

**EXHIBIT NO. ___(JAP-27)
DOCKET NOS. UE-111048/UG-111049
2011 PSE GENERAL RATE CASE
WITNESS: JON A. PILIARIS**

**BEFORE THE
WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

**WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,**

Complainant,

v.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.,

Respondent.

**Docket No. UE-111048
Docket No. UG-111049**

**THIRD EXHIBIT (NONCONFIDENTIAL) TO THE
PREFILED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF
JON A. PILIARIS
ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.**

JANUARY 17, 2012

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION

COMMISSION

WUTC v. PSE

Docket Nos. UE-111048 and UG-111049

**RESPONSE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL AND THE ENERGY PROJECT TO PSE
DATA REQUEST NO. 017**

Request No: 017
Directed to: Simon ffitich and Ron Roseman
Date Received: December 12, 2011
Date Produced: December 21, 2011
Prepared by: Andrea C. Crane
Witnesses: Andrea C. Crane

PSE Data Request No. 017 to Public Counsel and The Energy Project:

RE: Andrea C. Crane, Exhibit No. ___(ACC-1T), page 15, lines 7-9

Please explain in detail how PSE's proposed CSA mechanisms addresses revenue declines from anything other than Company-sponsored energy efficiency.

RESPONSE:

The CSA as proposed does not directly address revenue declines from anything other than Company-sponsored energy efficiency. The problem is that it also fails to address other changes in the Company's revenue requirement, such as revenue from other sources, expense decreases, additions to accumulated depreciation, reductions in capital costs, or other factors.