

**EXHIBIT NO. ___(JAP-37)
DOCKET NOS. UE-111048/UG-111049
2011 PSE GENERAL RATE CASE
WITNESS: JON A. PILIARIS**

**BEFORE THE
WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

**WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,**

Complainant,

v.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.,

Respondent.

**Docket No. UE-111048
Docket No. UG-111049**

**THIRTEENTH EXHIBIT (NONCONFIDENTIAL) TO THE
PREFILED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF
JON A. PILIARIS
ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.**

JANUARY 17, 2012

**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
COMMISSION**

WUTC v. PSE

Docket Nos. UE-111048 and UG-111049

**RESPONSE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL AND THE ENERGY PROJECT TO PSE
DATA REQUEST NO. 030**

Request No: 030
Directed to: Simon ffitich and Ron Roseman
Date Received: December 12, 2011
Date Produced: December 21, 2011
Prepared by: Andrea C. Crane
Witnesses: Andrea C. Crane

PSE Data Request No. 030 to Public Counsel and The Energy Project:

RE: Andrea C. Crane, Exhibit No. ____ (ACC-1T), page 23, lines 1-3

Please provide all analyses performed by Public Counsel and The Energy Project, or that it is aware of, to estimate the amount of conservation reflected in the historic test year sales used to develop proforma revenue in this case.

RESPONSE:

It is Ms. Crane's understanding that conservation that occurred in 2010 is embedded in the Company's normalized sales projections, which are based on adjusted test year sales. Since Ms. Crane was not engaged to examine pro forma revenue, she did not quantify the impact of this conservation on the Company's pro forma sales forecast.