

**Exhibit No. ____ (Exh. EDH-7)
Dockets UE-190334/UG-190335/UE-190222
2019 Avista General Rate Case
Witness: Ezra D. Hausman, Ph.D.**

**BEFORE THE
WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

**WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,**

Complainant,

v.

**AVISTA CORPORATION d/b/a
AVISTA UTILITIES,**

Respondent.

**DOCKETS UE-190334, UG-190335,
and UE-190222 (*Consolidated*)**

**EXHIBIT EDH-7 TO THE
RESPONSE TESTIMONY OF
EZRA D. HAUSMAN, PH.D.
ON BEHALF OF SIERRA CLUB**

October 3, 2019

AVISTA CORP.
RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

JURISDICTION:	WASHINGTON	DATE PREPARED:	07/08/2019
CASE NO.:	UE-190222-334/UG-190335	WITNESS:	Jason Thackston
REQUESTER:	Sierra Club	RESPONDER:	Darrell Soyars
TYPE:	Data Request	DEPT:	Environmental Compliance
REQUEST NO.:	SC 013	TELEPHONE:	(509) 495-2860
		EMAIL:	Darrell.soyars@avistacorp.com

REQUEST:

Mr. Thackston states on page 42, lines 5-7 of his direct testimony that "[t]he owners...proactively decided to install SmartBurn in an effort to manage a future regulatory obligation, doing so in a strategic and cost-effective manner." Please provide all analyses, presentations, memos, board minutes, and any other materials relating to or describing the referenced decision process.

RESPONSE:

As mentioned on page 42, lines 7-9; "SmartBurn was the last available, low cost, NOx pollution prevention emission control prior to the expected installation of a very expensive emission control (e.g., SCR)".

Based on the information available to the Company at the time (see Avista's response to SC-DR-010 and SC-DR-012 and SC-DR-014), no additional detailed analysis was necessary to see the potential benefits of the SmartBurn technology and the decision to install SmartBurn.