

**Exh. JES-3
Dockets UE-170033/UG-170034
Witness: Jennifer E. Snyder**

**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON
UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

**WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,**

Complainant,

v.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY,

Respondent.

**DOCKETS UE-170033 and
UG-170034 (*Consolidated*)**

**EXHIBIT TO
TESTIMONY OF**

Jennifer E. Snyder

**ON BEHALF OF STAFF OF
WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

PSE Response to Staff Data Request No. 394

June 30, 2017

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

**Dockets UE-170033 and UG-170034
Puget Sound Energy
2017 General Rate Case**

WUTC STAFF DATA REQUEST NO. 394

WUTC STAFF DATA REQUEST NO. 394:

Has the addition of the battery system reduced any system operating expenses? For example, does use of the battery for back-up power avoid specific operating expenses?

Response:

The facility is currently less than one-year old so Puget Sound Energy (“PSE”) is still evaluating the battery system’s impact to operating expenses. PSE should know more based on the recommendations from Pacific Northwest National Laboratory once use case testing is complete.