'm just asking at this point if she read that as part of what she reviewed. JUDGE PEARSON: I'll allow it. THE WITNESS: Yes. 	 cetera, et cetera. So it's really up team, or an engineering study in expertise of the railroad and the or authority to decide which treatmed When you're talking about those options that the FRA provide can pursue whichever one is seled a first class city, the entity has to petition the Commission to make crossing. Q. Are you aware of any quide similar ADT counts, here the m where four quadrants gates we A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. And another alternative to 	a speeds, traffic, et to to the diagnostic this case, that's the expertise of the road ent is the most appropriate. quiet zones, it's one of des that the local agency ected. Generally unless it's o come in here and that change at the railroad e that change at the railroad e that change at the railroad e that change as an SSM? that Mr. Swan was asked her the railroad requested for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 the FRA appears to have generated those risk reduction percentages. Did you read that section? MR. ROBERSON: Okay. This is Mr. Arrington's testimony. It's not Ms. Young's testimony. This is beyond the scope. JUDGE PEARSON: So can you I wasn't listening to exactly what you were referring to because I was looking at the exhibit list. Can you repeat the question? MS. ENDRES: Sure. I just asked if she had reviewed the portion of his testimony where he describes how the FRA apparently generated the risk reduction percentages. I'm just asking at this point if she read that as part of what she reviewed. JUDGE PEARSON: I'll allow it. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MS. ENDRES: 	 cetera, et cetera. So it's really up team, or an engineering study in expertise of the railroad and the or authority to decide which treatmed When you're talking about those options that the FRA provide can pursue whichever one is seled a first class city, the entity has to petition the Commission to make crossing. Q. Are you aware of any quide similar ADT counts, here the m where four quadrants gates we A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. And another alternative to 	a speeds, traffic, et to to the diagnostic this case, that's the expertise of the road ent is the most appropriate. quiet zones, it's one of des that the local agency ected. Generally unless it's o come in here and that change at the railroad et take the cossings with ost recent figure is 324, re installed as an SSM? that Mr. Swan was asked her the railroad requested for a a.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 the FRA appears to have generated those risk reduction percentages. Did you read that section? MR. ROBERSON: Okay. This is Mr. Arrington's testimony. It's not Ms. Young's testimony. This is beyond the scope. JUDGE PEARSON: So can you I wasn't listening to exactly what you were referring to because I was looking at the exhibit list. Can you repeat the question? MS. ENDRES: Sure. I just asked if she had reviewed the portion of his testimony where he describes how the FRA apparently generated the risk reduction percentages. I'm just asking at this point if she read that as part of what she reviewed. JUDGE PEARSON: I'll allow it. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. And did Mr. Arrington's pre-file testimony on that 	 cetera, et cetera. So it's really up team, or an engineering study in expertise of the railroad and the or authority to decide which treatmed When you're talking about those options that the FRA provision can pursue whichever one is seled a first class city, the entity has to petition the Commission to make crossing. Q. Are you aware of any quision similar ADT counts, here the m where four quadrants gates we A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. And another alternative to about by the County was wheth median as described in the rule 	a speeds, traffic, et to to the diagnostic this case, that's the expertise of the road ent is the most appropriate. quiet zones, it's one of des that the local agency ected. Generally unless it's o come in here and that change at the railroad et take the cossings with ost recent figure is 324, re installed as an SSM? that Mr. Swan was asked her the railroad requested for a a.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 the FRA appears to have generated those risk reduction percentages. Did you read that section? MR. ROBERSON: Okay. This is Mr. Arrington's testimony. It's not Ms. Young's testimony. This is beyond the scope. JUDGE PEARSON: So can you I wasn't listening to exactly what you were referring to because I was looking at the exhibit list. Can you repeat the question? MS. ENDRES: Sure. I just asked if she had reviewed the portion of his testimony where he describes how the FRA apparently generated the risk reduction percentages. I'm just asking at this point if she read that as part of what she reviewed. JUDGE PEARSON: I'll allow it. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. And did Mr. Arrington's pre-file testimony on that topic change staff's position relating to the proposed 	 cetera, et cetera. So it's really up team, or an engineering study in expertise of the railroad and the of authority to decide which treatmed When you're talking about those options that the FRA provide can pursue whichever one is seled a first class city, the entity has to petition the Commission to make crossing. Q. Are you aware of any quide similar ADT counts, here the me where four quadrants gates we A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. And another alternative to about by the County was wheth median as described in the rule Do you remember hearing 	a speeds, traffic, et b to the diagnostic this case, that's the expertise of the road ent is the most appropriate. quiet zones, it's one of des that the local agency ected. Generally unless it's o come in here and that change at the railroad iet zone crossings with ost recent figure is 324, are installed as an SSM? that Mr. Swan was asked her the railroad requested for a a. g that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 the FRA appears to have generated those risk reduction percentages. Did you read that section? MR. ROBERSON: Okay. This is Mr. Arrington's testimony. It's not Ms. Young's testimony. This is beyond the scope. JUDGE PEARSON: So can you I wasn't listening to exactly what you were referring to because I was looking at the exhibit list. Can you repeat the question? MS. ENDRES: Sure. I just asked if she had reviewed the portion of his testimony where he describes how the FRA apparently generated the risk reduction percentages. I'm just asking at this point if she read that as part of what she reviewed. JUDGE PEARSON: I'll allow it. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. And did Mr. Arrington's pre-file testimony on that topic change staff's position relating to the proposed safety measures that the County has suggested? 	 cetera, et cetera. So it's really up team, or an engineering study in expertise of the railroad and the of authority to decide which treatmed When you're talking about those options that the FRA provide can pursue whichever one is seled a first class city, the entity has to petition the Commission to make crossing. Q. Are you aware of any quide similar ADT counts, here the me where four quadrants gates wee A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. And another alternative to about by the County was wheth median as described in the rule Do you remember hearing A. Yes. 	a speeds, traffic, et b to the diagnostic this case, that's the expertise of the road ent is the most appropriate. quiet zones, it's one of des that the local agency ected. Generally unless it's o come in here and e that change at the railroad iet zone crossings with ost recent figure is 324, are installed as an SSM? that Mr. Swan was asked her the railroad requested for a e. g that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 the FRA appears to have generated those risk reduction percentages. Did you read that section? MR. ROBERSON: Okay. This is Mr. Arrington's testimony. It's not Ms. Young's testimony. This is beyond the scope. JUDGE PEARSON: So can you I wasn't listening to exactly what you were referring to because I was looking at the exhibit list. Can you repeat the question? MS. ENDRES: Sure. I just asked if she had reviewed the portion of his testimony where he describes how the FRA apparently generated the risk reduction percentages. I'm just asking at this point if she read that as part of what she reviewed. JUDGE PEARSON: I'll allow it. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. And did Mr. Arrington's pre-file testimony on that topic change staff's position relating to the proposed safety measures that the County has suggested? A. No. The effectiveness ratings are set out in the 	 cetera, et cetera. So it's really up team, or an engineering study in expertise of the railroad and the authority to decide which treatme When you're talking about those options that the FRA provid can pursue whichever one is self a first class city, the entity has to petition the Commission to make crossing. Q. Are you aware of any qui similar ADT counts, here the m where four quadrants gates we A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. And another alternative to about by the County was wheth median as described in the rule Do you remember hearing A. Yes. Q. Is there, to your knowled 	a speeds, traffic, et to to the diagnostic this case, that's the expertise of the road ent is the most appropriate. quiet zones, it's one of des that the local agency ected. Generally unless it's to come in here and that change at the railroad iet zone crossings with ost recent figure is 324, are installed as an SSM? that Mr. Swan was asked her the railroad requested for a se that? Ige, space in the current talled as distinguished from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 the FRA appears to have generated those risk reduction percentages. Did you read that section? MR. ROBERSON: Okay. This is Mr. Arrington's testimony. It's not Ms. Young's testimony. This is beyond the scope. JUDGE PEARSON: So can you I wasn't listening to exactly what you were referring to because I was looking at the exhibit list. Can you repeat the question? MS. ENDRES: Sure. I just asked if she had reviewed the portion of his testimony where he describes how the FRA apparently generated the risk reduction percentages. I'm just asking at this point if she read that as part of what she reviewed. JUDGE PEARSON: I'll allow it. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MS. ENDRES: And did Mr. Arrington's pre-file testimony on that topic change staff's position relating to the proposed safety measures that the County has suggested? A. No. The effectiveness ratings are set out in the CFR so that is the governing rule here. That's the law, 	 cetera, et cetera. So it's really up team, or an engineering study in expertise of the railroad and the authority to decide which treatme When you're talking about those options that the FRA provid can pursue whichever one is self a first class city, the entity has to petition the Commission to make crossing. Q. Are you aware of any qui similar ADT counts, here the m where four quadrants gates we A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. And another alternative to about by the County was wheth median as described in the rule Do you remember hearing A. Yes. Q. Is there, to your knowled roadway for a median to be inst 	a speeds, traffic, et b to the diagnostic this case, that's the expertise of the road ent is the most appropriate. quiet zones, it's one of des that the local agency ected. Generally unless it's b come in here and that change at the railroad iet zone crossings with ost recent figure is 324, are installed as an SSM? that Mr. Swan was asked her the railroad requested for a b. g that? lge, space in the current talled as distinguished from urb?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 the FRA appears to have generated those risk reduction percentages. Did you read that section? MR. ROBERSON: Okay. This is Mr. Arrington's testimony. It's not Ms. Young's testimony. This is beyond the scope. JUDGE PEARSON: So can you I wasn't listening to exactly what you were referring to because I was looking at the exhibit list. Can you repeat the question? MS. ENDRES: Sure. I just asked if she had reviewed the portion of his testimony where he describes how the FRA apparently generated the risk reduction percentages. I'm just asking at this point if she read that as part of what she reviewed. JUDGE PEARSON: I'll allow it. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MS. ENDRES: And did Mr. Arrington's pre-file testimony on that topic change staff's position relating to the proposed safety measures that the County has suggested? A. No. The effectiveness ratings are set out in the CFR so that is the governing rule here. That's the law, so 	 cetera, et cetera. So it's really up team, or an engineering study in expertise of the railroad and the authority to decide which treatme When you're talking about those options that the FRA provid can pursue whichever one is self a first class city, the entity has to petition the Commission to make crossing. Q. Are you aware of any qui similar ADT counts, here the m where four quadrants gates we A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. And another alternative to about by the County was wheth median as described in the rule Do you remember hearing A. Yes. Q. Is there, to your knowled roadway for a median to be ins the non-traversable concrete comparison to about by the county count of the count of the count of the count of the count of the count of the count of the count of the count of the count of the count of the count of the count of the count of the count of the count of th	a speeds, traffic, et b to the diagnostic this case, that's the expertise of the road ent is the most appropriate. quiet zones, it's one of des that the local agency ected. Generally unless it's b come in here and that change at the railroad iet zone crossings with ost recent figure is 324, are installed as an SSM? that Mr. Swan was asked her the railroad requested for a b. g that? lge, space in the current talled as distinguished from urb?

20 (Pages 88 to 91)

	Page 92	Р	age 94
1	Q. Fair enough. And then he was also asked about	1 correct?	
2	wayside horns. Are there quiet zones that you're aware of	2 A. Correct.	
3	with similar configurations to this location where wayside	3 Q. Staff does not select the SSM, correct?	
4	horns were installed as a supplemental safety measure?	4 A. That is correct.	
5	A. Wayside horns are not a supplemental approved	5 Q. So when Ms. Endres asked you about sele	cting the
6	supplemental safety measure. They are a one-for-one	6 appropriate SSM, that's the road authority, that's	-
7	tradeoff for the train horn so the train does not sound its	7 staff, correct?	
8	horn if a wayside horn is installed. So to answer your	8 A. Correct.	
9	question, not that I'm aware of.	9 Q. Okay. In terms of the Quiet Zone Risk Inde	x
10	Q. And wayside horns then sort of defeat the purpose	10 calculator, so you just ran the numbers straight v	vith the
11	of the quiet zone, do they not?	11 FRA defaults?	
12	A. That's my understanding.	12 A. Yes.	
13	Q. That's about all I have but just so I clearly	13 Q. And those FRA defaults are set by the railr	oad for
14	understand: The UTC supports the County's petition as is	14 railroad purposes, correct?	
15	but if the decision was made to install a non-traversable	15 A. The information that's entered into the federal	
16	system, the UTC staff would also support that decision?	16 inventory by the railroad, yes.	
17	A. Yeah. I just want to make sure that we're clear	17 Q. So train counts came from BNSF?	
18	that I'm considered UTC staff. I am not speaking for the	18 A. Yes.	
19	Commission. I am a party to the case just like the other	19 Q. Train speeds came from BNSF?	
20	parties are. So UTC staff would support either treatment at	20 A. Yes.	
21	the crossings. They're both approved SSMs.	21 Q. Any other information dealing with trains c	ame from
22	MS. ENDRES: That's all I have. I appreciate	22 BNSF?	
23	your time.	23 A. Yes.	
24	JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Roberson, do you have any	24 Q. So when you ran BNSF's information you g	jot a QZRI
25	redirect?	that was less than the NSRT?	
	Page 93	D	
1	_		age 95
1	MR. ROBERSON: Can I try the redirect without	1 A. Yes.	age 95
2	MR. ROBERSON: Can I try the redirect without the microphone? I'm having trouble getting the volume. Can	1 A. Yes. 2 MR. ROBERSON: That's all I have.	
2 3	MR. ROBERSON: Can I try the redirect without the microphone? I'm having trouble getting the volume. Can you hear me?	 A. Yes. MR. ROBERSON: That's all I have. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. S 	0
2 3 4	MR. ROBERSON: Can I try the redirect without the microphone? I'm having trouble getting the volume. Can you hear me? JUDGE PEARSON: I can.	 A. Yes. MR. ROBERSON: That's all I have. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. S Ms. Young, I had a question for you, I think you've k 	0
2 3 4 5	MR. ROBERSON: Can I try the redirect without the microphone? I'm having trouble getting the volume. Can you hear me?	 A. Yes. MR. ROBERSON: That's all I have. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. S Ms. Young, I had a question for you, I think you've k addressed it but I just want to clarify. It sounds like 	o ind of
2 3 4 5 6	MR. ROBERSON: Can I try the redirect without the microphone? I'm having trouble getting the volume. Can you hear me? JUDGE PEARSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: Thank you.	 A. Yes. MR. ROBERSON: That's all I have. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. S Ms. Young, I had a question for you, I think you've k addressed it but I just want to clarify. It sounds like staff's position in these proceedings, including Yacht 	o ind of : Club
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. ROBERSON: Can I try the redirect without the microphone? I'm having trouble getting the volume. Can you hear me? JUDGE PEARSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION	 A. Yes. MR. ROBERSON: That's all I have. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. S Ms. Young, I had a question for you, I think you've k addressed it but I just want to clarify. It sounds like staff's position in these proceedings, including Yacht Road so I won't necessarily ask you the same quest 	o ind of : Club ion that I
2 3 4 5 6	MR. ROBERSON: Can I try the redirect without the microphone? I'm having trouble getting the volume. Can you hear me? JUDGE PEARSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBERSON:	 A. Yes. MR. ROBERSON: That's all I have. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. S Ms. Young, I had a question for you, I think you've k addressed it but I just want to clarify. It sounds like staff's position in these proceedings, including Yacht Road so I won't necessarily ask you the same quest asked Mr. Swan, is to defer to the County in what the 	o ind of : Club ion that I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ROBERSON: Can I try the redirect without the microphone? I'm having trouble getting the volume. Can you hear me? JUDGE PEARSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBERSON: Q. So does the FRA require any particular SSM to	 A. Yes. MR. ROBERSON: That's all I have. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. S Ms. Young, I had a question for you, I think you've k addressed it but I just want to clarify. It sounds like staff's position in these proceedings, including Yacht Road so I won't necessarily ask you the same quest asked Mr. Swan, is to defer to the County in what the request is in their petition; is that accurate? 	o ind of : Club ion that I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. ROBERSON: Can I try the redirect without the microphone? I'm having trouble getting the volume. Can you hear me? JUDGE PEARSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBERSON: Q. So does the FRA require any particular SSM to convert a crossing into a quiet zone?	1A. Yes.2MR. ROBERSON: That's all I have.3JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. S4Ms. Young, I had a question for you, I think you've k5addressed it but I just want to clarify. It sounds like6staff's position in these proceedings, including Yacht7Road so I won't necessarily ask you the same quest8asked Mr. Swan, is to defer to the County in what the9request is in their petition; is that accurate?10THE WITNESS: That's correct.	o ind of : Club ion that I eir
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. ROBERSON: Can I try the redirect without the microphone? I'm having trouble getting the volume. Can you hear me? JUDGE PEARSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBERSON: Q. So does the FRA require any particular SSM to convert a crossing into a quiet zone? A. Do they require one? No.	1A. Yes.2MR. ROBERSON: That's all I have.3JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. S4Ms. Young, I had a question for you, I think you've k5addressed it but I just want to clarify. It sounds like6staff's position in these proceedings, including Yacht7Road so I won't necessarily ask you the same quest8asked Mr. Swan, is to defer to the County in what the9request is in their petition; is that accurate?10THE WITNESS: That's correct.11JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And then in you	o ind of : Club ion that I eir
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. ROBERSON: Can I try the redirect without the microphone? I'm having trouble getting the volume. Can you hear me? JUDGE PEARSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBERSON: Q. So does the FRA require any particular SSM to convert a crossing into a quiet zone? A. Do they require one? No. Q. Any particular? Do they select the appropriate SSM	1A. Yes.2MR. ROBERSON: That's all I have.3JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. S4Ms. Young, I had a question for you, I think you've k5addressed it but I just want to clarify. It sounds like6staff's position in these proceedings, including Yacht7Road so I won't necessarily ask you the same quest8asked Mr. Swan, is to defer to the County in what the9request is in their petition; is that accurate?10THE WITNESS: That's correct.11JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And then in you12testimony in your I believe it was in your direct	o ind of : Club ion that I eir ur
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. ROBERSON: Can I try the redirect without the microphone? I'm having trouble getting the volume. Can you hear me? JUDGE PEARSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBERSON: Q. So does the FRA require any particular SSM to convert a crossing into a quiet zone? A. Do they require one? No.	1 A. Yes. 2 MR. ROBERSON: That's all I have. 3 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. S 4 Ms. Young, I had a question for you, I think you've k 5 addressed it but I just want to clarify. It sounds like 6 staff's position in these proceedings, including Yacht 7 Road so I won't necessarily ask you the same quest 8 asked Mr. Swan, is to defer to the County in what the 9 request is in their petition; is that accurate? 10 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 11 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And then in your 12 testimony in your I believe it was in your direct 13 testimony, you testified that in the last 10 years there	o ind of Club ion that I eir our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. ROBERSON: Can I try the redirect without the microphone? I'm having trouble getting the volume. Can you hear me? JUDGE PEARSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBERSON: Q. So does the FRA require any particular SSM to convert a crossing into a quiet zone? A. Do they require one? No. Q. Any particular? Do they select the appropriate SSM for any given crossing?	1 A. Yes. 2 MR. ROBERSON: That's all I have. 3 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. S 4 Ms. Young, I had a question for you, I think you've k 5 addressed it but I just want to clarify. It sounds like 6 staff's position in these proceedings, including Yacht 7 Road so I won't necessarily ask you the same quest 8 asked Mr. Swan, is to defer to the County in what the 9 request is in their petition; is that accurate? 10 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 11 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And then in you 12 testimony in your I believe it was in your direct 13 testimony, you testified that in the last 10 years there 14 haven't been any accidents at the Cliffside Drive croit	o ind of : Club ion that I eir our es
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. ROBERSON: Can I try the redirect without the microphone? I'm having trouble getting the volume. Can you hear me? JUDGE PEARSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBERSON: Q. So does the FRA require any particular SSM to convert a crossing into a quiet zone? A. Do they require one? No. Q. Any particular? Do they select the appropriate SSM for any given crossing? A. No.	1 A. Yes. 2 MR. ROBERSON: That's all I have. 3 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. S 4 Ms. Young, I had a question for you, I think you've k 5 addressed it but I just want to clarify. It sounds like 6 staff's position in these proceedings, including Yacht 7 Road so I won't necessarily ask you the same quest 8 asked Mr. Swan, is to defer to the County in what the 9 request is in their petition; is that accurate? 10 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 11 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And then in you 12 testimony in your I believe it was in your direct 13 testimony, you testified that in the last 10 years there 14 haven't been any accidents at the Cliffside Drive cross	o ind of : Club ion that I eir our es
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. ROBERSON: Can I try the redirect without the microphone? I'm having trouble getting the volume. Can you hear me? JUDGE PEARSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBERSON: Q. So does the FRA require any particular SSM to convert a crossing into a quiet zone? A. Do they require one? No. Q. Any particular? Do they select the appropriate SSM for any given crossing? A. No. Q. So who has the discretion to determine the	1 A. Yes. 2 MR. ROBERSON: That's all I have. 3 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. S 4 Ms. Young, I had a question for you, I think you've k 5 addressed it but I just want to clarify. It sounds like 6 staff's position in these proceedings, including Yacht 7 Road so I won't necessarily ask you the same quest 8 asked Mr. Swan, is to defer to the County in what the 9 request is in their petition; is that accurate? 10 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 11 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And then in you 12 testimony in your I believe it was in your direct 13 testimony, you testified that in the last 10 years there 14 haven't been any accidents at the Cliffside Drive cro 15 Do you know if there have ever been any accidents	o ind of Club ion that I eir our essing. dents
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. ROBERSON: Can I try the redirect without the microphone? I'm having trouble getting the volume. Can you hear me? JUDGE PEARSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBERSON: Q. So does the FRA require any particular SSM to convert a crossing into a quiet zone? A. Do they require one? No. Q. Any particular? Do they select the appropriate SSM for any given crossing? A. No. Q. So who has the discretion to determine the appropriate SSM?	1 A. Yes. 2 MR. ROBERSON: That's all I have. 3 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. S 4 Ms. Young, I had a question for you, I think you've k 5 addressed it but I just want to clarify. It sounds like 6 staff's position in these proceedings, including Yacht 7 Road so I won't necessarily ask you the same quest 8 asked Mr. Swan, is to defer to the County in what the 9 request is in their petition; is that accurate? 10 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 11 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And then in you 12 testimony in your I believe it was in your direct 13 testimony, you testified that in the last 10 years there 14 haven't been any accidents at the Cliffside Drive croid 15 Do you know if there have ever been any accidents 16 at that crossing?	o ind of Club ion that I eir uur essing. dents but I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. ROBERSON: Can I try the redirect without the microphone? I'm having trouble getting the volume. Can you hear me? JUDGE PEARSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBERSON: Q. So does the FRA require any particular SSM to convert a crossing into a quiet zone? A. Do they require one? No. Q. Any particular? Do they select the appropriate SSM for any given crossing? A. No. Q. So who has the discretion to determine the appropriate SSM? A. The party that's applying for the quiet zone.	1 A. Yes. 2 MR. ROBERSON: That's all I have. 3 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. S 4 Ms. Young, I had a question for you, I think you've k 5 addressed it but I just want to clarify. It sounds like 6 staff's position in these proceedings, including Yacht 7 Road so I won't necessarily ask you the same quest 8 asked Mr. Swan, is to defer to the County in what the 9 request is in their petition; is that accurate? 10 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 11 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And then in you 12 testimony in your I believe it was in your direct 13 testimony, you testified that in the last 10 years there 14 haven't been any accidents at the Cliffside Drive crop 15 Do you know if there have ever been any accidents 16 at that crossing? 17 THE WITNESS: I believe there have been	o ind of Club ion that I eir our essing. dents but I at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. ROBERSON: Can I try the redirect without the microphone? I'm having trouble getting the volume. Can you hear me? JUDGE PEARSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBERSON: Q. So does the FRA require any particular SSM to convert a crossing into a quiet zone? A. Do they require one? No. Q. Any particular? Do they select the appropriate SSM for any given crossing? A. No. Q. So who has the discretion to determine the appropriate SSM? A. The party that's applying for the quiet zone. Q. In this case that would be Whatcom County, correct?	1 A. Yes. 2 MR. ROBERSON: That's all I have. 3 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. S 4 Ms. Young, I had a question for you, I think you've k 5 addressed it but I just want to clarify. It sounds like 6 staff's position in these proceedings, including Yacht 7 Road so I won't necessarily ask you the same quest 8 asked Mr. Swan, is to defer to the County in what the 9 request is in their petition; is that accurate? 10 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 11 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And then in you 12 testimony in your I believe it was in your direct 13 testimony, you testified that in the last 10 years there 14 haven't been any accidents at the Cliffside Drive crost 15 Do you know if there have ever been any accidents 16 at that crossing? 17 THE WITNESS: I believe there have been 18 don't have the dates in front of me. It was prior to the	o ind of Club ion that I eir our ssing. dents but I at itory
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. ROBERSON: Can I try the redirect without the microphone? I'm having trouble getting the volume. Can you hear me? JUDGE PEARSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBERSON: Q. So does the FRA require any particular SSM to convert a crossing into a quiet zone? A. Do they require one? No. Q. Any particular? Do they select the appropriate SSM for any given crossing? A. No. Q. So who has the discretion to determine the appropriate SSM? A. The party that's applying for the quiet zone. Q. In this case that would be Whatcom County, correct? A. Correct.	1 A. Yes. 2 MR. ROBERSON: That's all I have. 3 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. S 4 Ms. Young, I had a question for you, I think you've k 5 addressed it but I just want to clarify. It sounds like 6 staff's position in these proceedings, including Yacht 7 Road so I won't necessarily ask you the same quest 8 asked Mr. Swan, is to defer to the County in what the 9 request is in their petition; is that accurate? 10 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 11 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And then in you 12 testimony in your I believe it was in your direct 13 testimony, you testified that in the last 10 years there 14 haven't been any accidents at the Cliffside Drive crop 15 Do you know if there have ever been any accidents 16 at that crossing? 17 THE WITNESS: I believe there have been 18 don't have the dates in front of me. It was prior to the 19 10-year period. We have them in our crossing invertion	o ind of Club ion that I eir our e ssing. dents but I at otory ne.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. ROBERSON: Can I try the redirect without the microphone? I'm having trouble getting the volume. Can you hear me? JUDGE PEARSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBERSON: Q. So does the FRA require any particular SSM to convert a crossing into a quiet zone? A. Do they require one? No. Q. Any particular? Do they select the appropriate SSM for any given crossing? A. No. Q. So who has the discretion to determine the appropriate SSM? A. The party that's applying for the quiet zone. Q. In this case that would be Whatcom County, correct? A. Correct. Q. And so Whatcom County has determined that the	1 A. Yes. 2 MR. ROBERSON: That's all I have. 3 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. S 4 Ms. Young, I had a question for you, I think you've k 5 addressed it but I just want to clarify. It sounds like 6 staff's position in these proceedings, including Yacht 7 Road so I won't necessarily ask you the same quest 8 asked Mr. Swan, is to defer to the County in what the 9 request is in their petition; is that accurate? 10 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 11 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And then in you 12 testimony in your I believe it was in your direct 13 testimony, you testified that in the last 10 years there 14 haven't been any accidents at the Cliffside Drive crop 15 Do you know if there have ever been any accidents 16 at that crossing? 17 THE WITNESS: I believe there have been 18 don't have the dates in front of me. It was prior to that 19 10-year period. We have them in our crossing invertion 20 information at the office. I just don't have that with meta	o ind of Club ion that I eir ur ssing. dents but I at otory ne. u get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ROBERSON: Can I try the redirect without the microphone? I'm having trouble getting the volume. Can you hear me? JUDGE PEARSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBERSON: Q. So does the FRA require any particular SSM to convert a crossing into a quiet zone? A. Do they require one? No. Q. Any particular? Do they select the appropriate SSM for any given crossing? A. No. Q. So who has the discretion to determine the appropriate SSM? A. The party that's applying for the quiet zone. Q. In this case that would be Whatcom County, correct? A. Correct. Q. And so Whatcom County has determined that the appropriate SSM is the mountable medians with channelization	1 A. Yes. 2 MR. ROBERSON: That's all I have. 3 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. S 4 Ms. Young, I had a question for you, I think you've k 5 addressed it but I just want to clarify. It sounds like 6 staff's position in these proceedings, including Yacht 7 Road so I won't necessarily ask you the same quest 8 asked Mr. Swan, is to defer to the County in what the 9 request is in their petition; is that accurate? 10 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 11 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And then in you 12 testimony in your I believe it was in your direct 13 testimony, you testified that in the last 10 years there 14 haven't been any accidents at the Cliffside Drive crop 15 Do you know if there have ever been any accidents 16 at that crossing? 17 THE WITNESS: I believe there have been 18 don't have the dates in front of me. It was prior to that 19 10-year period. We have them in our crossing inver 20 information at the office. I just don't have that with mediate processing inver 21 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So if can you	o ind of Club ion that I eir ur ssing. dents but I at otory ne. u get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. ROBERSON: Can I try the redirect without the microphone? I'm having trouble getting the volume. Can you hear me? JUDGE PEARSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBERSON: Q. So does the FRA require any particular SSM to convert a crossing into a quiet zone? A. Do they require one? No. Q. Any particular? Do they select the appropriate SSM for any given crossing? A. No. Q. So who has the discretion to determine the appropriate SSM? A. The party that's applying for the quiet zone. Q. In this case that would be Whatcom County, correct? A. Correct. Q. And so Whatcom County has determined that the appropriate SSM is the mountable medians with channelization devices, correct?	1 A. Yes. 2 MR. ROBERSON: That's all I have. 3 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. S 4 Ms. Young, I had a question for you, I think you've k 5 addressed it but I just want to clarify. It sounds like 6 staff's position in these proceedings, including Yacht 7 Road so I won't necessarily ask you the same quest 8 asked Mr. Swan, is to defer to the County in what the 9 request is in their petition; is that accurate? 10 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 11 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And then in you 12 testimony in your I believe it was in your direct 13 testimony, you testified that in the last 10 years there 14 haven't been any accidents at the Cliffside Drive crop 15 Do you know if there have ever been any accidents 16 at that crossing? 17 THE WITNESS: I believe there have been 18 don't have the dates in front of me. It was prior to that 10-year period. We have them in our crossing inver 19 10-year period. We have them in our crossing inver 20 information at the office. I just don't have that with m 21 JUDGE PEARSON: Ok	o ind of Club ion that I eir ur ssing. dents but I at otory ne. u get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ROBERSON: Can I try the redirect without the microphone? I'm having trouble getting the volume. Can you hear me? JUDGE PEARSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: I can. MR. ROBERSON: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBERSON: Q. So does the FRA require any particular SSM to convert a crossing into a quiet zone? A. Do they require one? No. Q. Any particular? Do they select the appropriate SSM for any given crossing? A. No. Q. So who has the discretion to determine the appropriate SSM? A. The party that's applying for the quiet zone. Q. In this case that would be Whatcom County, correct? A. Correct. Q. And so Whatcom County has determined that the appropriate SSM is the mountable medians with channelization devices, correct? A. Correct.	1 A. Yes. 2 MR. ROBERSON: That's all I have. 3 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. S 4 Ms. Young, I had a question for you, I think you've k 5 addressed it but I just want to clarify. It sounds like 6 staff's position in these proceedings, including Yacht 7 Road so I won't necessarily ask you the same quest 8 asked Mr. Swan, is to defer to the County in what the 9 request is in their petition; is that accurate? 10 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 11 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And then in you 12 testimony in your I believe it was in your direct 13 testimony, you testified that in the last 10 years there 14 haven't been any accidents at the Cliffside Drive cros 15 Do you know if there have ever been any accidents at that crossing? 17 THE WITNESS: I believe there have been 18 don't have the dates in front of me. It was prior to the 19 10-year period. We have them in our crossing inver 20 information at the office. I just don't have that with m 21 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So if can you 22 that to me, and we'll just mark	o ind of Club ion that I eir our essing. dents but I at ntory ne. u get ust

21 (Pages 92 to 95)

1would be useful, thank you.1CROSS-EXAMINATION2THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.2BY MR. QUINN:	Page 98
³ JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. You are excused. ³ Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Semenick.	Thank you for being
4 Thank you very much. 4 here.	, ,
5 MR. ROBERSON: So just for my purposes, Bench 5 Mr. Semenick, when did you grad	duate from college?
6 Request No. 1 is the accident data at that crossing 6 A. May of 2013.	U
7 JUDGE PEARSON: For all time. 7 Q. How long have you been with Bl	NSF?
8 MR. ROBERSON: March 8th? 8 A. About five and one-quarter years.	
9 JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. If you need longer, 9 Q. And how long have you been in	vour current position
10 just let me know.	,
11 Okay. So we have two witnesses left for 11 A. Since June of 2017 I've been the n	nanager of public
12 cross-examination. Mr. Quinn, this kind of falls on me 12 projects covering British Columbia and W	•
 about how long you think it will take and whether you think Q. Less than two years in that positive 	-
14 we should break for lunch and come back. 14 A. That is correct.	
15 MR. QUINN: I tell you, I don't think I'm 15 Q. How many quiet zone establish	ments in Washington
16 going to request cross-examination of well, I'm just 16 have you been involved with, sir?	nonto in Maonington
17 going to cross Mr. Semenick. Then I'll be done. So I don't 17 A. I have to think for a minute.	
18 anticipate a need to get the other witness on the phone. 18 Q. Okay.	
19 JUDGE PEARSON: And do you think that your 19 A. I'd say about half a dozen.	
20 original time estimate is still accurate? 20 Q. Okay. Do you recall which juris	dictions and
21 MR. QUINN: Yeah, probably less than that, 21 strike that.	
22 quite frankly. 22 In your current position as project	ct manager how
23 JUDGE PEARSON: I'm sorry, Mr. Roberson. You 23 many?	or managor non
24 actually estimated cross for Mr. Semenick as well and do you 24 A. At the tail end of the Yacht Club Ro	oad. several
 have questions for Mr. Arrington? 25 NOIs with the city of Kent, so I guess a sr 	
Page 97	Page 99
1 MR. ROBERSON: I do, but it's no longer than 1 since you said the establishment.	
2 five or ten minutes. 2 Q. So is that two?	
3 JUDGE PEARSON: For each witness? Okay, so 3 A. Two that I'm aware of.	
4 it's noon. If everyone is okay I think we should just press 4 Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that you'	re familiar with
5 on 5 the process that a municipality goes	through to establish a
6 MS. ENDRES: Can we take a five-minute break 6 quiet zone?	
7 so I can touch with Mr. Arrington? 7 A. Yes.	
8 JUDGE PEARSON: Absolutely. So we can take a 8 Q. Are you very familiar?	
9 five-minute break. We'll be in recess until 12:05. 9 A. Fairly familiar. It depends how yo	ou would define
10 (Recess 11:59-12:05.) 10 "very."	
11JUDGE CHARTOFF: We're back on the record.11Q. Well, how familiar do you have	to be in your role,
12The next witness is Mr. Semenick.12in your position with BNSF?	
13A. I would say I am very familiar the	n since I have to
14 STEPHEN SEMENICK, having been first duly sworn, 14 work with them quite closely throughout	t the process.
15testified as follows:15Q. And what is the what is the feature	ederal rule that
16 JUDGE CHARTOFF: Please state your name, 16 governs the establishment of quiet zo	ones, sir?
17spelling your last name for the record.17A. The road authority, in this case V	
18THE WITNESS: Stephen Semenick,18would need to submit a petition, the NO	I, or rather the NOI
19 S-E-M-E-N-I-C-K. 19 to	
20JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you.20Q. And I'm sorry. What is the feder	
20JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you.20Q. And I'm sorry. What is the feder21JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. Endres, do you have any21refer us to the federal rule that government	ins the establishment
20JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you.20Q. And I'm sorry. What is the feder21JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. Endres, do you have any21refer us to the federal rule that gover22preliminaries or is he available for cross?22of quiet zones?	ns the establishment
20JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you.20Q. And I'm sorry. What is the feder21JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. Endres, do you have any21refer us to the federal rule that gover22preliminaries or is he available for cross?22of quiet zones?23MS. ENDRES: He's available.23A. The CFR part 222.	
20JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you.20Q. And I'm sorry. What is the feder21JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. Endres, do you have any21refer us to the federal rule that gover22preliminaries or is he available for cross?22of quiet zones?	's marked as CS-7.

22 (Pages 96 to 99)

	Page 100		Page 102
1	attention to it so you get situated.	1	Q. Okay. We'll come back to that.
2	This is the rule that you're familiar with	2	Now, you're familiar with how a public authority
3	regarding the establishment of quiet zones; is that correct?	3	can establish a quiet zone in its own jurisdiction, correct?
4	A. Once I get there I'll	4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Okay. Take your time.	5	Q. What section of the rule outlines those procedures?
6	A. Yes, that is correct. This is what I'm familiar	6	And actually I'll direct you. It is at Section 22.39. It
7	with.	7	would be on Page upper left-hand corner, Page, I believe
8	Q. Okay. And why is it important that you be familiar	8	it's 21894, if that's going to help, Section 22.39.
9	with this rule as the representative for BNSF?	9	A. Yes. that is correct.
10	A. As the representative for BNSF I'm responsible on	10	Q. Okay. And you agree with me that a public
11	the railroad's behalf to ensure that the road authority that	11	authority can designate a quiet zone pursuant to this rule,
12		12	correct?
	follows this process does so in the correct manner.		
13	Q. You're aware Whatcom County is currently seeking to	13	A. Correct.
14	establish a quiet zone at Cliffside Drive; is that correct?	14	Q. Okay. And what does that mean that a public
15	A. That is correct.	15	authority, or in this case the County, can simply designate
16	Q. And you're familiar with the Cliffside Drive	16	a quiet zone? What does that mean?
17	crossing; is that correct?	17	A. That means that the County follows the required
18	A. That is also correct.	18	processes to establish a quiet zone that they made use of.
19	Q. How many times have you been to the crossing, sir?	19	Q. Without having to apply with the FRA; is that
20	A. Five or six times.	20	correct?
21	Q. Will you please describe for the Court, describe	21	A. That is not correct.
22	the proposed quiet zone area and the surrounding	22	Q. Okay. Could you review the rule and tell me where
23	environments?	23	it requires that the public authority actually apply for a
24	A. The proposed quiet zone area consists of the	24	designation quiet zone?
25	Cliffside Drive railroad crossing which leads into the	25	A. The first sentence of 222.39.
	Page 101		Page 103
1	residential area with approximately 44 residents. The	1	Q. Can you read that, please?
2	crossing is currently equipped with crossing gates, flashing	2	A. It describes, "A quiet zone may be designated by a
3	lights, bells. Would you like me to go into further detail	3	public authority without the need for formal application to
4	or is that	4	get an approval by the FRA."
5	Q. What's the current QZ excuse me what's the	5	Q. Thank you. And under what circumstances can the
6	current risk index there with the horns being sounded, the	6	County do that without even applying to the FRA formally?
7	horns sounding?	7	Because I think it's going to be the next three paragraphs.
8	A. I would have to reference the	8	Feel free to under what circumstances can the County
9	Q. If that would help refresh your recollection, by	9	designate a quiet zone without formal application?
10	all means.	10	A. (Witness reviewing document.)
11	A. Is there a specific exhibit where it's located?	11	Q. I'll direct you to Paragraph No. 1. What's the
12	Q. Oh, so you're not familiar with the current risk	12	first way they can do that?
13	index of the Cliffside Drive crossing?	13	MS. ENDRES: Your Honor, I'm just going to
14	MS. ENDRES: Your Honor, he's simply asking	14	object and say this rule obviously speaks for itself. If
15	to be directed to an exhibit to refresh his memory.	15	we're just going to walk through Mr. Semenick reading what's
16	MR. QUINN: I'm just asking if he knows.	16	here and already been submitted as an exhibit for the Court
17	MS. ENDRES: That's argumentative. It's not	17	to look at it, then I think argumentative, the fact we're
18	necessary.	18	going to sit through and read what it says.
19	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Just please do direct	19	JUDGE PEARSON: Do you want to respond to
20	him to the exhibit. I think everyone in this room knows	20	that, Mr. Quinn?
21	what that number is.	21	MR. QUINN: I can move things along a little
22	BY MR. QUINN:	22	bit quickly, but I think I'm allowed to inquire as to the
23	Q. Let me just ask you outright: Do you know what the	23	basis for the County's application and what constitutes
24	current risk index is for the Cliffside Drive crossing?	24	proper grounds for establishing a quiet zone to specifically
25	A. Not off the top of my head.	25	include what the County's petitioning the UTC to do here. I

23 (Pages 100 to 103)

Page 104		Page 106
think it's completely material. This is the rule that we	1	formally applying to the Federal Railroad Administration?
have to scrutinize and the Commission has to consider.	2	A. They would.
JUDGE PEARSON: Can you ask your questions a	3	Q. Now, let's assume we strike that.
bit more generally then?	4	Let's move on a little bit from there.
MR. QUINN: Sure, I will. Thank you.	5	So assuming that, again, using Mr. Swan's numbers
BY MR. QUINN:	6	and there's a QZRI below the national risk, Nationwide
Q. Mr. Semenick, isn't it true that a quiet zone can	7	excuse me Nationwide Significant Risk Threshold, there is
be established by simply implementing that every public	8	no requirement that the County install SSMs; do you agree
highway, railway crossing within the quiet zone either one	9	with me on that?
or more of the SSMs identified in the rule?	10	A. Based off of Mr. Swan's calculations I agree.
A. That is correct.	11	Q. But under this scenario, even though the County
Q. Okay. And that's what the County's proposing to do	12	doesn't have to do that, they are seeking to install such;
here; isn't that correct?	13	isn't that correct?
A. That is correct.	14	A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. So in fact, we're in compliance with that	15	Q. Okay. And you're aware that the UTC supports
first requirement of the final rule which allows the County	16	Whatcom County's petition to install these SSMs?
to establish a quiet zone with no formal application to the	17	A. I am aware, that's correct.
FRA, correct?	18	Q. Okay. And do you have a compelling reason or does
A. That is correct.	19	BNSF have a compelling reason for requiring or for
Q. And the final rule actually deems the use of an SSM	20	requesting for requesting that the County utilize an SSM
such as the one the County's proposing as basically per se	21	other than the one they propose?
sufficient to establish a quiet zone.	22	A. That request is based off of the primarily the
Do you agree with me?	23	FRA's risk reduction calculation which shows nonmountable
A. Can you repeat the question?	24	medians are 5 percent, have a 5 percent greater reduction
Q. Okay. Under that provision, the FRA is saying	25	than the nonmountables. Additionally, BNSF believed that
Page 105		Page 107
that, hey, if you have an SSM in the quiet zone, at every	1	the maintenance required for the channelization devices
crossing in the quiet zone you're per se, you can per se	2	along a mountable median could present problems as once
establish that quiet zone, it's per se safe enough to	3	the quiet zone is established.
establish a quiet zone; isn't that correct?	4	Q. So just so I understand, it's twofold, No. 1, you
A. That is correct.	5	think the 5 percent increase in safety requires the County
Q. Okay. And that would be the status in the current	6	to install a nonmountable curb versus a mountable curb,
case here in Whatcom County at Cliffside Drive, right?	7	No. 1, correct?
A. Correct.		MS. ENDRES: Your Honor, that's misconstruing
Q. Okay. Paragraph 2 talks about a second way the	9	his question. The question was whether there's a compelling
County can establish a quiet zone without formal application	10	reason, not whether the County is required. He's
		misconstruing the witness's testimony.
may be established if the Nationwide Significant Risk	12	JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Quinn, do you want to
Threshold," right, "is at or below the Nationwide	13	respond?
Significant Risk Threshold."		MR. QUINN: I'll rephrase.
		JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you.
•	16	BY MR. QUINN:
A. Depending on how you inputted what parameters you	17	Q. Mr. Semenick, you gave a twofold response to the
utilize in the quiet zone calculator.	18	compelling reason why the railroad is objecting to Whatcom
Q. Fair enough. The two calculations that were	19	County's proposed use of a nonmountable curb; is that
performed by Mr. Swan, do you agree with me that in those	20	correct?
	1 01	A. That's correct.
cases it came in under the Nationwide Significant Risk	21	
Threshold?	22	Q. One was the 5 percent difference in safety in risk
Threshold? A. Yes, I agree.	22 23	Q. One was the 5 percent difference in safety in risk reduction; is that correct?
Threshold?	22	Q. One was the 5 percent difference in safety in risk
	 think it's completely material. This is the rule that we have to scrutinize and the Commission has to consider. JUDGE PEARSON: Can you ask your questions a bit more generally then? M.R. QUINN: Sure, I will. Thank you. BY MR. QUINN: G. Mr. Semenick, isn't it true that a quiet zone can be established by simply implementing that every public highway, railway crossing within the quiet zone either one or more of the SSMs identified in the rule? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And that's what the County's proposing to do here; isn't that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Okay. So in fact, we're in compliance with that first requirement of the final rule which allows the County to establish a quiet zone with no formal application to the FRA, correct? A. That is correct. Q. And the final rule actually deems the use of an SSM such as the one the County's proposing as basically per se sufficient to establish a quiet zone. Do you agree with me? A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Okay. Under that provision, the FRA is saying Page 105 that, hey, if you have an SSM in the quiet zone, at every crossing in the quiet zone, you're per se, you can per se establish that quiet zone, it's per se safe enough to establish a quiet zone you're per se, you can per se establish that quiet zone, it's per se safe enough to establish that quiet zone, it's per se safe enough to establish that quiet zone, it's per se safe enough to establish a quiet zone without formal application to the - and approval by the FRA. It says, ''A quiet zone may be established if the Nationwide Significant Risk Threshold." Do you agree with me that currently Cliffside Drive is elow that Nationwide Significant Risk Threshold. Do you agree with me that currently Cliffside Drive is per se you can per se establish a quiet zone, it's per se safe enough to establish a quiet zone, it's per se safe enough to to the - and approva	think it's completely material. This is the rule that we have to scrutinize and the Cormission has to consider. JUDGE PEARSON: Can you ask your questions a bit more generally then? MR. QUINN: Sure, I will. Thank you. BY MR. QUINN: Sure, I will. Thank you. BY MR. QUINN: Sure, I will. Thank you. BY MR. QUINN:

24 (Pages 104 to 107)

	Page 108		Page 110
1	A. Per what the FRA publishes, yes, it's 5 percent.	1	Q. Okay. So really not similar to what we're dealing
2	Q. And the second part of your the compelling	2	with here; is that fair to say?
3	reason was concerns over maintenance of a mountable system	3	A. To an extent, yes.
4	and channelization devices?	4	Q. You heard Mr. Swan testify that the current risk
5	A. Primarily the channelization devices as they may	5	index with train horns at Cliffside Drive is 8296. Any
6	present maintenance and safety issues for drivers if a piece	6	reason to doubt that representation, doubt that testimony?
7	of a delineator or channelization device rather were to	7	A. No.
8	fracture off if it was impacted.	8	Q. And let me ask you this: Has BNSF invested in any
9	Q. Have you discussed these concerns with Whatcom	9	additional safety measures to reduce this number, that
10	County Public Works?	10	current number?
11	A. Yes.	11	A. So may I respond to the previous question?
12	Q. Have they allayed any of those concerns during your	12	Mr. Swan's QZRI for
13	discussions about that?	13	Q. I didn't ask about the QZRI. I asked about the
14	A. Can you rephrase?	14	sorry.
15	Q. Have they allayed, have they did they share your	15	MS. ENDRES: I apologize.
16	concerns about maintenance and upkeep and possible risk to	16	BY MR. QUINN:
17	drivers in this community related to a mountable system?	17	Q. No, I'm asking about the current Risk Index With
18	A. To an extent, and they additionally discussed their	18	Horns at Cliffside Drive.
19	proposed maintenance and inspection to mitigate those risks.	19	A. Okay.
20	Q. So they in other words, they addressed your	20	Q. Not the QZRI. QZRI
21	concern? They represented, Hey, we're going to keep up on	21	A. No concern then.
22	this and we're going to maintain them?	22	Q. Okay. So has BNSF invested in any additional
23	A. They provided a response. I wouldn't say	23	safety measures to reduce the current risk index at that
24	necessarily it addressed my overall concerns.	24	crossing to date?
25	Q. Okay. But you also heard the testimony of Mr. Swan	25	A. So you're asking if BNSF, since the diagnostic
	Page 109		
			Page 111
1	-		Page 111
1	today as far as the County's intentions to maintain their	1	meeting, has invested in the crossing infrastructure
2	today as far as the County's intentions to maintain their roads and maintain their SSMs such as the one that will be	2	meeting, has invested in the crossing infrastructure maintenance.
2 3	today as far as the County's intentions to maintain their roads and maintain their SSMs such as the one that will be out at Cliffside Drive?	2 3	meeting, has invested in the crossing infrastructure maintenance. Q. No, at any time even before that. What investments
2 3 4	today as far as the County's intentions to maintain their roads and maintain their SSMs such as the one that will be out at Cliffside Drive? A. And that included a month initially a monthly	2 3 4	meeting, has invested in the crossing infrastructure maintenance. Q. No, at any time even before that. What investments has BNSF made to reduce the current risk at that crossing?
2 3 4 5	today as far as the County's intentions to maintain their roads and maintain their SSMs such as the one that will be out at Cliffside Drive? A. And that included a month initially a monthly inspection and increasing frequency based on performance?	2 3 4 5	 meeting, has invested in the crossing infrastructure maintenance. Q. No, at any time even before that. What investments has BNSF made to reduce the current risk at that crossing? A. At some point in time I would believe that we
2 3 4 5 6	today as far as the County's intentions to maintain their roads and maintain their SSMs such as the one that will be out at Cliffside Drive? A. And that included a month initially a monthly inspection and increasing frequency based on performance? Q. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6	 meeting, has invested in the crossing infrastructure maintenance. Q. No, at any time even before that. What investments has BNSF made to reduce the current risk at that crossing? A. At some point in time I would believe that we installed the active signals, the flashing lights, gates,
2 3 4 5 6 7	 today as far as the County's intentions to maintain their roads and maintain their SSMs such as the one that will be out at Cliffside Drive? A. And that included a month initially a monthly inspection and increasing frequency based on performance? Q. Yes. A. I understand that was their plan. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 meeting, has invested in the crossing infrastructure maintenance. Q. No, at any time even before that. What investments has BNSF made to reduce the current risk at that crossing? A. At some point in time I would believe that we installed the active signals, the flashing lights, gates, the advanced warning system.
2 3 4 5 6	 today as far as the County's intentions to maintain their roads and maintain their SSMs such as the one that will be out at Cliffside Drive? A. And that included a month initially a monthly inspection and increasing frequency based on performance? Q. Yes. A. I understand that was their plan. Q. And do you have any reason to doubt that they'll 	2 3 4 5 6	 meeting, has invested in the crossing infrastructure maintenance. Q. No, at any time even before that. What investments has BNSF made to reduce the current risk at that crossing? A. At some point in time I would believe that we installed the active signals, the flashing lights, gates, the advanced warning system. Q. Anything after that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 today as far as the County's intentions to maintain their roads and maintain their SSMs such as the one that will be out at Cliffside Drive? A. And that included a month initially a monthly inspection and increasing frequency based on performance? Q. Yes. A. I understand that was their plan. Q. And do you have any reason to doubt that they'll address their address their roads and address their SSMs 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 meeting, has invested in the crossing infrastructure maintenance. Q. No, at any time even before that. What investments has BNSF made to reduce the current risk at that crossing? A. At some point in time I would believe that we installed the active signals, the flashing lights, gates, the advanced warning system. Q. Anything after that? A. We perform periodic maintenance and inspections and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 today as far as the County's intentions to maintain their roads and maintain their SSMs such as the one that will be out at Cliffside Drive? A. And that included a month initially a monthly inspection and increasing frequency based on performance? Q. Yes. A. I understand that was their plan. Q. And do you have any reason to doubt that they'll address their address their roads and address their SSMs they represent? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 meeting, has invested in the crossing infrastructure maintenance. Q. No, at any time even before that. What investments has BNSF made to reduce the current risk at that crossing? A. At some point in time I would believe that we installed the active signals, the flashing lights, gates, the advanced warning system. Q. Anything after that? A. We perform periodic maintenance and inspections and we will update equipment based on industry standards and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 today as far as the County's intentions to maintain their roads and maintain their SSMs such as the one that will be out at Cliffside Drive? A. And that included a month initially a monthly inspection and increasing frequency based on performance? Q. Yes. A. I understand that was their plan. Q. And do you have any reason to doubt that they'll address their address their roads and address their SSMs they represent? A. I do based on performance of channelization devices 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 meeting, has invested in the crossing infrastructure maintenance. Q. No, at any time even before that. What investments has BNSF made to reduce the current risk at that crossing? A. At some point in time I would believe that we installed the active signals, the flashing lights, gates, the advanced warning system. Q. Anything after that? A. We perform periodic maintenance and inspections and we will update equipment based on industry standards and internal BNSF standards.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 today as far as the County's intentions to maintain their roads and maintain their SSMs such as the one that will be out at Cliffside Drive? A. And that included a month initially a monthly inspection and increasing frequency based on performance? Q. Yes. A. I understand that was their plan. Q. And do you have any reason to doubt that they'll address their address their roads and address their SSMs they represent? A. I do based on performance of channelization devices on mountable medians and other jurisdictions throughout 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 meeting, has invested in the crossing infrastructure maintenance. Q. No, at any time even before that. What investments has BNSF made to reduce the current risk at that crossing? A. At some point in time I would believe that we installed the active signals, the flashing lights, gates, the advanced warning system. Q. Anything after that? A. We perform periodic maintenance and inspections and we will update equipment based on industry standards and internal BNSF standards. Q. Okay. So let's talk a little bit about the risk
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 today as far as the County's intentions to maintain their roads and maintain their SSMs such as the one that will be out at Cliffside Drive? A. And that included a month initially a monthly inspection and increasing frequency based on performance? Q. Yes. A. I understand that was their plan. Q. And do you have any reason to doubt that they'll address their address their roads and address their SSMs they represent? A. I do based on performance of channelization devices on mountable medians and other jurisdictions throughout Washington where I've frequently seen a number of missing 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 meeting, has invested in the crossing infrastructure maintenance. Q. No, at any time even before that. What investments has BNSF made to reduce the current risk at that crossing? A. At some point in time I would believe that we installed the active signals, the flashing lights, gates, the advanced warning system. Q. Anything after that? A. We perform periodic maintenance and inspections and we will update equipment based on industry standards and internal BNSF standards. Q. Okay. So let's talk a little bit about the risk index calculator. You indicate that you ran numbers for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 today as far as the County's intentions to maintain their roads and maintain their SSMs such as the one that will be out at Cliffside Drive? A. And that included a month initially a monthly inspection and increasing frequency based on performance? Q. Yes. A. I understand that was their plan. Q. And do you have any reason to doubt that they'll address their address their roads and address their SSMs they represent? A. I do based on performance of channelization devices on mountable medians and other jurisdictions throughout Washington where I've frequently seen a number of missing "paddles" as I'll call them. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 meeting, has invested in the crossing infrastructure maintenance. Q. No, at any time even before that. What investments has BNSF made to reduce the current risk at that crossing? A. At some point in time I would believe that we installed the active signals, the flashing lights, gates, the advanced warning system. Q. Anything after that? A. We perform periodic maintenance and inspections and we will update equipment based on industry standards and internal BNSF standards. Q. Okay. So let's talk a little bit about the risk index calculator. You indicate that you ran numbers for Cliffside Drive; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 today as far as the County's intentions to maintain their roads and maintain their SSMs such as the one that will be out at Cliffside Drive? A. And that included a month initially a monthly inspection and increasing frequency based on performance? Q. Yes. A. I understand that was their plan. Q. And do you have any reason to doubt that they'll address their address their roads and address their SSMs they represent? A. I do based on performance of channelization devices on mountable medians and other jurisdictions throughout Washington where I've frequently seen a number of missing "paddles" as I'll call them. Q. Sure. And these are at crossings, railroad 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 meeting, has invested in the crossing infrastructure maintenance. Q. No, at any time even before that. What investments has BNSF made to reduce the current risk at that crossing? A. At some point in time I would believe that we installed the active signals, the flashing lights, gates, the advanced warning system. Q. Anything after that? A. We perform periodic maintenance and inspections and we will update equipment based on industry standards and internal BNSF standards. Q. Okay. So let's talk a little bit about the risk index calculator. You indicate that you ran numbers for Cliffside Drive; is that correct? A. That is correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 today as far as the County's intentions to maintain their roads and maintain their SSMs such as the one that will be out at Cliffside Drive? A. And that included a month initially a monthly inspection and increasing frequency based on performance? Q. Yes. A. I understand that was their plan. Q. And do you have any reason to doubt that they'll address their address their roads and address their SSMs they represent? A. I do based on performance of channelization devices on mountable medians and other jurisdictions throughout Washington where I've frequently seen a number of missing "paddles" as I'll call them. Q. Sure. And these are at crossings, railroad crossings? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 meeting, has invested in the crossing infrastructure maintenance. Q. No, at any time even before that. What investments has BNSF made to reduce the current risk at that crossing? A. At some point in time I would believe that we installed the active signals, the flashing lights, gates, the advanced warning system. Q. Anything after that? A. We perform periodic maintenance and inspections and we will update equipment based on industry standards and internal BNSF standards. Q. Okay. So let's talk a little bit about the risk index calculator. You indicate that you ran numbers for Cliffside Drive; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. You used a different number of average daily trips,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 today as far as the County's intentions to maintain their roads and maintain their SSMs such as the one that will be out at Cliffside Drive? A. And that included a month initially a monthly inspection and increasing frequency based on performance? Q. Yes. A. I understand that was their plan. Q. And do you have any reason to doubt that they'll address their address their roads and address their SSMs they represent? A. I do based on performance of channelization devices on mountable medians and other jurisdictions throughout Washington where I've frequently seen a number of missing "paddles" as I'll call them. Q. Sure. And these are at crossings, railroad crossings? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 meeting, has invested in the crossing infrastructure maintenance. Q. No, at any time even before that. What investments has BNSF made to reduce the current risk at that crossing? A. At some point in time I would believe that we installed the active signals, the flashing lights, gates, the advanced warning system. Q. Anything after that? A. We perform periodic maintenance and inspections and we will update equipment based on industry standards and internal BNSF standards. Q. Okay. So let's talk a little bit about the risk index calculator. You indicate that you ran numbers for Cliffside Drive; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. You used a different number of average daily trips, ADT number when you ran your calculations; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 today as far as the County's intentions to maintain their roads and maintain their SSMs such as the one that will be out at Cliffside Drive? A. And that included a month initially a monthly inspection and increasing frequency based on performance? Q. Yes. A. I understand that was their plan. Q. And do you have any reason to doubt that they'll address their address their roads and address their SSMs they represent? A. I do based on performance of channelization devices on mountable medians and other jurisdictions throughout Washington where I've frequently seen a number of missing "paddles" as I'll call them. Q. Sure. And these are at crossings, railroad crossings? A. Yes. Q. And where are the ADTs at those locations then? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 meeting, has invested in the crossing infrastructure maintenance. Q. No, at any time even before that. What investments has BNSF made to reduce the current risk at that crossing? A. At some point in time I would believe that we installed the active signals, the flashing lights, gates, the advanced warning system. Q. Anything after that? A. We perform periodic maintenance and inspections and we will update equipment based on industry standards and internal BNSF standards. Q. Okay. So let's talk a little bit about the risk index calculator. You indicate that you ran numbers for Cliffside Drive; is that correct? A. That is correct. A. You used a different number of average daily trips, ADT number when you ran your calculations; is that correct? A. That is correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 today as far as the County's intentions to maintain their roads and maintain their SSMs such as the one that will be out at Cliffside Drive? A. And that included a month initially a monthly inspection and increasing frequency based on performance? Q. Yes. A. I understand that was their plan. Q. And do you have any reason to doubt that they'll address their address their roads and address their SSMs they represent? A. I do based on performance of channelization devices on mountable medians and other jurisdictions throughout Washington where I've frequently seen a number of missing "paddles" as I'll call them. Q. Sure. And these are at crossings, railroad crossings? A. Yes. Q. And where are the ADTs at those locations then? A. I'm not aware of what the ADTs are. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 meeting, has invested in the crossing infrastructure maintenance. Q. No, at any time even before that. What investments has BNSF made to reduce the current risk at that crossing? A. At some point in time I would believe that we installed the active signals, the flashing lights, gates, the advanced warning system. Q. Anything after that? A. We perform periodic maintenance and inspections and we will update equipment based on industry standards and internal BNSF standards. Q. Okay. So let's talk a little bit about the risk index calculator. You indicate that you ran numbers for Cliffside Drive; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. Wou used a different number of average daily trips, ADT number when you ran your calculations; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. What number did you use?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 today as far as the County's intentions to maintain their roads and maintain their SSMs such as the one that will be out at Cliffside Drive? A. And that included a month initially a monthly inspection and increasing frequency based on performance? Q. Yes. A. I understand that was their plan. Q. And do you have any reason to doubt that they'll address their address their roads and address their SSMs they represent? A. I do based on performance of channelization devices on mountable medians and other jurisdictions throughout Washington where I've frequently seen a number of missing "paddles" as I'll call them. Q. Sure. And these are at crossings, railroad crossings? A. Yes. Q. And where are the ADTs at those locations then? A. I'm not aware of what the ADTs are. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 meeting, has invested in the crossing infrastructure maintenance. Q. No, at any time even before that. What investments has BNSF made to reduce the current risk at that crossing? A. At some point in time I would believe that we installed the active signals, the flashing lights, gates, the advanced warning system. Q. Anything after that? A. We perform periodic maintenance and inspections and we will update equipment based on industry standards and internal BNSF standards. Q. Okay. So let's talk a little bit about the risk index calculator. You indicate that you ran numbers for Cliffside Drive; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. You used a different number of average daily trips, ADT number when you ran your calculations; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. What number did you use? A. For the ADT I used the prepopulated value of 450.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 today as far as the County's intentions to maintain their roads and maintain their SSMs such as the one that will be out at Cliffside Drive? A. And that included a month initially a monthly inspection and increasing frequency based on performance? Q. Yes. A. I understand that was their plan. Q. And do you have any reason to doubt that they'll address their address their roads and address their SSMs they represent? A. I do based on performance of channelization devices on mountable medians and other jurisdictions throughout Washington where I've frequently seen a number of missing "paddles" as I'll call them. Q. Sure. And these are at crossings, railroad crossings? A. Yes. Q. And where are the ADTs at those locations then? A. I'm not aware of what the ADTs are. Q. Can you describe the neighborhoods that these crossings service? Are they through traffic, if you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 meeting, has invested in the crossing infrastructure maintenance. Q. No, at any time even before that. What investments has BNSF made to reduce the current risk at that crossing? A. At some point in time I would believe that we installed the active signals, the flashing lights, gates, the advanced warning system. Q. Anything after that? A. We perform periodic maintenance and inspections and we will update equipment based on industry standards and internal BNSF standards. Q. Okay. So let's talk a little bit about the risk index calculator. You indicate that you ran numbers for Cliffside Drive; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. You used a different number of average daily trips, ADT number when you ran your calculations; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. What number did you use? A. For the ADT I used the prepopulated value of 450. Q. And you said it's a prepopulated value. Did you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 today as far as the County's intentions to maintain their roads and maintain their SSMs such as the one that will be out at Cliffside Drive? A. And that included a month initially a monthly inspection and increasing frequency based on performance? Q. Yes. A. I understand that was their plan. Q. And do you have any reason to doubt that they'll address their address their roads and address their SSMs they represent? A. I do based on performance of channelization devices on mountable medians and other jurisdictions throughout Washington where I've frequently seen a number of missing "paddles" as I'll call them. Q. Sure. And these are at crossings, railroad crossings? A. Yes. Q. And where are the ADTs at those locations then? A. I'm not aware of what the ADTs are. Q. Can you describe the neighborhoods that these crossings service? Are they through traffic, if you describe them more in detail? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 meeting, has invested in the crossing infrastructure maintenance. Q. No, at any time even before that. What investments has BNSF made to reduce the current risk at that crossing? A. At some point in time I would believe that we installed the active signals, the flashing lights, gates, the advanced warning system. Q. Anything after that? A. We perform periodic maintenance and inspections and we will update equipment based on industry standards and internal BNSF standards. Q. Okay. So let's talk a little bit about the risk index calculator. You indicate that you ran numbers for Cliffside Drive; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. What number did you use? A. For the ADT I used the prepopulated value of 450. Q. And you said it's a prepopulated value. Did you have any personal knowledge of the number of ADTs?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 today as far as the County's intentions to maintain their roads and maintain their SSMs such as the one that will be out at Cliffside Drive? A. And that included a month initially a monthly inspection and increasing frequency based on performance? Q. Yes. A. I understand that was their plan. Q. And do you have any reason to doubt that they'll address their address their roads and address their SSMs they represent? A. I do based on performance of channelization devices on mountable medians and other jurisdictions throughout Washington where I've frequently seen a number of missing "paddles" as I'll call them. Q. Sure. And these are at crossings, railroad crossings? A. Yes. Q. And where are the ADTs at those locations then? A. I'm not aware of what the ADTs are. Q. Can you describe the neighborhoods that these crossings service? Are they through traffic, if you describe them more in detail? A. It's through traffic in a city's downtown area. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 meeting, has invested in the crossing infrastructure maintenance. Q. No, at any time even before that. What investments has BNSF made to reduce the current risk at that crossing? A. At some point in time I would believe that we installed the active signals, the flashing lights, gates, the advanced warning system. Q. Anything after that? A. We perform periodic maintenance and inspections and we will update equipment based on industry standards and internal BNSF standards. Q. Okay. So let's talk a little bit about the risk index calculator. You indicate that you ran numbers for Cliffside Drive; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. What number did you use? A. For the ADT I used the prepopulated value of 450. Q. And you said it's a prepopulated value. Did you have any personal knowledge of the number of ADTs? A. I did not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 today as far as the County's intentions to maintain their roads and maintain their SSMs such as the one that will be out at Cliffside Drive? A. And that included a month initially a monthly inspection and increasing frequency based on performance? Q. Yes. A. I understand that was their plan. Q. And do you have any reason to doubt that they'll address their address their roads and address their SSMs they represent? A. I do based on performance of channelization devices on mountable medians and other jurisdictions throughout Washington where I've frequently seen a number of missing "paddles" as I'll call them. Q. Sure. And these are at crossings, railroad crossings? A. Yes. Q. And where are the ADTs at those locations then? A. I'm not aware of what the ADTs are. Q. Can you describe the neighborhoods that these crossings service? Are they through traffic, if you describe them more in detail? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 meeting, has invested in the crossing infrastructure maintenance. Q. No, at any time even before that. What investments has BNSF made to reduce the current risk at that crossing? A. At some point in time I would believe that we installed the active signals, the flashing lights, gates, the advanced warning system. Q. Anything after that? A. We perform periodic maintenance and inspections and we will update equipment based on industry standards and internal BNSF standards. Q. Okay. So let's talk a little bit about the risk index calculator. You indicate that you ran numbers for Cliffside Drive; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. What number did you use? A. For the ADT I used the prepopulated value of 450. Q. And you said it's a prepopulated value. Did you have any personal knowledge of the number of ADTs?

25 (Pages 108 to 111)

Page 112	Page 11
1 A. BNSF did not and does not.	1 50 percent reduction, correct?
2 Q. So why did you use 450 as opposed to the 324 that	2 A. That is correct.
3 Mr. Swan used based on a week-long traffic study?	³ Q. And it's well below, that 50 percent reduction is
4 A. The value of 450 was the current value. I was not	4 well below the Nation excuse me the Nationwide
5 aware of the 324. I completed the study back in before	5 Significant Risk Threshold, isn't it, the 14,723?
6 that information was available.	6 A. That is correct.
7 Q. You mean you conducted your calculation before?	7 Q. Okay. And in fact that 50 percent reduction based
8 A. Yes.	8 on your numbers, right, well qualifies Cliffside Drive for
9 Q. So there's really no basis for using the 450 ADT	9 quiet zone consideration, right?
10 other than that was a prepopulated figure in the calculator?	10 A. It would qualify Cliffside Drive for a quiet zone.
11 A. That is correct.	11 Q. And in fact, so much so that the County wouldn't
12 Q. Okay. But let's utilize your number, though.	12 even have to formally apply to the FRA for its
Using your 450 ADT, what is the QZRI for Cliffside Drive?	13 establishment; isn't that correct?
A. Using the 450 ADT I came up with a value of	14 A. That is correct.
15 15,707.4 l believe .	15 Q. Do you agree that the County's best situated to
16 Q. And that's clearly above the Nationwide Significant	16 deal with its county roadways, its road projects, its
17 Risk Threshold; isn't that correct?	17 responsibilities, if you will, to its motoring public? Do
18 A. That is correct.	18 you agree with me on that?
19 Q. Okay. And by that number, what does that number	19 A. Can you rephrase to make sure I understand that the
20 represent? Does that represent the risk index without	20 County would be the best
21 horns?	21 Q. Best situated, in the best position to address its
22 A. Yes.	22 road needs.
23 Q. Okay. Does it consider any SSMs at that point?	A. As compared to?
A. It does not.	Q. Well, for example, let's say a railroad.
25 Q. Okay. So what I'd like to do is ask you: Well,	A. They would be as compared to BNSF.
	Dana 11
Page 113	Page 11
1 can you reduce that QZRI that you used by 75 percent for me?	1 Q. Okay. Is BNSF involved in county road projects as
2 A. You can.	2 far as you know up here in Whatcom County?
3 Q. No.	3 A. To an extent. If it involves a UTC petition
4 A. Can I?	 adjacent to a railroad crossing we would be, otherwise we would not be.
5 Q. Can you?	
6 A. Not without a calculator.	6 Q. Fair enough. What about regular maintenance,
7 Q. Did you calculate it?	7 regular inspections, regular again of the roadways, the
8 A. Did I? 9 O. Yeah	 approaches in particular? Are they involved in that aspect A. Of routine maintenance and inspection, we are not
10 A. I have.	10 Q. Does Whatcom County get to tell the railroad how to 11 maintain its tracks in its area that it's legally
11 Q. You have. So you know what the resulting QZRI is	
12 if you reduce excuse me. You'd know what the resulting	
13 QZRI would be if you reduce that 15,707 number by	13 A. Whatcom County does not. They can but they do not
14 75 percent, you just don't have it in front of you?	 have any authority to dictate how BNSF maintain or inspects our right-of-way. That lies within the FRA.
 A. If I had a calculator I could. Q. How about this: Why don't we just split it in 	
17 half. Let's reduce it by 50 percent. What's half of	17 I'm sure
18 15,000?	18 A. Yes.
19 A. About 7,500.	19 Q. Because it is a partnership of course, right?
20 Q. Is that less than the current risk the current	20 A. It is.
21 risk index at the crossing with horns?	21 MR. QUINN: Sir, I don't think I have any
22 A. Yes.	22 more questions. Thank you very much. I appreciate it.
23 Q. Okay. And that's just	23 MR. ROBERSON: I have a very limited number
24 A The rick index being approximately 9 200	24 of guardiana
 A. The risk index being approximately 8,300. Q. Yeah, approximately 8,300. And that's just a 	24 of questions. 25 ////

26 (Pages 112 to 115)

	Page 116		Page 118
1	CROSS-EXAMINATION	1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. ROBERSON:	2	BY MS. ENDRES:
3	Q. I guess first of all, the SSM proposed by the	3	Q. You were just asked some questions about BNSF not
4	County is approved by the FRA, correct?	4	being responsible for maintenance and the County being
5	A. That is correct.	5	responsible for maintenance. If there is a maintenance
6	Q. And you mentioned that it has an effectiveness	6	problem, say the County sets a schedule to inspect this
7	rating of 75 percent?	7	crossing every 30 days and they inspect the crossing and the
8	A. That is correct.	8	next day someone drives over this and breaks a
9	Q. So that's something that a road authority could	9	channelization device and the County doesn't it's not
10	choose to install at a crossing if it wanted to install or	10	reported, the County doesn't find it until its next monthly
11	to create a quiet zone, correct?	11	inspection.
12	A. Correct.	12	. What is BNSF's concern about safety at that
13	Q. One of your big concerns is maintenance. BNSF	13	crossing for its train crews and the motoring public?
14	wouldn't be responsible for any of the maintenance of the	14	A. BNSF's concern is that with the reduced number of
15	SSM, correct?	15	the channelization devices residents traversing the crossing
16	A. That is correct.	16	would have more of an opportunity to mount the curb and
17	Q. So the party that's responsible for maintaining the	17	drive around as opposed to a fully equipped channelization,
18	SSM is the County and they want this particular SSM; is that	18	channelized median.
19	correct?	19	Q. And in that scenario there's no train horn to warn
20	A. That is correct.	20	the public?
21	Q. Okay. In your testimony you express concern that a	21	A. There would not be.
22	driver who damages a channelization device wouldn't report	22	Q. You were asked about why you ran a quiet zone risk
23	it; is that right?	23	calculation using 450 cars when the County had submitted
24	A. That is correct.	24	updated traffic patterns reflecting an average daily traffic
25	Q. Is that the basis of your concern about	25	count of 324.
	Page 117		Page 119
1	-	1	
1 2	Page 117 maintenance, just that these things are going to get damaged and no one is going to hear about it?	1	Did you ever replicate or calculate a Quiet Zone
	maintenance, just that these things are going to get damaged	1	
2	maintenance, just that these things are going to get damaged and no one is going to hear about it?	2	Did you ever replicate or calculate a Quiet Zone Risk Index using that 324 count?
2 3	maintenance, just that these things are going to get damaged and no one is going to hear about it? A. Yes.	2 3	Did you ever replicate or calculate a Quiet Zone Risk Index using that 324 count? A. I did.
2 3 4	maintenance, just that these things are going to get damaged and no one is going to hear about it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So could someone else report a broken	2 3 4	Did you ever replicate or calculate a Quiet Zone Risk Index using that 324 count? A. I did. Q. And what value did you get?
2 3 4 5	 maintenance, just that these things are going to get damaged and no one is going to hear about it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So could someone else report a broken channelization device if they saw it? 	2 3 4 5	Did you ever replicate or calculate a Quiet Zone Risk Index using that 324 count? A. I did. Q. And what value did you get? A. I would have to reference my notes. I don't have
2 3 4 5 6	 maintenance, just that these things are going to get damaged and no one is going to hear about it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So could someone else report a broken channelization device if they saw it? A. Somebody else could. 	2 3 4 5 6	Did you ever replicate or calculate a Quiet Zone Risk Index using that 324 count? A. I did. Q. And what value did you get? A. I would have to reference my notes. I don't have it in front of me.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 maintenance, just that these things are going to get damaged and no one is going to hear about it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So could someone else report a broken channelization device if they saw it? A. Somebody else could. Q. And you've heard today that the residents in the 	2 3 4 5 6 7	Did you ever replicate or calculate a Quiet Zone Risk Index using that 324 count? A. I did. Q. And what value did you get? A. I would have to reference my notes. I don't have it in front of me. Q. Is that something here you do have to refresh your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 maintenance, just that these things are going to get damaged and no one is going to hear about it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So could someone else report a broken channelization device if they saw it? A. Somebody else could. Q. And you've heard today that the residents in the other side of Cliffside Drive are very much in favor of this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Did you ever replicate or calculate a Quiet Zone Risk Index using that 324 count? A. I did. Q. And what value did you get? A. I would have to reference my notes. I don't have it in front of me. Q. Is that something here you do have to refresh your memory
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	 maintenance, just that these things are going to get damaged and no one is going to hear about it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So could someone else report a broken channelization device if they saw it? A. Somebody else could. Q. And you've heard today that the residents in the other side of Cliffside Drive are very much in favor of this quiet zone, correct? A. That's what they stated. Q. They drove the creation of it. So they would have 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Did you ever replicate or calculate a Quiet Zone Risk Index using that 324 count? A. I did. Q. And what value did you get? A. I would have to reference my notes. I don't have it in front of me. Q. Is that something here you do have to refresh your memory A. Yes.
2 4 5 7 8 9 10	 maintenance, just that these things are going to get damaged and no one is going to hear about it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So could someone else report a broken channelization device if they saw it? A. Somebody else could. Q. And you've heard today that the residents in the other side of Cliffside Drive are very much in favor of this quiet zone, correct? A. That's what they stated. Q. They drove the creation of it. So they would have an incentive to make sure that the SSM is appropriately 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Did you ever replicate or calculate a Quiet Zone Risk Index using that 324 count? A. I did. Q. And what value did you get? A. I would have to reference my notes. I don't have it in front of me. Q. Is that something here you do have to refresh your memory A. Yes. Q that I could bring you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 maintenance, just that these things are going to get damaged and no one is going to hear about it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So could someone else report a broken channelization device if they saw it? A. Somebody else could. Q. And you've heard today that the residents in the other side of Cliffside Drive are very much in favor of this quiet zone, correct? A. That's what they stated. Q. They drove the creation of it. So they would have an incentive to make sure that the SSM is appropriately functioning because otherwise it's no longer a quiet zone, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Did you ever replicate or calculate a Quiet Zone Risk Index using that 324 count? A. I did. Q. And what value did you get? A. I would have to reference my notes. I don't have it in front of me. Q. Is that something here you do have to refresh your memory A. Yes. Q that I could bring you? A. Yes. Q. Where? A. It would be on my in my notes, my binder.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 maintenance, just that these things are going to get damaged and no one is going to hear about it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So could someone else report a broken channelization device if they saw it? A. Somebody else could. Q. And you've heard today that the residents in the other side of Cliffside Drive are very much in favor of this quiet zone, correct? A. That's what they stated. Q. They drove the creation of it. So they would have an incentive to make sure that the SSM is appropriately functioning because otherwise it's no longer a quiet zone, correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Did you ever replicate or calculate a Quiet Zone Risk Index using that 324 count? A. I did. Q. And what value did you get? A. I would have to reference my notes. I don't have it in front of me. Q. Is that something here you do have to refresh your memory A. Yes. Q that I could bring you? A. Yes. Q. Where? A. It would be on my in my notes, my binder. MS. ENDRES: May I approach, Your Honor?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 maintenance, just that these things are going to get damaged and no one is going to hear about it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So could someone else report a broken channelization device if they saw it? A. Somebody else could. Q. And you've heard today that the residents in the other side of Cliffside Drive are very much in favor of this quiet zone, correct? A. That's what they stated. Q. They drove the creation of it. So they would have an incentive to make sure that the SSM is appropriately functioning because otherwise it's no longer a quiet zone, correct? A. That's correct, they would have incentive. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Did you ever replicate or calculate a Quiet Zone Risk Index using that 324 count? A. 1 did. Q. And what value did you get? A. 1 would have to reference my notes. 1 don't have it in front of me. Q. Is that something here you do have to refresh your memory A. Yes. Q that I could bring you? A. Yes. Q. Where? A. It would be on my in my notes, my binder. MS. ENDRES: May I approach, Your Honor? JUDGE PEARSON: Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 maintenance, just that these things are going to get damaged and no one is going to hear about it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So could someone else report a broken channelization device if they saw it? A. Somebody else could. Q. And you've heard today that the residents in the other side of Cliffside Drive are very much in favor of this quiet zone, correct? A. That's what they stated. Q. They drove the creation of it. So they would have an incentive to make sure that the SSM is appropriately functioning because otherwise it's no longer a quiet zone, correct? A. That's correct, they would have incentive. Q. So these involved citizens would have a strong 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Did you ever replicate or calculate a Quiet Zone Risk Index using that 324 count? A. 1 did. Q. And what value did you get? A. 1 would have to reference my notes. 1 don't have it in front of me. Q. Is that something here you do have to refresh your memory A. Yes. Q that I could bring you? A. Yes. Q. Where? A. It would be on my in my notes, my binder. MS. ENDRES: May I approach, Your Honor? JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. MS. ENDRES: Is it this (indicating)?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 maintenance, just that these things are going to get damaged and no one is going to hear about it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So could someone else report a broken channelization device if they saw it? A. Somebody else could. Q. And you've heard today that the residents in the other side of Cliffside Drive are very much in favor of this quiet zone, correct? A. That's what they stated. Q. They drove the creation of it. So they would have an incentive to make sure that the SSM is appropriately functioning because otherwise it's no longer a quiet zone, correct? A. That's correct, they would have incentive. Q. So these involved citizens would have a strong device to report a broken channelization device, correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Did you ever replicate or calculate a Quiet Zone Risk Index using that 324 count? A. 1 did. Q. And what value did you get? A. 1 would have to reference my notes. 1 don't have it in front of me. Q. Is that something here you do have to refresh your memory A. Yes. Q that I could bring you? A. Yes. Q. Where? A. It would be on my in my notes, my binder. MS. ENDRES: May I approach, Your Honor? JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. MS. ENDRES: Is it this (indicating)? THE WITNESS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 maintenance, just that these things are going to get damaged and no one is going to hear about it? A. Yes. A. Okay. So could someone else report a broken channelization device if they saw it? A. Somebody else could. A. And you've heard today that the residents in the other side of Cliffside Drive are very much in favor of this quiet zone, correct? A. That's what they stated. A. They drove the creation of it. So they would have an incentive to make sure that the SSM is appropriately functioning because otherwise it's no longer a quiet zone, correct? A. That's correct, they would have incentive. G. So these involved citizens would have a strong device to report a broken channelization device, correct? A. Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Did you ever replicate or calculate a Quiet Zone Risk Index using that 324 count? A. 1 did. Q. And what value did you get? A. 1 would have to reference my notes. 1 don't have it in front of me. Q. Is that something here you do have to refresh your memory A. Yes. Q that I could bring you? A. Yes. Q. Where? A. It would be on my in my notes, my binder. MS. ENDRES: May I approach, Your Honor? JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. MS. ENDRES: Is it this (indicating)? THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MS. ENDRES:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 maintenance, just that these things are going to get damaged and no one is going to hear about it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So could someone else report a broken channelization device if they saw it? A. Somebody else could. Q. And you've heard today that the residents in the other side of Cliffside Drive are very much in favor of this quiet zone, correct? A. That's what they stated. Q. They drove the creation of it. So they would have an incentive to make sure that the SSM is appropriately functioning because otherwise it's no longer a quiet zone, correct? A. That's correct, they would have incentive. Q. So these involved citizens would have a strong device to report a broken channelization device, correct? A. Correct. MR. ROBERSON: That's actually all I have. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Did you ever replicate or calculate a Quiet Zone Risk Index using that 324 count? A. 1 did. Q. And what value did you get? A. 1 would have to reference my notes. 1 don't have it in front of me. Q. Is that something here you do have to refresh your memory A. Yes. Q that I could bring you? A. Yes. Q. Where? A. It would be on my in my notes, my binder. MS. ENDRES: May I approach, Your Honor? JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. MS. ENDRES: Is it this (indicating)? THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. If you want to just take that page of notes I'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 maintenance, just that these things are going to get damaged and no one is going to hear about it? A. Yes. Okay. So could someone else report a broken channelization device if they saw it? A. Somebody else could. And you've heard today that the residents in the other side of Cliffside Drive are very much in favor of this quiet zone, correct? A. That's what they stated. They drove the creation of it. So they would have an incentive to make sure that the SSM is appropriately functioning because otherwise it's no longer a quiet zone, correct? A. That's correct, they would have incentive. So these involved citizens would have a strong device to report a broken channelization device, correct? A. Correct. MR. ROBERSON: That's actually all I have. JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. Endres, do you have any 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Did you ever replicate or calculate a Quiet Zone Risk Index using that 324 count? A. 1 did. Q. And what value did you get? A. 1 would have to reference my notes. 1 don't have it in front of me. Q. Is that something here you do have to refresh your memory A. Yes. Q that I could bring you? A. Yes. Q. Where? A. It would be on my in my notes, my binder. MS. ENDRES: May I approach, Your Honor? JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. MS. ENDRES: Is it this (indicating)? THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. If you want to just take that page of notes I'll take the rest back.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 maintenance, just that these things are going to get damaged and no one is going to hear about it? A. Yes. Okay. So could someone else report a broken channelization device if they saw it? A. Somebody else could. And you've heard today that the residents in the other side of Cliffside Drive are very much in favor of this quiet zone, correct? A. That's what they stated. They drove the creation of it. So they would have an incentive to make sure that the SSM is appropriately functioning because otherwise it's no longer a quiet zone, correct? A. That's correct, they would have incentive. G. So these involved citizens would have a strong device to report a broken channelization device, correct? A. Correct. MR. ROBERSON: That's actually all I have. JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. Endres, do you have any redirect? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Did you ever replicate or calculate a Quiet Zone Risk Index using that 324 count? A. 1 did. Q. And what value did you get? A. 1 would have to reference my notes. 1 don't have it in front of me. Q. Is that something here you do have to refresh your memory A. Yes. Q that I could bring you? A. Yes. Q. Where? A. It would be on my in my notes, my binder. MS. ENDRES: May I approach, Your Honor? JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. MS. ENDRES: Is it this (indicating)? THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. If you want to just take that page of notes I'll take the rest back. A. (Witness reviewing document.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 maintenance, just that these things are going to get damaged and no one is going to hear about it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So could someone else report a broken channelization device if they saw it? A. Somebody else could. Q. And you've heard today that the residents in the other side of Cliffside Drive are very much in favor of this quiet zone, correct? A. That's what they stated. Q. They drove the creation of it. So they would have an incentive to make sure that the SSM is appropriately functioning because otherwise it's no longer a quiet zone, correct? A. That's correct, they would have incentive. Q. So these involved citizens would have a strong device to report a broken channelization device, correct? A. Correct. MR. ROBERSON: That's actually all I have. JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. Endres, do you have any redirect? MS. ENDRES: I do, Your Honor. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Did you ever replicate or calculate a Quiet Zone Risk Index using that 324 count? A. 1 did. Q. And what value did you get? A. 1 would have to reference my notes. 1 don't have it in front of me. Q. Is that something here you do have to refresh your memory A. Yes. Q that I could bring you? A. Yes. Q. Where? A. It would be on my in my notes, my binder. MS. ENDRES: May I approach, Your Honor? JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. MS. ENDRES: Is it this (indicating)? THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. If you want to just take that page of notes I'll take the rest back. A. (Witness reviewing document.) Q. In looking at that, does that refresh your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 maintenance, just that these things are going to get damaged and no one is going to hear about it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So could someone else report a broken channelization device if they saw it? A. Somebody else could. Q. And you've heard today that the residents in the other side of Cliffside Drive are very much in favor of this quiet zone, correct? A. That's what they stated. Q. They drove the creation of it. So they would have an incentive to make sure that the SSM is appropriately functioning because otherwise it's no longer a quiet zone, correct? A. That's correct, they would have incentive. Q. So these involved citizens would have a strong device to report a broken channelization device, correct? A. Correct. MR. ROBERSON: That's actually all have. JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. Endres, do you have any redirect? MS. ENDRES: I do, Your Honor. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Did you ever replicate or calculate a Quiet Zone Risk Index using that 324 count? A. 1 did. Q. And what value did you get? A. 1 would have to reference my notes. 1 don't have it in front of me. Q. Is that something here you do have to refresh your memory A. Yes. Q that I could bring you? A. Yes. Q that I could bring you? A. Yes. Q. Where? A. It would be on my in my notes, my binder. MS. ENDRES: May I approach, Your Honor? JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. MS. ENDRES: Is it this (indicating)? THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. If you want to just take that page of notes I'll take the rest back. A. (Witness reviewing document.) Q. In looking at that, does that refresh your recollection?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 maintenance, just that these things are going to get damaged and no one is going to hear about it? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So could someone else report a broken channelization device if they saw it? A. Somebody else could. Q. And you've heard today that the residents in the other side of Cliffside Drive are very much in favor of this quiet zone, correct? A. That's what they stated. Q. They drove the creation of it. So they would have an incentive to make sure that the SSM is appropriately functioning because otherwise it's no longer a quiet zone, correct? A. That's correct, they would have incentive. Q. So these involved citizens would have a strong device to report a broken channelization device, correct? A. Correct. MR. ROBERSON: That's actually all I have. JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. Endres, do you have any redirect? MS. ENDRES: I do, Your Honor. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Did you ever replicate or calculate a Quiet Zone Risk Index using that 324 count? A. 1 did. Q. And what value did you get? A. 1 would have to reference my notes. 1 don't have it in front of me. Q. Is that something here you do have to refresh your memory A. Yes. Q that I could bring you? A. Yes. Q. Where? A. It would be on my in my notes, my binder. MS. ENDRES: May I approach, Your Honor? JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. MS. ENDRES: Is it this (indicating)? THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. If you want to just take that page of notes I'll take the rest back. A. (Witness reviewing document.) Q. In looking at that, does that refresh your

27 (Pages 116 to 119)

	Page 120		Page 122
1	figure?	1	measure is a good idea at this crossing, are you?
2	A. With 324 ADT the QZRI that I was able to calculate	2	A. I am not.
3	was 15,387.35.	3	Q. Have you heard anything today that changes your
4	Q. And how does that compare to the risk threshold?	4	opinion that these municipalities can do a safer alternative
5	A. That is greater than it.	5	for these 44 residents for the same cost?
6	Q. And what inputs did you modify, if anything, other	6	A. Could you rephrase? As I understand you're asking
7	than the ADT figure?	7	is there anything that's changed my opinion on if they
8	A. In addition to the ADT figure being modified, I	8	should install mountable versus nonmountable?
9	increased the train count to 19 from the 14 which is	9	Q. Yes.
10	prepopulated, and increased the day through trains from 7 to	10	A. I have not.
11	10, trying to stick with the 50 percent split between day	11	Q. And this crossing doesn't only serve 44 residences
12	through and night through.	12	but it serves to some degree train crews that are traveling
13	Q. And did you attempt to do that to accurately	13	across the tracks. How many train crew members ride a
14	reflect the actual train traffic through this crossing?	14	train?
15	A. Yes.	15	A. There are two members to each crew.
16	Q. The maintenance concern that you described based on	16	Q. And what about passenger trains?
17	your experience at other crossings having mountable	17	A. Passenger trains, I'd have to refer to Amtrak but
18	channelization systems, do you have personal knowledge of	18	I'm guessing hundreds per train.
19	what type of vehicle caused particular damage that you	19	MS. ENDRES: Those are the only questions I
20	observed?	20	have.
21	A. I didn't observe any of the vehicles striking	21	MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I know that normally
22	striking the channelization devices.	22	the parties are limited to one round. Unfortunately I think
23	Q. And can you give us a sense in your experience at	23	Mr. Semenick introduced some testimony that's not included
24	those other crossings where you observed damage, the range	24	in his pre-file regarding other calculations using other
25	of how long that action in real life got taken to fix?	25	numbers so I'm going to ask if you find good cause to allow
	Page 121		Dama 102
			Page 123
1	A It still has not been tived. It's been quite some		
~	A. It still has not been fixed. It's been quite some	1	just a very brief recross on that specific issue?
2	time. Site visits were completed on those locations in late	2	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you be more specific?
3	time. Site visits were completed on those locations in late 2017 and to date I haven't seen any repairs.	2 3	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you be more specific? MR. QUINN: Of course. The question was
3 4	time. Site visits were completed on those locations in late 2017 and to date I haven't seen any repairs. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I'm going to move	2 3 4	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you be more specific? MR. QUINN: Of course. The question was posed whether or not Mr. Semenick used the risk calculator
3 4 5	time. Site visits were completed on those locations in late 2017 and to date I haven't seen any repairs. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I'm going to move I'm going to object to the question and move to strike. I'm	2 3 4 5	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you be more specific? MR. QUINN: Of course. The question was posed whether or not Mr. Semenick used the risk calculator for utilizing the ADT of 324. In his testimony he didn't
3 4 5 6	time. Site visits were completed on those locations in late 2017 and to date I haven't seen any repairs. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I'm going to move I'm going to object to the question and move to strike. I'm not sure how that's relevant to Cliffside Drive, quite	2 3 4 5 6	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you be more specific? MR. QUINN: Of course. The question was posed whether or not Mr. Semenick used the risk calculator for utilizing the ADT of 324. In his testimony he didn't talk about running those numbers. He obviously he
3 4 5 6 7	time. Site visits were completed on those locations in late 2017 and to date I haven't seen any repairs. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I'm going to move I'm going to object to the question and move to strike. I'm not sure how that's relevant to Cliffside Drive, quite frankly, what the status is of another location. I'm not	2 3 4 5 6 7	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you be more specific? MR. QUINN: Of course. The question was posed whether or not Mr. Semenick used the risk calculator for utilizing the ADT of 324. In his testimony he didn't talk about running those numbers. He obviously he testified that that increased other numbers to get to the
3 4 5 6 7 8	time. Site visits were completed on those locations in late 2017 and to date I haven't seen any repairs. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I'm going to move I'm going to object to the question and move to strike. I'm not sure how that's relevant to Cliffside Drive, quite frankly, what the status is of another location. I'm not sure how that bears upon the decision you have to make.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you be more specific? MR. QUINN: Of course. The question was posed whether or not Mr. Semenick used the risk calculator for utilizing the ADT of 324. In his testimony he didn't talk about running those numbers. He obviously he testified that that increased other numbers to get to the QZRI that he testified to. I would just like to be able to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	time. Site visits were completed on those locations in late 2017 and to date I haven't seen any repairs. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I'm going to move I'm going to object to the question and move to strike. I'm not sure how that's relevant to Cliffside Drive, quite frankly, what the status is of another location. I'm not sure how that bears upon the decision you have to make. MS. ENDRES: Your Honor, I'm just exploring	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you be more specific? MR. QUINN: Of course. The question was posed whether or not Mr. Semenick used the risk calculator for utilizing the ADT of 324. In his testimony he didn't talk about running those numbers. He obviously he testified that that increased other numbers to get to the QZRI that he testified to. I would just like to be able to follow up on that to clarify, because again, that was not
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	time. Site visits were completed on those locations in late 2017 and to date I haven't seen any repairs. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I'm going to move I'm going to object to the question and move to strike. I'm not sure how that's relevant to Cliffside Drive, quite frankly, what the status is of another location. I'm not sure how that bears upon the decision you have to make. MS. ENDRES: Your Honor, I'm just exploring the basis for his concern and certainly he was asked in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you be more specific? MR. QUINN: Of course. The question was posed whether or not Mr. Semenick used the risk calculator for utilizing the ADT of 324. In his testimony he didn't talk about running those numbers. He obviously he testified that that increased other numbers to get to the QZRI that he testified to. I would just like to be able to follow up on that to clarify, because again, that was not provided in his direct testimony. He only ran it with an
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	time. Site visits were completed on those locations in late 2017 and to date I haven't seen any repairs. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I'm going to move I'm going to object to the question and move to strike. I'm not sure how that's relevant to Cliffside Drive, quite frankly, what the status is of another location. I'm not sure how that bears upon the decision you have to make. MS. ENDRES: Your Honor, I'm just exploring the basis for his concern and certainly he was asked in cross examination about the difference in traffic and what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you be more specific? MR. QUINN: Of course. The question was posed whether or not Mr. Semenick used the risk calculator for utilizing the ADT of 324. In his testimony he didn't talk about running those numbers. He obviously he testified that that increased other numbers to get to the QZRI that he testified to. I would just like to be able to follow up on that to clarify, because again, that was not provided in his direct testimony. He only ran it with an ADT of 450.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	time. Site visits were completed on those locations in late 2017 and to date I haven't seen any repairs. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I'm going to move I'm going to object to the question and move to strike. I'm not sure how that's relevant to Cliffside Drive, quite frankly, what the status is of another location. I'm not sure how that bears upon the decision you have to make. MS. ENDRES: Your Honor, I'm just exploring the basis for his concern and certainly he was asked in cross examination about the difference in traffic and what types of vehicles might have caused that damage, also in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you be more specific? MR. QUINN: Of course. The question was posed whether or not Mr. Semenick used the risk calculator for utilizing the ADT of 324. In his testimony he didn't talk about running those numbers. He obviously he testified that that increased other numbers to get to the QZRI that he testified to. I would just like to be able to follow up on that to clarify, because again, that was not provided in his direct testimony. He only ran it with an ADT of 450. JUDGE PEARSON: So I wouldn't have a problem
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	time. Site visits were completed on those locations in late 2017 and to date I haven't seen any repairs. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I'm going to move I'm going to object to the question and move to strike. I'm not sure how that's relevant to Cliffside Drive, quite frankly, what the status is of another location. I'm not sure how that bears upon the decision you have to make. MS. ENDRES: Your Honor, I'm just exploring the basis for his concern and certainly he was asked in cross examination about the difference in traffic and what types of vehicles might have caused that damage, also in the context of the County's plan to be inspecting these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you be more specific? MR. QUINN: Of course. The question was posed whether or not Mr. Semenick used the risk calculator for utilizing the ADT of 324. In his testimony he didn't talk about running those numbers. He obviously he testified that that increased other numbers to get to the QZRI that he testified to. I would just like to be able to follow up on that to clarify, because again, that was not provided in his direct testimony. He only ran it with an ADT of 450. JUDGE PEARSON: So I wouldn't have a problem with that except that, just to be perfectly transparent,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	time. Site visits were completed on those locations in late 2017 and to date I haven't seen any repairs. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I'm going to move I'm going to object to the question and move to strike. I'm not sure how that's relevant to Cliffside Drive, quite frankly, what the status is of another location. I'm not sure how that bears upon the decision you have to make. MS. ENDRES: Your Honor, I'm just exploring the basis for his concern and certainly he was asked in cross examination about the difference in traffic and what types of vehicles might have caused that damage, also in the context of the County's plan to be inspecting these crossings. I think that's fair to see and explore in his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you be more specific? MR. QUINN: Of course. The question was posed whether or not Mr. Semenick used the risk calculator for utilizing the ADT of 324. In his testimony he didn't talk about running those numbers. He obviously he testified that that increased other numbers to get to the QZRI that he testified to. I would just like to be able to follow up on that to clarify, because again, that was not provided in his direct testimony. He only ran it with an ADT of 450. JUDGE PEARSON: So I wouldn't have a problem with that except that, just to be perfectly transparent, we're deciding between two different medians here so the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	time. Site visits were completed on those locations in late 2017 and to date I haven't seen any repairs. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I'm going to move I'm going to object to the question and move to strike. I'm not sure how that's relevant to Cliffside Drive, quite frankly, what the status is of another location. I'm not sure how that bears upon the decision you have to make. MS. ENDRES: Your Honor, I'm just exploring the basis for his concern and certainly he was asked in cross examination about the difference in traffic and what types of vehicles might have caused that damage, also in the context of the County's plan to be inspecting these crossings. I think that's fair to see and explore in his experience the basis for his testimony as to why in real	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you be more specific? MR. QUINN: Of course. The question was posed whether or not Mr. Semenick used the risk calculator for utilizing the ADT of 324. In his testimony he didn't talk about running those numbers. He obviously he testified that that increased other numbers to get to the QZRI that he testified to. I would just like to be able to follow up on that to clarify, because again, that was not provided in his direct testimony. He only ran it with an ADT of 450. JUDGE PEARSON: So I wouldn't have a problem with that except that, just to be perfectly transparent, we're deciding between two different medians here so the number without the medians is of no consequence to our
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	time. Site visits were completed on those locations in late 2017 and to date I haven't seen any repairs. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I'm going to move I'm going to object to the question and move to strike. I'm not sure how that's relevant to Cliffside Drive, quite frankly, what the status is of another location. I'm not sure how that bears upon the decision you have to make. MS. ENDRES: Your Honor, I'm just exploring the basis for his concern and certainly he was asked in cross examination about the difference in traffic and what types of vehicles might have caused that damage, also in the context of the County's plan to be inspecting these crossings. I think that's fair to see and explore in his experience the basis for his testimony as to why in real life they're inspection and maintenance problems and that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you be more specific? MR. QUINN: Of course. The question was posed whether or not Mr. Semenick used the risk calculator for utilizing the ADT of 324. In his testimony he didn't talk about running those numbers. He obviously he testified that that increased other numbers to get to the QZRI that he testified to. I would just like to be able to follow up on that to clarify, because again, that was not provided in his direct testimony. He only ran it with an ADT of 450. JUDGE PEARSON: So I wouldn't have a problem with that except that, just to be perfectly transparent, we're deciding between two different medians here so the number without the medians is of no consequence to our decision.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	time. Site visits were completed on those locations in late 2017 and to date I haven't seen any repairs. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I'm going to move I'm going to object to the question and move to strike. I'm not sure how that's relevant to Cliffside Drive, quite frankly, what the status is of another location. I'm not sure how that bears upon the decision you have to make. MS. ENDRES: Your Honor, I'm just exploring the basis for his concern and certainly he was asked in cross examination about the difference in traffic and what types of vehicles might have caused that damage, also in the context of the County's plan to be inspecting these crossings. I think that's fair to see and explore in his experience the basis for his testimony as to why in real life they're inspection and maintenance problems and that's the basis for his testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you be more specific? MR. QUINN: Of course. The question was posed whether or not Mr. Semenick used the risk calculator for utilizing the ADT of 324. In his testimony he didn't talk about running those numbers. He obviously he testified that that increased other numbers to get to the QZRI that he testified to. I would just like to be able to follow up on that to clarify, because again, that was not provided in his direct testimony. He only ran it with an ADT of 450. JUDGE PEARSON: So I wouldn't have a problem with that except that, just to be perfectly transparent, we're deciding between two different medians here so the number without the medians is of no consequence to our decision. MR. QUINN: I understand. I'll withdraw the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	time. Site visits were completed on those locations in late 2017 and to date I haven't seen any repairs. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I'm going to move I'm going to object to the question and move to strike. I'm not sure how that's relevant to Cliffside Drive, quite frankly, what the status is of another location. I'm not sure how that bears upon the decision you have to make. MS. ENDRES: Your Honor, I'm just exploring the basis for his concern and certainly he was asked in cross examination about the difference in traffic and what types of vehicles might have caused that damage, also in the context of the County's plan to be inspecting these crossings. I think that's fair to see and explore in his experience the basis for his testimony as to why in real life they're inspection and maintenance problems and that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you be more specific? MR. QUINN: Of course. The question was posed whether or not Mr. Semenick used the risk calculator for utilizing the ADT of 324. In his testimony he didn't talk about running those numbers. He obviously he testified that that increased other numbers to get to the QZRI that he testified to. I would just like to be able to follow up on that to clarify, because again, that was not provided in his direct testimony. He only ran it with an ADT of 450. JUDGE PEARSON: So I wouldn't have a problem with that except that, just to be perfectly transparent, we're deciding between two different medians here so the number without the medians is of no consequence to our decision. MR. QUINN: I understand. I'll withdraw the request. Thank you.
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	time. Site visits were completed on those locations in late 2017 and to date I haven't seen any repairs. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I'm going to move I'm going to object to the question and move to strike. I'm not sure how that's relevant to Cliffside Drive, quite frankly, what the status is of another location. I'm not sure how that bears upon the decision you have to make. MS. ENDRES: Your Honor, I'm just exploring the basis for his concern and certainly he was asked in cross examination about the difference in traffic and what types of vehicles might have caused that damage, also in the context of the County's plan to be inspecting these crossings. I think that's fair to see and explore in his experience the basis for his testimony as to why in real life they're inspection and maintenance problems and that's the basis for his testimony. JUDGE PEARSON: I'll allow it. Go ahead. BY MS. ENDRES:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you be more specific? MR. QUINN: Of course. The question was posed whether or not Mr. Semenick used the risk calculator for utilizing the ADT of 324. In his testimony he didn't talk about running those numbers. He obviously he testified that that increased other numbers to get to the QZRI that he testified to. I would just like to be able to follow up on that to clarify, because again, that was not provided in his direct testimony. He only ran it with an ADT of 450. JUDGE PEARSON: So I wouldn't have a problem with that except that, just to be perfectly transparent, we're deciding between two different medians here so the number without the medians is of no consequence to our decision. MR. QUINN: I understand. I'll withdraw the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	time. Site visits were completed on those locations in late 2017 and to date I haven't seen any repairs. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I'm going to move I'm going to object to the question and move to strike. I'm not sure how that's relevant to Cliffside Drive, quite frankly, what the status is of another location. I'm not sure how that bears upon the decision you have to make. MS. ENDRES: Your Honor, I'm just exploring the basis for his concern and certainly he was asked in cross examination about the difference in traffic and what types of vehicles might have caused that damage, also in the context of the County's plan to be inspecting these crossings. I think that's fair to see and explore in his experience the basis for his testimony as to why in real life they're inspection and maintenance problems and that's the basis for his testimony. JUDGE PEARSON: I'll allow it. Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you be more specific? MR. QUINN: Of course. The question was posed whether or not Mr. Semenick used the risk calculator for utilizing the ADT of 324. In his testimony he didn't talk about running those numbers. He obviously he testified that that increased other numbers to get to the QZRI that he testified to. I would just like to be able to follow up on that to clarify, because again, that was not provided in his direct testimony. He only ran it with an ADT of 450. JUDGE PEARSON: So I wouldn't have a problem with that except that, just to be perfectly transparent, we're deciding between two different medians here so the number without the medians is of no consequence to our decision. MR. QUINN: I understand. I'll withdraw the request. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: So I have a couple of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 time. Site visits were completed on those locations in late 2017 and to date I haven't seen any repairs. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I'm going to move I'm going to object to the question and move to strike. I'm not sure how that's relevant to Cliffside Drive, quite frankly, what the status is of another location. I'm not sure how that bears upon the decision you have to make. MS. ENDRES: Your Honor, I'm just exploring the basis for his concern and certainly he was asked in cross examination about the difference in traffic and what types of vehicles might have caused that damage, also in the context of the County's plan to be inspecting these crossings. I think that's fair to see and explore in his experience the basis for his testimony as to why in real life they're inspection and maintenance problems and that's the basis for his testimony. JUDGE PEARSON: I'll allow it. Go ahead. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Mr. Semenick, you're not disputing the fact that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you be more specific? MR. QUINN: Of course. The question was posed whether or not Mr. Semenick used the risk calculator for utilizing the ADT of 324. In his testimony he didn't talk about running those numbers. He obviously he testified that that increased other numbers to get to the QZRI that he testified to. I would just like to be able to follow up on that to clarify, because again, that was not provided in his direct testimony. He only ran it with an ADT of 450. JUDGE PEARSON: So I wouldn't have a problem with that except that, just to be perfectly transparent, we're deciding between two different medians here so the number without the medians is of no consequence to our decision. MR. QUINN: I understand. I'll withdraw the request. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: So I have a couple of questions for you, Mr. Semenick. You heard a couple of them
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 time. Site visits were completed on those locations in late 2017 and to date I haven't seen any repairs. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I'm going to move I'm going to object to the question and move to strike. I'm not sure how that's relevant to Cliffside Drive, quite frankly, what the status is of another location. I'm not sure how that bears upon the decision you have to make. MS. ENDRES: Your Honor, I'm just exploring the basis for his concern and certainly he was asked in cross examination about the difference in traffic and what types of vehicles might have caused that damage, also in the context of the County's plan to be inspecting these crossings. I think that's fair to see and explore in his experience the basis for his testimony as to why in real life they're inspection and maintenance problems and that's the basis for his testimony. JUDGE PEARSON: I'll allow it. Go ahead. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Mr. Semenick, you're not disputing the fact that the County has a right to establish a quiet zone assuming 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you be more specific? MR. QUINN: Of course. The question was posed whether or not Mr. Semenick used the risk calculator for utilizing the ADT of 324. In his testimony he didn't talk about running those numbers. He obviously he testified that that increased other numbers to get to the QZRI that he testified to. I would just like to be able to follow up on that to clarify, because again, that was not provided in his direct testimony. He only ran it with an ADT of 450. JUDGE PEARSON: So I wouldn't have a problem with that except that, just to be perfectly transparent, we're deciding between two different medians here so the number without the medians is of no consequence to our decision. MR. QUINN: I understand. I'll withdraw the request. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: So I have a couple of questions for you, Mr. Semenick. You heard a couple of them earlier today.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 time. Site visits were completed on those locations in late 2017 and to date I haven't seen any repairs. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I'm going to move I'm going to object to the question and move to strike. I'm not sure how that's relevant to Cliffside Drive, quite frankly, what the status is of another location. I'm not sure how that bears upon the decision you have to make. MS. ENDRES: Your Honor, I'm just exploring the basis for his concern and certainly he was asked in cross examination about the difference in traffic and what types of vehicles might have caused that damage, also in the context of the County's plan to be inspecting these crossings. I think that's fair to see and explore in his experience the basis for his testimony as to why in real life they're inspection and maintenance problems and that's the basis for his testimony. JUDGE PEARSON: I'll allow it. Go ahead. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Mr. Semenick, you're not disputing the fact that the County has a right to establish a quiet zone assuming the calculations, the risk index calculations are correct, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you be more specific? MR. QUINN: Of course. The question was posed whether or not Mr. Semenick used the risk calculator for utilizing the ADT of 324. In his testimony he didn't talk about running those numbers. He obviously he testified that that increased other numbers to get to the QZRI that he testified to. I would just like to be able to follow up on that to clarify, because again, that was not provided in his direct testimony. He only ran it with an ADT of 450. JUDGE PEARSON: So I wouldn't have a problem with that except that, just to be perfectly transparent, we're deciding between two different medians here so the number without the medians is of no consequence to our decision. MR. QUINN: I understand. I'll withdraw the request. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: So I have a couple of questions for you, Mr. Semenick. You heard a couple of them earlier today. Which directions do the trains travel on that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 time. Site visits were completed on those locations in late 2017 and to date I haven't seen any repairs. MR. QUINN: Your Honor, I'm going to move I'm going to object to the question and move to strike. I'm not sure how that's relevant to Cliffside Drive, quite frankly, what the status is of another location. I'm not sure how that bears upon the decision you have to make. MS. ENDRES: Your Honor, I'm just exploring the basis for his concern and certainly he was asked in cross examination about the difference in traffic and what types of vehicles might have caused that damage, also in the context of the County's plan to be inspecting these crossings. I think that's fair to see and explore in his experience the basis for his testimony as to why in real life they're inspection and maintenance problems and that's the basis for his testimony. JUDGE PEARSON: I'll allow it. Go ahead. BY MS. ENDRES: Q. Mr. Semenick, you're not disputing the fact that the County has a right to establish a quiet zone assuming the calculations, the risk index calculations are correct, are you? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you be more specific? MR. QUINN: Of course. The question was posed whether or not Mr. Semenick used the risk calculator for utilizing the ADT of 324. In his testimony he didn't talk about running those numbers. He obviously he testified that that increased other numbers to get to the QZRI that he testified to. I would just like to be able to follow up on that to clarify, because again, that was not provided in his direct testimony. He only ran it with an ADT of 450. JUDGE PEARSON: So I wouldn't have a problem with that except that, just to be perfectly transparent, we're deciding between two different medians here so the number without the medians is of no consequence to our decision. MR. QUINN: I understand. I'll withdraw the request. Thank you. JUDGE PEARSON: So I have a couple of questions for you, Mr. Semenick. You heard a couple of them earlier today. Which directions do the trains travel on that track at Cliffside Drive, do you know?

28 (Pages 120 to 123)

Page 124			Page 126
1	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay, so they go both	1	JUDGE PEARSON: So why don't we set that as
2	directions, okay.	2	the due date. If you need more time just let me know.
3	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	3	THE WITNESS: Okay.
4	JUDGE PEARSON: And then my other question	4	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And I think that was
5	was: How much time elapses between when the safety device	5	it. So you can be excused at this point. You're excused.
6	is activated so the gates go down and the lights start	6	Thank you. I think we're ready to call Mr. Arrington on the
7	flashing and when the train actually passes the crossing?	7	phone. We can be in recess until we get him on the line.
8	THE WITNESS: So each crossing will have	8	(Discussion off the record.)
9	different parameters that lead to the ultimate advanced	9	JUDGE CHARTOFF: We're back on record.
10	warning time. The FRA minimum is 20 seconds, the gate's	10	Mr. Arrington, I am Judge Chartoff and beside me is Judge
11	activating prior to the train occupying the crossing. I do	11	Pearson. We're co-presiding in this matter. Can you please
12	not have the exact number for Cliffside Drive.	12	stand and raise your right hand so I can swear you in.
13	JUDGE PEARSON: I just needed a ballpark.	13	
14	THE WITNESS: I believe it's somewhere in the	14	DUSTY ARRINGTON, having been first duly sworn,
15	neighborhood of 30 seconds. I can provide a more definitive	15	testified as follows via
16	calculation.	16	speakerphone:
17	JUDGE PEARSON: That's okay. It's the same	17	
18	amount of time basically as the horns go off?	18	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. Please be
19	THE WITNESS: Approximately.	19	seated. Can you please state your name, spelling your last
20	JUDGE PEARSON: Do the horns typically go off	20	name for the record.
21	simultaneous with the gates coming down or do the gates come	21	THE WITNESS: Sorry. You were cutting out a
22	down first and then the horns?	22	little bit. My name is Dusty Arrington, D-U-S-T-Y,
23	THE WITNESS: I think there's a timing	23	A-R-R-I-N-G-T-O-N.
24	requirement for when the horns must sound. It's either 25	24	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Thank you. Is the witness
25	or 15 seconds as noted by the FRA.	25	available for cross?
	Page 125		Page 127
1	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I was just curious.	1	MS. ENDRES: Yes.
2	And then so this is just kind of a general	2	JUDGE CHARTOFF: Please proceed.
3	question about BNSF's opinions about quiet zones generally.	3	'
4	If BNSF could have its way, would there not be quiet zones?	4	CROSS-EXAMINATION
5	THE WITNESS: BNSF, we don't like quiet	5	BY MR. ROBERSON:
6	zones. I believe the train horn, if there does have to be a	6	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Arrington. How are you doing?
7	crossing that the train horn should sound at each crossing.	7	A. Doing well. How about yourself?
8	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. For safety reasons?	8	Q. I'm doing all right. So
9	THE WITNESS: Correct.	9	JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Roberson, you might want
10	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And then at this	10	to identify yourself.
11	Cliffside Drive crossing, do you know when those active	11	MD DODEDCONI: Coord point
11	Cilliside Drive crossing, do you know when those active	1	MR. ROBERSON: Good point.
12	safety devices were installed, the gates and the lights?	12	BY MR. ROBERSON:
12 13	safety devices were installed, the gates and the lights? THE WITNESS: I do not have a date for that.	13	BY MR. ROBERSON: Q. My name is Jeff Roberson. I'm an assistant
12 13 14	safety devices were installed, the gates and the lights? THE WITNESS: I do not have a date for that. JUDGE PEARSON: So I want to get that	13 14	BY MR. ROBERSON: Q. My name is Jeff Roberson. I'm an assistant attorney general representing the Commission staff in this
12 13 14 15	safety devices were installed, the gates and the lights? THE WITNESS: I do not have a date for that. JUDGE PEARSON: So I want to get that information and it will be Bench Request No. 2. I would	13 14 15	BY MR. ROBERSON: Q. My name is Jeff Roberson. I'm an assistant attorney general representing the Commission staff in this matter. I just have a couple of questions for you. Okay.
12 13 14 15 16	safety devices were installed, the gates and the lights? THE WITNESS: I do not have a date for that. JUDGE PEARSON: So I want to get that information and it will be Bench Request No. 2. I would actually like a full history of all the improvements that	13 14 15 16	BY MR. ROBERSON: Q. My name is Jeff Roberson. I'm an assistant attorney general representing the Commission staff in this matter. I just have a couple of questions for you. Okay. Are you familiar with 49 CFR Part 222, the code
12 13 14 15 16 17	safety devices were installed, the gates and the lights? THE WITNESS: I do not have a date for that. JUDGE PEARSON: So I want to get that information and it will be Bench Request No. 2. I would actually like a full history of all the improvements that have been made at the Cliffside Drive crossing.	13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. ROBERSON: Q. My name is Jeff Roberson. I'm an assistant attorney general representing the Commission staff in this matter. I just have a couple of questions for you. Okay. Are you familiar with 49 CFR Part 222, the code provisions dealing with quiet zones?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	safety devices were installed, the gates and the lights? THE WITNESS: I do not have a date for that. JUDGE PEARSON: So I want to get that information and it will be Bench Request No. 2. I would actually like a full history of all the improvements that have been made at the Cliffside Drive crossing. Do you know about how long it would take to get	13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. ROBERSON: Q. My name is Jeff Roberson. I'm an assistant attorney general representing the Commission staff in this matter. I just have a couple of questions for you. Okay. Are you familiar with 49 CFR Part 222, the code provisions dealing with quiet zones? A. Yes.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	safety devices were installed, the gates and the lights? THE WITNESS: I do not have a date for that. JUDGE PEARSON: So I want to get that information and it will be Bench Request No. 2. I would actually like a full history of all the improvements that have been made at the Cliffside Drive crossing. Do you know about how long it would take to get that information?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 BY MR. ROBERSON: Q. My name is Jeff Roberson. I'm an assistant attorney general representing the Commission staff in this matter. I just have a couple of questions for you. Okay. Are you familiar with 49 CFR Part 222, the code provisions dealing with quiet zones? A. Yes. Q. And you're aware that the FRI has approved certain
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	safety devices were installed, the gates and the lights? THE WITNESS: I do not have a date for that. JUDGE PEARSON: So I want to get that information and it will be Bench Request No. 2. I would actually like a full history of all the improvements that have been made at the Cliffside Drive crossing. Do you know about how long it would take to get that information? THE WITNESS: I off the top of my head I	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 BY MR. ROBERSON: Q. My name is Jeff Roberson. I'm an assistant attorney general representing the Commission staff in this matter. I just have a couple of questions for you. Okay. Are you familiar with 49 CFR Part 222, the code provisions dealing with quiet zones? A. Yes. Q. And you're aware that the FRI has approved certain SSMs for use in establishing quiet zones?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	safety devices were installed, the gates and the lights? THE WITNESS: I do not have a date for that. JUDGE PEARSON: So I want to get that information and it will be Bench Request No. 2. I would actually like a full history of all the improvements that have been made at the Cliffside Drive crossing. Do you know about how long it would take to get that information? THE WITNESS: I off the top of my head I do not.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 BY MR. ROBERSON: Q. My name is Jeff Roberson. I'm an assistant attorney general representing the Commission staff in this matter. I just have a couple of questions for you. Okay. Are you familiar with 49 CFR Part 222, the code provisions dealing with quiet zones? A. Yes. Q. And you're aware that the FRI has approved certain SSMs for use in establishing quiet zones? A. Yes.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	safety devices were installed, the gates and the lights? THE WITNESS: I do not have a date for that. JUDGE PEARSON: So I want to get that information and it will be Bench Request No. 2. I would actually like a full history of all the improvements that have been made at the Cliffside Drive crossing. Do you know about how long it would take to get that information? THE WITNESS: I off the top of my head I do not. JUDGE PEARSON: Do you think you could get it	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 BY MR. ROBERSON: Q. My name is Jeff Roberson. I'm an assistant attorney general representing the Commission staff in this matter. I just have a couple of questions for you. Okay. Are you familiar with 49 CFR Part 222, the code provisions dealing with quiet zones? A. Yes. Q. And you're aware that the FRI has approved certain SSMs for use in establishing quiet zones? A. Yes. Q. And you're aware that the FRA has set the
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	safety devices were installed, the gates and the lights? THE WITNESS: I do not have a date for that. JUDGE PEARSON: So I want to get that information and it will be Bench Request No. 2. I would actually like a full history of all the improvements that have been made at the Cliffside Drive crossing. Do you know about how long it would take to get that information? THE WITNESS: I off the top of my head I do not. JUDGE PEARSON: Do you think you could get it by the end of the week or do you need longer?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 BY MR. ROBERSON: Q. My name is Jeff Roberson. I'm an assistant attorney general representing the Commission staff in this matter. I just have a couple of questions for you. Okay. Are you familiar with 49 CFR Part 222, the code provisions dealing with quiet zones? A. Yes. Q. And you're aware that the FRI has approved certain SSMs for use in establishing quiet zones? A. Yes. Q. And you're aware that the FRA has set the effectiveness ratings of those SSMs by rule?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	safety devices were installed, the gates and the lights? THE WITNESS: I do not have a date for that. JUDGE PEARSON: So I want to get that information and it will be Bench Request No. 2. I would actually like a full history of all the improvements that have been made at the Cliffside Drive crossing. Do you know about how long it would take to get that information? THE WITNESS: I off the top of my head I do not. JUDGE PEARSON: Do you think you could get it by the end of the week or do you need longer? THE WITNESS: I could probably have it by the	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 BY MR. ROBERSON: Q. My name is Jeff Roberson. I'm an assistant attorney general representing the Commission staff in this matter. I just have a couple of questions for you. Okay. Are you familiar with 49 CFR Part 222, the code provisions dealing with quiet zones? A. Yes. Q. And you're aware that the FRI has approved certain SSMs for use in establishing quiet zones? A. Yes. Q. And you're aware that the FRA has set the effectiveness ratings of those SSMs by rule? A. Yes.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	safety devices were installed, the gates and the lights? THE WITNESS: I do not have a date for that. JUDGE PEARSON: So I want to get that information and it will be Bench Request No. 2. I would actually like a full history of all the improvements that have been made at the Cliffside Drive crossing. Do you know about how long it would take to get that information? THE WITNESS: I off the top of my head I do not. JUDGE PEARSON: Do you think you could get it by the end of the week or do you need longer?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 BY MR. ROBERSON: Q. My name is Jeff Roberson. I'm an assistant attorney general representing the Commission staff in this matter. I just have a couple of questions for you. Okay. Are you familiar with 49 CFR Part 222, the code provisions dealing with quiet zones? A. Yes. Q. And you're aware that the FRI has approved certain SSMs for use in establishing quiet zones? A. Yes. Q. And you're aware that the FRA has set the effectiveness ratings of those SSMs by rule?

29 (Pages 124 to 127)

	Page 128		Page 130
1	You have concerns with those effectiveness ratings.	1	JUDGE PEARSON: And would you agree that
2	Did you ever present those concerns to the FRA?	2 vis	ibility at the crossing would affect people's behavior?
3	A. I have not.	3	THE WITNESS: I would agree that pretty much
4	Q. Did BNSF ever comment in the rule to express	4 ev	erything to do with a site specific condition would affect
5	concern about those effectiveness ratings?		man behavior.
6	A. I don't have information to validate that so I	б	JUDGE PEARSON: So you said you haven't been
7	don't know.	7 to	the crossing and the aerial view of the crossing, just to
8	Q. Okay. And a road authority that wanted to		you know, is much different from when you're actually
9	establish a quiet zone would, per the rule, have to use the		ysically at the crossing looking to get across the
10	effectiveness rating established by the FRA, correct?	•	ossing.
11	A. They would have to follow the ruling, yes.	11	And so would it affect your opinion at all if you
12	Q. Okay. You talk a little bit about maintenance, the	12 kn	ew that the visibility at the crossing is it's fairly
13	maintenance necessary to keep up channelization devices in		eacherous," I guess is the word that I would use?
14	your testimony. I just have a couple questions on that.	14	THE WITNESS: Well, I guess my question is:
15	Did you review the County's maintenance plan	15 Ho	wwwould you define the human perception of it? Because in
16	when you before you testified?		me ways it may make it more likely and in some ways it may
17	A. I did not.		ake it less likely that a person may try and violate the
18	Q. Did you talk to any County employees about the		nditions.
19	plans for maintenance for the channelization devices?	19	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So if visibility was
20	A. I did not. I did not. All my information on the	20 lov	v, if there was a corner right there and you can only see
21	maintenance is based off of personal experience and the	21 av	very little ways, do you think that would affect someone's
22	projects that I was involved with with TTI.	22 de	cision to try and circumvent the gates?
23	Q. Have you ever had any personal experience in	23	THE WITNESS: Yes. I think it could have
24	Whatcom County?	24 aff	ected it both positively and negatively. There could be
25	A. No.		chance that because a person cannot see a potential train
1	Page 129 MR. ROBERSON: That's all actually all the	1 co	Page 131 ming, depending on that particular person, some people may
2	questions I have.	2 fin	d that to embolden them to try and cross because they
3	JUDGE PEARSON: Any redirect, Ms. Endres?		n't see a train coming, but others it may restrict them
4	MS. ENDRES: No.		
5		4 fro	m doing it because they may not feel comfortable trying
5	JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Arrington, can you hear	5 to	m doing it because they may not feel comfortable trying cross without being able to see a long distance down the
6	me? This is Judge Pearson.	5 to 6 tra	cross without being able to see a long distance down the ck.
6 7	me? This is Judge Pearson. THE WITNESS: Yes.	5 to 6 tra 7	cross without being able to see a long distance down the
6 7 8	me? This is Judge Pearson. THE WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So my	5 to 6 tra 7 8 yo	cross without being able to see a long distance down the ick. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. That's helpful. Thank u.
6 7 8 9	me? This is Judge Pearson. THE WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So my first question is: Did you personally visit the crossing at	5 to 6 tra 7 8 yo 9	cross without being able to see a long distance down the ck. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. That's helpful. Thank u. Those are the only questions that I have. Do you
6 7 8 9 10	me? This is Judge Pearson. THE WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So my first question is: Did you personally visit the crossing at Cliffside Drive?	5 to 6 tra 7 8 yo 9 10 ha	cross without being able to see a long distance down the ck. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. That's helpful. Thank u. Those are the only questions that I have. Do you ve anything for him?
6 7 8 9 10 11	me? This is Judge Pearson. THE WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So my first question is: Did you personally visit the crossing at Cliffside Drive? THE WITNESS: I have not. I reviewed the	5 to 6 tra 7 8 yo 9 10 ha 11	cross without being able to see a long distance down the ck. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. That's helpful. Thank u. Those are the only questions that I have. Do you ve anything for him? JUDGE CHARTOFF: No.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	me? This is Judge Pearson. THE WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So my first question is: Did you personally visit the crossing at Cliffside Drive? THE WITNESS: I have not. I reviewed the location using publicly available tools such as Google	5 to 6 tra 7 8 yo 9 10 ha 11 12	cross without being able to see a long distance down the ck. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. That's helpful. Thank u. Those are the only questions that I have. Do you ve anything for him? JUDGE CHARTOFF: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay, then we'll excuse you.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	me? This is Judge Pearson. THE WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So my first question is: Did you personally visit the crossing at Cliffside Drive? THE WITNESS: I have not. I reviewed the location using publicly available tools such as Google Street View and Google Earth.	5 to 6 tra 7 8 yo 9 10 ha 11 12 13 W	cross without being able to see a long distance down the ick. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. That's helpful. Thank u. Those are the only questions that I have. Do you ve anything for him? JUDGE CHARTOFF: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay, then we'll excuse you. e'll go ahead and hang up. Thank you for being available
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	me? This is Judge Pearson. THE WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So my first question is: Did you personally visit the crossing at Cliffside Drive? THE WITNESS: I have not. I reviewed the location using publicly available tools such as Google Street View and Google Earth. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And in your rebuttal	5 to 6 tra 7 8 yo 9 10 ha 11 12 13 W 14 to	cross without being able to see a long distance down the ck. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. That's helpful. Thank u. Those are the only questions that I have. Do you ve anything for him? JUDGE CHARTOFF: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay, then we'll excuse you. e'll go ahead and hang up. Thank you for being available day.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	me? This is Judge Pearson. THE WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So my first question is: Did you personally visit the crossing at Cliffside Drive? THE WITNESS: I have not. I reviewed the location using publicly available tools such as Google Street View and Google Earth. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And in your rebuttal testimony on Page 3, Lines 15 through 16, you speak	5 to 6 tra 7 8 9 9 10 ha 11 12 13 W 14 too 15 5	cross without being able to see a long distance down the ck. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. That's helpful. Thank u. Those are the only questions that I have. Do you ve anything for him? JUDGE CHARTOFF: No. JUDGE CHARTOFF: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay, then we'll excuse you. e'll go ahead and hang up. Thank you for being available day. THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	me? This is Judge Pearson. THE WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So my first question is: Did you personally visit the crossing at Cliffside Drive? THE WITNESS: I have not. I reviewed the location using publicly available tools such as Google Street View and Google Earth. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And in your rebuttal testimony on Page 3, Lines 15 through 16, you speak generally about research standards and you said that site	5 to 6 tra 7 8 yo 9 10 ha 11 12 13 W 14 to 15 16	cross without being able to see a long distance down the ck. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. That's helpful. Thank u. Those are the only questions that I have. Do you ve anything for him? JUDGE CHARTOFF: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay, then we'll excuse you. e'll go ahead and hang up. Thank you for being available day. THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you. JUDGE CHARTOFF: So that concludes the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	me? This is Judge Pearson. THE WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So my first question is: Did you personally visit the crossing at Cliffside Drive? THE WITNESS: I have not. I reviewed the location using publicly available tools such as Google Street View and Google Earth. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And in your rebuttal testimony on Page 3, Lines 15 through 16, you speak generally about research standards and you said that site specific conditions affect human behavior.	5 to 6 tra 7 8 8 yo 9 9 10 ha 11 12 13 W 14 too 15 16 17 ev	cross without being able to see a long distance down the ck. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. That's helpful. Thank u. Those are the only questions that I have. Do you ve anything for him? JUDGE CHARTOFF: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay, then we'll excuse you. e'll go ahead and hang up. Thank you for being available day. THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you. JUDGE CHARTOFF: So that concludes the identiary portion of the hearing.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	me? This is Judge Pearson. THE WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So my first question is: Did you personally visit the crossing at Cliffside Drive? THE WITNESS: I have not. I reviewed the location using publicly available tools such as Google Street View and Google Earth. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And in your rebuttal testimony on Page 3, Lines 15 through 16, you speak generally about research standards and you said that site specific conditions affect human behavior. Do you recall that testimony?	5 to 6 tra 7 8 yo 9 10 ha 11 12 13 W 14 to 15 16 17 ev 18	cross without being able to see a long distance down the ck. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. That's helpful. Thank u. Those are the only questions that I have. Do you ve anything for him? JUDGE CHARTOFF: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay, then we'll excuse you. e'll go ahead and hang up. Thank you for being available day. THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you. JUDGE CHARTOFF: So that concludes the identiary portion of the hearing. Anything else before we go off the record?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	me? This is Judge Pearson. THE WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So my first question is: Did you personally visit the crossing at Cliffside Drive? THE WITNESS: I have not. I reviewed the location using publicly available tools such as Google Street View and Google Earth. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And in your rebuttal testimony on Page 3, Lines 15 through 16, you speak generally about research standards and you said that site specific conditions affect human behavior. Do you recall that testimony? THE WITNESS: Yes.	5 to 6 tra 7 8 8 yo 9 10 10 ha 11 12 13 W 14 too 15 16 17 ev 18 19	cross without being able to see a long distance down the ck. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. That's helpful. Thank u. Those are the only questions that I have. Do you ve anything for him? JUDGE CHARTOFF: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay, then we'll excuse you. e'll go ahead and hang up. Thank you for being available day. THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you. JUDGE CHARTOFF: So that concludes the identiary portion of the hearing. Anything else before we go off the record? MR. QUINN: Not from the County, Your Honor.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	me? This is Judge Pearson. THE WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So my first question is: Did you personally visit the crossing at Cliffside Drive? THE WITNESS: I have not. I reviewed the location using publicly available tools such as Google Street View and Google Earth. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And in your rebuttal testimony on Page 3, Lines 15 through 16, you speak generally about research standards and you said that site specific conditions affect human behavior. Do you recall that testimony? THE WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So as that relates to	5 to 6 tra 7 8 9 9 10 ha 11 12 13 W 14 too 15 16 17 ev 18 19 20 20	cross without being able to see a long distance down the ck. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. That's helpful. Thank u. Those are the only questions that I have. Do you ve anything for him? JUDGE CHARTOFF: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay, then we'll excuse you. e'll go ahead and hang up. Thank you for being available day. THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you. JUDGE CHARTOFF: So that concludes the identiary portion of the hearing. Anything else before we go off the record? MR. QUINN: Not from the County, Your Honor. MR. ROBERSON: Not from staff.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	me? This is Judge Pearson. THE WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So my first question is: Did you personally visit the crossing at Cliffside Drive? THE WITNESS: I have not. I reviewed the location using publicly available tools such as Google Street View and Google Earth. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And in your rebuttal testimony on Page 3, Lines 15 through 16, you speak generally about research standards and you said that site specific conditions affect human behavior. Do you recall that testimony? THE WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So as that relates to railroad crossings, would you agree that site specific	5 to 6 tra 7 8 8 yo 9	cross without being able to see a long distance down the ck. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. That's helpful. Thank u. Those are the only questions that I have. Do you ve anything for him? JUDGE CHARTOFF: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay, then we'll excuse you. e'll go ahead and hang up. Thank you for being available day. THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you. JUDGE CHARTOFF: So that concludes the identiary portion of the hearing. Anything else before we go off the record? MR. QUINN: Not from the County, Your Honor. MR. ROBERSON: Not from staff. MS. ENDRES: No, Your Honor.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	me? This is Judge Pearson. THE WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So my first question is: Did you personally visit the crossing at Cliffside Drive? THE WITNESS: I have not. I reviewed the location using publicly available tools such as Google Street View and Google Earth. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And in your rebuttal testimony on Page 3, Lines 15 through 16, you speak generally about research standards and you said that site specific conditions affect human behavior. Do you recall that testimony? THE WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So as that relates to railroad crossings, would you agree that site specific conditions such as the surrounding area, what type of	5 to 6 tra 7 8 8 yo 9 10 10 ha 11 12 13 W 14 too 15 16 17 ev 18 19 20 21 22 21	cross without being able to see a long distance down the ck. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. That's helpful. Thank u. Those are the only questions that I have. Do you ve anything for him? JUDGE CHARTOFF: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay, then we'll excuse you. e'll go ahead and hang up. Thank you for being available day. THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you. JUDGE CHARTOFF: So that concludes the identiary portion of the hearing. Anything else before we go off the record? MR. QUINN: Not from the County, Your Honor. MR. ROBERSON: Not from staff. MS. ENDRES: No, Your Honor. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. We will be in recess
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	me? This is Judge Pearson. THE WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So my first question is: Did you personally visit the crossing at Cliffside Drive? THE WITNESS: I have not. I reviewed the location using publicly available tools such as Google Street View and Google Earth. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And in your rebuttal testimony on Page 3, Lines 15 through 16, you speak generally about research standards and you said that site specific conditions affect human behavior. Do you recall that testimony? THE WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So as that relates to railroad crossings, would you agree that site specific conditions such as the surrounding area, what type of neighborhood it is, would affect human behavior at that	5 to 6 tra 7 8 9 9 10 ha 11 12 13 W 14 too 15 16 17 ev 18 19 20 21 22 23 23 un	cross without being able to see a long distance down the ck. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. That's helpful. Thank u. Those are the only questions that I have. Do you ve anything for him? JUDGE CHARTOFF: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay, then we'll excuse you. e'll go ahead and hang up. Thank you for being available day. THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you. JUDGE CHARTOFF: So that concludes the identiary portion of the hearing. Anything else before we go off the record? MR. QUINN: Not from the County, Your Honor. MR. ROBERSON: Not from staff. MS. ENDRES: No, Your Honor. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. We will be in recess til the public comment hearing later in evening. We are
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	me? This is Judge Pearson. THE WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So my first question is: Did you personally visit the crossing at Cliffside Drive? THE WITNESS: I have not. I reviewed the location using publicly available tools such as Google Street View and Google Earth. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And in your rebuttal testimony on Page 3, Lines 15 through 16, you speak generally about research standards and you said that site specific conditions affect human behavior. Do you recall that testimony? THE WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So as that relates to railroad crossings, would you agree that site specific conditions such as the surrounding area, what type of neighborhood it is, would affect human behavior at that crossing?	5 to 6 tra 7 8 9 9 10 ha 11 12 13 W 14 too 15 16 17 ev 18 19 20 21 22 23 23 un 24 off	cross without being able to see a long distance down the ck. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. That's helpful. Thank u. Those are the only questions that I have. Do you ve anything for him? JUDGE CHARTOFF: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay, then we'll excuse you. e'll go ahead and hang up. Thank you for being available day. THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you. JUDGE CHARTOFF: So that concludes the identiary portion of the hearing. Anything else before we go off the record? MR. QUINN: Not from the County, Your Honor. MR. ROBERSON: Not from staff. MS. ENDRES: No, Your Honor. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. We will be in recess til the public comment hearing later in evening. We are the record.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	me? This is Judge Pearson. THE WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. So my first question is: Did you personally visit the crossing at Cliffside Drive? THE WITNESS: I have not. I reviewed the location using publicly available tools such as Google Street View and Google Earth. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And in your rebuttal testimony on Page 3, Lines 15 through 16, you speak generally about research standards and you said that site specific conditions affect human behavior. Do you recall that testimony? THE WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So as that relates to railroad crossings, would you agree that site specific conditions such as the surrounding area, what type of neighborhood it is, would affect human behavior at that	5 to 6 tra 7 8 9 9 10 ha 11 12 13 W 14 too 15 16 17 ev 18 19 20 21 22 23 23 un	cross without being able to see a long distance down the ck. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. That's helpful. Thank u. Those are the only questions that I have. Do you ve anything for him? JUDGE CHARTOFF: No. JUDGE PEARSON: Okay, then we'll excuse you. e'll go ahead and hang up. Thank you for being available day. THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you. JUDGE CHARTOFF: So that concludes the identiary portion of the hearing. Anything else before we go off the record? MR. QUINN: Not from the County, Your Honor. MR. ROBERSON: Not from staff. MS. ENDRES: No, Your Honor. JUDGE CHARTOFF: Okay. We will be in recess til the public comment hearing later in evening. We are

30 (Pages 128 to 131)

	Page 132	
1	STATE OF WASHINGTON)	
2) SS:CERTÍFICATE COUNTY OF SNOHOMISH)	
3 4	I, CONNIE A. RECOB, Certified Court	
4 5	Reporter in and for the State of Washington do hereby	
6	certify;	
7 8 9 10 11	That the foregoing is a true and correct transcription of my shorthand notes as taken upon the above named case on the date and at the time and place as shown on page one hereto; That I am not related to any of the	
12	parties to this litigation and have no interest in the	
13	outcome of said litigation;	
14 15 16 17	Witness my hand and seal this 13th day of March, 2019.	
18 19 20		
20 21 22	CONNIE A. RECOB, CCR 2631, RMR, CRR, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF	
23 24	WASHINGTON, RESIDING AT STANWOOD. LICENSE EXPIRES APRIL 8, 2020.	
25		

Page 133

[1 490 100
A	adjacent 115:4	129:21 130:1,3	102:23 114:12	127:6 129:5
A-R-R-I-N-G-T	adjourned 131:25	ahead 23:6 33:2	applying 64:9	Arrington's 88:23
	Administration	60:11 77:11	93:17 103:6 106:1	89:3,18
126:23	106:1	121:18 131:13	appreciate 59:25	aside 24:18
a.m 54:8,8	administrative	aid 33:24	60:6 92:22 115:22	asked 40:10 69:1,6
AAG 16:11	12:20,21 15:12	allayed 108:12,15	approach 18:12	89:10,24 90:1
ability 107:24	81:6	allocation 68:18,24	20:15 28:13 37:17	91:16 92:1 94:5
able 39:5,24 48:17	admission 15:18	allow 35:7 55:6	67:4 86:12 119:14	95:8 110:13 118:3
58:12 59:1 87:21	admit 25:20 35:8	58:7 68:21 89:15	approaches 69:7	118:22 121:10
120:2 123:8 131:5	51:5,9	121:18 122:25	115:8	asking 17:14 31:15
absence 19:14	admitted 25:22	allowed 103:22		77:16 89:13
Absolutely 97:8			approaching 56:20 57:1	
abuse 37:24 38:6	35:11 64:7	allows 37:1,6		101:14,16 110:17
access 25:9 45:25	adopts 90:14	104:16	appropriate 36:1,4	110:25 122:6
58:23	ADT 22:24 34:24	alternative 36:25	36:16 37:3,7	aspect 115:8
accessing 62:1	47:1 49:3 50:2,4	45:14 52:20 78:9	68:17 73:16 75:10	assess 44:18
accident 22:8 24:1	70:13 71:1 91:13	89:25 91:16 122:4	78:19 84:15 86:9	assessment 35:25
24:6 60:3 96:6	111:17,20 112:9	amount 53:19	87:7 90:11 91:5	64:1
accidentally 18:6	112:13,14 120:2,7	124:18	93:12,16,21 94:6	assistance 61:17
accidents 95:14,15	120:8 123:5,11	amounts 71:5,6	appropriately	assistant 13:19
accompanied 32:9	ADTs 34:11 109:18	Amtrak 80:10	74:14 117:12	127:13
accurate 71:2 95:9	109:19 111:22	122:17	approval 103:4	assume 17:21 44:11
96:20	advanced 111:7	analysis 27:21	105:11	44:12 66:17 74:22
accurately 37:9	124:9	28:11	approve 15:8 29:14	106:3
120:13	advised 57:22	analyzed 30:24	approved 51:20,25	assumes 40:3 42:11
acronyms 19:5	aerial 25:12 55:23	answer 18:3 37:5	64:8,10 65:23	42:13,13,14
action 120:25	56:3 130:7	74:23 75:1,8 92:8	92:5,21 116:4	assuming 73:15
activated 124:6	affect 129:17,23	anticipate 28:13	127:19	86:13 105:24
activating 124:11	130:2,4,11,21	96:18	approves 66:9	106:5 121:21
active 111:6 125:11	affluent 62:7	apologize 28:20	approximate 26:14	assumption 23:22
actual 59:10 120:14	afford 35:9	31:14 40:10 57:18	approximately 15:6	assumptions 27:23
added 63:13	afield 68:5	68:15 84:4 110:15	60:14 61:9 63:2	astutely 72:9
addition 120:8	afternoon 98:3	apparently 89:12	64:18 101:1	attach 63:6
additional 21:23	127:6	appearances 13:1	113:24,25 124:19	attached 83:1
22:2 45:6,8 50:17	agencies 28:2	16:5	APRIL 132:23	attempt 18:23
69:13 71:7 72:17	agency 91:7	appearing 16:11	area 25:13 26:9	120:13
110:9,22	aggressive 62:17	appears 56:11 89:1	48:3 61:7 67:6	attempted 87:16
additionally 106:25	ago 47:15	apple-to-apples	100:22,24 101:1	attended 81:9
108:18	agree 21:11,15 22:4	34:18	109:23 115:11	83:15 86:13
address 15:21	23:10,25 24:5,24	apples 33:17,17	129:22	attention 100:1
23:17 109:9,9,9	51:19,24 52:12	application 31:13	areas 109:24	attorney 13:4,14,19
114:21	56:13 60:3 73:6,8	72:2 76:11 85:2	argumentative	127:14
addressed 33:1	73:24 78:8 102:10	103:3,9,23 104:17	101:17 103:17	authorities 88:3
74:14 95:5 108:20	104:23 105:15,20	105:10	Arrington 14:16	authority 91:5 94:6
108:24	105:23 106:8,10	applies 72:1	96:25 97:7 126:6	99:17 100:11
addressing 61:17	107:25 114:15,18	apply 38:3 102:19	126:10,14,22	102:2,11,15,23
	1	1		1

Page 134

103:3 115:14	121:10,15,17	41:24 56:24 61:3	brush 59:15	case 19:21 21:3
116:9 128:8	bears 121:8	73:20 103:22	built 67:16	30:10,15 33:9
automatically	beginning 69:21	104:4 106:4	burden 86:10	34:23 37:17 38:11
88:18	behalf 18:1 59:20	111:12 126:22	bus 40:22	38:18,23 40:4
available 68:23	77:21 78:24 79:4	128:12	buses 34:14 40:20	46:14,22 49:2
77:7 97:22,23	100:11	blocked 66:21	109:24	78:16 79:2,4
112:6 126:25	behavior 52:5	blown 42:11	businesses 41:1	
				83:10,19 84:22
129:12 131:13	129:17,23 130:2,5	BNSF 12:8 13:14	BY-11X 82:2	91:3 92:19 93:18
Avenue 12:16 13:6	believe 19:16 20:1	16:14 17:13 21:14	BY-4 81:25	99:17 102:15
13:11	23:3 32:3 33:16	24:16 25:15 27:4	BY-5 79:2	105:7,24 132:9
average 46:15	33:17 37:23 44:18	29:11,11,18 51:5	<u> </u>	cases 23:22 105:21
47:12 48:22 49:14	45:10 53:1 55:18	64:13,23 67:2		cause 122:25
52:24 111:16	55:21 56:9 57:13	69:1,6,12 74:23	C 15:1 132:1,1	caused 120:19
118:24	63:18 65:2,3,7	75:16,23 83:10,14	calculate 42:23	121:12
aware 61:12 72:9	72:7 75:15 79:20	94:17,19,22 98:7	113:7 119:1,25	caveat 35:8
91:12,15 92:2,9	82:19 88:7 95:12	98:10 99:12 100:9	120:2	CCR 12:24,24
99:3 100:13	95:17 102:7	100:10 106:19,25	calculated 22:16	132:21
106:15,17 109:19	109:25 111:5	110:8,22,25 111:4	45:4 46:10 48:10	center 27:24 55:7
112:5 127:19,22	112:15 124:14	111:11,24 112:1	50:7	certain 29:13 90:22
127:25	125:6	114:25 115:1,14	calculation 22:21	127:19
	believed 106:25	116:13 118:3	37:1,6 43:3,7	certainly 81:1
<u> </u>	believes 37:15	125:4,5 128:4	50:10 51:9,10	84:20 121:10
back 18:7 54:14	Bellingham 12:17	BNSF's 15:25	87:16,24 88:12	Certified 132:3,21
59:23 60:9,11,14	13:6	38:15,16 42:24	106:23 112:7	certify 132:5
60:16 75:25 96:14	bells 101:3	43:20 44:14,15	118:23 124:16	cetera 91:2,2
97:11 102:1 112:5	bench 18:9 95:22	45:6,6 65:25 67:2	calculations 20:1	CFR 64:6 89:22
119:20 126:9	96:5 125:15	74:15 94:24	21:9 41:25 47:20	99:23 127:16
bad 52:5	best 72:6 81:4	118:12,14 125:3	49:16 53:11 69:20	Chait 13:10
ballpark 124:13	86:12 114:15,20	Bob 79:1	73:13 105:19	chance 83:17
barriers 15:9 66:19	114:21,21	Boston 79:1,4	106:10 111:17	130:25
base 37:22 38:2,4	better 21:15 45:21	Boston's 79:23	121:22,22 122:24	change 35:25 41:9
based 27:23 46:18	74:23 77:20	bottom 58:24	calculator 19:25	44:16 47:10 49:21
61:11 64:3,10	Betty 14:8 76:23	Box 13:20	20:9,13 43:13	72:10 88:17 89:19
70:16 71:3 74:2	77:3	break 54:21 60:8	47:17 48:16 50:4	91:10
76:13 78:18 85:4	beyond 84:16 85:4	96:14 97:6,9	87:9,10,25 88:10	changed 23:1 76:9
88:19 106:10,22	89:4	breaks 118:8	94:10 105:18	88:4 122:7
109:5,11 111:10	bid 30:13	brevity 27:12	111:13 112:10	changes 23:2,2,12
112:3 114:7	big 56:24 76:12	brief 123:1	113:6,15 123:4	48:18 88:5 122:3
120:16 128:21	116:13	bring 119:10	call 16:18 57:10	changing 41:18
bases 26:20 84:21	- · -	-	109:14 126:6	channelization
basically 35:21	bigger 80:1	bringing 61:20	called 75:16 82:22	
67:6 104:21	binder 17:24,25	brings 50:5 Pritich 08:12	calling 16:17	21:5 24:2,2,7,11
124:18	18:13 119:13	British 98:12	car 52:18	26:15,23 27:2,25
basis 34:15 47:12	bisects 85:21	broke 38:2	care 15:16	31:11 37:19 40:7
58:17 103:23	bit 19:3 21:8 25:6	broken 54:15 117:4	carry 22:6	54:12 63:6,7 64:8
112:9 116:25	31:3 35:2 38:7	117:17	cars 66:18 118:23	64:21,23 67:18
112.7 110.23			Cars 00.10 110.23	

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				1 uge 100
72.24.02.21.107.1	28.20.20.5.25	122.0		26.25 29.0 24
72:24 93:21 107:1	28:20 29:5,25	color 32:8 Columbia 98:12	comparing 25:4 44:12	36:25 38:9,24 56:15 57:8
108:4,5,7 109:11	52:13 65:17,21 92:17			
116:22 117:5,17		come 28:24 29:9,21	comparison 34:18	configurations 92:3
118:9,15,17	clearly 45:1 78:2	30:17 31:8 45:23	35:3	confirm 109:25
120:18,22 128:13	92:13 112:16	60:11,14 67:15	compelling 106:18	connected 38:3
128:19	clients 71:23	75:3,7 76:21	106:19 107:9,18	Connie 12:24 132:3
channelized 118:18	Cliffside 15:9 17:16	84:10 91:9 96:14	108:2	132:21
characterized	19:23 26:9 34:23	102:1 124:21	completed 112:5	consequence
39:10	36:5,17 38:23	comes 38:20 42:20	121:2	123:15
Chartoff 12:21	39:10 40:16 42:2	43:7 55:4 88:11	completely 55:7	consider 85:10
15:4,11 16:10,16	47:9 56:15 57:1,8	comfortable 27:2	104:1	104:2 112:23
16:23 17:2,5,8	57:18 58:25 69:4	131:4	compliance 104:15	consideration
18:10,14 60:16	69:7 70:11 73:7	coming 82:9 86:18	comply 70:22 90:18	22:25 45:10,18
74:17 76:20 77:1	73:25 75:14 95:14	124:21 131:1,3	composite 28:9	51:18 87:14 114:9
77:5 97:11,16,20	100:14,16,25	Comission 81:8	63:4,4	considerations 22:3
126:9,10,18,24	101:13,24 105:7	commenced 83:11	concede 75:16	24:13
127:2 131:11,16	105:15 109:3	comment 16:3	concern 108:21	considered 64:8
131:22	110:5,18 111:14	128:4 131:23	110:21 116:21,25	85:8,12 92:18
choose 24:15 54:18	112:13 114:8,10	comments 81:1	118:12,14 120:16	consists 100:24
66:1 116:10	117:8 121:6	commercial 41:1	121:10 128:5	constituents 62:16
chose 45:20 76:6,7	123:23 124:12	Commission 12:2	concerned 71:24	constitutes 103:23
Christopher 13:4	125:11,17 129:10	15:13 16:12 74:4	concerns 54:25	contact 88:4
16:7	close 31:24 34:9	77:24 79:11 82:9	62:17 76:2 108:3	context 121:13
Chuckanut 38:13	50:13	82:10 85:14 86:11	108:9,12,16,24	continue 35:14
38:14,14	closely 99:14	90:14 91:10 92:19	116:13 128:1,2	continued 67:15
Chumstick 38:11	closer 46:19	104:2 127:14	concludes 131:16	continues 38:25
circumstances	closing 24:18 66:12	Commission's	conclusion 45:19	continuous 76:4
58:10 103:5,8	CLR 12:24	90:12	concrete 28:25	contract 39:25
circumvent 130:22	club 31:3,20,21	common 47:9	29:15,19 30:11,15	contractor 28:24
circumventing 64:2	37:25 38:9 40:12	communication	30:18 31:8,16,17	29:9,20 30:10,17
65:10	56:14,18,20 57:5	82:17	36:12 38:3 39:5	31:8 84:9
citations 62:14				control 86:13 90:13
citizens 71:25		community 61:4,6	39:15,21 40:6	
	77:21 78:25 79:9	61:15,17 62:5	75:10 78:14 84:9	conversation 32:12
117:16	95:6 98:24	71:2,8 72:7 76:13	86:17,22,25 91:23	82:9
city 91:9 98:25	co-presiding 15:14	108:17	condition 35:22	conversion 23:12
city's 109:23	126:11	community's 72:6	130:4	23:18
clarification 23:6	code 21:19 27:9	company 12:8	conditions 129:17	convert 19:18
85:18	45:19 50:19 64:11	16:14 17:13 30:12	129:22 130:18	51:25 93:10
clarify 22:12,21,22	79:25 82:11 85:2	comparable 45:20	conduct 111:24	converted 17:17
71:11 80:21 86:16	127:16	compare 43:18,20	conducted 70:19,20	21:17 22:8 23:20
87:24 95:5 123:9	Cody 14:3 16:19,20	43:21 120:4	112:7	51:21 78:5
clarity 20:21 23:8	17:1 83:18	compared 21:17	conference 75:15	copies 18:9
35:12	cognizant 58:21	26:23 39:6,11	confident 71:1	copy 15:19
class 62:6 91:9	collectively 18:4	57:4 80:3 114:23	configuration	corner 76:8 102:7
clear 18:22 19:6	college 98:5	114:25	25:13 33:8 36:16	130:20
		•		1

correct 19:9 20:1	council 61:17	County's 15:24	17:10 18:21 25:12	crossings 22:4
22:10,13,20 25:10	counsel 34:19	27:3 29:5 36:24	61:5 63:11 77:13	31:18 52:6,9 66:1
26:17 32:4,15	60:12 61:20 65:25	37:3,13 43:18	83:19,20 96:12,16	80:5 83:4 90:16
35:14 40:18 41:2	count 22:24 46:11	44:13,15 45:5,6	98:1 116:1 127:4	91:12 92:21
43:11 44:11 49:9	46:15,19,21 48:22	45:14 47:6 52:4	crossing 15:9 19:19	109:15,16,21
52:11 55:20 57:20	49:15,24,25 50:16	62:15 65:15 92:14	19:23 21:16 22:8	115:12 120:17,24
61:24,25 62:3	51:10 53:10,18	103:23,25 104:12	22:15,19 23:1,4	121:14 129:21
63:9,12,14 64:9	87:4,18 88:17	104:21 106:16	23:10,17,18,20	CRR 12:24 132:21
64:14 65:3,15,19	118:25 119:2	107:19 109:1	24:1,6,10 25:6,13	CS 22:21
65:20,23 66:5,6,8	120:9	114:15 121:13	26:10 29:7 31:25	CS- 51:8
66:20,21 67:8	counts 48:9,25 49:4	128:15	31:25 32:14,16	CS-12X 32:17
70:6,7,17 71:9,12	49:5,11 50:23	couple 22:23 40:13	33:6,14,18,19	CS-12X-15X 35:11
71:13,16,17 72:18	51:2 61:12 88:4,7	47:15 49:1 63:23	34:8,10,12,14,23	CS-16 51:11
72:25 80:4 85:11	91:13 94:17	74:12 75:7 123:19	35:23,23 36:9,17	CS-16X 23:9
85:13 88:21 93:18	county 12:4 13:5	123:20 127:15	36:25 37:15,16	CS-17X 51:4
93:19,22,23 94:1	15:8,10 16:1,8,8	128:14	38:2,10,10 41:3	CS-1T 42:7
94:2,3,4,7,8,14	16:17 21:3,9,14	coupled 66:4 67:18	41:14 45:23 47:7	CS-2 32:8
95:10 98:14 100:3	23:24 26:15,21	course 66:5 115:19	51:19,21,25 52:13	CS-22X 25:12,22
100:6,12,14,15,17	28:23 30:16,19,21	123:3	53:15 54:24 55:1	26:2,8 56:9
100:18 102:3,9,12	31:7,18 33:6	court 15:20 61:6	55:3,9 56:14,21	CS-23X 25:12,22
102:13,20,21	35:23 36:16 37:3	62:4,24 84:2	57:10,11,14,19	26:3,13
104:11,13,14,18	37:15 39:12,23	100:21 103:16	60:3 61:5,11,18	CS-3 32:4 53:3
104:19 105:4,5,8	40:10,11 41:3,13	132:3,21	61:23 62:11 64:3	CS-7 99:24
106:13,14,17	44:24 46:11,19,22	courthouse 16:2	65:11 66:5,14,19	CS-8 51:4
107:7,20,21,23	51:2 53:18 55:8	cover 51:16	66:21 67:21 68:13	CS-9 20:10,12
111:14,15,17,18	57:23 59:19 65:17	covering 98:12	68:23 69:16 70:4	22:12 23:9 48:7
112:11,17,18	65:22 67:4 69:1	cquinn@co.what	71:20 72:10 73:3	51:11
114:1,2,6,13,14	69:22 71:23,25	13:7	74:5,17 75:4,7,10	CS1T 20:5
116:4,5,8,11,12	75:21,22 78:4,13	create 82:14 116:11	75:11,11 78:5,10	curb 27:24 28:4,6,9
116:15,16,19,20	79:19 81:2 84:6,6	created 82:18	78:20 80:9,17	29:6,9 30:11
116:24 117:9,14	84:14 85:12 86:3	creating 61:18	82:18 86:9,25	31:15 38:5 62:25
117:15,17,18	86:12 87:4 88:3	creation 117:11	88:1,11,19 90:25	63:13 64:14,16
121:22 125:9	89:20 91:17 93:18	crew 41:10 122:13	91:11 93:10,13	65:4,5,9,14 67:18
128:10 132:7	93:20 95:8 99:17	122:15	95:14,16,19 96:6	72:24 73:14 84:9
correcting 38:12	100:13 102:15,17	crews 118:13	100:17,19,25	85:20 91:23 107:6
correctly 79:15	103:6,8 104:16	122:12	101:2,2,13,24	107:6,19 118:16
83:8	105:7,10,25 106:8	cross 14:4,9,13,14	104:9 105:2	curbs 28:12 30:15
correspondingly	106:11,20 107:5	14:17 15:21 17:5	110:24 111:1,4	62:21,22 66:4
39:16	107:10 108:10	17:7 38:18 60:20	113:21 115:4	69:7 71:15
cost 28:5,16 37:1	114:11,16,20	60:21 66:3 70:6	116:10 118:7,7,13	curious 74:19
37:14 45:14 65:18	115:1,2,10,13	77:7 96:17,24	118:15 120:14	125:1
68:4,18,24 122:5	116:4,18 118:4,6	97:22 121:11	122:1,11 124:7,8	current 70:8,9
costs 27:17,21	118:9,10,23	126:25 131:2,5	124:11 125:7,7,11	71:10 72:5,11
28:12,19 32:14	121:21 128:18,24	cross-exam 68:7	125:17 129:9,24	73:3,7,25 91:21
58:23 61:19,20	131:19 132:2	cross-examination	130:2,7,7,9,10,12	98:9,22 101:5,6
	•		•	-

101:12,24 105:6	debating 24:21	describe 61:6 62:4	81:9,16,23 82:15	81:10 108:13
110:4,10,17,23	decades 47:10	62:24 64:16	83:7,11,14 86:14	disincentive 79:12
111:4 112:4	decide 75:9 91:5	100:21,21 109:20	90:5 91:2 110:25	79:21 80:1
113:20,20	decided 75:16	109:22	dictate 115:14	disputing 121:20
currently 58:4	decides 74:4	described 26:20	differ 35:2	121:25
62:20 70:13 71:20	deciding 123:14	65:25 69:10 91:18	difference 43:22	distance 29:13
72:13 74:6 100:13	decision 72:4 78:21	120:16	44:12,23 45:4,7	131:5
101:2 105:15	92:15,16 121:8	describes 89:11	45:24 46:2,2	distinction 91:24
curve 36:12	123:16 130:22	103:2	73:16,17 75:11	distinguished
curves 38:25 63:5	decisions 52:9	design 81:1	78:12 79:24	91:22
curves 38.25 05.5 cut 45:14,15	dedicated 85:1	0	107:22 121:11	Docket 12:6 15:7
-		designate 102:11		
cutting 126:21	deemed 86:12	102:15 103:9	different 29:8 44:9	document 42:10
D	deems 104:20	designated 103:2	45:10 46:10 47:20	43:1 53:6 54:2
$\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ 15:1	defaults 94:11,13	designation 102:24	48:18 49:3 70:25	56:2 82:13,25
D -U-S-T-Y 126:22	defeat 92:10	designed 54:13	84:2 87:1,22	103:10 119:21
daily 46:15 47:12	defer 95:8	detail 31:4 101:3	88:16 111:16	doing 24:18,19
48:22 49:14 90:22	deficiency 39:24	109:22	123:14 124:9	45:2 71:18 127:6
111:16 118:24	40:3	deter 52:5 65:10,13	130:8	127:7,8 131:4
damage 33:5 79:13	define 99:9 130:15	determent 64:2	differently 27:8	domed 63:3
79:22 80:1 120:19	defined 27:8 85:20	determination 48:2	difficult 76:7	doubt 109:8 110:6
120:24 121:12	definitely 56:17	determine 34:22	dimensions 63:1	110:6
damaged 39:15	definition 30:3	93:15	direct 17:3 20:5	downtown 109:23
40:6 117:1	definitive 124:15	determined 54:13	27:21 28:11 59:12	109:24
	definitively 49:2	93:20	84:17 95:12 99:25	dozen 98:19
damages 116:22 dangerous 22:5	degrade 34:20	determining 22:25	101:19 102:6	drive 13:15,20 15:9
data 49:23 58:19	degree 22:5 24:9,23	deterred 66:19	103:11 123:10	17:16 19:23 26:10
	25:5 78:8 80:18	development 47:10	directed 101:15	34:23 36:17 39:10
59:9,12 62:13 71:4,8 88:19 96:6	122:12	device 37:8 64:8	direction 39:1	40:16 42:2 54:17
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	delineator 108:7	81:4 108:7 116:22	56:21 57:2 74:20	57:18 69:7 70:11
date 12:15 62:10	delta 44:5,6	117:5,17,17 118:9	74:21	73:7 74:1 79:13
110:24 121:3	demonstration	124:5	directions 49:23	79:21 95:14
125:13 126:2	34:20	devices 17:15,16	74:19,23 80:7	100:14,16,25
132:9	department 59:20	21:6 22:1 26:23	123:22 124:2	101:13,24 105:7
dates 95:18	71:22,22	27:25 29:7,13	disagree 43:8	105:15 109:3
day 49:7,8,11,17	depending 105:17	31:11 33:7 35:22	discourse 78:25	110:5,18 111:14
52:24 53:9,13,16	131:1	36:1,3 37:20	discretion 93:15	112:13 114:8,10
53:20 54:6 61:11	depends 29:10 99:9	54:12,13 63:6,7	discussed 27:16	117:8 118:17
80:10 118:8	depiction 35:22	64:21,24 67:18	63:8 66:3 69:8	121:6 123:23
120:10,11 132:15	71:2	72:24 90:13,15,16	70:4 86:14 90:6	124:12 125:11,17
days 46:22 47:6	deposition 26:2,14	93:22 107:1 108:4	108:9,18	129:10
71:8 118:7	27:16 28:15 30:23	108:5 109:11	discussion 17:15	driven 40:8
daytime 49:12,20	32:12 39:4 46:12	118:15 120:22	38:8 61:13 69:19	driver 52:5 116:22
deal 114:16	55:11,24 56:10	125:12 128:13,19	77:19 83:11 84:5	drivers 52:8 58:20
dealing 94:21 110:1	58:3 83:18 84:1	diagnostic 23:11,16	86:2 90:21 126:8	108:6,17
127:17	Deputy 13:4	80:17,21,24 81:6	discussions 54:24	drives 54:14 118:8
deals 90:15				
	1	1	I	I

driveway 55:4	56:13 58:7,12,25	establish 33:22	54:7 82:21 114:24	expressed 62:17
57:15	59:4	50:20 68:22 69:22	excuse 32:11 64:6	extends 67:7
driving 38:1 57:23	employees 128:18	99:5 100:14 102:3	81:15 101:5 106:7	extent 68:3 108:18
58:23	encounter 54:4	102:18 104:17,22	113:12 114:4	110:3 115:3
drove 74:18,18	encountered 40:3	105:3,4,10 121:21	131:12	extra 29:15
76:5 117:11	encroach 58:8	128:9	excused 76:18 96:3	
due 126:2	Endres 13:10 14:4	established 104:8	126:5,5	F
duly 16:20 76:23	14:6,9,15 16:13	105:12 107:3	exercise 76:6	F 132:1
97:14 126:14	16:13 17:6,11,12	128:10	exhibit 15:19 18:22	face 56:22
durable 37:23 63:4	18:15,18 19:2	establishing 66:10	20:9,11 22:12,21	faces 64:19
Dusty 14:16 126:14	20:15,19 22:11,17	103:24 105:25	25:22 26:3,3,8,13	fact 34:22 46:18
126:22	22:20 23:7 25:11	127:20	26:16 35:11 56:6	58:21 73:9 103:17
	25:23 27:14,15	establishment	56:10 79:3 82:5	104:15 114:7,11
E	30:9 32:20 33:2,4	81:19 83:3,6 99:1	82:22 83:1,19,20	121:20
E 15:1,1 132:1,1	33:11,12 35:12,17	99:16,21 100:3	89:8 95:22 101:11	factor 84:14
earlier 57:7 123:21	35:20 46:6,9 51:5	114:13	101:15,20 103:16	factors 45:23 67:15
Earth 32:17 129:13	51:8,14,15 54:20	establishments	exhibits 15:19,21	fair 17:21 23:13,20
ease 84:5,13	54:23 56:7,8	98:15	17:24 18:7,19	23:22 24:9 35:3
easier 36:23 76:12	59:22 60:1,6 68:2	estate 47:22 71:7	20:6 25:12,15,18	40:8 52:6 61:22
east 36:18 54:24	68:15 77:10,14	estimate 26:14	26:1 32:21 35:7	62:22 74:8 84:21
55:1 57:3 85:15	84:20 85:9,21,25	96:20	51:7	92:1 99:4 105:19
86:4,24	86:1,19,24 87:3	estimated 29:2	exist 30:16 56:15	110:2 115:6,16
east/west 38:11	89:10,17 92:22	96:24	66:7	121:14
eastbound 54:10	94:5 97:6,21,23	et 91:1,2	existed 88:19	fairly 99:9 130:12
economical 24:19	101:14,17 103:13	evaluation 80:17	existing 23:3 42:2	falls 96:12
edge 30:4	107:8 110:15	81:21	42:14 43:4,4 48:8	familiar 61:10,15
edges 30:6	117:20,22 118:2	evening 16:2	61:11 66:25	69:17 99:4,8,9,11
educating 82:10	119:14,16,18	131:23	exists 71:20 88:1,13	99:13 100:2,6,8
effectiveness 67:17	121:9,19 122:19	events 48:2	expand 34:18	100:16 101:12
69:17 78:12 79:25	127:1 129:3,4	Evergreen 13:20	expect 28:16 39:14	102:2 127:16
85:2 89:21 116:6	131:21	33:16	58:11,25 59:6,8	familiarity 75:20
127:23 128:1,5,10	engineer 32:13	everyone's 22:7	experience 82:8	far 15:23 31:20
effort 36:23 82:10	engineering 91:3	83:24	90:4 120:17,23	36:11 62:5 67:7
either 15:15 18:1	engineers 80:25,25	evidence 25:16	121:15 128:21,23	75:18 109:1 115:2
18:20 27:22 52:19	ensure 100:11	32:21 62:12 64:7	expert 81:2,22	favor 117:8
66:17 67:7,19	entered 94:15	78:18	expertise 91:4,4	feature 63:13
74:14 78:20 84:18	entire 26:8	evidentiary 12:12	EXPIRES 132:23	federal 31:12 64:4
92:20 104:9	entirely 72:22	15:6 131:17	explain 18:3 33:3	76:10 78:11 79:25
124:24	entity 91:9	exact 56:16 124:12	explained 47:24	80:22 81:22 82:12
elapses 75:2 124:5	environments	exactly 63:20 85:24	explore 23:12	82:19 85:2,20
elements 87:13	100:23	88:13 89:7	121:14	87:9 88:1,11
eligible 50:19	equipment 111:10	examination 34:3	exploring 33:8	94:15 99:15,20,21
embolden 131:2	equipped 101:2	61:1 93:7 118:1	121:9	106:1
emergencies 58:16	118:17	121:11	express 116:21	feedback 76:13
emergency 45:25	Essentially 66:22	example 33:7,14	128:4	feel 20:2 21:22 53:3
54:25 55:18,25				86:8 103:8 131:4
	I	I	I	I

				1 490 100
feels 72:7	128:11	freight 52:23 80:10	give 15:19 63:1,23	groove 63:6
figure 18:18 42:20	followed 15:24	frequency 30:25	81:6 120:23	ground 63:15
87:21 91:13	follows 16:21 76:24	39:14 59:10,18	given 25:14 93:13	grounds 103:24
112:10 120:1,7,8	97:15 100:12	109:5	gives 49:14	group 17:14
file 95:25	102:17 126:15	frequently 27:23	go 18:25 23:6 33:2	guess 29:11 75:23
filed 15:7 83:25	footprint 26:9,15	41:14,16 59:16	52:20 58:16 60:11	91:24 98:25 116:3
86:11	58:3	109:13	64:21 75:3 77:11	130:13,14
final 27:8,9 36:21	forces 28:23	FRI 127:19	88:9 101:3 109:24	guessing 122:18
45:19 64:5,6,11	foregoing 132:7	Friday 48:1	121:18 124:1,6,18	guidance 81:7
65:4,21,21 104:16	formal 103:3,9	front 81:25 95:18	124:20 131:13,18	82:12 90:16,24
104:20	104:17 105:10	113:14 119:6	goal 22:7	guide 81:18 82:11
find 118:10 122:25	formally 103:6	full 20:22 125:16	goes 64:20 67:19	
131:2	106:1114:12	fully 118:17	87:9,9 99:5	H
finder 34:22	format 84:2	functioning 117:13	going 15:14,24	half 45:15 63:3
fine 27:7 78:4	formed 85:4	funny 50:3	17:13,20 20:20	98:19 113:17,17
finished 59:22	Fort 13:15	further 35:4 74:10	21:8 23:23 31:3	hand 126:12
fire 59:13,20 76:5	forward 21:12 74:8	101:3	32:23 35:7,16	132:15
fires 59:15	found 32:17 62:13		38:7 52:24 53:14	handful 75:20
first 16:18,20 19:8	foundation 32:24	G	55:7,21 61:7	handle 80:18 81:11
20:4,5 23:14 42:7	33:10,11 68:17	G 15:1	62:20 65:17 68:2	hang 131:13
54:6 76:23 77:19	foundational 33:4	gate 65:10 66:17	68:5,21 74:5,8	happen 17:19
77:23 79:10 82:8	four 24:16 66:11,11	gate's 124:10	75:18 77:16 86:17	happens 57:11
88:9 91:9 97:14	66:16,18 67:9	gates 24:16 62:11	96:16,17 102:8	head 45:3 63:24
102:25 103:12	68:19 90:5,10,19	62:18 64:2 66:5,7	103:7,13,15,18	67:12 72:22 73:12
104:16 116:3	90:21 91:14	66:11,11,16,18,18	108:21,22 117:1,2	75:5 101:25
124:22 126:14	FRA 37:1,6 41:20	66:23,25 67:9,22	121:4,5 122:25	125:20
129:9	42:20 43:5 46:1	67:25,25 68:12,19	good 15:4 16:13	heading 38:25 57:2
firsthand 76:2	47:17 48:17 51:20	69:2 75:3 90:5,10	17:12,22 21:24	headline 82:22
fit 45:21	51:25 66:9 68:4	90:19,21 91:14	47:11 60:10 77:15	heads-up 19:1
fits 23:19	78:11 82:13 87:10	101:2 111:6 124:6	98:3 122:1,25	hear 93:3 117:2
five 97:2 98:8	87:25 89:1,12	124:21,21 125:12	127:6,11	129:5
100:20	91:7 93:9 94:11	130:22	Google 32:17	heard 84:5 85:19
five-minute 97:6,9	94:13 102:19	gathered 23:11,17	129:12,13	108:25 110:4
fix 120:25	103:4,6 104:18,25	gathering 28:11	governed 90:9	115:16 117:7
fixed 121:1	105:11 108:1	general 13:14,19	governing 89:22	122:3 123:20
flag 59:6	114:12 115:15	63:25 79:20 125:2	governs 99:16,21	hearing 12:12 15:7
flashing 75:3 101:2	116:4 124:10,25	127:14	grade 15:9 24:18	16:3 20:20 75:8
111:6 124:7	127:22 128:2,10	generally 23:25	83:4	84:11 86:6 90:2
flip 59:23 79:5	FRA's 64:5 65:21	38:10 48:15 91:8	graduate 98:5	91:19 131:17,23
flipping 56:7	81:18 88:1 106:23	104:4 125:3	Grand 12:16 13:6	131:25 h - h - 24-22 101-0
fluctuations 80:19	fracture 108:8	129:16	grassy 57:3	help 34:22 101:9
81:11	framework 21:11	generated 89:1,12	gravel 55:12 58:6	102:8
focused 66:4	frankly 96:22 121:7	geometry 55:5	greater 106:24	helpful 18:3 131:7
fog 29:6,13 30:3,11	free 20:2 103:8	56:17	107:24 120:5	hereto 132:10
follow 66:15 123:9	freely 58:13	getting 68:3 75:22	greatly 24:20	hey 105:1 108:21
		88:10 93:2		high 47:1

higher 39:17 79:12	104:10	44:2,8,13 48:5	inspection 108:19	interject 27:11
79:21	identify 56:5	50:2 64:3 67:10	109:5 115:9	internal 111:11
highway 15:9 33:16	127:10	70:9,10 71:19,19	118:11 121:16	interpreting 71:4
33:17 34:10 38:12	ignore 68:23	72:5,13 73:3,4,7	inspections 111:9	interrupt 47:5
38:12,14 83:4	II 12:11	73:25 79:18 94:9	115:7	intersection 34:10
104:9	imagine 81:13	101:6,13,24 110:5	inspects 115:14	76:8
history 125:16	impact 37:21 58:15	110:17,23 111:13	install 21:15 24:17	intervals 63:16,16
home 55:4 57:19,20	58:18 82:5	112:20 113:21,24	27:18,21 28:22,23	introduce 77:8
homeowner 57:14	impacted 87:5	119:2 121:22	29:6,15 30:13	introduced 122:23
57:22 86:21	108:8	indicate 111:13	33:7 38:4 50:20	inventory 41:11
homes 40:17 62:8	impacts 31:11	indicating 119:16	64:13 68:12 69:1	88:1,11,13,19
Honor 17:3 18:12	impeccable 54:3	indication 47:11	69:6 71:12 75:16	94:16 95:19
20:15 25:19 32:21	implement 65:25	industry 111:10	90:1 92:15 106:8	invested 110:8,22
35:5,12 68:15	implementing	information 14:19	106:12,16 107:6	111:1
85:19 101:14	72:23 104:8	22:23 28:12 35:16	116:10,10 122:8	investments 111:3
103:13 107:8	important 100:8	47:18,24 48:13,18	installation 15:8	involved 45:11
117:22 119:14	improvement	49:10 87:25 88:2	21:4 26:22 27:17	77:20,21,23 78:24
121:4,9 122:21	15:10 61:13	88:7,11,25 90:12	28:16 29:8 32:3	90:4 98:16 115:1
131:19,21	improvements 23:4	94:15,21,24 95:20	37:2,7 49:25 50:4	115:8 117:16
Honors 17:6	61:15 72:9 125:16	112:6 125:15,19	65:18 67:3 68:18	128:22
Hopefully 18:15	incentive 117:12,15	128:6,20	71:15 84:13 85:14	involvement 82:16
horn 19:14 42:11	inches 63:3,3,18,18	informational	85:16	involves 115:3
42:14,15 72:20	63:21,22,22,23	74:16 82:14	installations 67:1	isolated 75:19
92:7,8,8 118:19	64:18,19	informed 57:25	installed 17:16 22:2	issue 30:19 62:16
125:6,7	include 49:16	infrastructure	29:19 31:7,10	66:7 75:12 123:1
horns 69:12,15	103:25	111:1	36:11,19 37:14	issues 26:22 56:17
70:9,10 71:20,21	included 43:1 61:7	inherently 22:5	38:20 39:19 41:7	62:10 68:5 85:12
72:6,13,17 73:4	83:7 109:4 122:23	initial 17:18 19:3	41:8,21 55:10,16	108:6
92:2,4,5,10 101:6	includes 47:1	25:14 28:16 31:24	55:20,22 58:2,11	
101:7 110:5,18	including 82:7 95:6	50:16 87:24	59:2,5,5 66:24	$\frac{\mathbf{J}}{\mathbf{J}}$
112:21 113:21	incorporated 41:12	initially 28:25 41:9	75:23 91:14,22	January 54:7 70:21
124:18,20,22,24	increase 21:23	46:16 47:17 109:4	,	Jeff 13:19 16:11
hour 52:25 75:15	107:5	initiated 61:16	125:12	127:13
hours 53:19 54:4	increased 46:14	input 48:17 49:10	installing 50:14	Jeff.Roberson@
housekeeping	120:9,10 123:7	49:19,25 83:6	78:13 86:3	13:22
15:16 19:4 25:15	increasing 109:5	inputs 120:6	instance 83:12	Jennifer 13:14
houses 62:2	incumbrance 58:14	inputted 105:17	intended 60:20	16:14
human 129:17,23	incurring 65:18	inquire 84:21	intends 29:6 55:8	Jennifer.Willing
130:5,15	independent	103:22	intent 33:22 35:24	13:16
hundreds 122:18	111:24	insignificant 45:22	83:2	judge 12:20,21 15:4 16:10,16,23
I	index 14:1 19:9	insofar 68:21	intentions 109:1	17:2,5,8 18:6,10
idea 21:15,24 34:6	21:19,20 22:6,17	inspect 41:3,14	interaction 62:15	18:11,14 20:16
34:13,16 46:4	22:25 23:1,2,3	118:6,7	interest 72:6	22:11,19 23:5
122:1	24:20,22 25:1	inspecting 41:17,18	132:12	25:17,20 27:11
identified 55:24	41:25 42:13,17	121:13	interested 75:8	30:2,6 32:22 33:2
				50.2,0 52.22 55.2
L				

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				Tage 141
33:10 34:1 35:6	knew 130:12	112:12 113:17	97:1 117:13	manager 57:25
35:14 46:8 51:6	knocked 73:11	114:24	125:23	98:11.22
51:12 54:22 56:5	know 17:20 18:3	letter 79:4	look 22:1 46:19	manipulate 88:9
60:10,13,16 68:8	31:20 34:5,11,14	level 90:23	48:7 53:2 73:9	manipulated 49:23
68:20 74:12,17,25	34:24,25 35:1	LICENSE 132:23	80:16 103:17	88:15
75:6 76:14,18,20	43:1,12 45:17,17	lies 115:15	looked 46:20 53:4	manner 100:12
77:1,5,6,10 78:18	46:3 49:2 56:16	life 120:25 121:16	55:24 83:23,24	Manual 90:12
84:18,24 85:6,23	62:5 66:3 67:12	lights 30:12 75:3	looking 20:18	March 12:15 15:5
86:16,22 87:2	69:18 74:13 75:2	101:3 111:6 124:6	22:12 26:11 42:8	96:8 132:16
89:6,15 92:24	75:22 76:6 86:10	125:12	44:9 56:2,3 62:13	mark 25:21 95:22
93:4 95:3,11,21	95:15 96:10	limited 35:8 115:23	75:25 82:21 89:8	marked 26:16 51:4
95:25 96:3,7,9,19	101:23 113:11,12	122:22	119:22 130:9	99:24
96:23 97:3,8,11	101.23 113.11,12	limits 52:25	looks 18:17 36:11	marker 40:7
97:16,20,21,24	123:23 125:11,18	line 29:6,14 30:3,5	lost 31:12	marker 40.7 marshal 76:5
, , ,	125:25 125:11,18	, , ,		
101:19 103:19		30:11 55:7 126:7	lot 19:5,8 35:16	marshal's 59:13
104:3 107:12,15 117:20 119:15	knowledge 47:7 62:12 90:19 91:21	lines 27:24 129:15	50:18,21 69:19 75:19	match 36:22
		list 15:19 89:8		material 104:1
121:18 123:2,12	111:22 120:18	listening 89:7	Lou 13:15	materials 21:13,14
123:19 124:1,4,13	knows 101:16,20	literally 56:23	low 28:5 39:11	38:8 46:19 83:22
124:17,20 125:1,8	L	litigation 75:18	40:18 79:18	math 43:24,25
125:10,14,22	$\frac{\mathbf{L}}{\mathbf{L} 13:14}$	132:12,13	130:20	mathematics 45:11
126:1,4,9,10,10	lack 77:19	little 19:3 21:8 25:6	lower 23:25 24:5	matter 15:14 16:9
126:18,24 127:2,9		35:2 47:14 56:24	39:16	17:18 19:3 25:15
129:3,5,6,8,14,20	lanes 65:12 85:22	61:3 63:2 73:20	lunch 96:14	75:17 126:11
130:1,6,19 131:7	larger 55:13 76:3	103:21 106:4	M	127:15
131:11,12,16,22	late 121:2	111:12 126:22		matters 15:17
judges 15:12	Laura 12:21 15:11	128:12 130:21	main 49:1	maximum 19:22
jump 15:15	law 12:20,21 15:12	local 82:13 88:3	maintain 108:22	mean 27:20 28:6
June 98:11	89:22	91:7	109:1,2 115:11,14	36:4 41:10 44:9
jurisdiction 102:3	lawful 52:9	located 66:23	maintaining 28:14	44:20 47:5,15
jurisdictions 82:13	laws 68:16	101:11	116:17	50:2,3 52:14 54:6
98:20 109:12	lead 124:9	location 18:25 25:8	maintenance 28:5	59:12 66:18 87:8
	leading 67:5	45:21 52:15 62:18	28:12,18 32:14	102:14,16 112:7
<u>K</u>	leads 100:25	80:6 92:3 121:7	35:2 36:23 84:6	115:16
keep 108:21 128:13	leaving 21:17	129:12	85:1,11 107:1	meaning 46:23
Kelsey 13:10 16:13	left 15:11 88:18	locations 39:11	108:3,6,16,19	means 57:24 62:1
17:12 77:16	96:11	109:18 121:2	111:2,9 115:6,9	71:14 88:3 101:10
kelsey@montgo	left-hand 102:7	locked 57:17	116:13,14 117:1	102:17
13:13	legal 68:3	log 48:17	118:4,5,5 120:16	meant 48:15 58:7
Kent 28:3 98:25	legally 115:11	logical 52:9	121:16 128:12,13	measure 19:13
kept 76:9	length 76:11	long 72:19 78:4	128:15,19,21	20:23 21:5,16,23
key 87:23	lengths 76:10	79:17 96:13 98:7	makeup 62:5,25	22:2 26:21 42:15
kind 21:15 22:24	let's 16:5 23:8	98:9 120:25	making 50:25	42:24 43:19,21
27:8 39:9 45:20	32:17 60:10 64:12	125:18 131:5	66:14 85:8,10	44:14,14,15,16
59:13,14 75:15	66:16 69:20 106:3	longer 29:4 96:9	management 81:2	45:5 50:1,5 51:21
95:4 96:12 125:2	106:4 111:12		81:4	,
	I		Ι	I

51:25 55:16,22	mind 57:23	36:9,18 37:2,7,16	112:16 114:4	25:4,5 26:23 27:3
64:10 78:10 92:4	minimum 124:10	37:19 39:19,25	navigate 59:1	27:13,18 28:6,23
92:6 122:1	minute 31:4 98:17	42:17 54:12 56:18	near 57:11,14	30:13,20,25 31:5
measured 47:6	minutes 60:11 97:2	58:15 59:4 62:21	64:18	31:21 36:12,17
50:24	misconstruing	63:1 65:14 71:15	necessarily 23:19	38:19,25 40:1
measures 48:12	107:8,11	72:24 76:1 85:16	44:20 80:11 95:7	41:21 51:20,24
50:14,21 52:3,5	missed 23:14	86:20 93:21 107:2	108:24	54:17 55:1,20
78:3,25 84:15	missing 36:10	107:6 108:3,17	necessary 101:18	58:2,11,14 59:2,5
85:3 89:20,25	109:13	109:12 120:17	128:13	62:21 64:13,16
110:9.23	misspoke 28:20	122:8	need 29:12 39:5,8	65:4 73:14,18
median 15:8 21:5	mitigate 45:24	mounted 63:15	47:13,13 53:5	76:9 78:8,19
24:10,17,23 25:4	108:19	mouth 78:1	58:16 77:8,9 96:9	85:15 106:23
25:5,5 26:23 27:4	mix 36:22	move 51:5,8,17	96:18 99:18 103:3	107:6,19 122:8
27:8 30:13 31:19	modifications	76:7 84:19 103:21	125:23 126:2	nonmountables
31:23 38:19 39:15	23:17 50:17,25	106:4 121:4,5	needed 56:1 57:15	106:25
39:19,19 40:11	79:19	moved 61:21	59:7 84:7,9	noon 97:4
41:7,7 58:2,8 78:9	modified 120:8	movement 76:12	124:13	normal 58:10
78:14,15 85:15,19	modify 48:21,24,25	moving 21:11	needing 39:15	normally 122:21
85:20 86:17 91:18	49:1 120:6	multiple 87:22	needs 66:1 114:22	northbound 123:24
91:22 107:2	modifying 47:19	municipalities	negatively 130:24	Nos 25:22 35:11
118:18	moment 79:5	122:4	neighborhood 25:9	not-to-scale 26:14
medians 23:25 24:5	Montgomery 13:10	municipality 99:5	40:25 52:19 56:4	noted 52:15 124:25
28:1,14 30:16	month 29:3 41:15	MUTCD 90:10,12	59:10 62:2 74:18	notes 59:24 119:5
56:18 66:12 67:18	109:4	90:18	86:17,18,19	119:13,19 132:8
69:6,7,10 75:10	monthly 41:4,11,17	MUTCD's 90:21	124:15 129:23	notice 32:6,7,11
76:2 79:12,20	109:4 118:10	90:24	neighborhoods	33:15,22 35:24
93:21 106:24	months 47:15		109:20	83:2,3
109:12 123:14,15	59:15	N	new 45:15 46:21	NSRT 19:18 20:21
meeting 81:14,23	morning 15:5,17	N 15:1	48:5,5 50:7 51:9,9	94:25
82:16 83:15 86:14	16:13,14 17:12	name 14:2 15:11	newer 48:13	number 19:23
111:1	21:12 54:4 77:15	16:6,24,24 17:12	nicer 62:8	22:14 46:25 48:6
meetings 80:22	77:17 84:11 86:6	77:1,2,3,15 97:16	night 53:20 54:5	48:12 49:20 50:7
81:9,16 90:5	90:2	97:17 126:19,20	120:12	53:9,10 56:6
member 80:24	motoring 19:13	126:22 127:13	nine 46:22	57:10 87:18 98:25
members 122:13,15	21:24,25 114:17	named 79:1 132:9	nobody's 24:21	101:21 109:13
memory 53:3	118:13	names 20:22	nods 63:24	110:9,10 111:16
101:15 119:8	motorist 51:19	Nation- 114:4	NOI 99:18,18	111:17,19,22
Menk 13:15	motorists 62:11,17	national 19:17	NOIs 98:25	112:12,19,19
mentioned 40:13	62:18 65:10 79:12	50:24 78:5 90:14	non 58:5	113:13 115:23
116:6	79:21	106:6	non-traversable	118:14 123:15
met 77:15	mount 118:16	Nationwide 19:17	39:20 41:7 65:5,8	124:12
method 44:4	mountable 26:22	19:22 21:20 22:14	78:14,14 79:11,20	numbered 18:20
microphone 93:2	27:12,19 28:1,8	50:24 69:25 70:3	86:4 91:23 92:15	numbers 18:23
middle 47:11 62:6	28:14,22 30:25	71:10 72:11 73:5	nonmountable	47:19 64:3 73:24
miles 52:25	31:19,19,23 36:3	73:9,18 105:12,13	23:25 24:5,10,23	74:3 88:9,15,16
		105:16,21 106:6,7		

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

r				0
88:17,18 94:10	95:21 96:3,11	orders 58:11 78:18	108:2 127:16	103:19 104:3
106:5 111:13	97:3,4 98:18,20	original 96:20	participate 81:5	107:12,15 117:20
114:8 122:25	99:4 100:5,8	originally 75:13	participated 83:11	119:15 121:18
123:6,7	101:19 102:1,10	outcome 132:13	participates 80:24	123:2,12,19 124:1
	102:14,22 104:12	outlet 25:8 33:18	participating 80:16	124:4,13,17,20
0	104:15,25 105:6,9	52:15 61:9	particular 19:21	125:1,8,10,14,22
O 15:1	105:24 106:15,18	outliers 46:23,25	21:3 22:15,19	126:1,4,11 127:9
oath 60:17	108:25 110:1,19	47:1	25:8 31:15 38:11	129:3,5,6,8,14,20
object 32:23 68:2	110:22 111:12	outlines 102:5	38:18,23 46:14	130:1,6,19 131:7
103:14 121:5	112:12,19,23,25	outlying 47:24	72:1 80:5,17	131:12
objecting 107:18	113:23 114:7	outright 101:23	81:14 83:12 93:9	people 52:12 62:7
objection 33:25	115:1 116:21	outside 30:6	93:12 115:8	131:1
35:5 68:16,21	117:4 124:1,2,17	overall 108:24	116:18 120:19	people's 130:2
84:16 85:6	125:1,8,10 126:3	overpass 52:20	131:1	percent 24:8 25:3,7
objections 25:17	126:4 127:15		parties 15:17 47:19	42:17,20,25 43:5
observe 120:21	128:8,12 129:8,14	P	75:9 92:20 122:22	43:10,10,22,23
observed 120:20,24	129:20 130:19	P 15:1	132:12	44:2,2,4,5,7,9,16
obviously 22:7 35:1	131:7,12,22	p.m 16:4 131:25	partnership 115:19	44:21,22,22,25
57:7 103:14 123:6	old 34:5 35:1	P.O 13:20	party 92:19 93:17	45:7,8,9,11,12,13
occupying 124:11	Olympia 13:21	paddle 36:10 37:24	116:17	45:22,24 46:2
occurred 33:6	once 18:20 21:16	paddles 21:5 37:20	pass 18:7	50:11 67:14,19
odd 62:2	22:8 38:18 41:14	40:13 84:7 109:14	passenger 53:9	72:25 73:13,15,21
offer 25:16 32:20	51:21 100:4 107:2	page 14:2,22 17:21	122:16,17	78:12 79:24
offered 15:22	one-for-one 92:6	18:24 19:7 42:6,8	passes 75:4 124:7	106:24,24 107:5
office 13:5 16:8	one-quarter 98:8	42:9 79:10 82:21	patterns 80:13	107:22,25 108:1
59:13 95:20	ones 26:12 81:3	102:7,7 119:19	118:24	113:1,14,17 114:1
officials 83:5	operating 72:19	129:15 132:10	pay 61:19	114:3,7 116:7
oh 18:11 20:11,17	83:3	Pages 12:13 14:21	Pearson 12:20	120:11
28:18 49:14 50:13	opinion 44:17 79:5	paint 27:23,24	15:12 18:6,11	percentage 43:5
51:14 101:12	79:10,11,23,24	30:19	20:16 22:11,19	73:10,17
okay 15:16 17:5	82:6 84:14,21	painted 58:4 63:5	23:5 25:17,20	percentages 44:19
20:20 21:1 27:1	85:4 87:5,6 122:4	painting 30:20	27:11 30:2,6	89:2,12
27:14 28:10 34:7	122:7 130:11	panels 41:21 63:8	32:22 33:2,10	perception 130:15
34:11 35:6 42:16	opinions 68:4 125:3	63:17,19 64:21	34:1 35:6,14 46:8	Perfect 62:23
43:7 46:10 48:8	opportunity 83:17	paragraph 79:10	51:6,12 54:22	perfectly 123:13
49:16 56:11 58:25	88:22 118:16	103:11 105:9	56:5 60:10,13	perform 111:9
62:20 63:22 64:20	opposed 112:2	paragraphs 103:7	68:8,20 74:12,25	performance 23:2
65:2,8 66:9,13	118:17	parallel 67:6	75:6 76:14,18	109:5,11
67:1 68:20 69:9	Opposite 66:25	parallels 39:1	77:6,10 84:18,24	performed 28:3
69:12,19 70:8,22	option 24:13,15,15	parameters 105:17	85:6,23 86:16,22	105:20
71:22 73:2,14,21	27:3,4 65:24	124:9 Parts 12:20	87:2 89:6,15	performing 41:10
74:25 75:6 76:14	options 24:14,23	Park 13:20	92:24 93:4 95:3	period 95:19
76:17 77:10 81:15	91:7	part 15:10 23:14	95:11,21,25 96:3	periodic 111:9
81:25 82:15,21,25	order 15:23 19:18	29:19 31:16 55:8	96:7,9,19,23 97:3	person 79:1 130:17
83:17 84:13,18,24	36:19	81:5,21 87:13	97:8,21,24 101:19	130:25 131:1
89:3 94:9 95:3,11		89:13 90:15 99:23		

personal 79:24	126:11,18,19	preliminaries 97:22	process 29:2,8	83:5 124:15
111:22 120:18	127:2	preloaded 87:18	61:21 68:19 72:8	provided 24:20
128:21,23	plenty 24:14	88:19	75:23 76:1 80:23	29:14 31:24 59:9
personally 129:9	PLLC 13:10	premarked 25:11	81:7,19,21,22	59:12 87:4,17
petition 15:7 16:9	plus 53:9 63:21,22	prepopulated	82:11,20 83:6	108:23 123:10
29:5 31:24 32:3,5	podium 17:7	111:20,21 112:10	99:5,14 100:12	provides 48:17
32:9 40:19 52:23	point 15:15 40:7,12	120:10	processes 102:18	87:11 91:7
53:2 71:14 77:23	76:9 89:13 111:5	present 30:13	produces 82:13	provision 104:25
86:10 87:13 91:10	112:23 126:5	107:2 108:6 128:2	programs 57:25	provisions 127:17
92:14 95:9 99:18	127:11	presented 26:22	project 29:20 47:13	provisions 127117 proximity 34:9
106:16 115:3	policy 29:12	46:3 78:19	98:22	public 16:3 19:13
petitioner 12:6	pop 54:14	presents 78:9	projects 98:12	21:24,25 71:22
13:3 86:11	portion 53:16	preset 47:18	114:16 115:1	83:4 93:25 98:11
petitioning 103:25	55:12 61:19 89:11	press 97:4	128:22	102:2,10,14,23
phone 43:15 57:10	131:17	presumably 30:12	prompt 49:3	103:3 104:8
96:18 126:7	portrayed 34:8	pretrial 82:1	proof 86:10	108:10 114:17
photo 31:25 32:1,4	posed 123:4	pretty 37:21,23	proper 32:24 70:23	118:13,20 131:23
55:23 56:3	position 36:24 37:3	45:22 130:3	103:24	publicly 129:12
photographs 32:8	37:13 78:2,3	prevent 22:7 65:11	propose 29:17	publishes 108:1
32:16 36:8	89:19 95:6 98:9	previous 110:11	106:21	pull 57:15
photos 33:13,21	98:13,22 99:12	previously 34:17	proposed 21:9	purpose 33:3 52:3
physical 62:25 64:2	114:21	64:7	23:24 26:16,20	63:25 65:2,8,14
physically 64:17	positively 130:24	primarily 71:24	27:3 42:16,24	82:4,7 92:10
130:9	possible 108:16	106:22 108:5	43:19,20 44:13,14	purposes 34:19
picking 36:22,23	posted 63:17	prior 95:18 124:11	44:15,16 45:5,15	64:8 66:10 74:16
piece 108:6	potential 23:12,17	priority 22:1	52:4 56:18 61:12	85:18 94:14 96:5
pieces 22:23 48:18	33:9 53:14 79:13	private 66:14	61:15,23 72:10	pursuant 102:11
49:10	79:22 80:1 130:25	proactive 28:13	75:14 78:13 79:19	pursue 91:8
place 12:16 72:21	potentially 41:18	61:16	83:5 89:19 100:22	put 24:16 50:1,3,24
132:9	58:18	probably 35:1,16	100:24 107:19	67:21,25 77:25
places 18:24 47:9	pour 30:11	45:24 47:14 52:12	108:19 116:3	
55:25	pre-admitted 46:7	67:6 73:19 75:25	proposes 33:7 78:4	Q
plan 35:2 41:5,6,9	pre-file 18:2,21	96:21 125:24	proposing 21:4	quadrant 24:16
87:11 109:7	19:5,8 20:5 41:25	probative 35:8	36:20 65:15	66:11,11,16,18
121:13 128:15	42:6,6 51:3 63:10	problem 72:23	104:12,21	67:9,22 68:12,19
planning 87:10,17	70:5 84:23 88:23	118:6 123:12	Prosecuting 13:4	69:1 90:5,19
plans 41:3 128:19	89:18 122:24	problems 107:2	Prosecutor's 13:5	quadrants 90:10,21
plastic 28:8	pre-filed 15:18	121:16	16:8	91:14
play 45:23 67:15	51:13 68:6	procedurally 60:20	protect 29:7,12	qualifies 21:21
please 16:6,18,23	precast 29:14,16,19	procedures 102:5	30:11	114:8
16:24 17:19 18:3	predecessor 78:23	proceed 60:18	protection 31:5	qualify 105:25
24:3 37:4 42:4	preemption 68:4	127:2	69:13	114:10
61:6 76:20 77:1	preference 17:6	proceeding 15:23	proves 41:16	quantify 39:5
97:16 100:21	prefers 27:4	77:17 79:9	provide 18:5 55:13	question 17:18
101:19 103:1	prehearing 75:15	proceedings 95:6	64:3 79:12,20	23:15 24:3,22
			,	30:2 31:14,15
	1	Ι	I	1

33:5,24 36:15	102:3,11,16,18,24	R	reason 20:17 36:22	44:8,21 67:10
39:20 45:1 51:23	103:2,9,24 104:7	R 15:1 132:1	43:8 83:18 88:15	71:19 74:2 107:24
60:2 68:9 74:24	104:9,17,22 105:1	rail 15:9 55:6 81:11	106:18,19 107:10	110:9,23 111:4
75:1 78:1 80:6	105:2,3,4,10,11	83:4	107:18 108:3	113:1,12,13,17
83:13 85:7,23	105:18,25 107:3	railroad 22:4 23:10	109:8 110:6	reduced 24:20
89:9 92:9 95:4,7	114:9,10 116:11	26:10 34:8,9 52:5	reasons 23:11,16	42:17 45:9 118:14
104:24 107:9,9	117:9,13 118:22	52:9,13 57:11,19	45:21 86:15 125:8	reduces 24:21
110:11 121:5	119:1 121:21	64:12 67:5,17,24	rebuttal 18:2 46:5	44:15,21,24 45:6
123:3 124:4 125:3	125:3,4,5 127:17	68:12 80:25 83:5	46:6 51:3 87:15	69:18 72:24
129:9 130:14	127:20 128:9	88:6 90:1,15,16	88:23 129:14	reducing 64:9
questioning 33:9	Quinn 13:4 14:5,7	91:4,10,17 94:13	recall 32:1 81:13	reduction 44:1,4,20
questions 17:4,14	14:13 16:7,7,19	94:14,16 100:25	86:6 90:2 98:20	45:12 73:11,15,17
23:23 35:4,15	17:3 18:17 25:18	106:1 107:18	129:18	73:22 89:1,12
59:24 60:9,22,23	25:19 32:22,23	109:15 114:24	recess 60:11,15	93:25 106:23,24
74:10,13 76:15	33:24 34:4 35:4	115:4,10 129:21	97:9,10 126:7	107:23 114:1,3,7
77:16 96:25 104:3	60:8,12,17,19,23	railroad's 100:11	131:22	refer 62:21 64:5
115:22,24 118:3	61:2 68:10,11,22	railroads 82:17,23	Recob 12:24 132:3	99:21 122:17
122:19 123:20	68:25 74:10 85:18	83:3	132:21	reference 42:3
127:15 128:14	96:12,15,21 97:24	railway 12:8 13:14	recognize 26:1	101:8 119:5
129:2 131:9	98:2 101:16,22	16:14 17:13 104:9	33:13,19	referenced 22:15
quick 30:2 38:5	103:20,21 104:5,6	raise 54:25 126:12	recognized 64:4	referred 65:3,4
47:13	107:12,14,16	raised 68:6	recollection 101:9	referring 81:18
quicker 39:24	110:16 115:21	ran 46:11 48:5 51:9	119:23	85:19 89:7 99:25
quickly 103:22	121:4 122:21	88:12 94:10,24	recommendation	reflect 38:8 120:14
quiet 15:10 17:17	123:3,17 131:19	111:13,17 118:22	85:8	reflecting 118:24
19:9,19,19,25	quite 96:22 99:14	123:10	recommended	reflective 41:20
20:9,13 21:17,20	121:1,6	range 120:24	81:17 90:22	63:8 64:21,23
21:21,24 22:9,17	QZ 101:5	rating 67:17 69:18	reconsidered 27:20	reflector 38:1
22:25 23:1,12,18	QZR 72:24	78:12 79:25 116:7	record 15:4,17,20	reflectorized 84:7
23:20 24:20,21,25	QZRI 19:8 20:21	128:10	16:1,6,25 19:6	reflects 29:5 40:19
32:6,7,7 33:22	21:8 41:24 42:2	ratings 85:3 89:21	23:8 25:21 35:9	42:1 52:23
35:24 41:24 42:13	42:23 43:4,4,8,18	127:23 128:1,5	45:2 51:13 60:16	refresh 53:3 101:9
44:1,8,12 50:1,20	43:20,21 44:15	Rayne 12:20 15:11	77:2 82:2 97:11	101:15 119:7,22
51:9,10,22 52:1	45:6,9,12,15	read 79:15 83:8,18	97:17 126:8,9,20	regarding 100:3
57:24 61:8,18,23	46:10 48:5,16,19	89:2,13 103:1,18	131:18,24	122:24
64:9 66:10 69:13	50:16 53:10 69:22	reading 15:20	recross 14:6,7	regardless 36:24
69:22 75:19,20	70:8,10 71:10	79:10 103:15	123:1	37:13 55:9,15,17
77:19 78:6 80:22	72:5,11 73:11	ready 126:6	RECROSS-EXA	55:22 74:4
81:18,21 82:8,14	78:4 94:24 106:6	real 50:13 120:25	35:19	regular 19:14 34:15
83:3,5,6 87:9,11	110:12,13,20,20	121:15	redirect 14:5,10,15	115:6,7,7
87:25 88:10 90:17	112:13 113:1,11	realistic 49:24	34:3 60:18 61:1	regulation 42:21
91:6,12 92:2,11	113:13 120:2	realized 46:20	89:24 92:25 93:1	46:1 68:16
93:10,17 94:9	123:8	really 18:22 27:22	93:7 117:21 118:1	regulations 37:6
98:15 99:6,16,22	QZRIs 45:4	81:6 82:7,10 87:8	129:3	41:20 68:4 81:7
100:3,14,22,24	QZRs 64:9	91:2 110:1 112:9	reduce 24:25 43:5	related 15:7 87:6
	1	1	1	•

	_	-	_	-
90:16,17,19	representation	Respondent 12:9	50:12,15 51:14	27:23 30:5,7 31:3
108:17 132:11	110:6	13:9	52:10,17,22 53:7	31:20,21 34:25
relates 129:20	representative	responders 55:25	53:12 54:15 56:1	38:1,9,15,25
relating 35:25	100:9,10	58:12 59:1,6	56:22,23,25 57:4	39:11,16 40:12
88:25 89:19	represented 108:21	response 54:25	57:9,12 60:5 63:5	52:16 55:5,7,9,19
relatively 27:18	representing 16:8	56:13 58:15,18	64:12 66:16 67:2	56:14,17,18,23
28:5	127:14	59:10 70:5 107:17	67:21,22 69:23	57:5 58:13,21,24
relevance 32:25	represents 93:24	108:23	70:2,9 72:3,21,23	59:1 61:9 62:17
33:5	request 29:11 95:9	responsibilities	73:19 82:18 86:22	66:12 67:4 75:7
relevant 121:6	96:6,16 106:22	114:17	90:9 105:7,13	75:14 76:3,6,7
relied 46:20	123:18 125:15	responsible 67:1,3	114:8,9 115:19	77:22 88:3 91:4
remember 46:22	requested 14:19	67:4,5,24 68:3	116:23 121:21	94:6 95:7 98:24
84:11 87:19 91:19	75:17 91:17	88:6 100:10	126:12 127:8	99:17 100:11
removed 40:14	requesting 24:16	115:12 116:14,17	130:20 131:15	114:16,22 115:1
repaint 39:15	61:17 106:20,20	118:4,5	right-of-way	116:9 128:8
repainted 39:6,8	require 41:20 65:22	rest 119:20	115:15	roads 81:2 109:2,9
repair 26:22 30:25	93:9,11	restate 51:23	rises 90:22	roadway 58:8
31:8 32:13 39:15	required 38:4	restrict 131:3	risk 19:9,13,18,22	85:22 91:22
40:7,11 84:7,8,13	75:23 80:22 81:16	resulting 113:11,12	21:19,20,21,23	roadways 114:16
85:11	82:16,17 83:2	reversible 25:4	22:6,14,17,25	115:7
repaired 39:6	102:17 107:1,10	review 88:22	23:1,2,3 24:1,6,20	Roberson 13:19
repairs 121:3	requirement 81:24	102:22 128:15	24:22 25:1 41:25	14:10,14,17 16:11
repeat 23:14 24:3	88:8 104:16 106:8	reviewed 21:14	42:13,17 44:1,8	16:11 60:21 77:6
37:4 85:23 89:9	124:24	83:22 89:11,14	44:13,19 48:5	77:8 84:16,25
104:24	requirements 36:21	129:11	50:2,25 64:3	89:3 92:24 93:1,5
repetitive 37:24	70:22	reviewing 53:6	67:10 69:18,25	93:8 95:2 96:5,8
38:1,6	requires 102:23	54:2 103:10	70:3,9,10 71:11	96:23 97:1 115:23
rephrase 17:20	107:5	119:21	71:19,19 72:5,12	116:2 117:19
68:8 78:1 84:19	requiring 64:23,25	reviews 42:10	72:12 73:3,4,5,7	127:5,9,11,12,13
85:7 107:14	65:1 106:19	ride 122:13	73:10,25 74:2	129:1 131:20
108:14 114:19	research 129:16	right 18:11 19:10	79:18 89:1,12	rock 56:22
122:6	residences 40:23	19:15,19,20,24	93:25 94:9 101:6	role 81:5 82:22
replace 27:25 28:4	62:2 122:11	20:10 21:6,7,22	101:12,24 105:12	99:11
39:24	residential 26:9	26:18,24 27:17	105:14,16,21	room 50:15,18,22
replicate 87:16,21	33:18 38:15 40:17	29:1 30:24 31:1,2	106:6,7,23 107:22	101:20
119:1	40:25 101:1	31:5,6,9,19,22	107:24 108:16	round 122:22
report 116:22	residents 53:14	32:9,14 35:4	110:4,17,23 111:4	roundabout 87:8
117:4,17	61:10,14 62:16	37:17 38:16,17,20	111:12 112:17,20	routine 115:9
reported 12:23	72:1 101:1 117:7	38:21,22,24 39:2	113:20,21,24	rubber 37:25 63:4
118:10	118:15 122:5	39:3,6,7,12,13,17	114:5 118:22	rule 27:9 36:21
reporter 15:20 84:2	RESIDING 132:22	40:1,17 42:12,18	119:2 120:4	45:19 64:4,5,6
132:4,21	respect 75:6	42:19 43:6 44:3	121:22 123:4	65:4,5,21,22
represent 16:6	respond 59:14	45:2 46:16,17,22	risks 108:19	69:10 71:12 72:20
17:13 47:11	103:19 107:13	46:24 47:20 48:19	RMR 12:24 132:21	72:20 78:11 82:12
109:10 112:20,20	110:11	48:22,23 50:8,8,9	road 24:17,18 25:8	85:20 89:22 91:18
	l			

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

[i uge i i i
99:15,20,21 100:2	48:1	select 80:18 81:10	showing 33:13	six 54:7 64:19
100:9 102:5,11,22	save 18:24	93:12 94:3	shown 132:10	100:20
103:14 104:1,10	saw 19:12 20:22	selected 41:21 91:8	shows 106:23	size 23:19
104:16,20 127:23	40:16 87:15 117:5	selecting 94:5	shows 100.25 shy 63:2	skewed 47:1 71:5,6
128:4,9	saying 44:8 79:5	Semenick 14:12	side 36:8,10,11,18	slope 56:25
rules 66:15	90:8 104:25			-
		16:15 88:14 96:17	36:19 38:15 54:24	slowly 58:23
ruling 128:11	says 18:25 20:12	96:24 97:12,14,18	55:3,9 56:22 57:3	small 61:16
run 46:21 62:11,18	21:19 59:15	98:3,5 103:15	59:11 66:17,18	smaller 98:25
80:10	103:18 105:11	104:7 107:17	67:7 78:20 85:15	smart 52:9
running 48:16	Scarp 13:10	121:20 122:23	85:16 86:4,5,20	snapshot 47:14
123:6	scenario 39:9 41:13	123:4,20	86:21,21,23,24	snapshots 47:10
runs 38:10	106:11 118:19	Semenick's 87:17	117:8	SNOHOMISH
	schedule 118:6	send 83:2	sides 37:16 78:20	132:2
$\frac{S}{C_{15,1}}$	scheduled 16:3	sense 120:23	sign 41:10,11	socioeconomic 62:5
S 15:1	schedules 80:11	sent 31:12	signals 111:6	solely 40:25
S-E-M-E-N-I-C-K	school 40:20,22	sentence 102:25	significant 19:18	solutions 45:20
97:19	scope 84:17 85:5	separation 24:19	19:22 21:21 22:14	Somebody 117:6
S-W-A-N 17:1	89:5	serve 64:1 122:11	37:21,24 44:19	someone's 18:20
safe 24:20 105:3	scrutinize 104:2	served 26:9 61:4,7	50:25 67:13 69:25	130:21
safer 24:10,14,23	se 104:21 105:2,2,3	serves 65:14 122:12	70:3 71:11 72:12	sorry 20:18 26:12
25:6,7 37:1,15	seal 132:15	service 40:22	73:5,10,19 93:25	28:8 47:5 57:18
64:3 67:21 69:16	seat 17:8	109:21	105:12,14,16,21	72:15 96:23 99:20
71:21 74:5 78:9	seated 16:24	services 40:16	106:7 112:16	110:14 126:21
122:4	126:19	servicing 61:9	114:5	sort 22:6 81:1
safest 24:13,15,15	seats 17:7	set 20:4 21:11	significantly 24:25	92:10
24:18	Seattle 13:12	47:17 89:21 94:13	73:6,8,25 74:2,5	sound 92:7 124:24
safety 17:15 20:23	second 105:9 108:2	126:1 127:22	similar 27:22 37:1	125:7
21:4,16,23,25	seconds 59:23	sets 118:6	86:25 91:13 92:3	sounded 101:6
22:2 26:21 37:8	124:10,15,25	setting 34:7	110:1	sounding 19:14
42:15,24 43:19,21	section 83:1 89:2	setups 87:1	simply 37:2 101:14	71:21 101:7
44:13,14,25 45:5	102:5,6,8	seven 46:23 47:6	102:15 104:8	sounds 17:22 60:10
45:23 48:11 50:1	see 17:23 18:24	seven 40.23 47.0 seven-day 70:24	simultaneous	95:5
50:5,14,21 51:19	20:13 21:10 22:23	seven-uay 70.24 share 108:15	124:21	South 13:20
51:21,25 52:3,5	23:8,9 26:2,4,8			southbound 123:25
55:10,15,22 64:10		sharing 68:4	single 90:25	
78:3,9,25 84:15	32:17 36:9,13	Sharpie 26:16	sir 98:16 99:16	Southwest 13:20
85:3 89:20,25	38:5 41:10 48:18	shear 37:21 38:5	100:19 115:21	space 49:19 55:19
92:4,6 107:5,22	82:23 86:15	sheared 37:25	sit 103:18	56:23 57:4 91:21
108:6 110:9,23	121:14 130:20,25	short 16:5	site 121:2 129:16	speak 79:23 129:15
118:12 121:25	131:3,5	shorten 31:13	129:21 130:4	speakerphone
	seeing 22:13	76:11	sits 21:22	126:16
124:5 125:8,12	seeking 100:13	shorthand 132:8	sitting 18:9	speaking 15:14
sake 27:12	106:12	shoulder 55:8,13	situated 100:1	27:10 92:18
sale 47:22 71:8	seen 19:8 26:6,12	57:3 58:6	114:15,21	speaks 103:14
sales 48:3	47:9 84:4 109:13	show 33:5 36:8	situation 24:12	special 57:25
satisfies 36:21	121:3	showed 55:24 56:3	29:11 38:4	specific 39:20 55:1
Saturday 47:25				
	1	1	1	1

65:22,25 66:1	111:10,11 129:16	20:6 32:4,16 33:6	60:19 67:7,14,20	T
101:11 123:1,2	stands 71:21	33:14,21 35:23	68:10,10,16 69:24	T 38:20,24 57:7,17
129:17,21 130:4	STANWOOD	42:1 46:5 51:3	72:22 73:12 77:3	76:8 132:1,1
specifically 58:21	132:23	68:6 76:10 79:3	85:10,25 89:10	tab 20:5,12
77:24 81:14,20	start 15:14,24 16:5	82:1 83:19 84:3	92:17 95:24 96:9	tabbed 20:6
86:14 90:18	124:6	88:25 103:16	104:5 109:15	tail 98:24
103:24	started 16:17 47:19	118:23	114:19 115:16,17	take 29:2 43:3,16
speed 52:24	Starting 70:20	submitting 82:4	117:12 119:15	43:17 49:20 59:23
speeds 48:25 91:1	starts 50:3	suffered 31:11	121:6,8	63:23 69:21 79:5
94:19	state 16:6,24 75:21	sufficient 55:19	surrounding	96:13 97:6,8
spell 77:2	77:1 88:2 97:16	104:22	100:22 129:22	100:5 119:19,20
spelling 16:24	126:19 132:1,4,22	suggest 34:19	sustain 85:6	125:18
97:17 126:19	stated 32:12 39:23	suggested 26:21	Swan 14:3 16:19,20	taken 120:25 132:8
split 113:16 120:11	81:15 117:10	69:12 80:23 89:20	17:1,4,12 22:16	talk 21:8 31:3
SS 132:1	statement 79:17	Suite 13:5,11	22:20 25:24 33:13	51:18 61:3 63:7
SSM 20:23 21:9	states 40:19 83:2	suited 74:23	34:5 35:15,21	64:12 66:16
23:24 34:5 36:20	status 105:6 121:7	summer 59:14	51:17 60:2,9,17	111:12 123:6
42:16 65:22,23	stay 17:7,8	Sunday 47:25 48:1	61:3 68:17 74:13	128:12,18
66:9 91:14 93:9	Stephen 14:12	supplemental	86:2 88:14 91:16	talked 28:2 38:7
93:12,16,21 94:3	16:15 49:4 97:14	17:15 20:23 21:4	95:8 105:20	58:20
94:6 104:20 105:1	97:18	21:16,23 22:2	108:25 110:4	talking 27:5,10
106:20 116:3,15	stick 120:11	37:8 42:15,24	112:3	28:9 32:1 33:18
116:18,18 117:12	stickers 26:4	43:19,20 44:13,14	Swan's 83:18 89:24	57:17 63:8 73:21
SSMs 62:11 65:18	stipulated 15:18	45:5 48:11 50:1,5	106:5,10 110:12	85:24 86:17 91:6
65:24 66:4 68:22	stole 18:7	50:14,21 51:20	swear 126:12	talks 90:19 105:9
71:12 72:17 90:20	stop 40:22	52:3,4 55:10,15	sworn 16:20 76:23	tall 63:3,19,21
92:21 104:10	straight 94:10	55:22 64:10 78:3	97:14 126:14	64:19
106:8,16 109:2,9	Street 129:13	78:9,25 84:15	system 27:18,19	team 23:11,16
112:23 127:20,23	strictly 88:10	89:25 92:4,5,6	28:7,22,23 30:16	80:17,22,24 81:6
staff 13:18 16:12	strike 61:22 78:23	121:25	30:20 31:4,19,21	81:16 82:15 90:5
60:22 78:8,15,21	98:21 106:3 121:5	supplementary	36:9,12,17,19	91:3
78:24 79:1,18,19	127:25	85:3	37:2,7,14,16,19	teams 83:7
80:23 85:14 86:8	striking 120:21,22	support 16:9 55:13	38:25 39:21,25	tear 76:4
92:16,18,20 93:24	stripe 58:4	78:21 85:14 86:3	40:1,12 41:7,14	techniques 81:3
94:3,7 127:14	striping 30:4	86:15 92:16,20	41:21 42:17 51:20	technology 67:16
131:20	strong 117:16	supported 78:15	51:24 54:17 55:1	Telephone 14:16
staff's 15:25 77:21	stuck 52:18 53:14	supports 47:16	55:20 58:11 59:2	tell 18:19,23 51:6
78:2,3 79:4,5,9,11	studies 111:24	58:19 79:18 92:14	59:5,5 67:16,17	59:17 63:20 96:15
82:5 84:14,21 87:5 89:19 95:6	study 70:16,23,24	93:24 106:15	78:14,19 80:3,18	102:22 115:10
	91:3 112:3,5 subject 90:9	suppose 52:4 83:14 sure 17:19 19:6	81:10 85:16,21,22 86:4,5,20 87:7	ten 97:2
stage 61:21 stand 35:5 126:12	subjective 52:14	23:16 24:5 30:14	92:16 108:3,17	term 20:20,21 27:7
standard 29:18	subjective 32.14 submit 35:24 59:19	32:25 34:1 37:5	111:7	68:2 85:19
90:15,25	99:18	40:24 45:1,2	systems 31:1	terminology 19:4,7
standards 90:10	submitted 18:1,20	46:13 56:16 59:24	120:18	20:24 27:1,6
stanuarus 70.10	Submitted 10.1,20	+0.15 50.10 57.24	120.10	terms 50:16 94:9
	l	l	l	

				<u> </u>
test 39:9	49:1,2 59:14	76:9 80:9,14 82:8	48:9,13,22 49:25	24:2,7,11 26:15
testified 16:21	70:25 80:21	88:20 92:23 96:7	50:16,23 51:2,10	27:2 36:1 41:6
76:24 95:13 97:15	103:21 117:1	96:20 100:5 111:3	53:17,18,19 54:5	80:3 86:5
123:7,8 126:15	think 18:2,6,8,9	111:5 121:2 124:5	54:10 59:6 61:12	traverse 55:19 58:7
128:16	20:6,9 22:13	124:10,18 126:2	62:13 70:16,23,24	traversing 56:14
testify 84:25 110:4	24:12 27:12 28:5	132:9	80:13,19,19,20,25	118:15
testifying 68:18	32:6,24 35:6,7	times 53:13,14	81:2,4,12,15	treacherous 130:13
testimony 15:18	36:16,21 40:16	58:18 61:11 75:8	86:13 87:4,18	treatment 90:25
18:2,21 19:5,9,12	44:20,21 45:18	100:19,20	88:4 90:13,15,22	91:5 92:20
19:21 20:5 26:2	48:7 53:4 54:20	timetable 52:24	91:1 109:21,23	trees 18:24
28:16 42:1,6,7	59:22 63:2 67:14	timing 54:3 124:23	111:24 112:3	tried 87:22
46:5,6 51:3 55:11	68:5,21 70:24	today 15:5,6 17:14	118:24,24 120:14	trips 71:2,7 111:16
55:18 58:3 59:19	72:4,21 75:20	61:13 77:18 84:5	121:11	trouble 93:2
63:10 68:6 70:5,5	76:14 79:2 84:20	88:13 109:1 117:7	trailing 55:6	trucks 34:14 76:12
79:3 82:1,5 83:1	84:22 87:22 88:15	122:3 123:21	train 19:14 22:8	109:24
83:24 84:1,17,23	95:4 96:13,13,15	131:14	48:25,25 49:4,5	true 41:22 82:19
85:1 87:15,17	96:19 97:4 98:17	tool 87:10,17	49:11,14,15,24	104:7 132:7
88:23 89:4,4,11	101:20 103:7,17	tools 129:12	52:19 53:10,15	try 18:22 20:22
89:18 95:12,13	103:22 104:1	top 63:13,17 64:21	54:5 60:3 75:4	51:17 93:1 130:17
107:11 108:25	107:5 115:21	67:12 72:22 73:12	80:13,19 88:6,7	130:22 131:2
110:6 116:21	121:14 122:22	75:5 101:25	88:17 91:1 92:7,7	trying 24:15 62:11
121:15,17 122:23	124:23 125:22	125:20	94:17,19 110:5	62:18 68:22 77:25
123:5,10 128:14	126:4,6 130:21,23	topic 84:23 87:15	118:13,19 120:9	80:18 87:11
129:15,18	thinking 75:18	89:19	120:14 122:12,13	120:11 131:4
Texas 13:15	Third 13:11	topics 26:19 51:16	122:14,18 124:7	TTI 128:22
text 20:18	thought 28:18	total 49:11	124:11 125:6,7	Tuesday 15:5
thank 16:10,23	45:21	totally 85:1	130:25 131:3	turn 55:25 57:16
17:2,5 23:5 36:6	three 46:25 55:25	touch 97:7	training 76:5	57:23
38:14 74:11,12	56:11,12 63:3,22	touched 61:5 88:12	trains 49:7,8,11,16	turnaround 57:15
76:14,18 77:5,17	88:8 103:7	TR-180466 12:7	52:13,24 53:9	57:20
93:5 95:3 96:1,4	threshold 19:18,22	15:7	74:19 80:6,10,10	turning 76:12
97:20 98:3 103:5	21:21 22:14 50:6	track 55:6 57:2	94:21 120:10	twice 18:20
104:5 107:15	50:8,11,25 70:1,3	74:20 123:23	122:16,17 123:22	twisted 37:20
115:22 123:18	71:11 72:12 73:5	131:6	transcript 29:25	two 25:12,18 32:8
126:6,18,24 129:8	73:10 78:5 105:13	tracks 36:18 38:15	transcription 132:8	43:21,22 46:20,23
131:7,13,15	105:14,16,22	38:16,18 56:1,22	transparent 123:13	49:10 51:16 53:9
thanks 60:23 77:10	106:7 112:17	59:11 67:5,6 80:6	Transportation	66:18,19 85:22
theoretically 64:15	114:5 120:4	85:15,17 86:4,5	12:2 15:13	86:25 96:11 98:13
64:16	tighter 56:15	86:20,21 115:11	trash 76:4	99:2,3 105:19
theory 54:14	time 15:5,21 17:4	122:13	travel 58:12 74:19	122:15 123:14
thing 22:12 23:9	23:20 24:4 28:15	trade 72:20	74:21,22 80:6,9	twofold 107:4,17
42:7 49:1,22	30:24 32:23 34:20	tradeoff 92:7	85:22 123:22	type 22:1 29:8,12
75:13	34:21 47:6,8	traffic 22:24,24,24	traveling 93:25	33:7 34:25 65:4
things 21:10 28:21	58:15 60:7,21	39:11,17 46:11,15	122:12	67:16,16,19
45:25 48:21,24	72:15 75:2,2,24	46:19,21 47:12	traversable 21:5	120:19 129:22

types 121:12	usually 69:17	visibility 130:2,12	92:2,3,5,8,10	99:17 100:13
typically 124:20	UTC 15:25 21:14	130:19	we'll 16:17 18:7,18	105:7,25 106:16
	58:10 60:19 68:16	visit 74:17 129:9	18:25 24:17 33:8	107:18 108:9
U	68:19 71:14 78:24	visits 121:2	60:14 95:22 97:9	115:2,10,13
Uh-huh 26:5 29:22	80:23 86:8 88:2,5	volume 12:11 93:2	99:25 102:1	128:24
37:10 96:2	92:14,16,18,20	volumes 53:17	131:12,13	whichever 91:8
ultimate 124:9	103:25 106:15	vs 12:7	we're 15:23 17:21	white 30:5
ultimately 71:21	115:3		19:6,7 20:20	wide 63:3 64:18
unclear 31:14	UTC's 77:20,25	W	21:11 33:18 36:20	widen 55:8
underpass 52:21	78:2	wait 52:21	38:7 44:12 50:13	widening 55:12,12
understand 17:19	Utilities 12:2 15:13	waiting 52:13,18	56:3 63:7 68:3,5	58:6
27:5,9 38:9 44:6	utilize 65:22 73:14	53:15	73:21 80:24,25	width 56:16 57:3
68:20 78:2 82:15	105:18 106:20	walk 18:25 103:15	92:17 97:11	58:6
84:22 85:10,24	112:12	want 21:10 22:11	103:15,17 104:15	wiggle 50:15,18,21
91:24 92:14 107:4	utilized 71:1	26:19 33:3 34:18	108:21,22 110:1	wildly 49:23
109:7 114:19	utilizing 123:5	41:24 43:15 48:7	123:14 126:6,9,11	willing 61:19
122:6 123:17		51:18 53:2,3	we've 18:4 19:5,8	Willingham 13:14
understanding	<u> </u>	63:18 67:21 68:8	31:12 32:16 38:7	16:15 18:12
21:13 22:18 35:15	vague 59:13	80:21 82:14 84:18	69:8 76:2,2,10	wish 75:25
49:9,13 65:6	validate 128:6	92:17 95:5 103:19	wear 34:20 76:4	withdraw 123:17
79:17 80:7,8,11	valuable 83:6	107:12 116:18	website 48:16	witness 14:2 15:24
80:12 81:20,23	value 35:8 111:20	119:19 125:14	week 47:11 70:20	15:25 16:18 17:1
85:11 88:14 92:12	111:21 112:4,4,14	127:9	71:3 95:23 125:23	20:17 22:22 30:4
understood 35:17	119:4,25	wanted 116:10	125:25	30:8 42:10 53:6
37:5	Vancouver 31:25	128:8	week-long 112:3	54:2 63:24 74:22
unfortunately 52:8	32:13 35:2 86:25	wants 34:19	weekdays 46:21	75:5,13 76:17
122:22	variables 87:12	warn 118:19	weekend 47:22	77:3 89:16 95:10
Uniform 90:13	91:1	warning 17:15 29:7	weekly 47:12	95:17,24 96:2,18
unique 23:10,19	vary 80:13	29:13 30:12 111:7	weight 35:9	97:3,12,18 103:10
update 111:10	varying 49:23	124:10	welcome 43:12,16	119:17,21 123:24
updated 46:11 88:2	vehicle 52:18 56:20	warrants 41:17	went 76:1	124:3,8,14,19,23
118:24 119:25	80:2,13,19 120:19	Washington 12:1	weren't 24:14	125:5,9,13,20,24
updating 88:6 upheld 47:15	vehicles 34:25 46:15 50:8 54:7	12:17 13:6,12,21 15:13 98:12,15	53:18 59:9	126:3,21,24 129:7
-	55:13,14,19 58:7	109:13 132:1,4,22	west 36:19 38:2,15	129:11,19,25
upkeep 108:16 upper 62:6 102:7	76:3,4 79:14,22	wasn't 28:20 59:12	55:3,9 56:1 57:19	130:3,14,23
upper 62.6 102.7 use 27:6,7 29:10	120:21 121:12	83:21 89:6	59:10 85:16 86:5	131:15 132:15
34:14,25 39:17	vehicular 53:19	watching 58:22	86:20,21	witness's 107:11
49:5 61:11 65:23	81:11	water 39:1	westbound 54:10	witnesses 15:25
76:3,3,12 87:25	versus 27:19 58:15	water 39.1 way 44:9,11 52:20	56:21 57:2	16:17 74:15 76:15
102:18 104:20	62:21 107:6 122:8	57:23 67:2 72:7	westerly 31:12 39:1	96:11
102:18 104:20	vertical 64:19	87:8 103:12 105:9	Whatcom 12:4	wondering 83:21
112:2 127:20	view 56:4 129:13	125:4	13:5 15:8,10,24	word 43:16,17
128:9 130:13	130:7	ways 87:22 130:16	16:1,7,8,17 71:23	77:20 90:9 130:13 words 70:8 71:6
useful 96:1	views 25:13	130:16,21	71:25 75:21,22	77:25 108:20
uses 21:24	violate 130:17	wayside 69:12,15	88:3 93:18,20	11.23 108:20

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				9
work 28:25 29:9,15	25:25 53:8	107:4,7	2,767.56 43:8,21	40 40:17 62:2
30:17,18 31:8	yesterday 74:18	10 95:13 120:11	20 43:22 44:9,16,21	40128 13:20
39:25 60:13 99:14	Young 14:8 74:15	10-year 95:19	45:7,8,11,12	40s 40:18
works 54:21,22	76:20,23 77:4,15	10:00 54:4	124:10	41 63:21,22
60:12 71:23 90:25	95:4	10:38-11:10 60:15	201 13:5	44 40:17 61:10
108:10	Young's 75:9 89:4	11:10 60:14	2013 47:8 98:6	63:18,18 101:1
Worth 13:15		11:59-12:05 97:10	2017 98:11 121:3	122:5,11
wouldn't 29:15	Z	116 14:14	2019 12:15 15:5	45 52:24,25
71:14 108:23	zone 15:10 17:17	118 14:15	132:16	450 47:17 87:18
114:11 116:14,22	19:9,19,19,25	11th 75:15	2020 132:23	111:20 112:2,4,9
123:12	20:9,13 21:17,20	12 35:13 63:3 64:18	206 13:12	112:13,14 118:23
wrap 54:20	21:22,24 22:9,17	12-132 12:13	21894 102:8	123:11
wrong 22:13 26:11	22:25 23:1,12,18	12:00 54:8	22 64:6	480-62-999 90:14
43:24,25 49:9	23:20 24:20,22,25	12:05 97:9	22.39 102:6,8	49 64:6 127:16
65:3	32:6,7,8 33:22	12:52 131:25	222 64:6 99:23	
wrote 79:4	35:24 41:25 42:13	1218 13:11	127:16	5
WUTC 13:18	44:1,8,13 50:1,20	125 14:22	222.39 102:25	5 12:15 24:8 25:3,7
	51:9,10,22 52:1	127 14:17	25 45:8 124:24	42:25 43:10,22
X	57:24 61:8,18,24	13,837 42:2 72:12	250,000 50:2	44:5,7,22,25
	64:9 66:10 69:13	13,837.78 43:4	2500 13:11,15	45:22,24 46:2
<u> </u>	69:22 75:19 77:19	13th 132:15	2631 12:24 132:21	63:21 73:23 78:12
Y-O-U-N-G 77:4	78:6 80:23 81:19	13X 32:17		79:24 106:24,24
yacht 31:3,20,21	81:21 82:8,14,18	14 120:9	3	107:5,22,25 108:1
37:25 38:8 40:12	83:3,5,6 87:10,11	14,562.45 22:16	3 73:21 129:15	50 113:17 114:1,3,7
56:14,18,20 57:4	87:25 88:10 91:12	48:6 50:10 70:12	3,459 44:2 45:15	120:11
58:20,24 75:7,14	92:11 93:10,17	14,723 19:23 20:8	73:2,11	5th 15:5 54:7 70:21
77:21 78:25 79:9	94:9 98:15 99:6	22:14 50:11 70:4	3,459.45 42:18	
95:6 98:24	100:14,22,24	73:10 114:5	43:19	6
yeah 18:11 20:8	102:3,11,16,18,24	14X 32:18	30 59:23 60:11	6:00 16:4
21:19 24:25 27:20	103:2,9,24 104:7	15 28:4 35:13	118:7 124:15	61 14:7
28:18,18 29:4	104:9,17,22 105:1	124:25 129:15	300 46:15	625-1801 13:12
30:8,18 33:16	105:2,3,4,10,11	15,000 113:18	311 12:16 13:6	664-1188 13:21
40:9 41:9 42:10	105:18,25 107:3	15,387.35 120:3	324 46:15,25 48:9	7
43:2 48:10,11,14	114:9,10 116:11	15,707 113:13	48:14 50:7 70:15	7 120:10
50:9,13,18 51:1	117:9,13 118:22	15,707.4 112:15	91:13 112:2,5	7,500 113:19
53:21 54:5,9,10	119:1 121:21	1500 13:20	118:25 119:2	7:00 54:4
54:11 56:11,24,25	128:9	15X 32:18	120:2 123:5	75 42:17,20 44:2,2
57:6 59:8 62:7,9	zones 75:20 90:17	16 129:15	34 14:5 63:20	44:6,22 72:25
64:15 66:15 72:22	91:6 92:2 99:16	160 61:11	35 14:6	73:13 113:1,14
92:17 96:21 113:9	99:22 100:3 125:3	17 14:4 52:23 53:13	352-2160 13:16	116:7
113:25 124:3	125:4,6 127:17,20	19 49:6,8,16 53:13	360 13:7,21	76131 13:15
year 31:10 48:3	0	120:9	4	77 14:9 67:14
years 28:4 88:8 95:13 98:8,13	U		4 26:3 42:6,9 56:10	778-5729 13:7
yellow 63:5	1	$\frac{2}{20000000000000000000000000000000000$	4 20:3 42:0,9 50:10 73:21	78 42:2
Yep 19:11 21:2	<u>1</u> 32:24 96:6 103:11	2 32:24 79:10 105:9	4:00 54:8	
1 cp 19.11 21:2	202.2100.0100.11	125:15	4.00 J4.0	
	I	I	1	1

Tage 152			
	I		
8			
8 51:14 53:25 54:1			
72:15 82:21 90:15			
132:23			
8,300 113:24,25			
8,730 72:14			
8,730.49 72:16			
90 42.5 10 44.4 6			
80 43:5,10 44:4,6			
44:22 73:15,19			
8296 110:5			
871 13:16			
8th 96:8			
om 90.0			
9			
9 20:11 26:3 51:14			
53:25			
9:33 15:6			
92 67:19			
93 14:10			
95 45:9			
95-96 14:21			
98 14:13 50:11			
98101 13:12			
98225 13:6			
98504 13:21			
99 50:11			
<i>>></i> 50.11			
I	I	l I	l