

# **2013 Electric Integrated Resource Plan**

### January 9, 2013

Clint Kalich Manager, Resource Planning & Power Supply Analyses clint.kalich@avistacorp.com 509.495.4532

### Avista's Electric Integrated Resource Plan Key components

- A Cross-Functional Effort
  - Power Supply, Gas Supply, Engineering, DSM, T&D, Rates...
- A Collaborative Public Process
  - 121 individuals from 45 organizations invited: Commission staff, academia, NW Power Council, government agencies, legislators, consultants, peer utilities, resource developers, non-government organizations (NGOs), Avista staff, vendors

#### A 20-Year Power Supply Business Plan

- Load obligations
- Generation portfolio
- Market conditions
- Options for meeting deficiencies (or surpluses)
- Identifies recommended path to the future
- A Biennial Regulatory Filing
  - August 31 submittals to Idaho and Washington commissions
  - ✤ All historical plans going back to 1989 are on the Avista website:

http://www.avistautilities.com/inside/resources/irp/electric/Pages/default.aspx



### Moderating Load Growth (1% AARG) Forecast \* Down from ~1.4% in 2011 and 2009 IRPs



## **Historical IRP Electricity Forecast Variation**

Caused mainly by volatile natural gas price projections



### Avista 20-Year Generation Acquisition History Net 800 MW: 1,000 MW new resources; 200 MW coal plant sale



AIVISTA'

## **Customer Participation in Buck-A-Block**

Participation has declined in recent years



*Aivista*:

## **Net Metering Has Grown Rapidly**

Driven in part by lower solar costs, and state and federal subsidies



### Impacts of State and Federal Renewable Policy Buy-down of costs is significant



## **Conservation: Program Costs Forecast to Rise**

Low hanging fruit is gone, but still cost effective



### **Cumulative Conservation Supply Curve**

IRP picks up the majority of potential





### Avista WA-Qualifying Renewable Acquisitions No new needs over IRP study horizon





### **Winter Peaks Drive Future Deficits**

Projected smaller summer and energy shortages met by winter builds



## **Meeting Reliability Criteria is Expensive**

The cost of new builds, combined with an overall market surplus, supports relying on the market for a portion of needs





# **Regional Sustained Peak L&R**

Absent new resources, region will be deficit before the next decade



ANISTA

13

## **2013 IRP Preferred Resource Strategy**

#### Like our peers, acquisition is dominated by natural gas and conservation

Resource	By the End of	Nameplate	Energy (aMW)
	Year	(MW)	
Simple Cycle CT	2019	83	76
Simple Cycle CT	2023	83	76
Combined Cycle CT	2026	270	248
Simple Cycle CT	2027	83	76
Rathdrum CT Upgrade	2028	6	5
Simple Cycle CT	2032	50	46
Total		575	529
Efficiency Improvements	By the End of	Peak	Energy (aMW)
	Year	Reduction	
Energy Efficiency	2014-2033	221	164
Demand Response	2022-2027	19	0
Distribution Efficiencies	2014-2017	<1	<1
Total		240	164

#### 2013 Resource Strategy

#### Resource Strategy Capital Investment (\$millions)

Year	Investment	Year	Investment
2014	0.0	2024	91.6
2015	0.0	2025	0.0
2016	0.0	2026	0.0
2017	0.0	2027	421.7
2018	0.0	2028	97.0
2019	0.0	2029	2.4
2020	85.8	2030	0.0
2021	0.0	2031	0.0
2022	0.0	2032	0.0
2023	0.0	2033	83.6
2014-23 Total	85.8	2024-33 Totals	696.2



## **The Efficient Frontier**

With renewable obligations met, planning toward lower-cost end of range



### Avista-Modeled WECC Coal Retirements 82 of 148 units (55%) retired by 2033



### Colstrip Scenario Analysis Per WUTC Requests

- Avista Owns 15% of Units 3 and 4
- Avista Has No Ownership or Interest In Units 1 or 2
- Scenario 1 Impact on Avista's Portfolio Absent Colstrip
  - Assumes 2017 retirement
  - Does not consider potential for sale (revenue) or shut down (cost)
- Scenario 2 Impact of New EPA Standards
  - Units 3 & 4 are modestly affected, and standards likely won't force shutdown
  - Existing system is adequate to meet new mercury air toxic standards (MATS)
  - New coal ash rules are not expected to require new investments
  - Existing systems expected to meet new effluent discharge guidelines
  - ✤ Regional Haze Program (NO<sub>X</sub> controls) may affect Avista
    - Likely requires new selective catalytic reduction (SCR)
    - □ Assumed cost of \$700 million (\$105 million Avista share) in 2026+ timeframe

## **Carbon Dioxide Emissions Forecasts**

Today's low emissions profile makes a downward trajectory difficult



## Value of Colstrip to Avista Portfolio

Significant benefit, even with much more restrictive controls



## **Carbon Dioxide Emissions Forecasts**

Today's low emissions profile makes a downward trajectory difficult



# **Power Supply Costs With and Without Colstrip**

~15% Increase in Power Supply Cost To Replace Colstrip



*Aivista* 

### Additional Renewables Are Not Efficient They Require Back-Up CTs For Winter Capacity



AIVISTA'

## **Impacts of NPCC 10% Conservation Premium**

Does not lower risk in preferred plan, but does increase cost



# **Tipping Point Analyses**

"Disruptive Change is Around the Corner"

- > Or is it?
- Tipping Point Analyses Quantify Likelihood of Disruption
- The Results, Relative to Preferred Resource Strategy
  - Solar Capital Costs Must Drop 88%
  - Nuclear capital costs must drop 70%
  - Sequestered capital coal costs must drop 87%
  - Energy efficiency mutes variation in future need
    - Lower or higher load growth trajectories impact new building construction
    - □ Nearly 90% of savings is from existing building stock
    - □ Lower load growth (i.e., new buildings) mutes forecasted EE benefit from new buildings
    - And higher load growth is mitigated by higher-than-forecasted levels of new buildings

# Thank You.

