Exh. ECO-5 Dockets UE-170033/UG-170034 Witness: Elizabeth O'Connell

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

DOCKETS UE-170033 and UG-170034 (Consolidated)

Complainant,

v.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY,

Respondent.

EXHIBIT TO TESTIMONY OF

Elizabeth C. O'Connell

STAFF OF WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

PSE's response to Staff data request 279.

June 30, 2017

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Puget Sound Energy 2017 General Rate Case

WUTC STAFF DATA REQUEST NO. 279

WUTC STAFF DATA REQUEST NO. 279:

RE: Environmental Remediation Projects adjustment

Please explain how PSE assesses and determines the most cost-effective method to comply with required environmental remediation. Provide in your answer any additional cost-effectiveness practices mandated by other state or federal agencies.

Response:

Both the State of Washington's Model Toxics Control Act ("MTCA") as well as the federal Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act administered by the Environmental Protection Agency have established processes that guide responsible parties through the Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study ("RI/FS") phase to properly characterize the site and develop appropriate remedial alternatives. PSE follows these established processes. As part of the RI/FS phase, there is a disproportionate cost analysis process that is used to identify the most protective and cost effective approach available to meet published cleanup levels. The purpose of this process is to identify a remedial approach that achieves the highest level of benefit versus cost. This process allows for the review and comment by both regulatory agencies as well as the public on the approach chosen. Additionally, for some sites, Independent Cleanup Actions are permitted by MTCA, and PSE analyzes these opportunities to enhance efficiency and cost-effectiveness.

PSE's Response to WUTC Staff Data Request No. 279

Date of Response: April 18, 2017

Person who Prepared the Response: John K. Rork

Witness Knowledgeable About the Response: John K. Rork