Exh. PJA-1Tr Docket UG-21\_\_\_\_ Witness: Pamela J. Archer

#### BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

CASCADE NATURAL GAS CORPORATION,

Respondent.

DOCKET UG-21\_\_\_\_

#### CASCADE NATURAL GAS CORPORATION

#### DIRECT TESTIMONY OF PAMELA J. ARCHER

September 30, 2021

REVISED DECEMBER 8, 2021

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	PROPOSED TARIFF	3
III.	CUSTOMER BILL IMPACTS	4

### LIST OF EXHIBITS

1.	Exh. PJA-2	Proposed tariff sheets
2.	Exh. PJA-3	Monthly and annual residential bill impacts
3.	Exh. PJA-4	Residential and non-residential bill impacts at varying levels of usage

### I. INTRODUCTION

1	Q.	Please state your name, business address, and present position.
2	А.	My name is Pamela J. Archer and my business address is 8113 W. Grandridge Blvd.,
3		Kennewick, WA 99336. My present position is Regulatory Analyst IV for Cascade
4		Natural Gas Corporation ("Cascade" or "Company"), a wholly owned subsidiary of
5		MDU Resources Group, Inc. ("MDU Resources").
6	Q.	Would you briefly describe your duties?
7	А.	Yes. I prepare regulatory reports and rate/tariff filings for regulatory approval, as well as
8		provide regulatory and tariff advice and knowledge to others within the Company. I also
9		provide testimony in rate cases as needed on cost of service and rate design issues.
10	Q.	Please briefly describe your educational background and professional experience.
11	A.	I am a 1992 graduate of The Ohio State University with a B.S. in Chemical Engineering.
12		In 1996, I graduated from Ashland University with a Master of Business Administration
13		Degree. Prior to joining Cascade in September 2010, I was employed as an Energy
14		Specialist at the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel for fifteen years. I have received
15		additional training at the Annual Regulatory Studies Program sponsored by the National
16		Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners ("NARUC") at Michigan State
17		University in 1992 as well as at multiple NARUC sponsored events. I have also taken
18		post-graduate courses in Managerial Accounting, Corporate Finance, and Business Law
19		at The Ohio State University.

#### 1 **Q.**

# Have you previously testified?

2	A.	Yes. I testified before the	e Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
3		("Commission") in Casca	ade's 2015 general rate case in Docket UG-152286, its 2019
4		general rate case in Dock	xet UG-190210, and its 2020 general rate case in Docket UG-
5		200568. I also testified o	n behalf of Cascade before the Public Utility Commission of
6		Oregon in Dockets UG 2	87, UG 305, UG 347, and UG 390. I also testified before the
7		Public Utilities Commiss	sion of Ohio on behalf of the Office of the Ohio Consumers'
8		Counsel in Docket Numb	pers 93-2006-GA-AIR, 94-996-EL-AIR, 94-1918-EL-AIR, 95-
9		656-GA-AIR, 01-1228-C	GA-AIR, 04-571-GA-AIR, and 05-0059-EL-AIR.
10	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?	
11	A.	The purpose of my testin	nony is to introduce all proposed changes to Cascade's current
12		rate schedules. The prope	osed tariff and the proposed tariff with all revisions shown in
13		legislative format are included in this filing as attachments A and B to the cover letter	
14		accompanying Cascade's general rate case filing, respectively. The proposed tariff is also	
15		introduced into the recor	d under my testimony as Exh. PJA-2. I also discuss the customer
16		bill impacts of the propos	sed rate increase upon the various customer classes.
17	Q.	Please list the exhibits y	you are sponsoring that accompany this testimony.
18	A.	Exh. PJA-2 Pr	oposed tariff sheets
19		Exh. PJA-3 M	onthly and annual residential bill impacts
20 21			esidential and non-residential bill impacts at varying levels of age

#### II. PROPOSED TARIFF

1	Q.	Would you please explain what is contained in Exh. PJA-2?
2	A.	Yes. Exh. PJA-2 contains a copy of the Company's proposed tariff sheets that are being
3		presented in this case.
4	Q.	Did you rely on data or information provided by other witnesses to prepare the
5		tariff sheets?
6	А.	Yes. As I discuss in greater detail below, I relied on testimony and exhibits provided by
7		Company witnesses Isaac Myhrum and Maryalice Gresham.
8	Q.	What substantive changes is the Company making to its Tariff?
9	А.	The Company is filing the following revised Sheets:
10		• Eighth Revision Sheet No. 25
11		• Sixty-Fourth Revision Sheet No. 503
12		• Forty-Eighth Revision Sheet No. 504
13		• Forty-Eighth Revision Sheet No. 505
14		• Sixty-Sixth Revision Sheet No. 511
15		• Fifty-Ninth Revision Sheet No. 570
16		• Tenth Revision Sheet No. 663-A
17	Q.	Please explain the changes that are non-housekeeping in nature.
18	А.	Cascade proposes revising Schedule Nos. 503, 504, 505, 511, 570, and 663 to include
19		changes to rates, as discussed in the testimony of Company witnesses Isaac Myhrum and
20		Maryalice Gresham, Exh. IDM-1T and Exh. MCG-1T, respectively. In addition, the

1		Company proposes to update Rule 21, Decoupling Mechanism, which is also discussed in
2		Company witness Isaac Myhrum's testimony.
3	Q.	Is the Company proposing any other revisions to the rates or values reflected in the
4		Tariff?
5	A.	Yes. The Company proposes that the Gross Revenue Fee in Rate Schedule 663 decrease
6		from 4.454 percent to 4.423 percent, consistent with the changes to the percentage
7		applied to bills to cover the costs for uncollectibles, state Business and Operating
8		("B&O") tax, and Commission fees, as shown in the third exhibit to the Direct Testimony
9		of Company witness Maryalice Gresham's Exh. MCG-4.
10	Q.	Are there any other Tariff changes?
11	A.	No, there are not.
		III. CUSTOMER BILL IMPACTS
12	Q.	III. CUSTOMER BILL IMPACTS Please describe the bill impacts for residential customers under Cascade's rate
12 13	Q.	
	<b>Q.</b> A.	Please describe the bill impacts for residential customers under Cascade's rate
13		Please describe the bill impacts for residential customers under Cascade's rate design proposal.
13 14		Please describe the bill impacts for residential customers under Cascade's rate design proposal. The average monthly increase for a residential customer served on rate schedule 503 under
13 14   15		Please describe the bill impacts for residential customers under Cascade's ratedesign proposal.The average monthly increase for a residential customer served on rate schedule 503 underthe Company's proposed rate design is \$2.889. The monthly and annual bill impacts for a
13 14 15 16		Please describe the bill impacts for residential customers under Cascade's rate design proposal. The average monthly increase for a residential customer served on rate schedule 503 under the Company's proposed rate design is \$2.889. The monthly and annual bill impacts for a typical residential customer using 646 therms per year are shown in Exh. PJA-3. The
13 14 15 16 17		Please describe the bill impacts for residential customers under Cascade's rate design proposal. The average monthly increase for a residential customer served on rate schedule 503 under the Company's proposed rate design is \$2.889. The monthly and annual bill impacts for a typical residential customer using 646 therms per year are shown in Exh. PJA-3. The average monthly residential bill impacts are depicted on Exh. PJA-3, page 1, and bill
13 14 15 16 17 18	A.	Please describe the bill impacts for residential customers under Cascade's rate design proposal. The average monthly increase for a residential customer served on rate schedule 503 under the Company's proposed rate design is \$2.8§9. The monthly and annual bill impacts for a typical residential customer using 646 therms per year are shown in Exh. PJA-3. The average monthly residential bill impacts are depicted on Exh. PJA-3, page 1, and bill impacts over varying monthly levels of usage are presented on Exh. PJA-4, page 1.

1	Q.	Please describe the bill impacts for commercial customers under Cascade's rate
2		design proposal.
3	A.	The average monthly increase for a commercial customer served on rate schedule 504
4		under the Company's proposed rate design is $\frac{12.2312.21}{12.21}$ . The bill impacts over varying
5		monthly levels of usage for a commercial customer are presented on Exh. PJA-4.
6	Q.	Please describe the bill impacts for firm industrial, large volume general,
7		interruptible, and non-core industrial service.
8	A.	Firm industrial customers on rate schedule 505 will experience an average rate increase
9		of \$59.8559.73, under the proposed rate design. Large volume general service customers
10		on rate schedule 511 will experience an average rate increase of \$461.16460.27, under
11		the proposed rate design. Interruptible service customers on rate schedule 570 will
12		experience an average rate increase of $360.20359.50$ , under the proposed rate design.
13		Finally, non-core industrial transport service customers on rate schedule 663 will
14		experience an average rate increase of \$1,771.871,768.44. The bill impacts over varying
15		monthly levels of usage for firm industrial, large volume general, interruptible, and non-
16		core industrial service are presented in Exh. PJA-4.
17	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?

18 A. Yes.