

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. BACKGROUND1

III. SUMMARY OF THE CASE.....5

IV. ARGUMENT.....8

 A. PacifiCorp Has Not Submitted Sufficient Evidence to Meet Its Burden of Proof.....8

 B. The Company’s Requested Relief Violates the Rate Plan.....11

 C. The Commission Should Not Amend the Rate Plan to Allow PacifiCorp to Eliminate Bill Credits or Impose a Surcharge13

 D. PacifiCorp’s Proposed Deferred Account is Inconsistent with the Commission’s Deferred Accounting Standards16

 1. Given the Lack of Statutory Authority to Establish and Approve Deferred Accounting, the Commission Must Limit its Use to Truly Extraordinary Events.....16

 2. PacifiCorp Fails to Identify Any Extraordinary Event Warranting Deferred Accounting.....18

 3. The Petition is Unrelated to Power Cost Increases but is Actually a Request to Boost the Company’s Earnings and Shift the Risk of Power Cost Changes to Ratepayers19

 4. The Deferral Request Violates the Rate Plan Stipulation.....21

 E. PacifiCorp’s Rates Adequately Recover the Company’s Power Costs.....21

 1. PacifiCorp’s Methodology Ignores the Effects of Load Growth22

 2. PacifiCorp Already Recovers the Majority of the Excess Power Costs in Rates24

F.	PacifiCorp Does Not Meet the Standard for Interim or Extraordinary Rate Relief	26
1.	The Commission Does Not Provide Extraordinary Rate Relief Absent Truly Extraordinary Financial Conditions	26
2.	PacifiCorp Is Not Experiencing A Financial Emergency, a Financial Disaster or Gross Inequity.....	28
G.	The Commission Should Consider PacifiCorp’s Actual, Overall Financial Condition, Not Its Washington-Only Operations	33
1.	PacifiCorp’s Washington-Only Operations are Not Experiencing a Financial Emergency	34
2.	PacifiCorp is Not Experiencing Gross Inequity or Unfairness	35
V.	CONCLUSION.....	37

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co. v. Hall, 453 U.S. 571 (1981).20

GTE Northwest Inc. v. Whidbey Telephone Co., Docket No. UT-950277,
Fifth Suppl. Order (April 2, 1996).9, 10

Hearde v. City of Seattle, 26 Wash. App. 219 (1980)17

Illinois Bell Telephone Co. v. Federal Communications Com’n, 966 F.2d
1478 (D.C. Cir. 1992)20

Lynott v. National Union Fire Ins. Co., 123 Wash. 2d 678 (1994)11

Philadelphia Elec. Co. v. Pa. Pub. Util. Com’n, 502 A.2d 722 (Pa. 1985).....17

Re Avista, Docket No. UG-001980, Order (Dec. 27, 2000).....17, 18

Re Avista, Docket No. UE-010395, Sixth Suppl. Order (Sept. 24, 2001).....33

Re Avista Corp. et al., Docket Nos. UE-991255, UE-991262, UE-991409,
Second Suppl. Order (March 6, 2000)15

Re PacifiCorp and Scottish Power PLC, UE-981627, Fifth Suppl. Order
(Oct. 14, 1999)15

Re Puget Sound Energy Co., Docket No. UE-980877, Order Authorizing
Accounting Treatment (July 8, 1998)17, 18

Re Puget Sound Energy Co., Docket No. UE-010410, Order Denying
Petition (Nov. 9, 2001).....17

Re Puget Sound Power & Light Co., Docket Nos. UE-920433,
UE-920499, UE-921262, Eleventh Suppl. Order (Sept. 21, 1993).....9

Re Puget Sound Power & Light Co., Docket Nos. UE-951270,
UE-960195, Fourteenth Suppl. Order (Feb. 5, 1997)18

Re Sure-Way Incinerator, Docket No. GA-868, Order (Feb. 12, 1992)15

Riss v. Angel, 80 Wash. App. 553 (1996)11

<u>Standard Oil Co. of Cal. v. Dep’t of Pub. Works</u> , 185 Wash. 235 (1936).....	17
<u>WUTC v. Alderton-Mc Millan Water System, Inc.</u> , Docket No. UW-911041, First Suppl. Order (June 3, 1992).....	27
<u>WUTC v. American Water Resources Inc.</u> , Docket No. UW-000405, Final Order (March 30, 2001)	10
<u>WUTC v. Avista</u> , Docket Nos. UE-991606, UG-991607 Third Suppl. Order (Sept. 29, 2000).....	9
<u>WUTC v. Cascade Natural Gas Corp.</u> , Cause No. U-74-20, Second Suppl. Order (July 23, 1974).....	27
<u>WUTC v. Pacific Northwest Bell Telephone Co.</u> , Cause No. U-72-30, Second Suppl. Order (Oct. 10, 1972).....	27, 30, 31
<u>WUTC v. Pacific Northwest Bell Telephone Co.</u> , Cause No. U-75-40, Second Suppl. Order (Sept. 26, 1975)	30, 33
<u>WUTC v. PacifiCorp</u> , Docket No. UE-991832, Third Suppl. Order (Aug. 9, 2000)	2
<u>WUTC v. PacifiCorp</u> , Docket No. UE-991832, Fourth Suppl. Order (Dec. 27, 2000)	2
<u>WUTC v. PacifiCorp</u> , Docket No. UE-991832, Sixth Suppl. Order (Dec. 21, 2001)	2
<u>WUTC v. PacifiCorp</u> , Docket No. UE-991832, Seventh Suppl. Order (Dec. 10, 2002).....	2
<u>WUTC v. PacifiCorp</u> , Docket No. UE-020417, Second Suppl. Order (Aug. 21, 2002)	3
<u>WUTC v. PacifiCorp</u> , Docket No. UE-020417, Third Suppl. Order (Sept. 27, 2002)	3, 4
<u>WUTC v. PacifiCorp</u> , Docket No. UE-0204017, Fifth Suppl. Order (Dec. 9, 2002)	8

<u>WUTC v. Pacific Power & Light Co.</u> , Cause No. U-84-65, Fourth Suppl. Order (Aug. 2, 1985).....	8
<u>WUTC v. Puget Sound Energy Co.</u> , Docket Nos. UE-011163, UE-011170, Sixth Suppl. Order (Oct. 24, 2001)	25, 27, 28, 31, 32
<u>WUTC v. Puget Sound Power & Light Co.</u> , Cause No. U-81-41, Order (March 12, 1982).....	17
<u>WUTC v. Richardson Water Cos.</u> , Docket No. U-88-2294, Second Suppl. Order (Nov. 10, 1988).....	32
<u>WUTC v. Washington Exchange Carrier Ass'n</u> , Docket No. UT-971140, Fifth Suppl. Order (Oct. 30, 1998).....	8
<u>WUTC v. Washington Water Power Co.</u> , Cause No. U-77-53, Second Suppl. Order (Sept. 23, 1977)	31
<u>WUTC v. Washington Water Power Co.</u> , Cause No. U-80-13, Second Suppl. Order (June 2, 1980).....	27, 30, 32, 33
 <u>Statutes</u>	
RCW § 80.04.130(2).....	8
RCW § 80.28.020	17, 36
 <u>Other Authorities</u>	
Charles F. Phillips, Jr., <u>The Regulation of Public Utilities</u> 182 (1984)	36
Or. Op. Att’y Gen. No. OP-6076 (March 18, 1987).....	17