

Summary of Qwest's 271 Performance Results

Nov - Feb 02 Results

State: Washington

<u>Legend (based on number of "misses" in the 4-month period):</u>

| Classifications: | Α | | В | | С | | D |
|------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|------------|------------------|----------|----------------|
| | | 2 misses or 1 | | | | | |
| | | miss in last | Range of | 3 or 4 | | 3 or 4 | Range of |
| 0 to 1 miss = | Clearly Satisfies | mo. w/ data = | <u>Results</u> | misses w/ | Range of Results | misses = | <u>Results</u> |
| | Checklist | | 4-mo. Avg. | analysis = | 4-mo. Avg. | | 4-mo. Avg. |
| Conclusions: | Clearly Satisfies | | SUPPORTS | | CONDITIONALLY | | Adds No |
| | Checklist Item | | Satisfying | | Supports | | Support to |
| | | | Checklist | | Checklist | | Checklist |

Low Volume Indications:

| Cells that are color-coded per | Vol. < 30 = | Vol. < 10 = | | No Activity = | |
|---|-------------|-------------|-----|---------------|---|
| classifications B, C, or D above and have | | | | | _ |
| low volumes are marked as shown at right: | | | | | - |
| | | را | / \ | | |

CHECKLIST ITEM 1 - INTERCONNECTION



State: Washington Nov - Feb 02 Results
PROVISIONING

| | | OP-3 | OP-4 | OP-5 | OP-6A | OP-6B |
|------------|----------|-------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Product | Category | Commitments | Intervals | New Svc Trouble | Delays/Non-Facil. | Delays/Facilities |
| LIS Trunks | Zone 1 | | | | | |
| | Zone 2 | | | | | |

| | | NI-1A | NI-1B |
|------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|
| | Category | To Tandem Ofcs | To End Offices |
| LIS Trunks | Statewide | | |

TRUNK BLOCKING

REPAIR

| | | MR-5 | MR-6 | MR-7 | MR-8 |
|------------|----------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Product | Category | Cleared < 4 hours | Mean Time Restore | Repeat Reports | Trouble Rate |
| LIS Trunks | Zone 1 | | | | 0.0% - 0.0% |
| LIS Trunks | Zone 2 | | | | 0.0% |

Must go to additional decimal places to see disparity between wholesale and retail performance. In Feb. where the disparity existed, CLECs experienced 2 troubles for every 10,000 trunks in service. On the other hand, retail experienced 1 trouble for every 10,000 trunks in service. This is oustanding

CHECKLIST ITEM 1 - COLLOCATION



State: Washington Nov - Feb 02 Results

INSTALLATION

| | | CP- 1 | CP-2 |
|-------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Product | Category | Installation Intervals | Installation Commitments |
| | Forecasted (A) | | ı |
| Collocation | Unforecasted (B) | | |
| | <i>Major Infrastructure</i> (c) | - | |

Benchmarks 90%

FEASIBILITY STUDIES

| | | CP-3 | CP-4 |
|-------------|-----------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Product | Category | Feasibility Intervals | Feasibility Commitments |
| Collocation | Statewide | | |

CHECKLIST ITEM 2 - Gateway Availability



State: Washington Nov - Feb 02 Results

Gateway Availability

| Measure | Description | Benchmark | Result |
|---------|-----------------------|-----------|--------|
| GA-1 A | IMA-GUI AII | 99.25% | |
| GA-1 B | IMA-GUI Fetch-n-Stuff | 99.25% | |
| GA-1 C | IMA-GUI Data Arbiter | 99.25% | |
| GA-2 | IMA-EDI | 99.25% | |
| GA-3 | EB-TA | 99.25% | |
| GA-4 | EXACT | 99.25% | |
| GA-5 | FOM | 99.25% | |
| GA-6 | GUI Repair | 99.25% | |

CHECKLIST ITEM 2 - Change Management



State: Washington Nov - Feb 02 Results

Change Management

| Measure | Description | Benchmark | Result |
|---------|--|------------------------|--------|
| GA-7 | Timely Outage Resolution Following Software Releases | 95% within 48 hours | |
| PO-16 | Timely Release Notifications | 92.5% | |

CHECKLIST ITEM 2 - PRE-ORDER



State: Washington Nov - Feb 02 Results

| | <u> </u> | | | 1 010 02 11 | | | | | |
|------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------------------|--|---------------|
| Query/Res | <u>ponse</u> | | | Pre-0 | Order Trans | action Typ | es | | |
| Indicators | Categ. | 1-Appoint. Sched. | 2-Service Avail. | 3-Facility Check | 4-Addr. Validation | 5-Get CSR | 6-Tel. No. Reserv. | 7-Loop Qualif. | 8-DSL Loop |
| PO-1 | (A) IMA | | | | | | | | |
| | (B) EDI | | | | | | | | |
| | Benchmarks: | 10 sec | 25 sec | 25 sec | 10 sec | 12.5 sec | 10 sec | 20 sec | 20 sec |
| Timeouts | | | Benchmarks: | - | | | | | |
| PO-1C | (1) IMA | | 0.5% | | | | | | |
| 1010 | (2) EDI | | 0.5% | | | | | | |
| Reject Not | ifications | 1-Manual | 2-Auto | Benchmarks : | Manual: 12 busir | ness hours | | | |
| | (A) IMA | | | | Auto-rejects: 18 | seconds | | | |
| PO-3 | (B) EDI | | | | _ | | | | |
| | (C) Fax | | ·> | | Benchmark: 24 | hours | | | |
| Firm Order | Confirmations | A-Fully E | lectronic | B-Electror | nic/Manual | PO-5C | | PO-5D | |
| PO-5 - FOC | Timeliness | 1 - IMA | 2 -EDI | 1 - IMA | 2 - EDI | FAX | | EXACT | |
| (a) Resale | | | | | | | LIS: | | |
| (b) Unbund | led Loops | | | | | | | | |
| (c) LNP | | | | | | | " | | |
| | Benchmarks: 95% < 20 minutes | | 90% < stand | lard intervals | 90% < 24 hrs | 85% | < 8 bus. Days | | |
| Jeopardy Notifications | | (A)POTS | (B) Loops | (C) LIS | (D)UNE-P | | | Falaman | |
| PO-8 Timeliness | | | | | 0.0 - 0.0 days | | Qwe | low volumes. In st provided one je | opardy |
| PO-9 Percent | | | | | | | while | ication on the acture the one retail jed | opardy had a |
| | | | | | | | 20-d | lay advanced warr | ning. |

Parity

Parity

Parity

Parity

Standards:

CHECKLIST ITEM 2 - FLOW-THROUGH



State: Washington

PO-2A (All LSRs)

Most recent month

| | <u>IMA-GUI</u> | <u>IMA-EDI</u> | <u>TOTAL</u> | <u>Perf. Obj.</u> |
|--------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------|
| RESALE | 65.2% | 4.3% | 64.53% | Diagnostic |
| LOOPS | 27.7% | 51.1% | 40.82% | Diagnostic |
| UNE-P | 45.3% | 48.3% | 45.96% | Diagnostic |
| LNP | 55.1% | 64.2% | 60.35% | Diagnostic |

1 of 2 orders flowed through

PO-2B (Flow-through-eligible LSRs)

| | <u>IMA-GUI</u> | <u>IMA-EDI</u> | <u>/ TOTAL</u> | <u>Perf. Obj.</u> |
|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| RESALE | 92.7% | 50.0% | 92.75% | 90% |
| LOOPS | 75.4% | 78.4% | 77.44% | 70% |
| UNE-P | 78.1% | 71.4% | 76.36% | 75% |
| LNP | 97.3% | 97.9% | 97.69% | 90% |

Only 25% of orders submitted through the EDI interface.

- 1. The results show a general upward trend.
- 2. PO-2 is a diagnostic measurement. The FCC does not consider flow-through to be a "conclusive measure of nondiscriminatory access to ordering functions, but as one indicium among many of the performance" of Qwest's OSS. Verizon Massachusetts Order at para. 77. The FCC recognizes that CLECs can impact heavily the flow-through rates that a BOC can achieve -- efficient CLECs can achieve high flow-through rates, while other, less-efficient CLECs have lower flow-through rates. Id. at paras. 78, 80. Thus, the FCC has focused less on actual flow-through rates than on whether the BOC's OSS are capable of flowing orders through. Id. paras. 77, 80.
- 3. Qwest shows the "total" volume column because of the very low volumes with the EDI interface for some products.

CHECKLIST ITEM 2 - Centers Access



State: Washington Nov - Feb 02 Results

Percent of calls answered in 20 seconds

| Measure | Center | Result |
|---------|--------------|--------|
| OP-2 | Provisioning | |
| MR-2 | Repair | |

Standard: Parity with retail

CHECKLIST ITEM 2 - Billing



State: Washington Nov - Feb 02 Results

Billing

| Measure | Description | Standard | Result |
|-------------|---|----------|------------------------|
| BI-1A | Time to provide usage records - UNE & Resale | Parity | |
| BI-1B | Time to provide usage records - Switched access | 95% | |
| BI-3A | Billing accuracy - adjustments for errors - UNE & Resale | Parity | 56.1% - 100% 86.4% |
| BI-3B | Billing accuracy - adjustments for errors - Reciprocal compensation | 95% | 0.0% - 100.0% 50.0% |
| BI-4A | Billing completeness - UNE & Resale | Parity | 95.7% - 98.6% 97.0% |
| BI-4B | Billing completeness - Reciprocal compensation | 95% | |
| PO-7A (IMA) | Billing completion notification timeliness | Parity | 84.5% - 99.4% 94.9% |
| PO-7B (EDI) | Billing completion notification timeliness | Parity | 90.8% - 98.9% 94.0% |

During the later part of 2001 until January 15, 2001, Qwest completed mapping project to ensure it was billing Commission approved rates. That work is now complete as reflected by the fact that the data has come into parity in 2002.

Nov. and Dec. below standard because Qwest made billing adjustments in those months to correct for historic issues

A CRM system release that took place on September 29, 2001 had an error in its code that affected LSRs with multiple assoicated service orders. As a result, only the first service order to complete would receive a billing completion notice. When corrected and the missing notices were sent, PO-7 captured them as misses (i.e., late), affecting Nov. and Dec. '01 PO-7 results. Going forward, the problem is corrected, as January 2002 results bear out.

CHECKLIST ITEM 2 - UNE-PLATFORM

Dispatch o/MSA

No Dispatch

Zone 1

Zone 2

UNE-P(Centrex)

EELs



State: Washington Nov - Feb 02 Results

PROVISIONING OP-3 OP-4 OP-5 OP-6A OP-6B **Product** Disaggreg. New Svc Trouble Delays/Non-Facil. Delays/Facilities Commitments Intervals Dispatch i/MSAs Dispatch o/MSA **UNE-P(POTS)** 2.5 - 3.0 days No Dispatch 2.9 days Dispatch i/MSAs **UNE-P(Centrex)** Dispatch o/MSA No Dispatch 50.0% - 100% Zone 1 5 of last 7 mos. at parity Appears would be **EELs** 10 of 12 mos. at parity 0.0% - 100% dark blue if "no Zone 2 troubles found" were Ony 17 EELs ordered in excluded. See MR-7* the last 4 mos. in Zone 1 11 of 12 mos. at parity REPAIR and Zone 2 combined. Met a total of 64.7% of **MR-3** MR-9 MR-4 MR-6 **MR-7 MR-8** these EEL orders. **Product** Disaggreg. Out of Svc<24 hrs All Trbl < 48 hours Mean Time Restore Repeat Reports rouble Rate **Appointments** Dispatch I/ MSAs 5.0% - 24.2% 89.3% - 100.0% **UNE-P(POTS)** Dispatch o/MSA 92.8% 16.5% 13.8% - 23.2% 93.0% - 97.2% No Dispatch 19.0% 95.2% Dispatch I/ MSAs

10 of 12 mos. at parity 4 Mo. Avg. > 95% of repair commitments met

0.5% - 0.9%

0.6%

CHECKLIST ITEM 4 - UNBUNDLED LOOPS



State: Washington

Nov - Feb 02 Results

During the 4 months in question, avg. installation interval = 3.5 to 8 days shorter for CLECs.

10 of 12 reported mos. at parity

8 of 11 mos. with reported data below the 6.0 day bechmark

PROVISIONING

| · · | · | | \ | | | |
|--------------|-------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| | | OP-3 | OP-4 | OP-5 | OP-6A | OP-6B |
| Loop Type | Categ. | Commitments | Intervals | New Svc Trouble | Delays/Non-Facil. | Delays/Facilities |
| Analog | Zone 1 | | | | | |
| Analog | Zone 2 | | | | | |
| 2-Wire NL | Zone 1 | | | | | |
| z-wiie NL | Zone 2 | | | | | |
| ICDN Oor | Zone 1 | | | | | |
| ISDN-Cap. | Zone 2 | | | | | |
| ADSL-Cmp. | Zone 1 | | | 88.0% - 100.0% | | |
| | Zone 2 | | 3.0 13.0 days 6.9 days | 97.1% | | |
| A Mina All | Zone 1 | - | - | 80.5% - 94.7% | _ | - |
| 4-Wire NL | Zone 2 | | | 90.7% | | |
| 2010 | Zone 1 | | | | | |
| DS1-Cap. | Zone 2 | 50.0% - 68.8% 62.5% | | | | |
| DS3 + | Zone 1 | - | - | | _ | - |
| | Zone 2 | - | - | <u> </u> | - | - |
| Line Sharing | No Dispatch | | | | | II to FCC's test for |
| | • | | | | para. 309 | on. See NY Order |

CHECKLIST ITEM 4 - UNBUNDLED LOOPS



State: Washington

Nov - Feb 02 Results REPAIR

Not surprising since most line shared troubles are service affecting, not out of service situations and therefore have a lower priority in the queue to repair.

| | | MR-3 | MR-4 | MR-6 | MR-7 | MR-8 |
|-------------------|---|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| Loop Type | Categ. | Out of Svc<24 hrs | All Trbl < 48 hours | Mean Time Restore | Repeat Reports | Trouble Rate |
| Analog | Zone 1 | | | | | |
| Analog | Zone 2 | | | | | |
| 2-Wire NL | Zone 1 | | | | | |
| Z-VVIIG INL | Zone 2 | | | | | |
| ISDN-Cap. | Zone 1 | | | | | |
| ю портичения | Zone 2 | | | | | |
| ADSL-Cmp. | Zone 1 | | | | | |
| ADGE-CITIP. | Zone 2 | | | | | |
| | Dispatch i/ MSAs | | | | | |
| Line Sharing | Dispatch o/MSA | | | | 0.0% - 75.0% 57.1% | |
| • | No Dispatch | | | 6.1 - 12.5 hours 9.6 hours | 15.8% - 33. 3 % 28.0% | |
| | Would be dark blue troubles found" were | | | | 4 Mo. Avg. = objective. | below 4 hour |
| | See MR-7* | | MR-5 | MR-6 | / MR-7 | MR-8 |
| Loop Type | Categ. | | Cleared < 4 hours | Mean Time Restore | Repeat Reports | Trouble Rate |
| 4-Wire NL | Zone 1 | | | | | |
| 4-Wire NL | Zone 2 | | - | - | _ | |
| DS1-Cap. | Zone 1 | | 68.0% - 81.4% 73.8% | 3.3 - 4.4 hours 3.6 hours | | 1.0% - 3.6% |
| <i>ο</i> υ 1-υαρ. | Zone 2 | | | | | 2.3% |
| DC3 . | Zone 1 | | - | - | - | |
| DS3 + | Zone 2 | | - | - | - | - |

CHECKLIST ITEM 4 - Coordinated Cuts & Loop Conditioning

State: Washington



Nov - Feb 02 Results

Coordinated Cutover Timeliness

| Loop Type | OP-13A |
|-----------|--------|
| Analog | |
| All Other | |
| Benchmark | 95% |

Loop Conditioning

| | OP-3 | OP-4 |
|------------|-------------|-----------|
| Category | Commitments | Intervals |
| Zone 1 | | |
| Zone 2 | | |
| Benchmarks | 90% | 16.5 Days |

CHECKLIST ITEM 5 - UNBUNDLED TRANSPORT

State: Washington Nov - Feb 02 Results



PROVISIONING

| | | OP-3 | OP-4 | OP-5 | OP-6A | OP-6B |
|-----------|--------|-------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Product | Categ. | Commitments | Intervals | New Svc Trouble | Delays/Non-Facil. | Delays/Facilities |
| UDIT-DS1 | Zone 1 | | | | | |
| ו בע-וועט | Zone 2 | | | | | |
| UDIT >DS1 | Zone 1 | | | | | 12.0 days |
| | Zone 2 | | | | | |

REPAIR

Only one circuit delayed 12 days in the last 12 mos. Retail delays have averaged well over 30 days. Identify here because no retail comparable data in Feb. when the miss occurred.

| | | MR-5 | MR-6 | MR-7 | MR-8 |
|-----------|--------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Product | Categ. | Cleared < 4 hours | Mean Time Restore | Repeat Reports | Trouble Rate |
| UDIT-DS1 | Zone 1 | | | | |
| ו בע-וועט | Zone 2 | | | | |
| UDIT >DS1 | Zone 1 | | | | 0.5% - 2.0% |
| ODIT >DST | Zone 2 | | | | 1.3% |

CHECKLIST ITEM 7 - 911



State: Washington

Nov - Feb 02 Results

PROVISIONING

| | | OP-3 | OP-4 | OP-5 | OP-6A | OP-6B |
|---------|--------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Product | Categ. | Commitments | Intervals | New Svc Trouble | Delays/Non-Facil. | Delays/Facilities |
| | Zone 1 | - | - | | - , | - |
| 911 | Zone 2 | 0.0% - 0.0% | 17.0 17.0 days | | 7:0-7:0 days 7:0 days | |

Only one 911 trunk ordered in Zone 2 in the last 9 mos.

Qwest provided that order in 17-days, 7-days late. This interval compares well against retail results in prior months; however, Qwest reports this out of an abundance of caution as there was no retail data in Feb., when the miss occurred.

REPAIR

| | | MR-5 | MR-6 | MR-7 | MR-8 |
|---------|--------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Product | Categ. | Cleared < 4 hours | Mean Time Restore | Repeat Reports | Trouble Rate |
| 911 | Zone 1 | | | | |
| 911 | Zone 2 | | | | |

CHECKLIST ITEMS 8 & 9



State: <u>Washington</u> <u>Nov - Feb 02 Results</u>

Checklist # 8 - Directory Listing

| Product | Categ. | DB-1C-1 |
|----------|----------------|---------|
| Listings | Sub- region | |
| | | DB-2C-1 |
| Listings | Region | |

(Timeliness)

(Accuracy)

Checklist # 9 - NXX Code Activation

| Product | Categ. | NP-1A |
|----------|--------|-------|
| NXX Code | State | |

(Timeliness₎

CHECKLIST ITEM 10 & 11



State: <u>Washington</u> <u>Nov - Feb 02 Results</u>

Checklist # 10 - Databases and Signaling

| Product | Categ. | DB-1B |
|---------|--------|-------|
| LIDB | State | |

(Timeliness)

<u>Checklist # 11 - LNP (Local Number Portability)</u> <u>PROVISIONING</u>

| Product | Categ. | OP-8B | OP-8C | OP-17 |
|---------|--------|-------|-------|--------|
| LNP | State | | | |
| | | 95% | 95% | 98.25% |

REPAIR

| Product | Categ. | MR-11 | MR-12 |
|---------|--------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | | Out of Svc<24 hrs | Mean Time Restore |
| LNP | State | | |
| | | Parity | Parity |

CHECKLIST ITEM 13



State: Washington Nov - Feb 02 Results

Checklist # 13 - Reciprocal Compensation

| Product | Categ. | BI-3B | BI-4B | |
|--------------|--------|-------------|-------|------------|
| Reciprocal | State | 0.0% - 100% | | (Billing A |
| Compensation | State | 50.0% | | and Con |

(Billing Accuracy and Completeness)

(against 95% Benchmarks)

Nov. and Dec. below standard because Qwest made billing adjustments in those months to correct for historic issues

CHECKLIST ITEM 14 - RESALE: Non-designed Products





| shorter fo | b. Avg = substantially ter for CLECs than for 8 of 12 mos. at parity PROVISIONING | | | | | | |
|------------|--|-------------|--|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|---|
| retail cus | tomers | OP-3 | OP-4 | OP-5 | OP-6A | OP-6B | |
| Product | Disaggreg. | Commitments | Intervals | New Svc Trouble | Delays/Non-Facil. | Delays/Facilities | |
| | Dispatch i/MSAs | | | | | | |
| Residence | Dispatch o/MSA | | | | | | 1 delayed order of 27 days led |
| | No Dispatch | | 1.4 -\2.9 days 2.0\days | | | | to disparity |
| | Dispatch i/MSAs | | | | 0.5 - 27 days 8.8 days | | |
| Business | Dispatch o/MSA | | | 50.0% - 75.0% 56.0% | <i>y</i> 0.0 day 0 | | |
| | No Dispatch | | | | | | Only 1 order |
| | Dispatch i/MSAs | | 3.5 - 5.2 days 4.2 days | | | 10.0 - 10.0 days | delayed in the last 6 mos. for facility |
| Centrex | Dispatch o/MSA | | | | | | reasons. |
| | No Dispatch | | 3.4 - 3.6 da ys 3.5 days | | | | |
| | Dispatch i/MSAs | - | - | | - | - | |
| Centrex-21 | Dispatch o/MSA | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | No Dispatch | - | - | | - | - | |
| | Dispatch i/MSAs | | | | | | |
| PBX | Dispatch o/MSA | | | | | | |
| | No Dispatch | | | | | | |
| | Dispatch i/MSAs | - | - | | - | - | |
| Basic ISDN | Dispatch o/MSA | - | - | | - | - | |
| | No Dispatch | - | - | | - | - | |
| | Dispatch i/MSAs | | | | | | |
| Qwest DSL | Dispatch o/MSA | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | No Dispatch | | | | | | |

CHECKLIST ITEM 14 - RESALE: Non-designed Products

State: Washington Nov - Feb 02 Results
REPAIR



10 of 12 mos. at parity

| 8 of last | t 9 mos. at parity | | | | | | |
|------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| | | MR-3 | MR-4 | MR-6 | MR-7 | MR-8 | MR-9 |
| Product | Disaggreg. | Out of Svc<24 hrs | All Trbl < 48 hours | Mean Time Restore | Repeat Reports | Trouble Rate | Appointments |
| | Dispatch I/ MSAs | | | | | | |
| Residence | Dispatch o/MSA | | | | | | |
| | No Dispatch | | | | | | |
| | Dispatch I/ MSAs | | | | | | |
| Business | Dispatch o/MSA | | | | | 0.5% - 1.0% 0.7% | |
| | No Dispatch | | | | 11.1% - 25.0% 19.5% | | |
| | Dispatch I/ MSAs | | | | 15.8% - 30 .8 % 20.0% | 0.4% - 0.7% 0.6% | |
| Centrex | Dispatch o/MSA | | | | | | |
| | No Dispatch | | | | | | |
| | Dispatch I/ MSAs | - | | | | | |
| Centrex-21 | Dispatch o/MSA | | | | | | |
| | No Dispatch | - | - | - | - | | - |
| | Dispatch I/ MSAs | - | - | - | | | |
| PBX | Dispatch o/MSA | - | | | | | |
| | No Dispatch | | | | | | |
| | Dispatch I/ MSAs | - | - | - | - | | - |
| Basic ISDN | Dispatch o/MSA | - | - | - | - | | - |
| | No Dispatch | - | - | - | - | | - |
| Owest DCI | Zone 1 | | | | | | |
| Qwest DSL | Zone 2 | - | - | - | - | | |

CHECKLIST ITEM 14 - RESALE: Designed Products

State: Washington

<u>PROVISIONING</u>



Nov - Feb 02 Results

| | | OP-3 | OP-4 | OP-5 | OP-6A | OP-6B |
|-------------|--------|-------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Product | Categ. | Commitments | Intervals | New Svc Trouble | Delays/Non-Facil. | Delays/Facilities |
| ISDN PRI | Zone 1 | - | | 0.0% - 100.0% | | |
| ISDN PRI | Zone 2 | - | - | 66.7% | - | - |
| DS0 | Zone 1 | 0.0% - 0.0% | | | | |
| | Zone 2 | | | | | |
| DS1 | Zone 1 | | | 0.0% - 100.0% | | |
| <i>D</i> 31 | Zone 2 | | | <i>\$</i> 5.6% | | |
| DS3 | Zone 1 | - / | - | _ | - | - |
| D33 | Zone 2 | - / | _ |] - | - | - |
| Frame Rel. | Zone 1 | - / | - | | - | - |
| | Zone 2 | - / | - |] - | - | - |

Would be dark blue if "no troubles found" were excluded. See OP-5*

1 commitment missed in Dec. drove disparity

CHECKLIST ITEM 14 - RESALE: Designed Products

State: <u>Washington</u> <u>REPAIR</u>



Nov - Feb 02 Results

| | | MR-5 | MR-6 | MR-7 | MR-8 |
|------------|--------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Product | Categ. | Cleared < 4 hours | Mean Time Restore | Repeat Reports | Trouble Rate |
| ISDN PRI | Zone 1 | | | | |
| ISDN PKI | Zone 2 | - | - | - | |
| DS0 | Zone 1 | | | | |
| D30 | Zone 2 | | | | |
| DS1 | Zone 1 | | | | 0.3% - 12.3% |
| | Zone 2 | | | | 0.7% |
| DS3 | Zone 1 | - | - | - | |
| ν 33 | Zone 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Frame Rel. | Zone 1 | - | - | - | _ |
| | Zone 2 | - | - | - | - |