```
1 BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
 2 -----)
   In the Matter of:
 3 CAMELOT SQUARE MOBILE HOME ) DOCKET NO. UT-960832 PARK, SKYLARK VILLAGE MOBILE ) UT-961341
 4 HOME PARK, BELMOR MOBILE
                                                 UT-961342
   HOME PARK
 5
                 Petitioners,
       vs.
 6
   US WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC. )
Respondent. )
                                        VOLUME 1
                                       PAGES 1 - 17
 8
 9
              A hearing in the above matter was held on
10 December 16, 1996, at 9:30 a.m. at 1300 South Evergreen
11 Park Drive Southwest before Administrative Law Judge
12 MARJORIE R. SCHAER.
13
              The parties were present as follows:
14
              FOR THE PETITIONERS, by WALTER H. OLSEN,
   JR., Attorney at Law, 999 Third Avenue, Suite
   3000, Seattle, Washington 98104
15
              WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
16
   COMMISSION STAFF, by SHANNON E. SMITH, Assistant
   Attorney General, 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive
17
   Southwest, Olympia, Washington 98504.
18
              FOR THE RESPONDENT, LISA ANDERL, Attorney
   at Law, 1600 - 7th Avenue, Room 3206, Seattle,
   Washington 98191.
20
              ALSO PRESENT: Vicky Elliot, Tom Speeks, Bob
21 Fricks, Nancy Evans, Russell Smalley.
22
23
24 Cassandra Ellis, CSR
25 Court Reporter
```

```
1
2
                 INDEX
3
4 WITNESSES: D C RD RC EXAM
5 (No witnesses.)
6
7
8 EXHIBIT MARKED ADMITTED
9
10
  T-1
              17
11
   2
              17
12
   3
              17
13
   4
              17
14 5
              17
15 6
              17
16 7
             17
17 T-8
             17
18
  T-9
             17
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

| _ | _ | _ | | _ | | _ | _ | | | _ |
|---|---|--------|---|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|
| P | R | \cap | C | F: | F: | D | Т | N | G | 5 |

- 2 JUDGE SCHAER: On the record. The
- 3 hearing will come to order: This is a hearing in
- 4 Docket No. UT-960832, Camelot Square Mobile Home
- 5 Park; Docket No. UT-961341, Skylark Village
- 6 Mobile Home Park; and Docket No. UT-961342,
- 7 Belmor Mobile Home Park.
- 8 This is a pre-hearing conference that
- 9 was set by a notice of pre-hearing conference
- 10 dated November 22nd, 1996. It's taking place on
- 11 December 16th, 1996 at Olympia, Washington. The
- 12 hearing is being held before Administrative Law
- 13 Judge Marjorie R. Schaer.
- We had some discussion off the record
- 15 and I indicated we would take appearances first
- 16 and then we will take motions and petitions to
- 17 intervene, if any, and we will go off the record
- 18 to discuss scheduling and discovery and other
- 19 issues.
- 20 So let's begin with appearances starting
- 21 with the appearance of petitioners, please.
- MR. OLSEN: My name is Walt Olsen, and I
- 23 represent Camelot Square Mobile Home Park,
- 24 Skylark Village Mobile Home Park, and Belmor
- 25 Mobile Home Park.

- 1 With me today is Nancy Evans, who's the
- 2 manager of Belmor Mobile Home Park, Russ Smalley,
- 3 who is the manager of Skylark Village Mobile Home
- 4 Park, and Bob Fricks who is the manager of
- 5 Camelot Square Mobile Home Park. Roughly in that
- 6 order.
- JUDGE SCHAER: For the respondent,
- 8 please.
- 9 MS. ANDERL: Thank you, I'm Lisa
- 10 Anderl. I'm with US West Inc., as in-house
- 11 counsel representing US West Communications, Inc.
- 12 My business address is 1600 Seventh Avenue, room
- 13 3206, Seattle, Washington 98191.
- 14 JUDGE SCHAER: And for the commission
- 15 staff, please.
- 16 MS. SMITH: Shannon Smith, Assistant
- 17 Attorney General. My business address is 1400
- 18 South Evergreen Park Drive SW, Olympia,
- 19 Washington 98504-0128.
- 20 JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. Now, is there
- 21 anyone else in the hearing room that represents
- 22 someone that wants to be an intervener in this
- 23 matter who has not spoken up yet? And in looking
- 24 around I don't see anyone other than the people
- 25 already identified.

- 1 And the next thing I would like to do is
- 2 confirm that you, Mr. Olsen, and you, Ms. Anderl,
- 3 and you, Ms. Smith, will be the contact persons
- 4 for your clients for all distributions?
- 5 MS. SMITH: That's correct.
- 6 MS. ANDERL: Yes.
- 7 MR. OLSEN: Yes, that's correct.
- 8 JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. And I'm going to
- 9 ask you if you would, at this time -- there's
- 10 three of you, I will do this orally, just to give
- 11 me your FAX numbers so we can have that in the
- 12 record, also.
- MR. OLSEN: For the petitioners?
- 14 JUDGE SCHAER: Yes.
- MR. OLSEN: Our FAX number "1", area
- 16 code 206-340-8856, and my business address is 999
- 17 Third Avenue, Suite 3000, Seattle, Washington
- 18 98104.
- 19 JUDGE SCHAER: And that FAX number,
- 20 again, was 206-340-8856.
- MR. OLSEN: Yes.
- JUDGE SCHAER: Thank you. Ms. Anderl?
- MS. ANDERL: My FAX number is area code
- 24 206-343-4040.
- 25 JUDGE SCHAER: 206-343-4040?

- 1 MS. ANDERL: Yes.
- JUDGE SCHAER: Thank you. Ms. Smith?
- 3 MS. SMITH: Mine is on the business card
- 4 that I gave the court reporter. I don't know it
- 5 by heart.
- 6 JUDGE SCHAER: Let's take just a moment
- 7 to get that from the court reporter, if we could,
- 8 please.
- 9 MS. SMITH: My FAX number is
- 10 360-586-5522.
- 11 JUDGE SCHAER: So that's 360-586-5522?
- MS. SMITH: That's correct.
- 13 JUDGE SCHAER: Thank you. Under the
- 14 commission rules service by FAX is usually not
- 15 allowed, but there are times when we're trying to
- 16 do something in a hurry where the administrative
- 17 law judge will waive that restriction and allow
- 18 for certain documents to be filed by FAX.
- 19 And if you are doing something during
- 20 this proceeding such as filing a motion that
- 21 needs to be discussed at a hearing or something
- 22 else that's going to be on quick turnaround I
- 23 ask that you do extend the courtesy of the other
- 24 parties of faxing to them so that they have the
- 25 greatest amount of time possible to be prepared,

- 1 and providing a copy to me as well so that I may
- 2 be prepared. My FAX in number is area code
- 3 360-586-1150.
- 4 The next thing I have on my list of
- 5 things to talk about today is scheduling. Have
- 6 the parties discussed scheduling among
- 7 themselves?
- 8 MS. SMITH: No.
- 9 MS. ANDERL: No.
- MR. OLSEN: No.
- 11 JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. My suggestion is
- 12 that we go off the record now to discuss
- 13 scheduling, discovery, exhibits and that kind of
- 14 thing, and then come back on the record once we
- 15 have something to describe on the record. So we
- 16 will be off the record.
- 17 [DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD]
- JUDGE SCHAER: Let's be back on the
- 19 record. When we were off the record we had an
- 20 extensive discussion of scheduling and discovery
- 21 and future processing of this case, the kinds of
- 22 motions that may be brought and timing of those.
- I'm going to go through my notes and put
- 24 into the record what I have written down about
- 25 the scheduling, about discovery, about a

- 1 protective order, and I'm going to ask the
- 2 parties to listen to what I have to say and be
- 3 prepared to supplement my recollection with your
- 4 own to make sure that we have a complete
- 5 reflection of the off the record discussion.
- 6 We have decided that the discovery rule
- 7 in WAC 408-094-08 should be triggered, and
- 8 determined that this case is one that could set
- 9 precedent for the commission. And that is our
- 10 basis for triggering the discovery rule.
- 11 And the discovery rule will be followed
- 12 in its usual processes as set out in the rule
- 13 with the exception that after the staff and US
- 14 West pre-file their direct testimony the turn-
- 15 around time on data requests will be shortened
- 16 from 14 days to 10 days. And that 10 day time
- 17 will also apply to requests that are sent out
- 18 after complainants pre-file their rebuttal.
- 19 As far as the schedule for this
- 20 proceeding it is agreed that there will be a
- 21 request for admission sent by the petitioners by
- 22 January 6th, 1997 and that there will be
- 23 responses to those by January 21st, 1997. March
- 24 4th, 1997 will be pre-filing date for the
- 25 petitioners to file supplemental direct

- 1 testimony, if any, or to notify the parties that
- 2 there is not going to be any supplemental direct
- 3 testimony. April 7th, 1997, will be the
- 4 pre-filing date for commission staff and US West
- 5 pre-filing of testimony and exhibits. May 12th,
- 6 1997 will be pre-filing date for the petitioners
- 7 rebuttal testimony and exhibits.
- 8 Cross-examination hearings in this
- 9 matter will be held on June 10th and 11th, 1997
- 10 in Olympia. Simultaneous briefs will be due in
- 11 this matter on July 11th, 1997.
- 12 So far as a protective order US West has
- 13 requested that a protective order be issued and
- 14 commission will issue a protective order in this
- 15 matter. I hope to have that order issued this
- 16 week so that the parties may begin the discovery
- 17 process and respond with information without
- 18 having concerns about possible confidential
- 19 nature of that information.
- I have asked the parties to familiarize
- 21 themselves with the commission rule on protective
- 22 orders and confidential treatment of confidential
- 23 material, and to make sure that anything that is
- 24 filed be with the commission is filed in
- 25 compliance with those orders, and that

- 1 confidential materials are appropriately
- 2 segregated and identified.
- 3 I've also informed the parties that we
- 4 will not need an original plus 20 copies of
- 5 everything to be filed in this matter, that they
- 6 may file an original plus 15.
- 7 Is there anything that we discussed off
- 8 the record that needs to be placed on the record
- 9 that I have forgotten to recite at this time?
- MS. ANDERL: Your Honor, there's
- 11 something we didn't discuss off the record that
- 12 has just occurred to me.
- 13 JUDGE SCHAER: All right.
- MS. ANDERL: US West may wish to have an
- 15 opportunity to respond to staff's testimony, and
- 16 staff, in fact, may wish to have an opportunity
- 17 to respond to US West's. And I didn't know if we
- 18 would allow that to happen in writing during the
- 19 rebuttal round of testimony or if there should be
- 20 some provision for doing it orally.
- 21 MS. SMITH: You know, probably to that
- 22 extent, if there's going to be any responsive
- 23 testimony by either -- to either, maybe that
- 24 could be due by the date that the complainants
- 25 have to have their rebuttal testimony filed so we

- 1 just have the one deadline.
- JUDGE SCHAER: Mr. Olsen, you have a
- 3 right to kind of the last word in this
- 4 proceeding. That would not allow you to see that
- 5 responsive testimony before you filed your
- 6 rebuttal. Is that acceptable to you or would you
- 7 like to have that opportunity?
- 8 MR. OLSEN: I would like to have some
- 9 opportunity to review at least US West's
- 10 rebuttal.
- JUDGE SCHAER: I'm wondering if -- well,
- 12 let's go off the record again and discuss this
- 13 wrinkle to the schedule.
- MS. ANDERL: Sorry.
- JUDGE SCHAER: We're off the record.
- 16 [DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD]
- 17 JUDGE SCHAER: Let's be back on the
- 18 record, then. While we were off the record we
- 19 discussed adding a date to the schedule. After
- 20 the pre-filing of the commission staff and
- 21 company cases to allow for the commission staff
- 22 and US West to have some opportunity to respond
- 23 to each other and have determined that there will
- 24 be a second filing for those parties allowed to
- 25 occur on April 24th, 1997.

- 1 And that that is going to be a receipt
- 2 date for them to get copies of their testimony
- 3 and exhibits, if any, to Mr. Olsen. And then his
- 4 date for filing rebuttal will continue to be May
- 5 12th, 1997.
- 6 Is there anything further about the
- 7 schedule that we need to discuss or that I
- 8 haven't said that we need to say?
- 9 MR. OLSEN: No, not from the
- 10 petitioner's perspective.
- 11 JUDGE SCHAER: We talked about the rule
- 12 for motion and the filing under that rule that
- 13 asks that motions be filed in writing to the
- 14 extent possible, and that they maybe filed
- 15 keeping in mind a 20 days response time and at
- 16 least another 10 days of study time for me before
- 17 I would be called upon to rule.
- 18 I would like to remind the parties that
- 19 responses to discovery requests should be sent
- 20 directly to counsel for the commission staff and
- 21 should not be sent through the commission
- 22 secretary but all other pre-file material and
- 23 case related correspondence does need to be filed
- 24 through the commission secretary. And if the
- 25 commission secretary has not logged it in it is

- 1 not filed under the commission rules.
- 2 Commission uses its post office address,
- 3 which is post office box 47250, Olympia,
- 4 Washington 98504-7250. Copies of data requests
- 5 responses are not sent to me. I don't see those
- 6 unless they are placed in the record as an
- 7 exhibit by the party.
- 8 So please keep the commission counsel
- 9 separate in your mind from the commission itself
- 10 and deal with Ms. Smith on those.
- 11 Okay, is there anything else that we had
- 12 talked about that we need to do now other than
- 13 marking exhibits for identification?
- 14 MS. SMITH: I have something off the
- 15 record.
- JUDGE SCHAER: Okay, let's go off the
- 17 record for a minute.
- 18 [DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD]
- 19 JUDGE SCHAER: Show us back on the
- 20 record. While we were off the record we had a
- 21 brief discussion of how the commission orders
- 22 transcripts and how parties may obtain
- 23 transcripts.
- 24 And at this point, then, I would like to
- 25 pre-mark the testimony and exhibits that

- 1 Mr. Olsen has provided. Have you provided copies
- 2 to all the parties, Mr. Olsen?
- 3 MR. OLSEN: Yes, I have.
- 4 MS. SMITH: If I -- if I can -- I didn't
- 5 receive -- there's a copy for staff of Ms. Evans
- 6 testimony, and I didn't receive one from you
- 7 earlier for me. So if you have an extra that's
- 8 great, if not I can make a copy of staff's.
- 9 JUDGE SCHAER: It's my understanding
- 10 that you would like to have Mr. Frick's testimony
- 11 placed as an exhibit, is that correct?
- MR. OLSEN: Yes.
- JUDGE SCHAER: So I'm going to mark that
- 14 testimony as Exhibit T-1 for identification. And
- 15 attached to his testimony are several exhibits
- 16 that you've lettered, A through --
- 17 MR. OLSEN: F.
- 18 JUDGE SCHAER: -- F. And I will mark --
- 19 let's identify it as Exhibit A, as Exhibit 2, for
- 20 identification. I will mark what's identified as
- 21 Exhibit B as Exhibit 3 for identification. I
- 22 will mark what's identified as Exhibit C, which
- 23 is a letter from Dewey Johnson of US West to
- 24 Mr. Fricks as Exhibit 4 for identification. I
- 25 will mark what's been identified as Exhibit D,

- 1 which is a letter to Dear Property Owner, from
- 2 Maectse, M-a-e-c-t-s-e, US West, as Exhibit 5 for
- 3 identification. I will mark a letter dated
- 4 January 16th, 1996, from Evergreen Utility
- 5 Contractors to Mr. Fricks, it's identified as
- 6 Exhibit F, as Exhibit 6 for identification.
- 7 MR. OLSEN: Was there an Exhibit E?
- JUDGE SCHAER: Excuse me, I do not have
- 9 Exhibit E in my set. I'm going to mark -- I am
- 10 not marking what I just identified as Exhibit 6.
- 11 I am marking instead as Exhibit 6 I am marking a
- 12 handwritten letter to Bob Fricks from -- would
- 13 you read the name, please?
- MR. OLSEN: Yes Loren L. Romanoff,
- 15 L-o-r-e-n, Romanoff, R-o-m-a-n-o-f-f.
- 16 JUDGE SCHAER: It's identified as
- 17 Exhibit E, and I will mark it as Exhibit 6 for
- 18 identification. As Exhibit 7 for identification
- 19 I will identify what's marked as Exhibit F, which
- 20 is the letter from Evergreen Utility Contractors,
- 21 Inc., to Mr. Frick's, dated January 16th, 1996.
- MR. OLSEN: There are three letters from
- 23 three different contractors that I've designated
- 24 as Exhibit F to Mr. Frick's testimony.
- 25 JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. So the first of

- 1 those three letters in Exhibit 7 is from --
- 2 MR. OLSEN: Evergreen Utility
- 3 Contractors.
- 4 JUDGE SCHAER: Okay.
- 5 MR. OLSEN: And mark that as Exhibit 7
- 6 for identification. I would suggest that --
- 7 JUDGE SCHAER: Let's go off the record
- 8 for a moment.
- 9 [DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD]
- 10 JUDGE SCHAER: Show us back on the
- 11 record. While we were off the record the judge
- 12 obtained some information about what is in
- 13 Exhibit 7. First page of Exhibit 7 is the letter
- 14 I have identified previously. The second page of
- 15 Exhibit 7 is a letter from National Electrical
- 16 Services to Bob Fricks dated January 16th, 1996.
- 17 And the third page is a letter from Glenco,
- 18 G-l-e-n-c-o, Inc., to Bob Fricks dated January
- 19 9th, 1996.
- 20 And Mr. Olsen I will need another
- 21 complete set of these for the official record at
- 22 the end of the hearing, if that can be provided.
- 23 I'm going to identify as exhibit T-8 the
- 24 testimony of Nancy L. Evans, and as Exhibit T-9
- 25 the testimony of Russ Smalley, S-m-a-l-l-e-y.

```
1 (Marked Exhibits T-1, 2-7, T-8 and T-9.)
```

- 2 JUDGE SCHAER: And are those all of the
- 3 exhibits that you are pre-filing at this time?
- 4 MR. OLSEN: Yes, Your Honor.
- 5 JUDGE SCHAER: Okay. I will be issuing
- 6 a pre-hearing conference order after today's
- 7 pre-hearing conference. I have a hearing this
- 8 Wednesday that is pretty time consuming right now
- 9 so I will probably not issue that order until
- 10 after I receive the transcript. And that will be
- 11 coming out probably the first week or so of January.
- 12 After that order is issued there are 10
- 13 days provided under the commission rules for you
- 14 to object to any portion of the order. If no
- 15 objections are received then that order will
- 16 provide the rules under which we conduct this
- 17 proceeding. Is there anything else that needs to
- 18 come before us this morning?
- MR. OLSEN: No.
- MS. ANDERL: No.
- MS. SMITH: No.
- JUDGE SCHAER: Thank you all for your
- 23 cooperative attitude in working together on this
- 24 and we will be off the record.
- 25 (Proceedings adjourned at 11:05 a.m.)