	Page 1
1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA
3	
4	
5	Leroy Koppendrayer, Chair
	Gregory Scott, Commissioner
6	Marshall Johnson, Commissioner
	Phyllis Reha, Commissioner
7	
8	
9	In the Matter of the Consideration
	of the Complaint of the Minnesota
10	Department of Commerce Against
	Qwest Corporation Regarding Unfiled
11	Agreements
12	
13	PUC Docket No: P-421/C-02-197
14	
15	
	Minnesota Public Utilities Commission
16	350 Metro Square Building
	121 Seventh Place East
17	St. Paul, Minnesota
	Large Hearing Room
18	February 4, 2003
19	
20	
21	Met, pursuant to notice, at 9:30 in the
22	morning.
23	
24	
25	COURT REPORTER: Angie D. Threlkeld, RPR CRR

Page 308 CHAIR KOPPENDRAYER: I think the buyout 1 should be calculated against the going-forward 2 amount that they participated. 3 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: I do too. 4 CHAIR KOPPENDRAYER: In straight up 5 dollars of the buyout. I don't care about the 6 idiosyncracies of why you did what you did; but you 7 were part of the agreement also, which was an 8 illegal agreement. So whatever you got as dollars 9 as buyout gets counted against the going-forward 10 benefit. 11 COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Is that amount in 12 the record? 13 MR. ALPERT: Chair Koppendrayer, 14 Commissioner Scott, I believe that we put a dollar 15 number in, a total, I believe, between the two 16 companies. But I think that when Eschelon filed a 17 series of agree -- or terminated a series of 18 agreements, they also filed the next agreement; and 19 there was a dollar amount there. And we can get 20 those numbers, specific numbers from Eschelon and 21 McLeod. 2.2 CHAIR KOPPENDRAYER: Thank you. 23 MR. ALPERT: So I quess my question is 24 then for clarification: This is a -- this is a 25

Page 309 credit that Owest would have had to have given, but 1 McLeod and Eschelon will not be entitled to it until 2 they've exhausted whatever the amount is that we had 3 calculated --4 CHAIR KOPPENDRAYER: In the 24 months 5 going forward, they first have to exhaust the amount 6 that they got as a buyout on their contract, and 7 they can go from there. 8 COMMISSIONER REHA: Not to throw a wrench 9 into this, but I have a few due process concerns on 10 11 that. CHAIR KOPPENDRAYER: And you would know 12 those better than I, Commissioner Reha. 13 COMMISSIONER REHA: Because the issue of 14 any type of penalty or any type of adjusting for 15 Eschelon and McLeod is not before us. We -- We'd 16 almost have to open a separate docket, wouldn't we? 17 I'm asking. I'm concerned that --18 CHAIR KOPPENDRAYER: They could indicate 19 to us at this time that they accept that and won't 20 21 appeal that provision. COMMISSIONER REHA: Yeah. I'd be happy 22 with that, but I don't know if we'll get there. 23 CHAIR KOPPENDRAYER: Mr. Lipschultz. 24 MR. LIPSCHULTZ: Mr. Chair, Commissioner 25

Page 310

Reha, I'd have to confer with my client. But I was going to raise the same due process issue you raised but also suggest the possibility that just to resolve this it might make sense to resolve this along the lines you're suggesting. So if you wanted to take a break, I could try to confer. If you want to defer until tomorrow. But if you'd like an answer on this question before you make a decision, I would just need the opportunity to confer with my client.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Let's take a five-minute break.

MR. ALPERT: Chair Koppendrayer,
Commissioner Reha, are you considering granting
immunity? We do have an open docket that has not
been resolved. We were -- As we indicated to the
commission before, we were waiting until this was
resolved before we made decisions on whether
something further would be -- would be done. And
although I -- this may be the end result of this
whole thing, I don't know. I just wonder if that is
your intent at this point.

CHAIR KOPPENDRAYER: So if we went with this proposal, that would -- that would basically answer the docket, which was to consider their --

Page 311 COMMISSIONER REHA: Wrongdoing. 1 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Wrongdoing. 2 CHAIR KOPPENDRAYER: -- in this matter. 3 MR. ALPERT: Well, one could argue that 4 you've just said, Let's wrap everything up --5 CHAIR KOPPENDRAYER: That's what I said. 6 MR. ALPERT: -- by this agreement, and 7 that would seem to indicate that that's your 8 decision on the matter. And, you know, the 9 department hasn't made any final decisions on this 10 yet. We're still looking at the matter. But I just 11 caution a broad statement like that. 12 MR. LIPSCHULTZ: Well, Mr. Chair, 13 Commissioner Reha, if a concession along the lines 14 you're suggesting doesn't resolve an investigation 15 into McLeod, then my client is certainly not going 16 to come before you and say, Fine, we'll -- we'll 17 apply this buyout. And --18 COMMISSIONER REHA: Good point. 19 MR. LIPSCHULTZ: And then I just -- I 20 guess I'm going to reiterate just for a moment what 21 I said before, not to change your view, but just to 22 make sure everybody has a perspective on this. 23 think some of us tend to get a little cavalier at 24 times. We've all been at this for a long time. But 25

PUC Proceedings - 2/4/03 Page 312 we're about to see UNE rates go down 29 to 30 1 percent. When you apply a discount on top of that, 2 the marketplace today going forward with those new 3 rates and a discount overlaid on top is very, very different from the market previously where we had 5 \$18 average loop rates in this state. So there's a 6 real discrimination issue going forward if you apply 7 a discount prospectively on a selective basis. 8 And that -- And I appreciate your point, 9 Mr. Chair, about the buyout; and I'm willing to run 10 that by my client, if that's your intent to wrap 11 this all up. But if you don't direct the department 12 to terminate its investigation, then obviously 13 what's the point? 14 COMMISSIONER REHA: Well, I -- I would 15 think we would to want terminate the --16 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Sure. 17 COMMISSIONER REHA: -- investigation --18 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Sure. 19 COMMISSIONER REHA: -- if they forego 20 that. Don't you? 21 CHAIR KOPPENDRAYER: Mr. Ahlers, do you 22 have some words of wisdom for us? 23

MR. AHLERS: Well, I guess my answer would be about the same. I would have to talk to

24

25

Page 313 the people back at the office about this. I really 1 know very little about the terms of the buyout and 2 what that encompassed. So I can't -- I can't give 3 you an explanation of what was included in that and whether or not we would consider that to be the 5 equivalent of buying out the remainder of the 6 agreement. I know that we gave up a bunch of rights 7 in terms of litigating future issues as part of 8 that, which other parties aren't apparently going to 9 be able -- going to have to give up. So. 10 COMMISSIONER REHA: Perhaps we can order 11 it and then agree -- address it on reconsideration. 12 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Good point. 13 CHAIR KOPPENDRAYER: Then they would have 14 time to respond also. 15 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Good point. 16 COMMISSIONER REHA: I just had a little 17 bug in my ear that just suggested that. 18 CHAIR KOPPENDRAYER: Okay. 19 COMMISSIONER SCOTT: I'll so move. 20 CHAIR KOPPENDRAYER: Any other 21 discussion? 22 Mr. Brown, are you --23 MR. BROWN: Okay. 24 CHAIR KOPPENDRAYER: -- comfortable with 25