

RECEIVED  
 RECORDS MANAGEMENT  
 2012 JUN 15 PM 4:42  
 STATE OF WASH.  
 UTIL. AND TRANSP.  
 COMMISSION

**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION  
 COMMISSION**

8	HARBHAJAN MANGAT,	)	
		)	
9	Complainant,	)	DOCKET UE-120522
		)	
10	v.	)	ORDER 01
		)	
11	PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.,	)	RECONSIDERATION OF FINAL
		)	ORDER
12	Respondent.	)	
		)	
13	_____	)	

Harbhajan requests a reconsideration of the final order dated June 5, 2012 by Dennis J. Moss, Administrative Law Judge.

1. The Order Dismissing Complaint notes that the complaint falls under the statutory provision RCW 80.04.110. RCW 80.04.110 provides:
  - (1)(a) Complaint may be made by the commission of its own motion or by any person... setting forth any act or thing done or omitted to be done by any public service corporation in violation, or claimed to be in violation, of any provision of this title, 81 RCW, or of any order or rule of the commission.
2. The complaint argues that PSE has failed to act or omitted to be done by not reviewing or reconsidering the Extension Refund Policy for reasonableness due to extenuating circumstances.

1 3. RCW 80.28.020 states:

2 Whenever the commission shall find, after a hearing had upon its own motion,  
3 or upon complaint...that the rules, regulations, practices or contracts affecting  
4 such rates or charges are unjust, unreasonable, unjustly discriminatory or  
5 unduly preferential, or in any wise in violation of the provisions of the law, or  
6 that such rates or charges are insufficient to yield a reasonable compensation  
7 for the services rendered, the commission shall determine the just, reasonable,  
8 or sufficient rate, charges, regulations, practices or contracts to be thereafter  
9 observed and in force, and shall fix the same by order.

10  
11 4. This complaint was filed to determine whether the contract under the Tariff G  
12 Schedule 85 LINE EXTENSIONSAND REFUND POLICIES refund request is  
13 unjust, unreasonable, or insufficient to yield a reasonable compensation for the  
14 services rendered.

15 5. Furthermore, under RCW 80.28.040 states:

16 Whenever the commission shall find, after hearing, that any rules, regulations,  
17 measurements or the standard thereof, practices, acts or services of any such  
18 gas company, electrical company or water company are unjust, unreasonable,  
19 improper, insufficient, inefficient or inadequate, or that any service which may  
20 be reasonably demanded is not furnished, the commission shall fix the  
21 reasonable rules, regulations, measurements or the standard thereof, practices,  
22 acts or service to be thereafter furnished, imposed, observed and followed, and  
23 shall fix the same by order or rule.  
24  
25

1           6.       The complaint was filed to determine whether the contract under the Tariff G  
2                   Schedule 85 LINE EXTENSIONSAND REFUND POLICIES refund request  
3                   section is unjust, unreasonable, improper, insufficient, inefficient or inadequate.  
4

5           Wherefore complainant asks for Reconsideration of the Final Order Dismissing  
6           Complaint.  
7

8                   DATED at Arlington, Washington, this 15th day of June 2012.  
9

10  
11  
12       By:



Christopher J. Brester, WSBA #34321