

**BEFORE THE**  
**WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND	)	
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,	)	
	)	
Complainant,	)	
	)	
v.	)	DOCKET NO. UE-001734
	)	
PACIFICORP, d/b/a PACIFIC POWER &	)	
LIGHT,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	
_____	)	

**REPLY BRIEF**  
**OF THE INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS**  
**OF NORTHWEST UTILITIES**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. INTRODUCTION .....1

II. ARGUMENT .....3

    A. The Commission Does Not Establish Rates Solely on the Basis  
        that “Cost-Causers” Pay .....3

    B. PacifiCorp is Already Recovering Removal Costs in its Rates .....3

    C. The Net Removal Tariff is Not Like the Line Extension Tariff .....4

    D. To the Extent Real Safety Issues are Present, They Can be Addressed  
        Without the Net Removal Tariff .....5

    E. The Net Removal Tariff Allows PacifiCorp to Unilaterally Determine  
        Net Removal Costs .....5

        1. The Net Removal Tariff Could Have Included Cost-Based  
            Charges for Commercial and Industrial Customers .....6

        2. It is Impossible for the Commission or Customers to Ascertain  
            How PacifiCorp Will Determine Net Removal Costs .....8

III. CONCLUSION .....11