

Summary of Qwest's 271 Performance Results

Nov - Feb 02 Results

State: <u>Regional</u>

<u>Legend (based on number of "misses" in the 4-month period):</u>

Classifications:	Α	_	В	_	С	_	D
0 to 1 miss =	Clearly Satisfies Checklist	2 misses or miss last mo. w/ data =	<u>Results</u>	3 or 4 misses w/ analysis =	Range of <u>Results</u>	3 or 4 misses =	5
<u>Conclusions:</u>	Clearly Satisfies Checklist Item		SUPPORTS Satisfying Checklist		CONDITIONALLY Supports Checklist		Adds No Support to Checklist

Low Volume Indications:

Cells that are color-coded per Vol. < 30 = Vol. < 10 = No Activity = No		Vol. < 10 = No Activity = -
---	--	-----------------------------

CHECKLIST ITEM 1 - INTERCONNECTION



State: <u>Regio</u>	onal	<u>Nov - Feb 02 Results</u> <u>P R O V I S I O N I N G</u>					
		OP-3	OP-4	OP-5	OP-6A	OP-6B	
Product	Category	Commitments	Intervals	New Svc Trouble	Delays/Non-Facil.	Delays/Facilities	
LIS Trunks	Zone 1			96.1% - 99.0%		1.0 64.0 days 82.5 days	
	Zone 2			97.6%			
	Category	NI-1A To Tandem Ofcs	NI-1B To End Offices	Upward trend Last 2 mos. at parity Performance is very strong		Identify only because there is no retail comparable data. Only two circuits delayed for facility reasons anywhere in the region in the last six months.	
LIS Trunks	Statewide			TRUNK BLOCK			
			<u>R E P</u>	AIR		Must go to additional decimal places to see disparity between wholesale and retail performance. In Feb. where	
		MR-5	MR-6	MR-7	MR-8	the disparity existed, CLECs experienced 2 troubles for	
Product	Category	Cleared < 4 hours	Mean Time Restore	Repeat Reports	Trouble Rate	every 10,000 trunks in service. On the other hand, retail	
LIS Trunks	Zone 1				0.0% - 0.0%	experienced 1 trouble for every 10,000 trunks in service. This	
	Zone 2				0.0%	is oustanding performance.	

CHECKLIST ITEM 1 - COLLOCATION



State: <u>Regional</u>

Nov - Feb 02 Results

INSTALLATION

		CP- 1	CP-2
Product	Category	Installation Intervals	Installation Commitments
	Forecasted (A)		
Collocation	Unforecasted (B)		
	Major Infrastructure _(C)		
	Infrastructure (c)		

Benchmarks

90%

FEASIBILITY STUDIES

		CP-3	CP-4
Product	Category	Feasibility Intervals	Feasibility Commitments
Collocation	Statewide		

CHECKLIST ITEM 2 - Gateway Availability



State: Regional

Nov - Feb 02 Results

Gateway Availability

Measure	Description	Benchmark	Result
GA-1 A	IMA-GUI AII	99.25%	
GA-1 B	IMA-GUI Fetch-n-Stuff	99.25%	
GA-1 C	IMA-GUI Data Arbiter	99.25%	
GA-2	IMA-EDI	99.25%	
GA-3	EB-TA	99.25%	
GA-4	EXACT	99.25%	
GA-5	FOM	99.25%	
GA-6	GUI Repair	99.25%	

CHECKLIST ITEM 2 - Change Management



State: Regional

Nov - Feb 02 Results

Change Management

Measure	Description	Benchmark	Result
GA-7	Timely Outage Resolution Following Software Releases	95% within 48 hours	
PO-16	Timely Release Notifications	92.5%	

CHECKLIST ITEM 2 - PRE-ORDER

State: Regional



Nov - Feb 02 Results

Query/Res	ponse			Pre-	Order Trans	nsaction Types			
Indicators		1-Appoint. Sched.	2-Service Avail.	3-Facility Check	4-Addr. Validation	5-Get CSR		7-Loop Qualif.	8-DSL Loop
PO-1	(A) IMA								
PU-1	(B) EDI								
	Benchmarks:	10 sec	25 sec	25 sec	10 sec	12.5 sec	10 sec	20 sec	20 sec
Timeouts			Benchmarks:	_					
PO-1C	(1) IMA		0.5%				This interval	must be assessed	in the
F0-10	(2) EDI		0.5%			/	context of sta	andard intervals. eopardy notificatio	In each
Reject Not	ifications	1-Manual	2-Auto	Benchmarks : Manual: 12 business hours UNE-P intervals prevent e		diately. The short	resale and		
	(A) IMA				Auto-rejects: 18 se	econds	notification.		
PO-3	(B) EDI							Mo. Avg. = 16.4 CLECs vs. 15.3% f	
	(C) Fax		·····>		Benchmark: 24/h	ours	customers		
Firm Order (Confirmations	A-Fully E	lectronic	B-Electro	nic/Maņual	PO-5C		PO-5D]
PO-5 - FOC	Timeliness	1 - IMA	2 -EDI	1 - IMA	2 - EDI	FAX		EXACT	
(a) Resale							LIS:		*
(b) Unbund	led Loops				/				
(c) LNP					/				
	Benchmarks:	95% < 20) minutes	90% < stan	dard intervals	90% < 24 hrs	85%	< 8 bus. Days	
Jeopardy Notifications		(A)POTS	(B) Loops	(C) LIS	(D)UNE-P				
PO-8 Timelii			2.3 - 3.3 days 2.8 days			3.3 - 10.9 days 6.3 days			
PO-9 Percer	าt		2.0 uays	10.6% - 24.4% 16.4%		3.8% - 18.1% 9.3%			
		Standards:	Parity	Parity	Parity	Parity			

CHECKLIST ITEM 2 - FLOW-THROUGH



State: Regional

PO-2A (All LSRs)	Most recent month				
	<u>IMA-GUI</u>	<u>IMA-EDI</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>		
RESALE	66.8%	68.5%	67.13%		
LOOPS	35.7%	54.6%	45.82%		
UNE-P	57.4%	50.9%	55.43%		
LNP	54.3%	60.8%	57.45%		

PO-2B (Flow-through-eligible LSRs)

	<u>IMA-GUI</u>	<u>IMA-EDI</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
RESALE	92.3%	91.3%	92.14%
LOOPS	81.4%	88.4%	85.73%
UNE-P	87.8%	77.6%	84.68%
LNP	97.3%	97.0%	97.17%

1. The results show a general upward trend.

2. The FCC does not consider flow-through to be a "conclusive measure of nondiscriminatory access functions, but as one indicium among many of the performance" of Qwest's OSS. Verizon Massachu para. 77. The FCC recognizes that CLECs can impact heavily the flow-through rates that a BOC car CLECs can achieve high flow-through rates, while other, less-efficient CLECs have lower flow-throug paras. 78, 80. Thus, the FCC has focused less on actual flow-through rates than on whether the BO capable of flowing orders through. Id. paras. 77, 80.

CHECKLIST ITEM 2 - Centers Access



State: Regional

Nov - Feb 02 Results

Pct of calls answered in 20 seconds

Measure	Center	Result
OP-2	Provisioning	
MR-2	Repair	

Standard: Parity with retail

CHECKLIST ITEM 2 - Billing



<u>Nov - Feb 02 Results</u>

Billing

State: Regional

Measure	Description	Standard	Result	
BI-1A	Time to provide usage records - UNE & Resale	Parity		
BI-1B	Time to provide usage records - Switched access	95%		Between Oct. and mid- Jan., Qwest embarked upon a mapping project to ensur
BI-3A	Billing accuracy - adjustments for errors - UNE & Resale	Parity	27.5% - 98.9% 76.2%	Qwest is billing CLECs the correct amount a determined in state commission cost docket This work is now complete as shown by the parity finding in 2002.
BI-3B	Billing accuracy - adjustments for errors - Reciprocal compensation	95%	0.0% - 99.3% 72.0%	Last 2 mos. Above the 95% benchmark Nov. and Dec. below standard because Qw made billing adjustments in those months t correct for historic issues. This issue is nov corrected as Jan. Feb data is above the 959 benchmark
BI-4A	Billing completeness - UNE & Resale	Parity	96.1% - 98.0% 96.9%	A CRM system release that took place on
BI-4B	Billing completeness - Reciprocal compensation	95%		September 29, 2001 had an error in its code that affected LSRs with multiple assoicated service orders. As a result, only the first service order to complete would receive a billing completion notice.
PO-7A (IMA)	Billing completion notification timeliness	Parity	74.0% - 97.8% 90.4%	When corrected and the missing notices were sent, PO-7 captured tham as misses (i.e., late), affecting Nov. and Dec. `01 PO-7 results. Going forward, the problem is corrected, as January and
PO-7B (EDI)	Billing completion notification timeliness	Parity	62.5% - 97.5% 73.7%	February 2002 results bear out.

CHECKLIST ITEM 2 - UNE-PLATFORM



State: Regional Nov - Feb 02 Results PROVISIONING **OP-3 OP-4 OP-5** OP-6A OP-6B Product Disaggreg. Commitments New Svc Trouble Delays/Non-Facil. **Delays/Facilities** Intervals Dispatch i/MSAs UNE-P(POTS) Dispatch o/MSA No Dispatch 5.9 - 6.6 days Dispatch i/MSAs 6.3 days 7.1 - 19.4 days **UNE-P(Centrex)** Dispatch o/MSA 12.3 days 6.0-21.0 days 4.8 - 5.0 days No Dispatch 9.0 davs 5.0 days 72.9% - 91.2% 4 Mo. Avg. = 12.3 days 4 Mo. Avg. = 5.0 days for Very little retail comparative Zone 1 80.1% for CLECs vs. 17.7 days **EELs** CLECs vs. 4.8 days for data; therefore, identify as a 0.0% - 100% for retail precutionary measure. retail Zone 2 66.7% 4 Mo. Avg. = 97.5% for Avg. OP-4 interval = 9.2 days, which is CLECs vs. 98.3% for Appears would be dark blue if substantially faster than DS-1 private line REPAIR retail "no troubles found" were excluded. See MR-7* **MR-3 MR-4 MR-6 MR-7 MR-8 MR-9** Product Disaggreg. Out of Svc<24 hrs All Trbl < 48 hours Mean Time Restore Repeat Reports **Trouble Rate** Appointments 87.0% - 90.2% Dispatch I/ MSAs 88.8% UNE-P(POTS) **Dispatch o/MSA** 17.5% - 23.8% 96.6% - 98.0% No Dispatch 20.5% 97.5% 12.6% - 16.0% Dispatch I/ MSAs 13.8% 0.8% - 0.9% **UNE-P(Centrex)** Dispatch o/MSA 0.8% 14.5% - 17.5% No Dispatch 16.0% Zone 1 **EELs** Zone 2

CHECKLIST ITEM 4 - UNBUNDLED LOOPS



State: Regional

Nov - Feb 02 Results

Last 2 mos. Below 6-day benchmark 7 of last 9 mos. below 6-day		PROVISIONING CLECs vs. 94.69 retail				
benchmark	w o-day	OP-3	OP-4	OP-5	OP-6A	OP-6B
Loop Туре	Categ.	Commitments	Intervals	New Svc Trouble	Delays/Non-Facil.	Delays/Facilities
Analog	Zone 1				3.7 - 10.2 days 8.0 days	
Analog	Zone 2		5.1 - 7.0 days 6.0 days	1		
2-Wire NL	Zone 1					
z-wiie nl	Zone 2					
ISDN-Cap.	Zone 1			92.9% - 94.5%		
15DN-Cap.	Zone 2			93.8%		
ADSL-Cmp.	Zone 1			95.2% - 100.0%		
ADSE-Cilip.	Zone 2			97.7%		
4-Wire NL	Zone 1					
4-WITE INL	Zone 2					
DS1-Cap.	Zone 1	74.2% - 88.0% 84.6%				
	Zone 2					
DS3 +	Zone 1					
<i>U</i> 00 T	Zone 2	-	-		-	-
Line Sharing	No Dispatch				U U	tion interval (OP-4 for CLECs in all n

CHECKLIST ITEM 4 - UNBUNDLED LOOPS



State: Regional

<u>Nov - Feb 02 Results</u> <u>R E P A I R</u>

		MR-3	MR-4	MR-6	MR-7	MR-8
Loop Type	Categ.	Out of Svc<24 hrs	All Trbl < 48 hours	Mean Time Restore	Repeat Reports	Trouble Rate
Analas	Zone 1					
	Zone 2					
	Zone 1					
2-Wire NL	Zone 2					
ISDN Con	Zone 1					
ISDN-Cap.	Zone 2					
ADSI Cmn	Zone 1					
ADSL-Cmp.	Zone 2					
	Dispatch i/ MSAs		88.9% - 100.0% 94.0%	13.3 - 28.1 hours 19.4 hours		
Line Sharing				19.0 - 29.1 hours		1.1% - 2.1% 1.5%
Line Sharing	Dispatch o/MSA			23.2 hours		1.J /0
All MR-4 and MR-6 for lin	No Dispatch			8.6 - 1 5.7 hours 11.6 hours roximately 25% of the		Would be c troubles for
	No Dispatch e sharing: Line Shared lo eriences such troubles ap ave a higher priority in th	89.2% pops experience out of proximately 50% of the e queue to clear; thu	95.7% of service trouble app he time. Line sharing s, it is not surprising e of well under the 24	8.6 - 15.7 hours 11.6 hours roximately 25% of the troubles are also more that these metrics are hour objective.	e complex to isolate. out of parity.	Would be of troubles fo excluded.
All MR-4 and MR-6 for lin comparative (POTS) expe Out of service troubles h Nonetheless, the data sti	No Dispatch e sharing: Line Shared lo eriences such troubles ap ave a higher priority in th	89.2% pops experience out of proximately 50% of the e queue to clear; thu	95.7% of service trouble app he time. Line sharing s, it is not surprising	8.6 - 15.7 hours 11.6 hours roximately 25% of the troubles are also more that these metrics are	e complex to isolate.	Would be c
All MR-4 and MR-6 for lin comparative (POTS) expe Out of service troubles h Nonetheless, the data sti	No Dispatch e sharing: Line Shared lo eriences such troubles ap ave a higher priority in th Il shows 94% of troubles	89.2% pops experience out of proximately 50% of the e queue to clear; thu	95.7% of service trouble app he time. Line sharing s, it is not surprising e of well under the 24 MR-5	8.6 - 15:7 hours 11.6 hours roximately 25% of the troubles are also more that these metrics are hour objective. MR-6 Mean Time Restore 1.6-9.1 hours	e complex to isolate. out of parity. MR-7	Would be c troubles for excluded. MR-8
All MR-4 and MR-6 for lin comparative (POTS) expe Out of service troubles h Nonetheless, the data sti	No Dispatch e sharing: Line Shared le eriences such troubles ap ave a higher priority in th Il shows 94% of troubles Categ.	89.2% pops experience out of proximately 50% of the e queue to clear; thu	95.7% of service trouble app he time. Line sharing s, it is not surprising e of well under the 24 MR-5	8.6 - 15:7 hours 11.6 hours roximately 25% of the p troubles are also more that these metrics are hour objective. MR-6 Mean Time Restore	e complex to isolate. out of parity. MR-7	Would be c troubles for excluded. MR-8
All MR-4 and MR-6 for lin comparative (POTS) expe Out of service troubles he Nonetheless, the data sti Loop Type 4-Wire NL	No Dispatch e sharing: Line Shared lo eriences such troubles ap ave a higher priority in th Il shows 94% of troubles Categ. Zone 1	89.2% pops experience out of proximately 50% of the e queue to clear; thu	95.7% of service trouble app he time. Line sharing s, it is not surprising e of well under the 24 MR-5 Cleared < 4 hours	8.6 - 15:7 hours 11.6 hours roximately 25% of the g troubles are also more that these metrics are t-hour objective. MR-6 Mean Time Restore 1:6-9.1 hours 5:9 hours	e complex to isolate. out of parity. MR-7 Repeat Reports	Would be c troubles for excluded. MR-8 Trouble Rate 2.3% - 4.0%
All MR-4 and MR-6 for lin comparative (POTS) expe Out of service troubles h Nonetheless, the data sti	No Dispatch e sharing: Line Shared le eriences such troubles ap ave a higher priority in th Il shows 94% of troubles Categ. Zone 1 Zone 2	89.2% pops experience out of proximately 50% of the e queue to clear; thu	95.7% of service trouble app he time. Line sharing s, it is not surprising of well under the 24 MR-5 Cleared < 4 hours - 71.4% - 78.7%	8.6 - 15:7 hours 11.6 hours roximately 25% of the troubles are also more that these metrics are hour objective. MR-6 Mean Time Restore 1.6-9.1 hours 5.9 hours - 2.9 - 4.4 hours	e complex to isolate. out of parity. MR-7 Repeat Reports	Would be c troubles for excluded. MR-8 Trouble Rate
All MR-4 and MR-6 for lin comparative (POTS) expe Out of service troubles he Nonetheless, the data sti Loop Type 4-Wire NL	No Dispatch e sharing: Line Shared le priences such troubles ap ave a higher priority in th Il shows 94% of troubles Categ. Zone 1 Zone 2 Zone 1	89.2% pops experience out of proximately 50% of the e queue to clear; thu	95.7% of service trouble app he time. Line sharing s, it is not surprising of well under the 24 MR-5 Cleared < 4 hours - 71.4% - 78.7%	8.6 - 15:7 hours 11.6 hours roximately 25% of the troubles are also more that these metrics are hour objective. MR-6 Mean Time Restore 1.6-9.1 hours 5.9 hours - 2.9 - 4.4 hours	e complex to isolate. out of parity. MR-7 Repeat Reports	Would be c troubles for excluded. MR-8 Trouble Rate 2.3% - 4.0%

CHECKLIST ITEM 4 - Coordinated Cuts

State: Regional



Nov - Feb 02 Results

Coordinated Cutover Timeliness

Loop Туре	OP-13A
Analog	
All Other	
Benchmark	95%

CHECKLIST ITEM 5 - UNBUNDLED TRANSPORT

State: <u>Regional</u>

Nov - Feb 02 Results



PROVISIONING

		OP-3	OP-4	OP-5	OP-6A	OP-6B
Product	Categ.	Commitments	Intervals	New Svc Trouble	Delays/Non-Facil.	Delays/Facilities
UDIT-DS1	Zone 1					
0011-031	Zone 2					
UDIT >DS1	Zone 1					
	Zone 2					

<u>REPAIR</u>

		MR-5	MR-6	MR-7	MR-8
Product	Categ.	Cleared < 4 hours	Mean Time Restore	Repeat Reports	Trouble Rate
UDIT-DS1	Zone 1				
0011-031	Zone 2				
UDIT >DS1	Zone 1				1.9% - 2.2%
	Zone 2				2.1%

CHECKLIST ITEM 7 - 911



State: Regional

Nov - Feb 02 Results

<u>PROVISIONING</u>

		OP-3	OP-4	OP-5	OP-6A	OP-6B
Product	Categ.	Commitments	Intervals	New Svc Trouble	Delays/Non-Facil.	Delays/Facilities
911	Zone 1					
911	Zone 2					

<u>REPAIR</u>

		MR-5	MR-6	MR-7	MR-8
Product	Categ.	Cleared < 4 hours	Mean Time Restore	Repeat Reports	Trouble Rate
911	Zone 1				0.5% - 0.6%
911	Zone 2				0.6%

CHECKLIST ITEMS 8 & 9



State: <u>Regional</u> <u>Nov - Feb 02 Results</u>

Checklist # 8 - Directory Listing

Product	Categ.	DB-1C-1
Listings	Sub- region	
		DB-2C-1
Listings	Region	

(Timeliness)

(Accuracy)

Checklist # 9 - NXX Code Activation

Product	Categ.	NP-1A
NXX Code	State	

(Timeliness)

CHECKLIST ITEM 10 & 11

Qwest.

State: Regional

<u>Nov - Feb 02 Results</u>

|--|

Product	Categ.	DB-1B	
LIDB	State		(Time

eliness)

Checklist # 11 - LNP (Local Number Portability)

		<u>PROVISIOI</u>	VING	
Product	Categ.	OP-8B	OP-8C	OP-17
LNP	State			
		95%	95%	98.25%
		<u>REPAIR</u>		4 Mo. Avg. = 5.9 hour CLECs vs. 6.4 hours fo
Product	Categ.	MR-11	MR-12	retail. Statistical differ are a matter of mere
		Out of Svc<24 hrs	Mean Time Restore	minutes.
LNP	State		3.2 - 7.8 hours 5.9 hours	

Parity

for ences

Parity

CHECKLIST ITEM 13



State: <u>Regional</u>

Nov - Feb 02 Results

Checklist # 13 - Reciprocal Compensation

Product	Categ.	BI-3B	BI-4B]
Reciprocal Compensation	State	0.0% - 99.3% 72.0%		(Billing Accuracy and Completeness)
		(against 95%	Benchmarks)	_
				ve the 95% benchmark elow standard because Qwest

made billing adjustments in those months to correct for historic issues. This issue is now corrected as Jan. Feb data is above the 95% benchmark.

CHECKLIST ITEM 14 - RESALE: Non-designed Products

State: <u>Regional</u>			<u>Nov</u>	Qwest.			
Appears would be dark blue if "no troubles found" were			10 of 1	2 mos. at parity			
	uded. See OP-5*	OP-3	OP-4	OP-5	OP-6A	OP-6B]
Product	Disaggreg.	Commitments	Intervals	New Svc Trouble	Delays/Non-Facil.	Delays/Facilities	
	Dispatch i/MSAs				2.9 - 23.7 days 7.4 days		
Residence	Dispatch o/MSA					6.2 - 26.8 days	ſ
	No Dispatch						
	Dispatch i/MSAs						
Business	Dispatch o/MSA						
	No Dispatch						
	Dispatch i/MSAs		3.7 - 5.2 days 4.8 days				Only 5 orders
Centrex	Dispatch o/MSA			70.0% - 87.9% 79.9%			delayed in the
	No Dispatch						last 4 mos.
	Dispatch i/MSAs						
Centrex-21	Dispatch o/MSA						
	No Dispatch				2:0 - 49.0 days 32.4 days		
	Dispatch i/MSAs						
PBX	Dispatch o/MSA						
	No Dispatch						
	Dispatch i/MSAs						
Basic ISDN	Dispatch o/MSA	-	-			-	100% of
	No Dispatch		3.0 - 5.8 days 3.9 days				commitments met in each of the last 4 mos.

ride the light

CHECKLIST ITEM 14 - RESALE: Non-designed Products

State: <u>Regional</u>			<u>Nov - I</u>	Feb 02 Resu	<u>ılts</u>	Qwe	ide the light St.
Appears this would be dark blue if "no troubls found" were excluded. See MR-7*		7 of last 8 mos. at parity 4.1 hour avg. is outstanding as compared to objective				11 of 12 mos. at parity	
		MR-3	MR-4	MR-6	MR-7	MR-8	MR-9
Product	Disaggreg.	Out-of Svc<24 hrs	All Trbl < 48 hours	Mean Time Restore	Repeat Reports	Trouble Rate	Appointments
	Dispatch i/ MSAs						
Residence	Dispatch o/MSA						
	No Dispatch		4				
	Dispatch i/ MSAs						
Business	Dispatch o/MSA					0.6% - 0.8% 0.7%	
	No Dispatch				16.9% - 20.8% 19.1%		
	Dispatch i/ MSAs						
Centrex	Dispatch o/MSA					0.6% - 0.7% 0.6%	
	No Dispatch				16.0% - 20.4% 17.4%		
	Dispatch i/ MSAs						
Centrex-21	Dispatch o/MSA						
	No Dispatch			2.9 - 6.5 hours 4.1 hours			
	Dispatch i/ MSAs						
PBX	Dispatch o/MSA						
	No Dispatch				14.9% - 24.2% 18.1%		
	Dispatch i/ MSAs						-
Basic ISDN	Dispatch o/MSA						-
	No Dispatch						-
Qwest DSL	Zone 1						
	Zone 2	-	-	-	-		10 of 12 mos. a

CHECKLIST ITEM 14 - RESALE: Designed Products

State: Regional

4 Mo. Avg. = 39.3

days for CLECs vs.

PROVISIONING Qwest.



Nov - Feb 02 Results

37.3 days for retail						
		OP-3	OP-4	OP-5	OP-6A	OP-6B
Product	Categ.	Commitments	Intervals	New Svc Trouble	Delays/Non-Facil.	Delays/Facilities
	Zone 1					
Pri ISDN	Zone 2		7.3 - 54.3 days 39.3 days			
DS0	Zone 1	46.2% - 100 . 0% 62.2%				
	Zone 2					
DS1	Zone 1			0.0% - 27.8%		
	Zone 2			12.3%	1.7 - 17.7 days 7.5 days	
DS3	Zone 1	- /	-		- /	-
	Zone 2	- /	-	_	- /	-
Frame Rel.	Zone 1		-			
	Zone 2	7	-		-/	-
Qwest DSL	Zone 1					
	Zone 2					
	11 of 12 mos. a	bs. at parity CLECs vs. 11.8 days for retail				

CHECKLIST ITEM 14 - RESALE: Designed Products

State: Regional

<u>REPAIR</u>



Nov - Feb 02 Results

		MR-5	MR-6	MR-7	MR-8
Product	Categ.	Cleared < 4 hours	Mean Time Restore	Repeat Reports	Trouble Rate
Pri ISDN	Zone 1				0.0% - 0.3%
	Zone 2				0.1%
	Zone 1				
DS0	Zone 2		1.5 - 3.9 hours 2.7 hours		
DS1	Zone 1				0.5% - 3.8%
031	Zone 2			18.2% - 55.3% 37.6%	0.8%
DS3	Zone 1	-	-	- /	_
033	Zone 2	-	-	- /	-
Frame Rel.	Zone 1				
	Zone 2	-	-	- /	
	All mos, well wit objective	hin 4 hour	9 of 12 mos. a	t parity	