

TITLE

Executive Director and Secretary

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2012) (Implements RCW 34.05.320) Do NOT use for expedited rule making

Agency: Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission				
Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR <u>12-09-084</u> Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1).	; or Supplemental Notice to WSR 12-18-018 Continuance of WSR			
Title of rule and other identifying information: (Describe Subject) WAC 480-120-251 Directory service. This rulemaking proposes modifications to, or the elimination of, the requirement that local exchange companies provide each customer a copy of a telephone directory for the customer's exchange area. Docket UT-120451				
Hearing location(s): Commission Hearing Room 206 Second Floor, Richard Hemstad Building 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive S.W. Olympia, WA 98504-7250 Date: February 14, 2013 Time: 9:30 AM	Submit written comments to: Name: Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission Address: 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive S.W. PO Box 47250 Olympia, WA 98504-7250 e-mail records@utc.wa.gov. Please include: "Docket UT-120451" in your comments. fax (360) 586-8203 by (date) January 22, 2013			
Date of intended adoption: February 14, 2013 (Note: This is NOT the effective date)	Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact Debbie Aguilar by January 31, 2013 TTY (360) 586-8203 or (360) 664-1132			
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: The proposal revises the existing rule to require local exchange carriers to distribute white pages telephone directories only to customers who request them. The proposal would minimize the burden on regulated carriers to print and distribute directories and would substantially reduce the amount of waste resulting from disposal of unwanted printed directories. The Supplemental CR-102 amends the proposal so that carriers have the flexibility to comply with their obligation to make basic directory listings available to their local exchange customers either by providing those customers with access to electronic listings and making printed directories available to customers who request them, or by distributing printed directories to all customers except those who request not to receive them. Reasons supporting proposal: Consumers primarily rely on the Internet as a resource for telephone number listings, rendering unnecessary a requirement that companies distribute printed white pages directories except to those customers who request them. Eliminating distribution of unwanted directories also enhances environmental stewardship in cooperation with cities and municipalities by reducing the volume of paper waste that must be processed and disposed of. Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 80.01.040 and RCW Statute being implemented:				
80.04.160	N/A			
Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION: Yes No Yes No No	OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED DATE: December 18, 2012 TIME: 9:40 AM			
DATE December 18, 2012 NAME (type or print)	WSR 13-01-068			
SIGNATURE Signature				

Agency comments or recomments:	ommendations, if ar	y, as to statutory language, implementation, en	forcement, and fiscal
None			
Name of proponent: (pers	on or organization) W	Vashington Utilities and Transportation Commission	☐ Private ☐ Public ☑ Governmental
Name of agency personne	el responsible for:		
Name		Office Location	Phone
Drafting John Cupp		1300 S. Evergreen Pk. Drive SW, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1113
ImplementationDavid W. Da	nner	1300 S. Evergreen Pk. Drive SW, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1208
EnforcementDavid W. Dar	nner	1300 S. Evergreen Pk. Drive SW, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1208
Has a small business economic impact statement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW or has a school district fiscal impact statement been prepared under section 1, chapter 210, Laws of 2012?			
☐ Yes. Attach copy of small business economic impact statement or school district fiscal impact statement.			
A copy of the statement may be obtained by contacting:			
Name:			
Address:			
phone ()			
fax ()			
e-mail			
☑ No. Explain why no statement was prepared.			
The proposed rule will not result in or impose more than minor costs. Because there will not be more than minor increase in costs			
resulting from the proposed rule changes, a SBEIS is not required under RCW 19.85.030(1).			
Is a cost-benefit analysis	required under RCV	/ 34.05.328?	_
•	•		
☐ Yes A preliminary Name:	cost-benefit analysis	may be obtained by contacting:	
Address:			
nhono (
fax ()			
e-mail			
No: Please explain	1:		
The Commission is not an agency to which RCW 34.05.328 applies. The proposed rule is not a significant legislative rule of the sort referenced in RCW 34.05.328(5).			