

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. UE-17 _____

DOCKET NO. UG-17 _____

EXH. EMA-2

ELIZABETH M. ANDREWS

REPRESENTING AVISTA CORPORATION

**AVISTA UTILITIES
CALCULATION OF TRADITIONAL PRO FORMA STUDY REVENUE REQUIREMENT
WASHINGTON ELECTRIC
TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016**

The following information provides the Traditional Pro Forma Study results as required by the WUTC. This Study alone, does not provide the necessary rate relief needed to allow the Company the opportunity to earn the proposed Rate of Return (ROR) requested in this case. The base rate change noted below of \$37,501,000 (including increases in power supply costs), does not reflect the requested rate relief proposed by the Company. See Exh. EMA-3 for the EOP Rate Base Study representing the Company's requested rate relief proposed in this case.

Line No.	Description	Per Traditional Pro Forma Study		
		Base Rate Change	Schedule 93	Billed Impact
		5/1/2018 (000's of Dollars)	Expiration (000's of Dollars)	5/1/2018 (000's of Dollars)
1	Pro Forma Rate Base	\$ 1,472,291		
2	Proposed Rate of Return	7.69%		
3	Net Operating Income Requirement	\$113,219	(\$9,276)	\$103,943
4	Pro Forma Net Operating Income	89,991		89,991
5	Net Operating Income Deficiency	\$23,229	(\$9,276)	\$13,953
6	Conversion Factor	0.619413	0.619413	0.619413
7	Revenue Requirement	\$37,501	(\$14,976)	\$22,525
8	Total General Business Revenues	\$492,134		
9	Percentage Revenue Increase	7.62%		
10	Total <u>Billed</u> General Business Revenues	\$511,823	(\$14,976)	
11	Percentage Revenue Increase	7.33%	-2.9%	4.40%

AVISTA UTILITIES TRADITIONAL PRO FORMA COST OF CAPITAL WASHINGTON ELECTRIC			
Capital Structure			
Component	Capital Structure	Cost	Weighted Cost
Total Debt	51.5%	5.62%	2.89%
Common	48.5%	9.90%	4.80%
Total	<u>100.00%</u>		<u>7.69%</u>

**AVISTA UTILITIES
REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR
WASHINGTON ELECTRIC
TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016**

Line No.	Description	Factor
1	Revenues	<i>1.000000</i>
	Expense:	
2	Uncollectibles	<i>0.006578</i>
3	Commission Fees	<i>0.002000</i>
4	Washington Excise Tax	<i>0.038479</i>
6	Total Expense	<u><i>0.047057</i></u>
7	Net Operating Income Before FIT	<i>0.952943</i>
8	Federal Income Tax @ 35%	<u><i>0.333530</i></u>
9	REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR	<u><u><i>0.619413</i></u></u>

Traditional Pro Forma Study
(Electric)

Exh. EMA-2

AVISTA UTILITIES

WASHINGTON ELECTRIC RESULTS - PRO FORMA
TRADITIONAL PRO FORMA STUDY
TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016
(000'S OF DOLLARS)

Line No.	DESCRIPTION	ACTUAL	RESTATEMENT ADJUSTMENTS						
		RESULTS	Deferred FIT Rate Base	Deferred Debits and Credits	Working Capital	Eliminate B & O Taxes	Restate Property Tax	Uncollect. Expense	Regulatory Expense
		1.00	1.01	1.02	1.03	2.01	2.02	2.03	2.04
		E-ROO	E-DFIT	E-DDC	E-WC	E-EBO	E-RPT	E-UE	E-RE
REVENUES									
1	Total General Business	\$516,333	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$17,807)	\$0	\$0	\$0
2	Interdepartmental Sales	946	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Sales for Resale	78,098	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Total Sales of Electricity	595,377	-	-	-	(17,807)	-	-	-
5	Other Revenue	81,735	-	-	-	(14)	-	-	-
6	Total Electric Revenue	677,112	-	-	-	(17,821)	-	-	-
EXPENSES									
Production and Transmission									
7	Operating Expenses	184,672	-	4	-	-	-	-	-
8	Purchased Power	96,772	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	Depreciation/Amortization	26,677	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
10	Regulatory Amortization	4,310	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	Taxes	14,904	-	-	-	-	86	-	-
12	Total Production & Transmission	327,335	-	4	-	-	86	-	-
Distribution									
13	Operating Expenses	21,420	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Depreciation/Amortization	27,913	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Regulatory Amortization	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	Taxes	45,258	-	-	-	(17,674)	(336)	-	-
17	Total Distribution	94,591	-	-	-	(17,674)	(336)	-	-
18	Customer Accounting	11,733	-	8	-	-	-	1,321	-
19	Customer Service & Information	18,081	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Sales Expenses	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Administrative & General									
21	Operating Expenses	50,568	-	-	-	-	-	-	7
22	Depreciation/Amortization	23,877	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Taxes	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Total Admin. & General	74,445	-	-	-	-	-	-	7
25	Total Electric Expenses	526,185	-	12	-	(17,674)	(250)	1,321	7
26	OPERATING INCOME BEFORE FIT	150,927	-	(12)	-	(147)	250	(1,321)	(7)
FEDERAL INCOME TAX									
27	Current Accrual	(25,741)	-	(4)	-	(51)	88	(462)	(2)
28	Debt Interest	0	(8)	-	30	-	-	-	-
29	Deferred Income Taxes	66,436	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Amortized ITC - Noxon	(325)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
31	NET OPERATING INCOME	\$110,557	\$8	(\$8)	(\$30)	(\$96)	\$163	(\$859)	(\$5)
RATE BASE									
PLANT IN SERVICE									
32	Intangible	\$156,057	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
33	Production	832,833	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
34	Transmission	430,613	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	Distribution	970,455	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	General	233,266	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
37	Total Plant in Service	2,623,224	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION/AMORT									
38	Intangible	(30,914)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	Production	(351,625)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
40	Transmission	(135,624)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
41	Distribution	(295,383)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
42	General	(80,093)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43	Total Accumulated Depreciation	(893,639)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	NET PLANT	1,729,585	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
45	DEFERRED TAXES	(354,707)	806	-	-	-	-	-	-
46	Net Plant After DFIT	1,374,878	806	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	DEFERRED DEBITS AND CREDITS & OTHER	4,568	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	WORKING CAPITAL	65,480	-	-	(3,006)	-	-	-	-
49	TOTAL RATE BASE	1,444,926	\$806	\$0	(\$3,006)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
50	RATE OF RETURN	7.65%							
51	REVENUE REQUIREMENT	901	87	13	(324)	154	(262)	1,386	7

Traditional Pro Forma Study
(Electric)

Exh. EMA-2

AVISTA UTILITIES

WASHINGTON ELECTRIC RESULTS - PRO FORMA

TRADITIONAL PRO FORMA STUDY

TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

(000'S OF DOLLARS)

Line No.	DESCRIPTION	Injuries and Damages	FIT/DFIT/ ITC Expense	Office Space Charges to Non-Utility	Restate Excise Taxes	Net Gains / Losses	Weather Normalization	Eliminate Adder Schedules	Misc. Restating Non-Util / Non-Recurring Expenses
		2.05 E-ID	2.06 E-FIT	2.07 E-OSC	2.08 E-RET	2.09 E-NGL	2.10 E-WN	2.11 E-EAS	2.12 E-MR
	Adjustment Number								
	Workpaper Reference								
	REVENUES								
1	Total General Business	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,392	(\$18,203)	\$0
2	Interdepartmental Sales	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Sales for Resale	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Total Sales of Electricity	-	-	-	-	-	7,392	(18,203)	-
5	Other Revenue	-	-	-	-	-	(5,775)	684	(2,566)
6	Total Electric Revenue	-	-	-	-	-	1,617	(17,519)	(2,566)
	EXPENSES								
	Production and Transmission								
7	Operating Expenses	-	-	-	-	-	-	(383)	(5)
8	Purchased Power	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	Depreciation/Amortization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Regulatory Amortization	-	-	-	-	-	-	395	-
11	Taxes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Total Production & Transmission	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	(5)
	Distribution								
13	Operating Expenses	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2)
14	Depreciation/Amortization	-	-	-	-	(94)	-	-	-
15	Regulatory Amortization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	Taxes	-	-	-	(62)	-	284	(700)	-
17	Total Distribution	-	-	-	(62)	(94)	284	(700)	(2)
18	Customer Accounting	-	-	-	-	-	49	(120)	-
19	Customer Service & Information	-	-	-	-	-	-	(16,675)	-
20	Sales Expenses	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Administrative & General								
21	Operating Expenses	151	-	(31)	-	-	15	(36)	(1,068)
22	Depreciation/Amortization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Taxes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Total Admin. & General	151	-	(31)	-	-	15	(36)	(1,068)
25	Total Electric Expenses	151	-	(31)	(62)	(94)	348	(17,519)	(1,075)
26	OPERATING INCOME BEFORE FIT	(151)	-	31	62	94	1,269	-	(1,491)
	FEDERAL INCOME TAX								
27	Current Accrual	(53)	110	11	22	33	444	-	(522)
28	Debt Interest	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Deferred Income Taxes	-	(40)	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Amortized ITC - Noxon	-	(1)	-	-	-	-	-	-
31	NET OPERATING INCOME	(\$98)	(\$69)	\$20	\$40	\$61	\$825	\$0	(\$969)
	RATE BASE								
	PLANT IN SERVICE								
32	Intangible	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
33	Production	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
34	Transmission	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35	Distribution	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36	General	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
37	Total Plant in Service	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION/AMORT								
38	Intangible	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39	Production	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
40	Transmission	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
41	Distribution	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
42	General	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
43	Total Accumulated Depreciation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44	NET PLANT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
45	DEFERRED TAXES	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
46	Net Plant After DFIT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	DEFERRED DEBITS AND CREDITS & OTHER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
48	WORKING CAPITAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
49	TOTAL RATE BASE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
50	RATE OF RETURN								
51	REVENUE REQUIREMENT	158	111	(33)	(65)	(99)	(1,332)	-	1,565

Traditional Pro Forma Study
(Electric)

Exh. EMA-2

AVISTA UTILITIES

WASHINGTON ELECTRIC RESULTS - PRO FORMA

TRADITIONAL PRO FORMA STUDY

TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

(000'S OF DOLLARS)

(Authorized P.S.
@ Authorized
P/T ratio)

Line No.	DESCRIPTION	Eliminate WA Power Cost Defer 2.13 E-EWPC	Nez Perce Settlement Adjustment 2.14 E-NPS	Restating Incentives 2.15 E-RI	Normalize CS2/Colstrip Major Maint 2.16 E-PMM	Restate Debt Interest 2.17 E-RDI	Authorized Power Supply 2.18 E-APS	Restated TOTAL
	Adjustment Number Workpaper Reference							R-Ttl
REVENUES								
1	Total General Business	\$4,698	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$492,413
2	Interdepartmental Sales	-	-	-	-	-	-	946
3	Sales for Resale	-	-	-	-	-	(20,773)	57,325
4	Total Sales of Electricity	4,698	-	-	-	-	(20,773)	550,684
5	Other Revenue	-	-	-	-	-	(56,948)	17,116
6	Total Electric Revenue	4,698	-	-	-	-	(77,721)	567,800
EXPENSES								
Production and Transmission								
7	Operating Expenses	(2,270)	(4)	-	(1,174)	-	(46,240)	134,600
8	Purchased Power	-	-	-	-	-	(19,641)	77,131
9	Depreciation/Amortization	-	-	-	-	-	-	26,677
10	Regulatory Amortization	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,705
11	Taxes	-	-	-	-	-	-	14,990
12	Total Production & Transmission	(2,270)	(4)	-	(1,174)	-	(65,881)	258,103
Distribution								
13	Operating Expenses	-	-	-	-	-	-	21,418
14	Depreciation/Amortization	-	-	-	-	-	-	27,819
15	Regulatory Amortization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	Taxes	181	-	-	-	-	-	26,951
17	Total Distribution	181	-	-	-	-	-	76,188
18	Customer Accounting	30	-	-	-	-	-	13,021
19	Customer Service & Information	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,406
20	Sales Expenses	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Administrative & General								
21	Operating Expenses	9	-	(626)	-	-	-	48,989
22	Depreciation/Amortization	-	-	-	-	-	-	23,877
23	Taxes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Total Admin. & General	9	-	(626)	-	-	-	72,866
25	Total Electric Expenses	(2,050)	(4)	(626)	(1,174)	-	(65,881)	421,584
26	OPERATING INCOME BEFORE FIT	6,748	4	626	1,174	-	(11,840)	146,216
FEDERAL INCOME TAX								
27	Current Accrual	1,567	1	219	411	(860)	(4,144)	(28,935)
28	Debt Interest	-	-	-	-	-	-	22
29	Deferred Income Taxes	795	-	-	-	-	-	67,191
30	Amortized ITC - Noxon	-	-	-	-	-	-	(326)
31	NET OPERATING INCOME	\$4,386	\$3	407	763	860	(\$7,696)	108,263
RATE BASE								
PLANT IN SERVICE								
32	Intangible	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$156,057
33	Production	-	-	-	-	-	-	832,833
34	Transmission	-	-	-	-	-	-	430,613
35	Distribution	-	-	-	-	-	-	970,455
36	General	-	-	-	-	-	-	233,266
37	Total Plant in Service	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,623,224
ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION/AMORT								
38	Intangible	-	-	-	-	-	-	(30,914)
39	Production	-	-	-	-	-	-	(351,625)
40	Transmission	-	-	-	-	-	-	(135,624)
41	Distribution	-	-	-	-	-	-	(295,383)
42	General	-	-	-	-	-	-	(80,093)
43	Total Accumulated Depreciation	-	-	-	-	-	-	(893,639)
44	NET PLANT	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,729,585
45	DEFERRED TAXES	-	-	-	-	-	-	(353,901)
46	Net Plant After DFIT	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,375,684
47	DEFERRED DEBITS AND CREDITS & OTHER	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,568
48	WORKING CAPITAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	62,474
49	TOTAL RATE BASE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,442,726
50	RATE OF RETURN							(1)
51	REVENUE REQUIREMENT	(7,081)	(4)	(657)	(1,232)	(1,388)	12,425	4,330

(1) The Restated TOTAL column does not represent 12/31/2016 Test Period Commission Basis results of operation on a normalized basis (CBR basis). Two differences exist here: 1) inclusion of proposed (Pro Forma) cost debt (pro forma versus CBR cost of debt) impacting Adjustment 2.17 above; and 2) Authorized (ERM) Power Supply (Adj 2.18 above) versus revised Authorized Power Supply (CB) which included the updated Production/ Transmission (P/T) ratio. The P/T ratio update is included in PF Power Supply Adjustment 4.00 in order to separate increased power supply costs from non-energy increased costs requested by the Company.

Traditional Pro Forma Study
(Electric)

PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS

AVISTA UTILITIES

WASHINGTON ELECTRIC RESULTS - PRO FORMA

TRADITIONAL PRO FORMA STUDY

TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

(000'S OF DOLLARS)

Line No.	DESCRIPTION	NON ERM								
		Pro Forma Trans/Power Sup Non-ERM Rev/Exp	Pro Forma Labor Non-Exec	Pro Forma Labor Exec	Pro Forma Employee Benefits	Pro Forma Incentive Expenses	Pro Forma Property Tax	Pro Forma IS/IT Expense	Pro Forma Revenue Normalization	
		3.01	3.02	3.03	3.04	3.05	3.06	3.07	3.08	
	Adjustment Number Workpaper Reference	E-PTR	E-PLN	E-PLE	E-PEB	E-PI	E-PPT	E-CI	E-PREV	
REVENUES										
1	Total General Business	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$1,225)	
2	Interdepartmental Sales	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
3	Sales for Resale	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
4	Total Sales of Electricity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1,225)	
5	Other Revenue	71	-	-	-	-	-	-	(3,887)	
6	Total Electric Revenue	71	-	-	-	-	-	-	(5,112)	
EXPENSES										
Production and Transmission										
7	Operating Expenses	172	999	-	(125)	-	-	-	-	
8	Purchased Power	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
9	Depreciation/Amortization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
10	Regulatory Amortization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
11	Taxes	-	-	-	-	-	1,578	-	-	
12	Total Production & Transmission	172	999	-	(125)	-	1,578	-	-	
Distribution										
13	Operating Expenses	-	604	-	(77)	-	-	-	-	
14	Depreciation/Amortization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
15	Regulatory Amortization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
16	Taxes	-	-	-	-	-	880	-	(47)	
17	Total Distribution	-	604	-	(77)	-	880	-	(47)	
18	Customer Accounting	-	322	-	(41)	-	-	-	(8)	
19	Customer Service & Information	-	27	-	(3)	-	-	-	-	
20	Sales Expenses	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Administrative & General										
21	Operating Expenses	-	912	(33)	(114)	119	-	694	(2)	
22	Depreciation/Amortization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
23	Taxes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
24	Total Admin. & General	-	912	(33)	(114)	119	-	694	(2)	
25	Total Electric Expenses	172	2,864	(33)	(360)	119	2,458	694	(57)	
26	OPERATING INCOME BEFORE FIT	(101)	(2,864)	33	360	(119)	(2,458)	(694)	(5,055)	
FEDERAL INCOME TAX										
27	Current Accrual	(35)	(1,002)	12	126	(42)	(860)	(243)	(1,769)	
28	Debt Interest	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
29	Deferred Income Taxes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
30	Amortized ITC - Noxon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
31	NET OPERATING INCOME	(\$66)	(\$1,862)	\$21	\$234	(\$77)	(\$1,598)	(\$451)	(3,286)	
RATE BASE										
PLANT IN SERVICE										
32	Intangible	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
33	Production	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
34	Transmission	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
35	Distribution	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
36	General	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
37	Total Plant in Service	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION/AMORT										
38	Intangible	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
39	Production	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
40	Transmission	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
41	Distribution	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
42	General	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
43	Total Accumulated Depreciation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
44	NET PLANT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DEFERRED TAXES										
45	Net Plant After DFIT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
46	DEFERRED DEBITS AND CREDITS & OTHER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
47	WORKING CAPITAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
48		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
49	TOTAL RATE BASE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
50	RATE OF RETURN									
51	REVENUE REQUIREMENT	106	3,005	(35)	(378)	125	2,579	728	5,305	

Traditional Pro Forma Study
(Electric)

Exh. EMA-2

AVISTA UTILITIES

WASHINGTON ELECTRIC RESULTS - PRO FORMA

TRADITIONAL PRO FORMA STUDY

TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

(000'S OF DOLLARS)

Line No.	DESCRIPTION	Pro Forma Def. Debits, Credits & Regulatory Amorts 3.09	Pro Forma 2017 Threshold Capital Adds 3.10	Pro Forma O&M Offsets 3.11	Pro Forma Director Fees Exp 3.12	PF Normalize CS2/Colstrip Major Maint 3.13	Pro Forma Underground Equip Inspection 3.14	Non-Energy Pro Forma Sub-Total PF-SubTtl
	Adjustment Number Workpaper Reference	E-PRA	E-PCAP16	E-POFF	E-PDF	E-PNM	E-PUEI	
REVENUES								
1	Total General Business	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$491,188
2	Interdepartmental Sales	-	-	-	-	-	-	946
3	Sales for Resale	-	-	-	-	-	-	57,325
4	Total Sales of Electricity	-	-	-	-	-	-	549,459
5	Other Revenue	-	-	-	-	-	-	13,300
6	Total Electric Revenue	-	-	-	-	-	-	562,759
EXPENSES								
Production and Transmission								
7	Operating Expenses	(248)	-	-	-	347	-	135,745
8	Purchased Power	-	-	-	-	-	-	77,131
9	Depreciation/Amortization	-	129	-	-	-	-	26,806
10	Regulatory Amortization	(1,393)	-	-	-	-	-	3,312
11	Taxes	-	-	-	-	-	-	16,568
12	Total Production & Transmission	(1,641)	129	-	-	347	-	259,562
Distribution								
13	Operating Expenses	-	-	-	-	-	532	22,477
14	Depreciation/Amortization	-	795	(875)	-	-	-	27,739
15	Regulatory Amortization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	Taxes	-	-	-	-	-	-	27,784
17	Total Distribution	-	795	(875)	-	-	532	78,000
18	Customer Accounting	-	-	-	-	-	-	13,294
19	Customer Service & Information	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,430
20	Sales Expenses	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Administrative & General								
21	Operating Expenses	-	-	(112)	375	-	-	50,828
22	Depreciation/Amortization	-	2,297	-	-	-	-	26,174
23	Taxes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Total Admin. & General	-	2,297	(112)	375	-	-	77,002
25	Total Electric Expenses	(1,641)	3,221	(987)	375	347	532	429,288
26	OPERATING INCOME BEFORE FIT	1,641	(3,221)	987	(375)	(347)	(532)	133,471
FEDERAL INCOME TAX								
27	Current Accrual	574	(1,127)	345	(131)	(121)	(186)	(33,395)
28	Debt Interest	54	(353)	-	-	-	-	(277)
29	Deferred Income Taxes	-	-	-	-	-	-	67,191
30	Amortized ITC - Noxon	-	-	-	-	-	-	(326)
31	NET OPERATING INCOME	\$1,013	(\$1,741)	642	(244)	(226)	(346)	100,278
RATE BASE								
PLANT IN SERVICE								
32	Intangible	\$0	\$10,319	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$166,376
33	Production	-	6,889	-	-	-	-	839,722
34	Transmission	-	-	-	-	-	-	430,613
35	Distribution	-	27,209	-	-	-	-	997,664
36	General	-	-	-	-	-	-	233,266
37	Total Plant in Service	-	44,417	-	-	-	-	2,667,641
ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION/AMORT								
38	Intangible	-	(1,092)	-	-	-	-	(32,006)
39	Production	-	(95)	-	-	-	-	(\$351,720)
40	Transmission	-	-	-	-	-	-	(135,624)
41	Distribution	-	(327)	-	-	-	-	(295,710)
42	General	-	-	-	-	-	-	(80,093)
43	Total Accumulated Depreciation	-	(1,514)	-	-	-	-	(895,153)
44	NET PLANT	-	42,903	-	-	-	-	1,772,488
45	DEFERRED TAXES	-	(7,992)	-	-	-	-	(361,893)
46	Net Plant After DFIT	-	34,911	-	-	-	-	1,410,595
47	DEFERRED DEBITS AND CREDITS & OTHER	(5,346)	-	-	-	-	-	(778)
48	WORKING CAPITAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	62,474
49	TOTAL RATE BASE	(5,346)	\$34,911	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	1,472,291
50	RATE OF RETURN							
51	REVENUE REQUIREMENT	(2,298)	7,144	(1,036)	394	364	558	20,892
								Pro Forma Non-Energy

4.25%

Traditional Pro Forma Study
(Electric)

AVISTA UTILITIES

WASHINGTON ELECTRIC RESULTS - PRO FORMA

TRADITIONAL PRO FORMA STUDY

TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016
(000'S OF DOLLARS)

ERM
ADJUSTMENT

Tariff 93 expires upon effective date of new base rates from this GRC
Incremental impact of Pro Forma Power Supply and Expiration of Schedule 93

Line No.	DESCRIPTION	ERM Related Only		9/1/2017 Power Supply Update Tariff Schedule 93	Power Supply Incremental (Billed) Impact Increase / (Decrease)
		Pro Forma Power Supply & Transm Revs	Pro Forma Including PS Total		
	Adjustment Number	4.00			
	Workpaper Reference	E-PPS	PF-Ttl		
	REVENUES				
1	Total General Business	\$0	\$491,188	\$0	\$0
2	Interdepartmental Sales	-	946	-	-
3	Sales for Resale	(21,762)	35,563	(26,116)	4,354
4	Total Sales of Electricity	(21,762)	527,697	(26,116)	4,354
5	Other Revenue	(268)	13,032	-	(268)
6	Total Electric Revenue	(22,030)	540,729	(26,116)	4,086
	EXPENSES				
	Production and Transmission				
7	Operating Expenses	(2,292)	133,453	(5,139)	2,847
8	Purchased Power	(3,911)	73,220	(6,706)	2,795
9	Depreciation/Amortization	-	26,806	-	-
10	Regulatory Amortization	-	3,312	-	-
11	Taxes	-	16,568	-	-
12	Total Production & Transmission	(6,203)	253,359	(11,845)	5,642
	Distribution				
13	Operating Expenses	-	22,477	-	-
14	Depreciation/Amortization	-	27,739	-	-
15	Regulatory Amortization	-	-	-	-
16	Taxes	-	27,784	-	-
17	Total Distribution	-	78,000	-	-
18	Customer Accounting	-	13,294	-	-
19	Customer Service & Information	-	1,430	-	-
20	Sales Expenses	-	-	-	-
	Administrative & General				
21	Operating Expenses	-	50,828	-	-
22	Depreciation/Amortization	-	26,174	-	-
23	Taxes	-	-	-	-
24	Total Admin. & General	-	77,002	-	-
25	Total Electric Expenses	(6,203)	423,085	(11,845)	5,642
26	OPERATING INCOME BEFORE FIT	(15,827)	117,644	(14,271)	(1,556)
	FEDERAL INCOME TAX				
27	Current Accrual	(5,539)	(38,935)	(4,995)	(545)
28	Debt Interest	-	(277)	-	-
29	Deferred Income Taxes	-	67,191	-	-
30	Amortized ITC - Noxon	-	(326)	-	-
31	NET OPERATING INCOME	(\$10,288)	89,991	(\$9,276)	(\$1,011)
	RATE BASE				
	PLANT IN SERVICE				
32	Intangible	\$0	\$166,376	\$0	\$0
33	Production	-	839,722	-	-
34	Transmission	-	430,613	-	-
35	Distribution	-	997,664	-	-
36	General	-	233,266	-	-
37	Total Plant in Service	-	2,667,641	-	-
	ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION/AMORT				
38	Intangible	-	(32,006)	-	-
39	Production	-	(\$351,720)	-	-
40	Transmission	-	(135,624)	-	-
41	Distribution	-	(295,710)	-	-
42	General	-	(80,093)	-	-
43	Total Accumulated Depreciation	-	(895,153)	-	-
44	NET PLANT	-	1,772,488	-	-
45	DEFERRED TAXES	-	(361,893)	-	-
46	Net Plant After DFIT	-	1,410,595	-	-
47	DEFERRED DEBITS AND CREDITS & OTHER	-	(778)	-	-
48	WORKING CAPITAL	-	62,474	-	-
49	TOTAL RATE BASE	\$0	1,472,291	\$0	\$0
50	RATE OF RETURN				
51	REVENUE REQUIREMENT	16,609	37,501	14,976	1,633
		ERM Power Supply Adj	Ttl Pro Forma w/ PS	Sch. 93 PS Update	Incremental Increase / (Decrease) in PS Costs
		3.37%	7.62%	2.9%	0.32%

1 **Exh. EMA-2 – Electric Traditional Pro Forma Study**

2 **Q. Please explain the purpose of the electric Traditional Pro Forma Study.**

3 A. In determining the Company’s need for rate relief, the Company first
 4 completed its Traditional Pro Forma Study, adjusting 2016 historical test year balances for
 5 restating and pro forma adjustments. The restating and pro forma adjustments included in
 6 this study are those traditionally accepted and approved by the Washington Utilities and
 7 Transportation Commission (WUTC or Commission). This study alone, does not provide
 8 the necessary rate relief needed to allow the Company the opportunity to earn the proposed
 9 ROR requested in this case, and therefore, on its own, is not the basis of the Company’s
 10 request. The results of the electric Traditional Pro Forma Study for Rate Year 1 is
 11 \$37,501,000 million.^{1/2}

12 **Q. Please explain what is shown on pages 1 – 3 of Exh. EMA-2.**

13 A. Page 1 of Exh. EMA-2 shows, at line 7, the calculation of the electric Pro
 14 Forma level revenue requirement of \$37,501,000, or 7.62% revenue increase, as shown on
 15 line 9. This page also shows the effect on billed rates, per the Traditional Pro Forma Study,
 16 with the expiration of Schedule 93 (Power Cost Rate Adjustment (PCRA)) totaling \$14.976

¹ The electric Pro Forma revenue requirement includes \$16.6 million of increased power supply costs. If the proposed \$15.0 million Power Supply Rate Adjustment is approved effective September 1, 2017, the total revenue requirement amount would be offset by the \$15.0 million on a billed basis.

² After completion of the Company’s revenue requirement, we learned of the impact of a new aquatic invasive species fee, to be paid to the State of Montana, related to the Company’s Noxon Rapids hydroelectric generating facility. Beginning on July 1, 2017, based on recently signed legislation, Avista will be required to pay this fee to the State of Montana. This fee will be imposed on a quarterly basis until June 30, 2019, at a rate of \$795.76/MW of a “hydroelectric facility’s” nameplate capacity. This fee is estimated to be approximately \$1.6 million per year, or \$1.0 million Washington’s share. The Company will update this information during the process of this case.

1 million³, resulting in a bill impact of \$22,525,000, or 4.40% on an overall billed basis (net
2 of the expiration of Schedule 93).

3 Page 2 of Exh. EMA-2, shows the Cost of Capital and Capital Structure included
4 in the Traditional Pro Forma Study, including: 1) 48.5% Common Equity / 51.5% Debt
5 capital structure⁴; 2) Return on Equity of 9.9%; and 3) cost of debt of 5.62%, resulting in
6 an overall Rate of Return (weighted average cost of capital) of 7.69%. Company witness
7 Mr. Thies discusses the Company's rate of return and the capital structure, while Company
8 witness Mr. McKenzie provides additional testimony related to the appropriate return on
9 equity for Avista.

10 Page 3 shows the derivation of the electric net-operating-income-to-gross-revenue
11 conversion factor. The conversion factor takes into account uncollectible accounts
12 receivable, Commission fees and Washington State excise taxes. Federal income taxes are
13 reflected at 35%.

14 **Q. Now turning to pages pages 4 through 9 of Exh. EMA-2, please explain**
15 **what is included on those pages?**

16 A. Page 4 begins with actual operating results and rate base for the twelve-
17 months-ending December 31, 2016 test period on an AMA basis in column (1.00).
18 Individual normalizing and restating adjustments that are standard components of our

³ Company witness Mr. Ehrbar discusses the PCRA as it relates to this general rate case. Schedule 93 includes the proposed update to current authorized power supply costs that are tracked through the Company's Energy Recovery Mechanism (ERM), increasing power supply net expense \$14.976 million effective September 1, 2017 (or 2.9%). With this general rate case the Company is proposing to update power supply base costs per the pro forma period for Rate Year 1 (May 1, 2018-April 30, 2019). With new base rates effective May 1, 2018, Schedule 93 expires (\$15.0 million), offsetting the increase in pro forma net power supply costs (\$16.6 million), for a bill impact of \$1.6 million.

⁴ As discussed further in Section IV. "EOP Rate Base Study" of my testimony, the Company has requested an adjusted capital structure of 50% Equity / 50% Debt, which results in the proposed cost of capital of 7.76%.

1 annual reporting to the Commission begin in column (1.01) on page 4 and continue through
2 column (2.18) on page 6. Individual Pro Forma adjustments are shown on pages 7 and 8
3 in columns (3.01) through (3.14). The last column on page 8, labeled “Non-Energy Pro
4 Forma Sub-Total” is the subtotal of the previous columns (1.00) through (3.14), and
5 produces the Traditional Pro Forma Study Non-Energy net operating income (NOI), total
6 rate base, and revenue requirement totaling \$20,892,000.

7 Turning to page 9, adjustment 4.00 “Pro Forma Power Supply and Transmission
8 Revenues” is the last pro forma adjustment included (\$16.609 million), prior to the “Pro
9 Forma Including PS Total,” column, totaling \$37.501 million overall Pro Forma revenue
10 requirement, as shown on page 1. The final two columns shown on page 9, are provided
11 for informational purposes, which show the impact of the expiration of tariff Schedule 93
12 (\$14.976 million), and the incremental difference of column 4.00 “Pro Forma Power
13 Supply & Transmission Revenues,” net of the expiration of “Schedule 93,” showing the
14 incremental bill increase of \$1.652 million (or .32%).

15 The testimony that follows explains each of the electric Commission Basis,
16 restating and Pro Forma adjustments. The Company has also provided workpapers, both
17 in hard copy and electronic formats, which include additional details related to each of
18 these adjustments.

19

20 **Electric Standard Commission Basis and Restating Adjustments**

21 **Q. Please explain each of the Commission Basis and restating adjustments**
22 **included, starting on page 4 of Exh. EMA-2), the reason for the adjustment and its**

1 **effect on the Washington electric net operating income and/or rate base for the**
2 **historical test period?**

3 A. Starting on page 4, Column **(1.00)** the **Results of Operations** reflect the
4 Company's actual operating results and total net rate base experienced by the Company for
5 year ending December 2016 on an AMA basis. Columns following the Results of
6 Operations column (1.00), (columns (1.01) – (2.18)) mainly reflect normalizing and
7 restating adjustments necessary to restate the actual results based on prior Commission
8 orders, reflect appropriate annualized expenses, correct for errors, or remove prior period
9 amounts reflected in the year ending December 2016.

10 The first adjustment, column **(1.01)** on page 4, entitled **Deferred FIT Rate Base,**
11 adjusts the accumulated deferred federal income tax (ADFIT) rate base balance included
12 in the Results of Operations column (1.00) to the adjusted ADFIT balance reflected on an
13 AMA basis, as shown within my workpapers provided with the Company's filing.

14 ADFIT reflects the deferred tax balances arising from accelerated tax depreciation
15 (Accelerated Cost Recovery System, or ACRS, and Modified Accelerated Cost Recovery,
16 or MACRS, repairs deduction and bonus depreciation), bond refinancing premiums, and
17 contributions in aid of construction.

18 The effect on Washington rate base for this adjustment is an increase of
19 \$806,000. An increase to Washington net operating income of \$8,000 is due to the Federal
20 income tax (FIT) expense on the restated level of interest on the change in rate base⁵.

⁵ The net effect of Federal Income Tax (FIT) expense on the restated level of interest expense due to a change in rate base, is shown within each individual adjustment. The restated debt interest impact per individual rate base adjustment can be seen on line 28 of Exh. EMA-2.

1 **Deferred Debits and Credits**, column (1.02), is a consolidation of previous
2 Commission Basis or other restating rate base adjustments and their net operating income
3 (NOI) impact. The net impact on a consolidated basis of this adjustment decreases net
4 operating income (NOI) by a total of \$8,000.

5 Adjustments included in the Deferred Debits and Credits consolidated adjustment
6 are those necessary to reflect restatements from actual results based on prior Commission
7 orders, and are explained below. For consistency with prior rate case filings, a description
8 of each adjustment is included below.

9 The following items are included in the consolidated adjustment:

- 10 • **Colstrip 3 AFUDC Elimination** reflects the reallocation of rate base and
11 depreciation expense between jurisdictions. In Cause Nos. U-81-15 and U-82-10,
12 the UTC allowed the Company a return on a portion of Colstrip Unit 3 construction
13 work in progress (“CWIP”). A much smaller amount of Colstrip Unit 3 CWIP was
14 allowed in rate base in Case U-1008-144 by the Idaho Public Utilities Commission
15 (“IPUC”). The Company eliminated the AFUDC associated with the portion of
16 CWIP allowed in rate base in each jurisdiction. Since production facilities are
17 allocated on the Production/Transmission formula, the allocation of AFUDC is
18 reversed and a direct assignment is made. The rate base adjustment reflects the
19 average-of-monthly-averages amount for the test period. No adjustment from that
20 recorded within results of operations is necessary.
21
- 22 • **Colstrip Common AFUDC** is associated with the Colstrip plants in
23 Montana, and impacts rate base. Differing amounts of Colstrip common facilities
24 were excluded from rate base by this Commission and the IPUC until Colstrip Unit
25 4 was placed in service. The Company was allowed to accrue AFUDC on the
26 Colstrip common facilities during the time that they were excluded from rate base.
27 It is necessary to directly assign the AFUDC because of the differing amounts of
28 common facilities excluded from rate base by this Commission and the IPUC. In
29 September 1988, an entry was made to comply with a Federal Energy Regulatory
30 Commission (“FERC”) Audit Exception, which transferred Colstrip common
31 AFUDC from the plant accounts to Account 186. These amounts reflect a direct
32 assignment of rate base for the appropriate average-of-monthly-averages amounts
33 of Colstrip common AFUDC to the Washington and Idaho jurisdictions.
34 Amortization expense associated with the Colstrip common AFUDC is charged
35 directly to the Washington and Idaho jurisdictions through Account 406 and is a
36 component of the actual results of operations. The rate base amount is also included

1 in the results of operations accurately reflecting the average-of-monthly-averages
2 amount for the test period. No adjustment from that recorded within results of
3 operations is necessary.
4

5 • **Kettle Falls Disallowance** reflects the Kettle Falls generating plant
6 disallowance ordered by this Commission in Cause No. U-83-26. The disallowed
7 investment and related depreciation, FIT expense, accumulated depreciation and
8 accumulated deferred FIT on an AMA basis are accurately reflected in the results
9 of operations column, removing these amounts from actual results of operations.
10 No adjustment from that recorded within results of operations is necessary.
11

12 • **Settlement Exchange Power** reflects the rate base associated with the
13 recovery of 64.1% of the Company's investment in Settlement Exchange Power.
14 The 64.1% recovery level was approved by the Commission's Second
15 Supplemental Order in Cause No. U-86-99 dated February 24, 1987. Amortization
16 expense and deferred FIT expense recorded during the test period are accurately
17 reflected in results of operations. The production rate base and accumulated
18 deferred FIT amounts within results of operations are reflected on a twelve-month
19 ending December 31, 2016 test period AMA basis. No adjustment from that
20 recorded within results of operations is necessary.
21

22 • **Restating CDA Settlement Deferral** reflects the net assets and DFIT
23 balances associated with the 2008/2009 past storage and §10(e) charges deferred
24 for future recovery are reflected on a twelve-month ending December 31, 2016 test
25 period AMA basis within results of operations. A ten-year amortization expense, as
26 approved in Docket No. UE-100467, of the CDA Settlement Deferral is accurately
27 reflected in results of operations. No adjustment from that recorded within results
28 of operations is necessary.
29

30 • **Restating CDA/SRR (Spokane River Relicensing) CDR Deferral** the net
31 assets associated with the CDA Tribe settlement 4(e) Spokane River relicensing
32 conditions deferred for future recovery are reflected on a twelve-month ending
33 December 31, 2016 test period AMA basis within results of operations. A ten-year
34 amortization expense of the CDA/SRR CDR Deferral, as approved in Docket No.
35 UE-100467 is accurately reflected in results of operations. No adjustment from that
36 recorded within results of operations is necessary.
37

38 • **Restating Spokane River Deferral** reflects the net asset and DFIT balances
39 related to the Spokane River deferred relicensing costs deferred for future recovery
40 are reflected on a twelve-month ending December 31, 2016 test period AMA basis
41 within results of operations. A ten-year amortization expense of the Spokane River
42 Deferral, as approved in Docket No. UE-100467, is accurately reflected in results
43 of operations. No adjustment from that recorded within results of operations is
44 necessary.

1 • **Restating Spokane River PM&E Deferral** reflects the net asset and DFIT
 2 balances related to the Spokane River deferred PM&E costs deferred for future
 3 recovery are reflected on a twelve-month ending December 31, 2016 test period
 4 AMA basis within results of operations. A ten-year amortization expense of the
 5 Spokane River PM&E Deferral, as approved in Docket No. UE-100467, is
 6 accurately reflected in results of operations. No adjustment from that recorded
 7 within results of operations is necessary.

8
 9 • **Restating Montana Riverbed Lease** reflects the net asset and DFIT
 10 balances related to the Spokane River deferred PM&E costs deferred for future
 11 recovery are reflected on a twelve-month ending December 31, 2016 test period
 12 AMA basis within results of operations. In the Montana Riverbed lease settlement,
 13 the Company agreed to pay the State of Montana \$4.0 million annually beginning
 14 in 2007, with annual inflation adjustments, for a 10-year period for leasing the
 15 riverbed under the Noxon Rapids Project and the Montana portion of the Cabinet
 16 Gorge Project. The first two annual payments were deferred by Avista as approved
 17 in Docket No. UE-072131. In Docket No. UE-080416 (see Order No. 08), the
 18 Commission approved the Company's accounting treatment of the deferred
 19 payments, including accrued interest, to be amortized over the remaining eight
 20 years of the agreement starting on January 1, 2009. The 10-year amortization of
 21 the first two annual payment deferral expired on December 31, 2016. The ten-year
 22 amortization expense of the Montana Riverbed Lease Deferral, as approved in
 23 Docket No. UE-072131, is accurately reflected in results of operations. An
 24 adjustment to reflect the correct lease expense for 2016 increased expense \$4,000.

25
 26 • **Customer Advances** decreases rate base for money advanced by customers
 27 for line extensions, as they will be recorded as contributions in aid of construction
 28 at some future time. No adjustment from that recorded within results of operations
 29 is necessary.

30
 31 • **Customer Deposits** reduces electric rate base by the average-of-monthly-
 32 averages of customer deposits held by the Company, as ordered by this Commission
 33 in Docket UE-090134. The reduction to rate base is accurately reflected in results
 34 of operations. Therefore no adjustment is necessary to rate base. The corresponding
 35 interest paid on customer deposits is reclassified to utility operating expense, at the
 36 current UTC interest rate of 0.49%. The effect on Washington is an increase in
 37 expense of \$8,000.

38
 39 In summary, as noted above, the net impact on a consolidated basis of the
 40 adjustments described above decreases Washington net operating income by \$8,000.
 41 (Adjustment (3.09) Pro Forma Deferred Debits, Credits & Regulatory Amortizations,
 42 explained below, adjusts certain items listed above to reflect pro forma (May 1, 2018 –

1 April 30, 2019) levels of deferred debits and credit balances and amortization expense as
2 ordered in prior cases.)

3 **Working Capital**, column (1.03), restates the working capital balance reflected in
4 the Company's Results of Operations column (1.00), to the adjusted working capital
5 balance. The Company uses the Investor Supplied Working Capital (ISWC) methodology
6 to calculate the amount of working capital reflected in its actual results of operations. This
7 method is consistent with that incorporated in the Company's last approved electric general
8 rate case, Docket No. UE-150204. In addition, ISWC was revised to properly reflect the
9 effect of Investment Tax Credit (ITC) in 2016 on the Company's Nine Mile capital project,
10 which went into service in mid-2016. The net effect of adjustments to ISWC from that
11 recorded per results of operations at December 31, 2016, decreases net rate base by
12 \$3,006,000, and decreases net operating income by \$30,000 due to the FIT expense of the
13 restated level of interest on the change in rate base⁶.

14 **Eliminate B & O Taxes**, column (2.01), eliminates the revenues and expenses
15 associated with local business and occupation (B & O) taxes, which the Company passes
16 through to its Washington customers. The adjustment eliminates any timing mismatch that
17 exists between the revenues and expenses by eliminating the revenues and expenses in their
18 entirety. B & O taxes are passed through on a separate schedule, which is not part of this
19 proceeding. The effect of this adjustment is to decrease Washington net operating income
20 by \$96,000.

⁶ The net effect of Federal Income Tax (FIT) expense on the restated level of interest expense due to a change in rate base, is shown within each individual adjustment. The restated debt interest impact per individual rate base adjustment can be seen on line 28 of Exh. EMA-2).

1 **Restate Property Tax**, column (2.02), restates the accrued property tax during the
2 test period to actual property tax paid during 2016. Property tax expense for 2016 was
3 based on actual plant balances as of December 31, 2015. The effect of this adjustment
4 increases Washington net operating income by \$163,000. Adjustment (3.06) Pro Forma
5 Property Tax, explained below, increases property tax expense to reflect the levels of
6 expense expected during the rate year, based on planned plant balances as of December 31,
7 2017.

8 **Uncollectible Expense**, column (2.03), restates the accrued expense to the actual
9 level of net write-offs for the test period. The effect of this adjustment decreases net
10 operating income by \$859,000.

11 **Regulatory Expense**, the last adjustment on page 4, column (2.04), restates
12 recorded regulatory expense for twelve-months-ended December 31, 2016, to reflect the
13 UTC assessment rates applied to revenues for the test period and the actual levels of FERC
14 fees paid during the test period. The effect of this adjustment decreases net operating
15 income by \$5,000.

16 **Q. Please turn to page 5 and explain the adjustments shown there.**

17 A. Turning to page 5, the first adjustment in column (2.05) **Injuries and**
18 **Damages**, restates accrued injuries and damages expense with a six-year rolling average
19 of injuries and damages payments not covered by insurance. As a result of the
20 Commission's Order in Docket No. U-88-2380-T, the Company changed to the reserve
21 method of accounting for injuries and damages not covered by insurance. The effect of
22 this adjustment decreases net operating income by \$98,000.

1 **FIT/DFIT/ITC/PTC Expenses**, column (2.06), adjusts the FIT and DFIT
2 calculated at 35% within Results of Operations for the year ending December 31, 2016.
3 This adjustment also adjusts the appropriate level of production tax credits and investment
4 tax credits on qualified generation. The net FIT and production tax credit adjustment
5 decreases net operating income by \$69,000.

6 **Office Space Charged to Non-Utility**, column (2.07), removes a portion of the
7 office space costs⁷ based on the relationship of labor hours charged to subsidiary/non-utility
8 activities by employee compared to total labor hours by employee. These percentages are
9 applied to the employees' office space (expressed in square feet) and multiplied by office
10 space costs/per square foot. This restating adjustment is made as a result of the
11 Commission's Third Supplemental Order in Docket No. U-88-2380-T. This adjustment
12 removes the portion of expense that has not already been reflected in the test period as non-
13 utility. The effect of this adjustment increases net operating income by \$20,000.

14 **Restate Excise Taxes**, column (2.08), removes the effect of a one-month lag
15 between collection and payment of taxes. The effect of this adjustment increases net
16 operating income by \$40,000.

17 **Net Gains/Losses**, column (2.09), reflects a ten-year amortization of net gains
18 realized from the sale of real property disposed of between 2007 and December 31, 2016.
19 This restating adjustment is made as a result of the Commission's Order in Docket No. UE-
20 050482. The effect of this adjustment increases net operating income by \$61,000.

21 **Weather Normalization**, column (2.10), normalizes weather sensitive kWh sales

⁷ Office space is comprised of office building operating and fixed costs, utilities, administrative, security, HVAC, depreciation and property taxes, as well as other costs related to employee use of phones, laptops, etc.

1 by eliminating the effect of temperature deviations above or below historical norms.
2 Company witness Ms. Knox is sponsoring this adjustment. The effect of this particular
3 adjustment increases net operating income by \$825,000.

4 **Eliminate Adder Schedule Adjustments**, column (2.11), removes the impact of
5 the adder schedule revenues and related expenses, such as Schedule 59 Residential
6 Exchange credit, Schedule 75 Decoupling Rebate/Surcharge, Schedule 91 Tariff Rider
7 (DSM), Schedule 92 Low Income Rate Assistance Program Rate, Schedule 93 ERM rebate,
8 Schedule 94 BPA rebate, Schedule 95 Optional Renewable and Schedule 98 REC Revenue
9 Surcharge/Rebate since these items are recovered/rebated by separate tariffs and, therefore,
10 are not part of base rates. There is no effect of this adjustment on Washington net operating
11 income, as the adjustment to expense is equal to the adjustment to revenue. Ms. Knox is
12 sponsoring this adjustment.

13 **Miscellaneous Restating Non-Utility/Non-Recurring Expenses**, column (2.12),
14 is the final adjustment on page 5. This adjustment removes a number of non-operating or
15 non-utility expenses associated with dues and donations, etc., included in error in the test
16 period actual results, and removes, reclassifies or restates other expenses incorrectly
17 charged between service and or jurisdiction. The Company has removed or restated certain
18 Director and Officer related expenses. Director meeting expenses were reduced by
19 \$375,000 expense to reflect removal of 50% of director meeting expenses were excluded
20 from utility operations, per Docket No. UE-090134. The Company has also removed the
21 utility-portion of the Company's Long Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) related to restricted
22 shares expense, as ordered in Docket No. UE-150204 in the amount of \$654,000 expense.
23 Finally, 10% of total Directors' and Officers' insurance expense has been removed to

1 reflect the non-utility/subsidiary portion. The net reduction of these expenses is
2 approximately \$1,075,000 in expense or a reduction of \$969,000 in net operating income.
3 Adjustment (3.12) Pro Forma Director Fee Expense as explained below, proposes to
4 include utility expense as actually recorded on the Company's books, based on annual
5 surveys of the Board of Directors of their time split between utility/non-utility operations.

6 **Q. Please continue an explanation for adjustments on page 6.**

7 A. The first adjustment on page 7, column (2.13) **Eliminate WA Power Cost**
8 **Deferral**, removes the effects of the financial accounting for the Energy Recovery
9 Mechanism (ERM.) The ERM normalizes and defers certain net power supply and
10 transmission revenues and expenses pursuant to the Commission-approved deferral and
11 recovery mechanism. The adjustment removes the ERM rebate revenue as well as the
12 deferral and amortization amounts and certain directly assigned power costs and net
13 transmission costs associated with the ERM. The effect of this adjustment increases net
14 operating income by \$4,386,000.

15 **Nez Perce Settlement Adjustment**, adjustment column (2.14), reflects a decrease
16 in production operating expenses. An agreement was entered into between the Company
17 and the Nez Perce Tribe in 1999 to settle certain issues regarding previously owned
18 hydroelectric generating facilities of the Company. This adjustment directly assigns the
19 Nez Perce Settlement expenses to the Washington and Idaho jurisdictions. This is
20 necessary due to differing regulatory treatment in Idaho Case No. WWP-E-98-11 and
21 Washington Docket No. UE-991606. This restating adjustment is consistent with prior
22 dockets since Docket No. UE-011595. The effect of this adjustment increases net
23 operating income by \$3,000.

1 **Restating Incentive Expense**, column (2.15), restates actual O&M incentive
2 compensation expense recorded in 2016 to reflect a six-year average (2011-2016) of target
3 payout. Target payout is based on salary levels in effect as of December 31, 2016. The
4 net effect of this adjustment (including both executive and non-executive) increases net
5 operating income by approximately \$407,000.

6 For executive officers, the six-year average payout of O&M metrics related to
7 efficiencies in cost management (O&M cost-per-customer), customer service and
8 reliability have averaged approximately 106%. Incentive compensation related to financial
9 metrics are excluded from the Company's filing with expenses borne by shareholders. For
10 non-executive officers, the six-year average of incentive compensation payout is 109% for
11 O&M metrics designed to drive cost-control, and delivery of safe, reliable service with a
12 high level of customer satisfaction. This methodology is consistent with that approved in
13 Dockets UE-150204 and UG-150205. Adjustment (3.05) Pro-Forma Incentive Expenses
14 adjusts incentives based on pro forma labor expense. Additional descriptions are also
15 provided there of overall compensation and components of the executive and non-executive
16 incentive compensation.

17 **Normalize CS2/Colstrip Major Maintenance**, column 2.16, includes an
18 adjustment to normalize major maintenance expense associated with Avista's
19 Colstrip/Coyote Springs II (CS2) thermal projects. In Order 05, page 56, paragraph 153 of
20 Docket No. UE-150204, the Commission ordered the Company, for regulatory purposes,
21 to normalize and recover its major maintenance expense associated with these plants over
22 a three-year period for Colstrip and four-year period for CS2 to match the major
23 maintenance cycles for each plant.

1 In 2014, Colstrip major maintenance was \$1.9 million system. There was no major
2 maintenance in 2015. In 2016, Colstrip major maintenance occurred totaling
3 approximately \$3.6 million system.⁸ For regulatory purposes consistent with UE-150204,
4 the regulatory amortization expense level to include in 2016 totals \$1.8 million on a system
5 basis. (One-third of 2014 Colstrip major maintenance, or \$627,000 million, plus one-third
6 of the 2016 Colstrip major maintenance, or \$1.2 million system, totals \$1.8 million.)

7 Adjustment 2.16 reflects this reduction of \$1.17 million for Washington's share.
8 The net effect of this adjustment increases net operating income by approximately
9 \$763,000.⁹

10 **Restate Debt Interest**, column (2.17), restates debt interest using the Company's
11 pro forma weighted average cost of debt included in the Traditional Pro Forma Study of
12 2.89%, on the Results of Operations level of rate base shown in column (1.00) only,
13 resulting in a revised level of tax deductible interest expense on actual test period rate base.
14 The Federal income tax effect of the restated level of interest for the test period increases
15 Washington net operating income by \$860,000.¹⁰

16 The Federal income tax effect of the restated level of interest on all other rate base
17 adjustments included in the Company's filing are included and shown as an income impact
18 of each individual rate base adjustment described elsewhere in this testimony.

⁸ For Colstrip, major maintenance occurs two out of every three years. Major maintenance occurred in 2016, is currently underway for 2017, with no maintenance planned in 2018.

⁹ There were no major maintenance expense projects for CS2 during 2013-2016, therefore, no adjustment is required for CS2.

¹⁰ The EOP Rate Base Study, which is the basis of the Company's proposed revenue increase, includes the Company proposed equity layer of 50%, causing the pro forma weighted average cost of debt to equal 2.81%. This higher weighted cost of debt results in a restate debt adjustment that increases net operating income \$455,000, rather than the restating adjustment noted above of \$860,000.

1 **Authorized Power Supply**, the final adjustment on page 6, column (2.18), was
2 prepared under the direction of Company witness Mr. Johnson. This adjustment restates
3 the actual power supply costs for the test year ending December 31, 2016 to the level
4 currently authorized in Case No. UE-150204¹¹. This adjustment results in a reduction in
5 Washington operating net income of \$7,696,000.

6 **Q. Please provide an explanation for the final column on page 6, “Restate**
7 **Total”.**

8 A. The last column on page 7, entitled **Restated Total**, subtotals all the
9 preceding columns (1.00) through column (2.18). These totals represent actual operating
10 results and rate base plus the standard normalizing adjustments that the Company includes
11 in its annual Commission Basis reports. However, the Restated Total column does not
12 represent December 31, 2016 test period results of operation on a normalized commission
13 basis as filed with the WUTC on April 28, 2017. Two differences exist here: 1) inclusion
14 of proposed (Pro Forma) cost of debt (pro forma versus CBR cost of debt) impacting
15 Adjustment 2.17 above; and 2) Authorized (ERM) Power Supply (Adj 2.18 above) versus
16 revised Authorized Power Supply (CB) which included the updated Production/
17 Transmission (P/T) ratio.

¹¹ This includes Washington’s share using the current authorized Production/Transmission Ratio (P/T Ratio) of 64.71%. Increases to power supply expense related to updating ERM related costs, including the updated P/T ratio of 65.73%, is included in Pro Forma Power Supply & Transmission Revenue (ERM Related) adjustment 4.00.

1 **Electric Pro Forma Adjustments**

2 **Q. Please now turn to pages 7 through 9 and explain what is provided**
3 **there.**

4 A. Starting on page 7 are individual “Pro Forma” adjustments, (3.01) through
5 (3.14), proposed by the Company for the rate effective period May 1, 2018 – April 30,
6 2019. Each of these adjustments are described below.

7 **Pro Forma Transmission/Power Supply/Non-ERM Revenue and Expense,**

8 column (3.01), includes pro forma transmission expenses (sponsored by Company witness
9 Mr. Schlect) and power supply (non-ERM related) revenues and expenses (sponsored by
10 Mr. Johnson). Pro forma transmission and power supply revenues and expenses have been
11 segregated into two separate adjustments: those representing 1) costs tracked through the
12 Company’s ERM (Pro Forma adjustment 4.00); and 2) those costs not tracked through the
13 ERM (Pro Forma Adjustment 3.01).

14 The pro forma power supply revenue and expense accounts included in this
15 adjustment (not tracked through the ERM) relate to FERC accounts 453 (revenue from
16 sales of water and water power) and 536 (water and power expense). Mr. Johnson sponsors
17 the changes in these accounts. The transmission expense accounts included in this
18 adjustment (not tracked through the ERM) relate to FERC accounts 556 (system control
19 and dispatch) and 565 (transmission of electric by others). Mr. Schlect sponsors the changes
20 in these accounts. This adjustment calculates the Washington jurisdictional share of those
21 adjustments. The net effect of this adjustments decreases Washington net operating income
22 by \$66,000.

1 **Q. The next four adjustments (3.02) through (3.05) relate to pro forma**
2 **labor and benefit adjustments. Prior to addressing each of the adjustments, please**
3 **provide an overview of the Company's total compensation philosophy.**

4 A. Avista is committed to providing total compensation to employees that will
5 attract and retain qualified people required to meet the needs and expectations of all utility
6 stakeholders, including but not limited to, customers, shareholders and regulators. To that
7 end, the Company provides employees with cash compensation (base pay and variable pay
8 in the form of pay-at-risk incentive compensation) and a comprehensive benefit package
9 including medical and retirement. The overall package is designed to meet the following
10 goals:

- 11 • Clearly identify the specific measures of Company performance that are likely to
12 create long-term value for the Company's customers and shareholders;
- 13 • Keep employees focused on cost control, customer satisfaction, reliability and
14 operational efficiencies by awarding variable pay for meeting pre-determined
15 metrics;
- 16 • Promote a culture of safety;
- 17 • Pay competitively compared to others within our market;
- 18 • Reward outstanding performance; and
- 19 • Align elements of the incentive plans among all Company employees, including
20 executive officers.

21
22 Each component is carefully considered within the overall package in order to
23 provide total compensation which will be cost-effective for the Company, as well as, attract
24 and retain employees. Compensation components within the overall package may be
25 adjusted over time to achieve the goal of recruiting and retaining qualified employees. The
26 Company generally targets overall compensation levels within the range that is 15% above
27 or below the median of Avista's peer group.

1 **Q. Please now turn to adjustment (3.02) Pro-Forma Labor Non-Exec on**
2 **page 7 of Exh. EMA-2.**

3 **A. Pro Forma Labor Non-Exec**, column (3.02), reflects changes to test period
4 union and non-union wages and salaries, excluding executive salaries, which are handled
5 separately in adjustment (3.03). For non-union employees, the 3% increase for March 2017
6 represents actual increases already in effect. In May 2017, the Board of Directors voted to
7 approve a minimum level of salary increases of 3% for March 2018. Union employee
8 increases are made in accordance with contract terms. The current contract with the IBEW
9 Union 77 (Washington/Idaho) expires on March 25, 2019. The methodology behind this
10 adjustment is consistent with Docket No. UE-150204 and UG-150205. The net effect of
11 this non-executive labor adjustment decreases net operating income by \$1,862,000 for
12 electric operations.

13 This adjustment reflects changes in base pay, which together with pay-at-risk is
14 designed to provide competitive compensation in the market place. The level of base pay
15 is determined based on position qualifications such as level of education, professional
16 designations or certifications, experience, roles and responsibilities, and the market. Avista
17 participates in numerous confidential salary surveys provided by third-party consulting
18 firms which compare Avista's pay programs and structure to other organizations in the
19 utility industry, as well as other industries, regionally and nationally. Salary surveys are
20 part of the input in the determination of salary increases and salary range updates
21 (minimum, mid-point and maximum), as well as benchmarking jobs to market data. Avista
22 benchmarks many jobs within the Company and reviews market data to determine if the
23 salary range midpoints still accommodate the new estimated values established by the

1 benchmarking process. Based on the information provided in these surveys, salary
2 recommendations are presented to the independent Compensation Committee of the Board
3 of Directors for their consideration and approval. The Compensation Committee can
4 choose to grant higher or lower salary adjustments, based on the available market data.

5 **Pro Forma Labor-Executive**, column (3.03), annualizes actual salary levels
6 effective as of March 1, 2017. This results in an increase in net operating income of
7 \$21,000 over and above what was in effect year ending December 31, 2016. Base pay is
8 allocated approximately 90% to utility operations and 10% to non-utility operations based
9 on actual timesheet allocations as of December 31, 2016 per order UE-150204/UG-150205.

10 As with all components of executive officer compensation, the Compensation
11 Committee of the Board of Directors (Board) determines the appropriate level of base
12 salary. The Board considers several internal factors such as individual and Company
13 performance goals, succession planning, job complexity, experience and breadth of
14 knowledge in the determination of base pay. Similar to non-executive compensation, the
15 Board also utilizes external peer group data to benchmark its executives against a group of
16 companies with similar business profiles, similar revenue size and market capitalization.
17 These companies can reasonably be assumed to be the companies with which we compete
18 for talent.

19 **Pro Forma Employee Benefits**, column (3.04), adjusts the year ending December
20 31, 2016 pension and medical expense to include the net changes in the Company's 401(k)
21 and medical insurance expense expected during the rate year. In total, this adjustment
22 reflects the change in total employee benefit expense on a system level from \$40.5 million

1 to \$39.8 million (O&M). The total net effect of this adjustment is a reduction to
 2 Washington electric expense of \$359,000, increasing net operating income \$234,000.

3 The Company offers a comprehensive benefit plan for employees. Employees have
 4 several choices to elect benefits, such as medical and life insurance, so they can determine
 5 the best fit for their circumstances. The plans are designed to be competitive with the
 6 overall market practices and are in place to attract and retain qualified employees. Each
 7 component is carefully evaluated in order to ensure the appropriate level of overall benefits
 8 within the overall compensation package. To aid in benchmarking our benefit plan, Avista
 9 participates in a comprehensive benefit evaluation study, BENEVAL, performed by an
 10 independent actuarial company, Willis Towers Watson. Similar to cash compensation, the
 11 Company generally targets the level of benefits it offers to be within +/- 15% of the market
 12 median. The table below illustrates the breakdown of components within this adjustment:

Adjustment	System	O&M	Washington - Elec	Washington - Gas
Retirement	(2,848,000)	(1,639,000)	(777,000)	(381,000)
Medical	1,531,000	882,000	418,000	205,000
Total	(1,317,000)	(757,000)	(359,000)	(176,000)

13
 14
 15
 16
 17 **Q. Please describe the Retirement Portion of the Employee Benefit**
 18 **adjustment.**

19 A. As illustrated in the table above, the change in pension expense from the
 20 year ending December 31, 2016 to that expected during the rate year is a reduction of
 21 approximately \$1.6 million (O&M) system, and \$777,000 Washington Electric, \$381,000
 22 Washington Natural Gas. Pension expense is determined by an independent actuary in
 23 accordance with Accounting Standard Codification 715 (ASC-715). The primary

1 contributor to this reduction in expense is related to expected return on assets and the
2 discount rate. Assumptions utilized in the calculation are presented to and approved by the
3 Board of Directors annually. In addition, these calculations and assumptions are reviewed
4 by the Company's outside accounting firm annually for reasonableness and comparability
5 to other Companies. The Company has included in this case the most recent estimates
6 provided by our actuary. We anticipate updates for 2018 to be available sometime in the
7 third or fourth quarter of 2017, and the Company will adjust pension expense at that time.

8 **Q. Please describe the changes to the Company's retirement plan.**

9 A. Effective January 1, 2014, the defined benefit pension plan is closed to all
10 non-union employees hired or rehired on or after January 1, 2014.¹² All actively employed
11 non-union employees that were hired prior to January 1, 2014, and were covered under the
12 defined benefit pension plan at that time, will continue accruing benefits as originally
13 specified in the plan. A defined contribution 401(k) plan replaced the defined benefit
14 pension plan for all non-union employees hired or rehired on or after January 1, 2014.
15 Under the defined contribution plan the Company will provide a non-elective contribution
16 as a percentage of each employee's pay based on his or her age. This defined contribution
17 is in addition to the existing 401(k) contribution where Avista matches a portion of the pay
18 deferred by each participant. In addition to the above changes, the Company also revised
19 our lump sum calculation for non-union retirees under the defined benefit pension plan to
20 provide non-union participants who retire on or after January 1, 2014 with a lump sum
21 amount equivalent to the present value of the annuity based upon applicable discount rates.

¹² Changes were applicable to Local Union 659 (Oregon) effective April 1, 2014.

1 This reduces the future costs and risks to the Company of funding and managing the annual
2 pension benefit (annuity) for retirees.

3 **Q. Please now describe the role employee medical benefits play within the**
4 **Company's overall employee compensation.**

5 A. Avista sponsors a self-funded medical plan that provides various levels of
6 coverage for medical, dental and vision as a portion of employee benefits. The various
7 components within the medical plan (co-pays, deductibles, premium sharing, etc.) are
8 carefully evaluated in order to maintain an appropriate level of medical benefits within the
9 benefit plan and ultimately overall employee compensation. The Company's medical
10 adjustment encompasses health insurance expense for active employees as well as post-
11 retirement medical (FAS 106) for retired employees within the plan. The total medical
12 expense portion of this adjustment (\$418,000 Washington Electric and \$205,000
13 Washington Natural Gas) adjusts for the estimated medical-related costs expected during
14 the rate year, over and above the year ending December 31, 2016.

15 **Q. Please provide an overview of how medical premiums for the Company**
16 **are set.**

17 A. Medical premiums¹³ for the Company are set annually by an independent
18 consultant, Mercer.¹⁴ Premiums are estimated based on medical trend, which is a
19 combination of utilization (the pattern of use or intensity of services used for a particular
20 timeframe), and the estimated increase in the costs to treat patients from one year to the

¹³ In this context, "premium" is defined as total medical costs including both the Company and employee contribution.

¹⁴ Mercer is currently the world's largest human resources consulting firm, with more than 20,500 employees, based in more than 40 countries.

1 next. Costs are generally related to the type of medical services, such as outpatient
2 procedures, office visits, physical therapy and emergency room visits, prescription drugs,
3 and medical equipment, among other things. The premium estimate is the basis for the
4 medical cost estimate provided by Mercer. Mercer takes into consideration Company
5 population profile (number and composition of participating employees), estimated
6 medical and prescription costs, and laws/regulation in order to determine the appropriate
7 premium.

8 **Q. What measures has the Company implemented to keep medical costs**
9 **down?**

10 A. Avista encourages employees to take responsibility for their health care by
11 offering various wellness programs, biometric screening, health risk assessment tools,
12 discounted gym memberships and on-site exercise classes and facilities.

13 To keep office visit costs down, we offer access to phone or web-based 24/7
14 telemedicine services and an on-site clinic. We have limited our exposure to large claims
15 through an insurance policy with annual stop-loss limits of \$250,000 per person. When
16 employees do require medical care for catastrophic conditions, we have a case management
17 program managed by a third-party administrator to help manage these costs. To keep
18 prescription drug costs down, the Company has contracted with specialty pharmacies who
19 help participants determine the most economic treatment options. In addition, the
20 Company has made the following changes to the medical plan offered to employees:

- 21 • For non-union employees hired or rehired on or after January 1, 2014, and Local
22 Union 659 employees hired or rehired on or after April 1, 2014, upon retirement
23 the Company no longer provides a contribution towards his or her medical
24 premiums. The Company will provide access to the retiree medical plan, but the
25 retiree will pay the full cost of premiums upon retirement.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

- Manage Utilization of Specialty Drugs – The Company reviews measures to lower the cost of prescription drugs including requiring prior authorization, and implementing step therapy.
- Beginning January 1, 2020, the method for calculating health insurance premiums for the following employee groups will change: non-union retirees, Local Union 659, hired or rehired after April 1, 2014 under age 65, and active non-union employees hired or rehired after April 1, 2014 under age 65. Revisions will result in separate health insurance premium calculations for retirees and active employees beginning January 1, 2020.

Q. What steps is Avista taking going forward to mitigate cost increases?

A. Beginning in 2017, Avista offered a self-insured High Deductible Health Plan (“HDHP”) in addition to the current self-insured plan. The HDHP requires plan participants to pay all costs of medical care up to defined deductible limits. This plan will enforce the message to participants to manage their own health with an array of tools to assist them in becoming better consumers. Over time we expect this plan to result in lower overall medical costs to the Company. The level of cost savings will be dependent upon, among other things, the number of employees that choose this plan, and the level of utilization of medical care for those employees (i.e., the overall medical expense to the Company under the High Deductible plan versus the old plan for those particular employees and their families). The level of cost savings from the HDHP is expected to be minimal initially, and will be unknown for the longer-term until we have actual experience under the plan. The Company is also working closely with Mercer to evaluate and develop alternative strategies to reduce and/or maintain medical costs going forward, including:

- Plan Review – thorough review of plan metrics to evaluate any potential plan inefficiencies and target disease-management programs.
- Consideration of narrow or custom provider networks – seeking out the best

1 quality, highest value hospital or physician group may result in lower unit costs
2 and better long-term outcomes. The trade-off of less choice for plan participants
3 will need to be weighed against the financial returns these networks offer.
4

5 In summary, the Company is taking proactive steps to reduce medical cost increases
6 in the coming years, which the Company believes will help to offset some of the increases
7 in medical expense going forward.

8 **Pro Forma Incentive Expenses**, column (3.05), pro forms increases in variable
9 pay/incentive compensation expense, from the year ending 2016 to the rate year amounts
10 in effect, by approximately 2.8% per year, consistent with base pay increases in adjustment
11 3.02 Pro-Forma Labor Non-Exec. The net impact of this adjustment decreases net
12 operating income by \$77,000.

13 The salary surveys conducted by third-party consulting firms, utilized for setting
14 base pay, also include a variable pay component in order to benchmark cash compensation
15 as a whole. Variable pay or incentive compensation, typically expressed as a percentage
16 of base pay, represents the portion of pay that is at-risk. This portion of cash compensation
17 is only payable on the achievement of certain performance metrics. Employees receive this
18 portion of compensation based on the achievement of metrics related to operational
19 efficiencies and cost control, customer satisfaction, and reliability within the system.
20 Metrics are designed to be reasonably achievable with strong management performance.
21 Maximum incentive payout levels, however, are designed to be difficult to achieve given
22 historical performance and forecasted results at the time the metrics are approved. The
23 amount of variable compensation varies by job position based upon the individual
24 employee's pay grade level, and is designed to be competitive with comparable job
25 positions in companies with similar business profiles. As an example, Avista's average

1 percent target opportunity for exempt, non-management employees is approximately 9%
2 compared to approximately 10.0% for General industry and 12% for the Energy Industry¹⁵.
3 Approximately 85% of companies in the energy sector offer some form of variable pay
4 within their cash compensation structure. Through the inclusion of a variable pay as part
5 of overall cash compensation, the Company has the ability to meet our goal of being within
6 the +/- 15% of market median for cash compensation.

7 It is important to note that the employee incentive compensation is not additional
8 compensation above what is competitive with other similar utilities. Rather, incentive
9 compensation is a method to provide the appropriate competitive level of cash
10 compensation, while controlling costs and keeping employees motivated and focused on
11 measures which provide long term customer benefits. If the amount of incentive
12 compensation was reduced or eliminated, base pay would need to be increased to maintain
13 the level of compensation required to remain competitive.

14 **Q. Please provide an overview of the Company's non-executive employee**
15 **short-term incentive plan (Non-Executive Employee STIP).**

16 A. In accordance with the Company's overall compensation design to align
17 elements of incentive plans among all Company employees including executives, the Non-
18 Executive Employee STIP plan has essentially the same stated goals as the Short-Term
19 Incentive Plan for executives (Executive STIP). Both plans provide incentives and focus
20 employees on stated goals while recognizing and rewarding employees for their
21 contributions toward achieving those goals. The components of the Non-Executive

¹⁵ Based on 2016 General Industry Salary Budget Survey Results, Willis Towers Watson, pages 219 and 220.

1 Employee STIP are all operational in nature, including cost containment on a per customer
2 basis. The weighting of each component is as follows: 60% O & M Cost-Per-Customer,
3 15% Customer Satisfaction, 15% Reliability Index and 10% Response Time.¹⁶

4 **Q. Please briefly describe the Executive STIP.**

5 A. The Executive STIP is designed to align the interests of executives with both
6 customer and shareholder interests in order to achieve overall positive operating and
7 financial performance for the Company. The Executive STIP has four operational
8 components, plus two earnings per share (EPS) components. The total amount associated
9 with utility operational components is 40% and is broken down as follows: 20% O&M
10 Cost-Per-Customer, 8% Customer Satisfaction, 8% Reliability, and 4% Response Time.
11 The EPS components account for 60% of the total opportunity and are broken out into 50%
12 utility EPS and 10% non-utility EPS. Only the operational components (40%) are proposed
13 to be included in retail rates. Customers benefit from these metrics that are designed to
14 drive cost-control, and delivery of safe, reliable service with a high level of customer
15 satisfaction. The remaining 60% related to EPS targets is borne by shareholders.

16 **Q. What portion of the Short Term Incentive Plans have been included in**
17 **this case?**

18 A. The Company has included 100% of the Non-Executive Employee STIP
19 and 40% of the Executive STIP (excluding those metrics related to EPS targets) in this
20 case. All incentive compensation included in this case directly benefits customers either in
21 cost containment and efficiencies, operationally via the reliability index and response time

¹⁶ Effective January 1, 2017, the weighting of each component has changed as follows: 50% O & M Cost-Per-Customer, 20% Customer Satisfaction, 20% Reliability Index and 10% Response Time.

1 metrics, or customer satisfaction as measured via the Voice of the Customer Survey. By
2 focusing employees on effective management of O&M costs, we are able to maintain or
3 reduce charges to customers in future rate cases. The Company has excluded all incentive
4 pay related to the EPS portion of Executive STIP. In addition, a proportionate share of
5 incentive pay for employees (in the same percentage as employee labor) related to non-
6 utility operations has also been excluded from this case. Therefore, the appropriate portion
7 of incentives related to Washington utility operations has been included in this case.

8 **Q. Please describe the Executive Long Term Incentive Plan (LTIP).**

9 A. The Executive Officer Long Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) is comprised of
10 two components, which serve two different purposes.¹⁷ Performance Shares account for
11 75% of the plan with metrics related to Cumulative Earnings-Per-Share (CEPS) and Total
12 Shareholder Return (TSR). The purpose for this portion of the plan is to provide a direct
13 link to the long-term interests of shareholders by assuring that performance shares will be
14 paid only if the Company attains specified financial performance levels. This portion of
15 the plan was modified in 2014 to include both Cumulative Earnings-Per-Share (CEPS) and
16 Total Shareholder Return (TSR). In previous years, vesting of performance-based equity
17 awards were 100% contingent on the Company's Total Shareholder Return (TSR) relative
18 to our peer group over a three-year period. Under the new design, two-thirds of the awards
19 are contingent on TSR relative to our peers, and one-third is measured by our CEPS over a

¹⁷ As with all other components of the executive compensation, the Compensation Committee determines all material aspects of the long-term incentive – who receives the award, the amount of the award, the timing of the award, as well as any other aspects of the award that may be deemed material.

1 three-year period. The Company has excluded the costs associated with the Performance
2 Share portion of the LTIP from the revenue requirement in this case.

3 Restricted Stock Unit (RSU) awards account for 25% of the LTIP and vesting is
4 based on a continuation of service by the employee. The purpose for this portion of the
5 plan is to provide an incentive for employees to remain with the Company. The long-term
6 nature of large-scale utility projects spanning multiple years are completed more efficiently
7 with experienced, consistent leadership. In addition, it is the Company's policy to promote
8 from within when possible, preserving the values inherent in our culture that drive customer
9 satisfaction, reliability of service, etc. Employees with a long tenure of employment with
10 the Company are well versed in the Company's culture and tend to continue to cultivate
11 the values embedded within Avista. The Company continues to believe RSUs are a key
12 component in retention, however, per Order No. 05 UE-150204/UG-150205 the Company
13 has removed the impact of the RSUs from this case. See adjustment 2.12 as described
14 above.

15 **Q. Please continue with your discussion on pro forma adjustments**
16 **included on page 7.**

17 **A. Pro Forma Property Tax**, column (3.06), restates the 2016 level of
18 property tax expense included in adjustment (2.02) Restate 2016 Property tax, to the level
19 of property tax expense the Company will experience during the rate year. The property
20 on which the tax is calculated is the property value as of December 31, 2017. The effect
21 of this adjustment decreases net operating income by \$1,598,000.

22 **Pro Forma IS/IT**, column (3.07), adjusts the actual level of information services
23 and technology expense included in the 2016 test year to that expected during the rate

1 period beginning May 1, 2018. This adjustment includes the incremental costs associated
2 with software development, application licenses, maintenance fees, and technical support
3 for a range of information services programs. These incremental expenditures are
4 necessary to support Company cyber and general security, emergency operations readiness,
5 electric and natural gas facilities and operations support, and customer services. Company
6 witness Mr. Kensok sponsors this adjustment and provides more information within his
7 testimony. The effect of this adjustment decreases net operating income by \$451,000.

8 **Pro Forma Revenue Normalization**, the final adjustment on page 7, column
9 (3.08), adjusts January 2016 through December 2016 test period customers and usage for
10 any known and measurable (pro forma) changes. In addition, the adjustment re-prices
11 billed, unbilled, and weather adjusted usage at the base tariff rates approved for 2016, as if
12 the January 11, 2016 base tariff rates were effective for the full 12-months of the test year.
13 Ms. Knox is sponsoring this adjustment. The effect of this adjustment decreases net
14 operating income by \$3,286,000.

15 **Q. Please continue with your discussion of the Pro Forma adjustments**
16 **included on page 8 of Exh. EMA-2.**

17 A. The first adjustment on page 8, **Pro Forma Def. Debits, Credits and**
18 **Regulatory Amortizations**, column (3.09), adjusts certain items included in restating
19 adjustment (1.02), which is included on an AMA 2016 commission basis level, to the level
20 in effect for the rate period beginning May 1, 2018. Specifically, this adjustment revises
21 the following deferred debit and credit deferral balances from AMA 2016 to AMA for the

1 rate period (May 1, 2018 – April 30, 2019), consistent with prior Commission orders¹⁸: 1)
2 Settlement Exchange Power; 2) CDA settlement Deferral; CDA/SRR (Spokane River
3 Relicensing) CDR Deferral; 3) Spokane River Deferral; 4) Spokane River PM&E Deferral;
4 and 5) Montana Riverbed Lease deferral. This adjustment also reduces amortization
5 expense related to the expiration of the following regulatory amortizations: 1) Montana
6 Lease Deferral; 2) 2012 Colstrip & Coyote Springs 2 Deferral; and 3) Spokane River Total
7 Dissolved Gas Deferral. The effect of this adjustment reduces total rate base by \$5,346,000
8 and increases net operating income by \$1,013,000.

9 **Pro Forma 2017 Threshold Capital Additions**, column (3.10), reflects increases
10 related to certain 2017 capital additions, together with associated A/D and ADFIT. This
11 adjustment also includes associated depreciation expense for these 2017 additions. As
12 sponsored and discussed by Company witness Ms. Schuh, based on Commission Order 05,
13 the Company identified electric and natural Pro Forma capital projects that met the
14 threshold of one-half of one percent of the Company's rate base (i.e., above \$6.9 million
15 for electric and \$1.3 million for natural gas).¹⁹ The effect of this adjustment increases rate
16 base by \$34,911,000 and decreases net operating income by \$1,741,000.

17 **Pro Forma O&M Offsets**, column (3.11), as explained by Ms. Schuh, the
18 Company reviewed large capital additions in 2017 to determine any offsets (e.g., reduced
19 O&M costs, reduced load losses, etc.) resulting in rate period reductions effective May 1,
20 2018. Maintenance records were reviewed to determine whether any specific maintenance

¹⁸ For a description of each deferral item, see discussion provided above for restating adjustment (1.02) Deferred Debits and Credits.

¹⁹ Order 05, Docket Nos. UE-150204 and G-150205 (Consolidated), paragraph 39 and 40.

1 costs were incurred in the test period that would be reduced or eliminated by the investment
2 for that capital project. Those reductions in costs were quantified and included as a
3 reduction to O&M. The effect of this adjustment increases net operating income by
4 \$642,000.

5 **Pro Forma Director Fee Expense**, column (3.12), reflects an increase in director
6 fee expense to reflect director fee expense using a 97% utility / 3% non-utility split.²⁰ Avista
7 proposes to reflect director fee expense based on annual surveys of the Board of Directors
8 of their time split between utility/non-utility operations, which reflect a 97% utility / 3%
9 non-utility. This adjustment, as proposed by Avista, removes the effect of adjustment 2.12
10 (director fee expense noted above) reflecting a 50%/50% sharing, to reflect the proper level
11 of director fee expense that should be included during the rate period. The effect of this
12 adjustment decreases net operating income by \$244,000.

13 **Q. As noted above, the Company is proposing to exclude 3% of Director**
14 **Fee expenses, rather than 50%. What is the basis for removing 3% of these costs?**

15 A. Annually in November, the Company requests each of its Directors to
16 estimate the time they spend on utility versus non-utility duties and responsibilities, based
17 on their actual experience. The responses from the Directors in November 2016 indicated
18 that 97% of the Directors' time is dedicated to utility matters, and approximately 3% to
19 non-utility²¹. During the test period utilized in this case, the Company had recorded

²⁰ Restating adjustment 2.12 "Miscellaneous Restating Non-Utility /Non-Recurring Expenses," reduced director fee expense recorded on Avista's books at a 97% utility/3% non-utility basis, to a 50%/50% per Docket No. UE-090134. This adjustment, as proposed by Avista, removes the effect of adjustment 2.12 to reflect the proper level of director fee expense.

²¹ A change from previous years, in which the results of the Board of Director surveys had been approximately 90% utility/10% non-utility, is directly reflective of the sale of Avista's subsidiary Ecova and the purchase

1 approximately 97% to utility and 3% to non-utility expense. Adjustment 2.12
2 (Miscellaneous Restating Non-Utility /Non-Recurring Expenses Adjustment), however,
3 reduced this expense to a 50/50 sharing. The Company believes director fees are now
4 understated, and that the survey results are a better indication of the proper costs to charge
5 the utility based on the discussion below.

6 In Docket Nos. UE-090134 and UG-090135, Order No. 10, in reference to a 90/10
7 sharing for D&O insurance, the Commission stated:

8 D&O insurance is a benefit that is part of the compensation package offered to
9 attract and retain qualified officers and directors. Accordingly, it makes sense to
10 split the costs in the same manner we require other elements of their compensation
11 to be shared. Based on the formula currently used to allocate officer compensation
12 between ratepayers and shareholders, this results in 90 percent of the costs being
13 included for recovery in rates. (emphasis added) (See page 56, paragraph 137)
14

15 This Commission, as shown above, has recognized that D&O insurance is part of
16 the “compensation package” (splitting such costs on a 90/10 basis²²). Similarly, Directors’
17 fees, like D&O insurance referred to above, are a part of the Directors’ compensation
18 package offered to attract and retain qualified Directors. Based on the actual time dedicated
19 to the utility, a 97%/3% sharing should be applied to Directors’ fees. Using a 97%/3%
20 sharing for the Director fees paid during the test period for participating in Avista
21 Corp./Utility board meetings, increased the Company’s expense included in this filing by
22 approximately \$375,000. The net effect of this adjustment reduces net operating income by
23 \$244,000.

of Alaska Energy and Resource Company (AERC) / Alaska Electric Light and Power Company (AEL&P) in mid-2014.

²² Based on survey results of Avista Officers during each calendar year, D&O insurance is currently split approximately 90% utility / 10% non-utility.

1 **Pro Forma Normalize CS2/Colstrip Major Maintenance**, column (3.13),
2 reflects an increase to the normalized major maintenance expense included above in
3 restating adjustment (2.16), which reflected normalized CS2/Colstrip major maintenance
4 for the 2016 historical test period. This adjustment reflects the normalized level of major
5 maintenance related to the Company's Colstrip Units 3 & 4 facilities, expected during the
6 rate period effective beginning May 1, 2018. Normalized major maintenance in this
7 adjustment reflects 1/3 of the 2016 (\$3.6 million) and expected 2017 (\$3.5 million) Colstrip
8 major maintenance overhauls, or \$2.361 million, on a system basis. The results of this
9 adjustment on a Washington share bases, increases normalized major maintenance expense
10 by \$347,000,²³ decreasing Washington net operating income by \$226,000.²⁴

11 **Pro Forma Underground Equipment Inspection**, the final adjustment on page 8,
12 column (3.14), reflects underground equipment inspection expenses for Washington
13 planned during the rate year. The Company has implemented a program intended to
14 quickly and efficiently inspect and update safety/decal markings on Company Padmount
15 Transformers in accordance with regulatory guidance provided by the State of
16 Washington²⁵, National Electric Safety Code, and IEEE. This program will facilitate the
17 systematic updating of safety decals related to transformer safety decal/markings for the
18 safety of the general public and utility crews, prevention of unauthorized/unintentional

²³ System pro forma level \$2.361 million (above) compared to \$1.834 million (system restated level from adjustment 2.16), or \$530,000 system adjustment.

²⁴ A normalized level of expense will occur for regulatory purposes, and for customers. However, for financial purposes and for shareholders, each of the two-years (in the three-year cycle) as actual major maintenance occurs, the Company will under-earn approximately 1/3 of the maintenance costs. Similarly, the Company will over earn in the one-year (in the three-year cycle) there is no maintenance by 1/3 of the maintenance cycle.

²⁵ WAC 296-24-95605, WAC 468-34-135 350, NESC C2-2007, and IEEE C57

1 access to energized components of the distribution system, clearance of padmounts
2 overgrown with vegetation (for example) and provide direction for locating padmount
3 equipment. The net impact of this adjustment is an increase of approximately \$532,000
4 expense (\$800,000 system) and decreases net operating income by \$346,000.

5 **Q. Please describe the subtotal on page 8 “Non-Energy Pro Forma**
6 **Subtotal.”**

7 A. This column provides the sub-total of net operating income, total rate base,
8 and overall revenue requirement of \$20,892,000, related to non-energy pro forma costs.
9 This column provides the pro forma sub-total prior to the final pro forma adjustment 4.00,
10 which includes pro forma power supply costs as explained below.

11 **Q. Turning to page 9 of Exh. EMA-2, please explain the final pro forma**
12 **adjustment 4.00.**

13 A. The final adjustment, **Pro Forma Power Supply & Transmission**
14 **Revenues (ERM Related Only)**, column (4.00), includes pro forma power supply related
15 revenue and expenses to reflect the twelve-month period May 1, 2018 through April 30,
16 2019, using 2016 historical loads. Mr. Johnson’s testimony outlines the system level of
17 pro forma power supply revenues and expenses that are included in this adjustment. Mr.
18 Schlect outlines the system level of pro forma transmission revenues that are included in
19 this adjustment. This adjustment calculates the Washington jurisdictional share of those
20 figures. The net effect of this adjustment decreases net operating income by \$10,288,000.²⁶

²⁶ As explained in Exh. EMA-1T, for Rate Year 1 (effective May 1, 2018), the increase in net power supply expenses increases the requested revenue requirement by \$16.6 million, compared to that currently authorized (approximately 27% of the total electric Rate Year 1 request). Mr. Johnson discusses the changes in power supply costs in Rate Year 1, explaining that over \$8 million is due to the expiration of the Portland General Electric (PGE) capacity sales contract in December 2016.

1 **Q. Please explain the final pro forma column “PF Ttl” on page 9 of Exh.**
2 **EMA-2.**

3 A. The final pro forma column “PF-Ttl,” titled **Pro Forma Including PS**
4 **Total**, provides the total pro forma results, including power supply, of \$37,501,000. This
5 represents the overall revenue requirement shortfall per the electric Traditional Pro Forma
6 Study²⁷, as previously showed on page 1 of Exh. EM-2.

7 **Q. What are the purpose of the last two columns shown on page 9 of Exh.**
8 **EMA-2?**

9 A. The last two columns labeled “9/1/2017 Power Supply Update Tariff
10 Schedule” and “Power Supply Incremental (Billed) Impact Increase/Decrease,” are
11 provided for informational purposes only. These columns are provided to show the effect
12 of the expiration of Schedule 93 “Power Supply Update,” filed coincident with this general
13 rate case filing. As discussed by Company witness Mr. Ehrbar, the Company has filed,
14 coincident with this general rate case, its Power Cost Rate Adjustment (PCRA) filing
15 requesting an increase in revenues of \$14.976 million²⁸ (or 2.9%) effective September 1,
16 2017, recovered through Tariff Schedule 93. The PCRA reflects changes in net power
17 supply costs, including the expiration of the PGE capacity sales contract. Schedule 93
18 would expire, effective with the change in base rates from this general rate case, upon

²⁷ As noted in Exh. EMA-1T, the results of the Traditional Pro Forma Study will not yield the electric and natural gas revenue increases necessary for the prospective rate year. The Traditional Pro Forma Studies alone do not provide sufficient rate relief; thereby warranting the use or inclusion of other “tools” available to this Commission. Approval of other “tools,” such as that proposed by Avista including EOP 2017 rate base and an adjusted capital structure, would allow the Company an opportunity to earn its authorized rate of return. The EOP Rate Base Studies represent the Company’s requested rate relief in this proceeding and are provided as Exh. EMA-3 (electric) and Exh. EMA-7 (natural gas).

²⁸ As shown in column labeled “9/1/2017 Power Supply Update Tariff Schedule,” on page 9 of Exh. EMA-2.

1 completion of this rate proceeding. If the September 1, 2017 proposed rate change
2 reflecting increased power supply costs is approved, the incremental power supply cost
3 increase to customers from this general rate case effective May 1, 2018 is \$1.652 million
4 (or 0.32%)²⁹.

²⁹ As shown in column labeled "Power Supply Incremental (Billed) Impact Increase," on page 9 of Exh. EMA-2.