

**Exhibit No. ____ (Exh. EDH-10)
Dockets UE-190334/UG-190335/UE-190222
2019 Avista General Rate Case
Witness: Ezra D. Hausman, Ph.D.**

**BEFORE THE
WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

**WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,**

Complainant,

v.

**AVISTA CORPORATION d/b/a
AVISTA UTILITIES,**

Respondent.

**DOCKETS UE-190334, UG-190335,
and UE-190222 (*Consolidated*)**

**EXHIBIT EDH-10 TO THE
RESPONSE TESTIMONY OF
EZRA D. HAUSMAN, PH.D.
ON BEHALF OF SIERRA CLUB**

October 3, 2019

AVISTA CORP.
RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

JURISDICTION:	WASHINGTON	DATE PREPARED:	08/19/2019
CASE NO.:	UE-190222-334/UG-190335	WITNESS:	Scott Kinney
REQUESTER:	Sierra Club	RESPONDER:	Thomas C Dempsey
TYPE:	Data Request	DEPT:	GPSS
REQUEST NO.:	SC - 019	TELEPHONE:	(509) 495-4960
		EMAIL:	tom.dempsey@avistacorp.com

REQUEST:

Please admit that neither Avista, nor any of its affiliates, parents or subsidiaries, including Talen, performed any analysis that supports Mr. Thackston's statement that "Talen analyzed Regional Haze requirements and determined that a final NOx Regional Haze solution would have required both SmartBurn and a SCR."

RESPONSE:

Avista makes no such admission. In addition, the question contains an implied error, in that Talen is neither an affiliate, parent, nor subsidiary of Avista.