

**EXHIBIT NO. ___ (JAP-32)
DOCKET NOS. UE-111048/UG-111049
2011 PSE GENERAL RATE CASE
WITNESS: JON A. PILIARIS**

**BEFORE THE
WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

**WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,**

Complainant,

v.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.,

Respondent.

**Docket No. UE-111048
Docket No. UG-111049**

**EIGHTH EXHIBIT (NONCONFIDENTIAL) TO THE
PREFILED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF
JON A. PILIARIS
ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.**

JANUARY 17, 2012

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION

COMMISSION

WUTC v. PSE

Docket Nos. UE-111048 and UG-111049

**RESPONSE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL AND THE ENERGY PROJECT TO PSE
DATA REQUEST NO. 016**

Request No: 016
Directed to: Simon ffitich and Ron Roseman
Date Received: December 12, 2011
Date Produced: December 21, 2011
Prepared by: Andrea C. Crane
Witnesses: Andrea C. Crane

PSE Data Request No. 016 to Public Counsel and The Energy Project:

RE: Andrea C. Crane, Exhibit No. ____ (ACC-1T), page 14, lines 17-18

Please explain in detail how growth in PSE's expenses, in any way other than through its proposed Earnings Test, affects the revenue collected through its proposed CSA.

RESPONSE:

It is Ms. Crane's understanding that under the Company's CSA proposal, the growth in PSE's expenses would not affect the revenue collected through its proposed CSA. However, the CSA revenue would provide another source of cash flow that the Company could use for whatever purpose it wanted.