

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND  
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Petitioners,

v.

RAINIER VIEW WATER CO. INC.,

Respondents.

DOCKET NO. UW-010877

ERRATA TO COMMISSION  
STAFF'S PETITION FOR  
ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW OF  
THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER

Commission Staff has noted an error in its Petition for Review filed in this matter at page 11, second full paragraph, third sentence. None of the accompanying appendices or calculations have changed, but the description of what they demonstrate is more clearly set out in the following revised sentence:

Allowing the Company to include the Navigator in rate base at the level ~~it proposes~~ the Order proposes adds ~~the amount of \$12,223~~ \$25,409 to rate base and includes approximately ~~\$5,241~~ \$3,300 of annually ~~in~~ depreciation expense above the surrogate proposed by Staff. (Appendix 1, page 7).

I apologize for not noting this error sooner, but hope that this clarification will assist the Commission in its review of the Initial Order in this case.

DATED this 5<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2002.

CHRISTINE O. GREGOIRE  
Attorney General

---

MARY M. TENNYSON  
Sr. Assistant Attorney General  
Washington Utilities and  
Transportation Commission