

**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON
UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

In the Matter of Determining the Proper
Carrier Classification of, and Complaint
for Penalties Against

SARA YBARRA AND SHANE
PABLO D/B/A ULTIMATE MOVERS,
PRECISION MOVERS, AND
QUALITY MOVERS

DOCKET TV-230193

ORDER 02

APPROVING SETTLEMENT
AGREEMENT

BACKGROUND

- 1 **Nature of Proceeding.** The Commission initiated this special proceeding to determine if Ultimate Movers, Precision Movers, and Quality Movers (Ultimate Movers or Company) has engaged, and continues to engage, in business as a common carrier for transportation of household goods for compensation within the state of Washington without possessing the permit required for such operations.
- 2 **Procedural History.** On May 9, 2023, the Commission entered Order 01, Order Instituting Special Proceeding; Complaint Seeking to Impose Penalties; and Notice of Mandatory Appearance at Hearing, pursuant to RCW 81.04.510, initiating this docket on its own motion. The Complaint alleges that Ultimate Movers violated RCW 81.80.075(1) by:
 - (1) offering on at least one occasion to transport household goods within the state of Washington; and
 - (2) advertising household goods moving services within the state of Washington on at least one occasion

without the necessary permit required for such operations. On the same date, the Commission issued a *Subpoena and Subpoena Duces Tecum For Production of Documents* (Subpoenas) to the Company commanding Sara Ybarra and Shane Pablo, Company owners, to appear before the Commission at a special proceeding scheduled to convene virtually at 1:00 p.m. on June 15, 2023, via Zoom, and to bring the documents specified in the *Subpoenas*.

3 **Hearing Waiver.** On June 14, 2023, Sara Ybarra filed with the Commission a signed hearing waiver indicating that the Company waives its right to a hearing in this matter and requests the Commission base its decision on the written documents submitted by the parties.

4 **Settlement Agreement.** On June 14, 2023, Staff filed a settlement agreement (Settlement) on behalf of the parties that resolves all of the contested issues in this proceeding.

5 **Appearances.** Jaquandria Ewanika, Compliance Investigator, Lacey, Washington, represents the Commission's regulatory staff (Commission Staff).¹ Sara Ybarra, Spokane, WA, represents the Company, *pro se*.

DISCUSSION

6 **Applicable Law.** WAC 480-07-750(1) states in part: "The commission will approve settlements when doing so is lawful, the settlement terms are supported by an appropriate record, and when the result is consistent with the public interest in light of all the information available to the commission." Thus, the Commission considers the individual components of the Settlement Agreement under a three-part inquiry, asking:

- Whether any aspect of the proposal is contrary to law.
- Whether any aspect of the proposal offends public policy.

¹ In adjudications the Commission's regulatory staff participates like any other party, while an administrative law judge or the Commissioners make the decision. To assure fairness, the Commissioners and the presiding administrative law judge do not discuss the merits of the proceeding with regulatory staff or any other party without giving notice and opportunity for all parties to participate. See RCW 34.05.455.

- Whether the evidence supports the proposed elements of the Settlement Agreement as a reasonable resolution of the issue(s) at hand.

The Commission must determine one of three possible results:

- Approve the proposed settlement without condition.
- Approve the proposed settlement subject to conditions.
- Reject the proposed settlement.

7 **Settlement.** As part of the Settlement, Ultimate Movers admits that it has been operating as a household goods carrier in the state of Washington without the required permit. The Company also admits that it offered to transport household goods on at least one occasion and also advertised to transport household goods on at least one occasion.

8 Also as part of the Settlement, Ultimate Movers has agreed to permanently shut down and cease operations as a household goods carrier, as defined by WAC 480-15, without first obtaining a permit from the Commission.

9 The parties agree that the Company will be assessed a \$5,000 penalty and, on the condition that the Company honors its pledge of compliance by permanently staying out of the industry without first obtaining a permit from the Commission, agree that \$4,500 of the penalty should be suspended for two years, then waived.

10 The parties agree that the Company will pay the remaining \$500 portion of the penalty assessment according to the schedule set out in the Settlement.

11 **Decision.** The Commission approves the Settlement without condition. The parties made concessions relative to their respective litigation positions to arrive at end results that are supported by the evidence in the record. Ultimate Movers admits that its conduct violated Commission statutes and rules has agreed to cease and desist all unpermitted operations unless and until it obtains a permit from the Commission. The Settlement supports the Commission's goal of compliance by permitting the Company to pay a reduced penalty of \$5,000, and suspending, then waiving, the \$4,500 remainder of the penalty conditioned on the Company complying with the terms of this Order and timely paying the remaining \$500 portion of the penalty.

12 The terms of the Settlement are not contrary to law or public policy and reasonably
resolve all issues in this proceeding. Accordingly, we find that the Settlement Agreement
is consistent with the public interest and should be approved as filed.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

13 (1) The Commission is an agency of the State of Washington vested by statute with
authority to regulate persons engaged in the business of transporting household
goods for compensation over public roads in Washington.

14 (2) The Commission has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this proceeding and
over Ultimate Movers.

15 (3) Ultimate Movers waived its right to a hearing in this matter.

16 (4) The Settlement proposed by the parties is not complex and is unopposed.

17 (5) The Settlement is not contrary to law or public policy and it reasonably resolves
all issues in this proceeding.

18 (6) The Settlement agreement is consistent with the public interest.

19 (7) The Commission should approve the Settlement without condition and order the
penalty amount, conditions, and other terms as proposed by the parties in the
Settlement.

ORDER

THE COMMISSION ORDERS:

20 (1) The Settlement Agreement is approved without condition, is attached as Exhibit A
to, and incorporated into, this Order, and is adopted as the final resolution of all
issues in this proceeding.

21 (2) Ultimate Movers is classified as a common carrier of household goods within the
state of Washington.

- 22 (2) Ultimate Movers is ordered to immediately cease and desist operations as a household goods carrier within the state of Washington without first obtaining the required permit from the Commission.
- 23 (3) Ultimate Movers is assessed a penalty of \$5,000. A \$4,500 portion of the penalty is suspended for a period of two years from the date of this Order, and waived thereafter, provided that (1) Ultimate Movers refrains permanently from further operations as a household goods carrier in the state of Washington without first obtaining the required permit from the Commission, and (2) Ultimate Mover timely pays the portion of the penalty is that is not suspended. The portion of the penalty that is not suspended is due and payable subject to the payment schedule set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 24 (4) The Commission retains jurisdiction over the subject matter and the parties to this proceeding to effectuate the terms of this Order.

DATED at Lacey, Washington, and effective June 15, 2023.

/s/ Michael Howard
MICHAEL HOWARD
Administrative Law Judge

NOTICE TO THE PARTIES

This is an Initial Order. The action proposed in this Initial Order is not yet effective. If you disagree with this Initial Order and want the Commission to consider your comments, you must take specific action within the time limits outlined below. If you agree with this Initial Order and you would like the Order to become final before the time limits expire, you may send a letter to the Commission waiving your right to petition for administrative review.

WAC 480-07-825(2) provides that any party to this proceeding has twenty (20) days after the entry of this initial order to file a petition for administrative review (Petition). Section (2)(b) of the rule identifies what you must include in any Petition as well as other requirements for a Petition. WAC 480-07-825(2)(c) states that any party may file a response to a Petition within 10 days after service of the Petition.

WAC 480-07-830 provides that before the Commission enters a final order any party may file a petition to reopen a contested proceeding to permit receipt of evidence essential to a decision, but unavailable and not reasonably discoverable with due diligence at the time of hearing, or for other good and sufficient cause. The Commission will give other parties in the proceeding an opportunity to respond to a motion to reopen the record, unless the Commission determines that it can rule on the motion without hearing from the other parties.

WAC 480-07-825(1) provides that an Initial Order will become final without further Commission action if no party seeks administrative review of the initial order and if the Commission fails to exercise administrative review on its own motion.

Any Petition or Response must be electronically filed through the Commission's web portal as required by WAC 480-07-140(5).

APPENDIX A