Docket No. UE-180778 - Vol. II

In the Matter of the Petition of Pacific Power & Light Company

September 4, 2019



206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101

www.buellrealtime.com

email: info@buellrealtime.com



	Page 15	Page
BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION		1 APPEARANCES (Cont.)
In the Matter of the DOCKET UE-180778 Petition of DOCKET UE-180778 Petition of DOCKET UE-180778 Petition of DOCKET UE-180778 PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT COMPANY DOCKET UE-180778 For an Order Approving a Change in Depreciation Rates Applicable to Electric Property DELECTRIC PROPERTY D		FOR PACKAGING CORPORATION OF AMERICA: BRENT COLEMAN Davison Van Cleve PC 1750 SW Harbor Way, Suite 450 Portland, Oregon 97201 (503) 241-7242 blc@dvclaw.com FOR SIERRA CLUB: MATTHEW GERHART Sierra Club 1536 Wynkoop Street, Suite 200 Denver, Colorado 80202 (510) 847-7721 matt.gerhart@sierraclub.org *** *** ***** ****** ****** ******
1 A P P E A R A N C E S 2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: 3 ANDREW O'CONNELL Washington Utilities and Transportation 4 Commission 621 Woodland Square Loop Southeast Lacey, Washington 98503 andrew.j.oconnell@utc.wa.gov 6 FOR COMMISSION STAFF: 8 NASH CALLAGHAN Office of the Attorney General 9 PO Box 40128 Olympia, Washington 98504 (360) 534-4863 nash.callaghan@utc.wa.gov 10 FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL: 11 NINA SUETAKE Office of the Attorney General 14 800 - 5th Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 430-2422 ninas@atg.wa.gov 16 17 FOR PACIFIC POWER: 18 MATTHEW MCVEE ETTA LOCKEY 19 Pacific Power 825 NE Multnomah Street, Suite 2000 Portland. Oregon 97232	Page 16	LACEY, WASHINGTON; SEPTEMBER 4, 2019 11:00 a.m000 PROCEEDINGS JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's be on the record. Good morning. Today is Wednesday, September 4th, 2019 and the time is approximately 11:00 a.m. We're here today for a status conference in Docket UE-180778 regarding Pacific Power & Light Company's petition for an order approving a change in the Company's depreciation rates for electric property. The Commission suspended the procedural schedule in this matter consistent with an unopposed motion from Pacific Power. We set this status conference in order to discuss the reinitiation of the procedural schedule in this matter. My name is Andrew O'Connell. I'm an administrative law judge with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, and I am presiding in
Portland, Oregon 97232 (503) 813-5585 matthew.mcvee@pacificorp.com 22 23 24 25		 this matter along with the Commissioners. To begin, let's take appearances starting with the Company. MR. MCVEE: Matt McVee on behalf of PacifiCorp. Along with me is Etta Lockey, Vice

Page 19 Page 21 1 1 President for regulation for Pacific Power. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Well, in the event 2 2 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. To turn that there isn't an agreement when I come back, the two 3 3 on your microphone, it'll turn green, the little circle dates I'm going to be looking at as discussing are the around the microphone at the bottom. There you go. 4 4 date for response testimony and the date for an 5 5 Okay. Thank you, Mr. McVee. evidentiary hearing. I see all dates kind of falling 6 I'll start next with Staff. 6 around those -- all other dates falling around those 7 7 MR. CALLAGHAN: Nash Callaghan, Assistant two, but let's take some time and we will recess for ten 8 Attorney General, on behalf of Commission Staff. 8 minutes. The time is currently 11:05, so I will come 9 JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Public Counsel? 9 back at 11:15 and we will pick up from there. We will 10 MS. SUETAKE: Nina Suetake on behalf of 10 be off the record. Thank you. 11 Public Counsel. 11 (Recess taken from 11:05 a.m. 12 JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Packaging Corporation 12 until 11:15 a.m.) 13 of America? 13 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's be back on the 14 MR. COLEMAN: Brent Coleman of the law firm 14 record. 15 15 of Davison Van Cleve on behalf of Packaging Corporation. Mr. McVee, can I ask you for an update from 16 JUDGE O'CONNELL: And I note the name change 16 the parties? 17 that we have. It's no longer Boise White Paper, but 17 MR. MCVEE: Yeah, unfortunately, we had some 18 Packaging Corporation of America; is that correct? 18 technical issues in that we didn't realize that we 19 MR. COLEMAN: That is correct. 19 needed to speak into the mics to discuss with people on 20 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Is Mr. Pepple also 20 the phone. 21 21 still a representative? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Oh. 22 22 MR. COLEMAN: Yes. MR. MCVEE: So unfortunately, Sierra Club 23 23 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And for Sierra was not party to the discussion that was going on, so we 24 Club? 24 would like to respectively request that we have another 25 MR. GERHART: Matthew Gerhart representing 2.5 ten minutes. Page 20 Page 22 1 Sierra Club. 1 JUDGE O'CONNELL: I think it's appropriate 2 2 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. to make sure that all the parties can hear the 3 3 So the big topic I want to discuss today is conversation and partake in it, so we will be off the 4 the procedural schedule. Have the parties discussed a 4 record for ten more minutes. I'll return at 11:25. 5 5 procedural schedule? MR. MCVEE: Thank you, Your Honor. 6 MR. CALLAGHAN: So, Your Honor, I don't 6 (Recess taken from 11:16 a.m. 7 believe that all the parties are in agreement about how 7 until 11:26 a.m.) 8 8 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's be on the record, to move forward in this case. 9 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Would the parties 9 then. Can I please have an update on the parties? 10 benefit from having some time to talk now or have you 10 MR. MCVEE: Yeah, Matt McVee for PacifiCorp. 11 already attempted to talk and there's no hope of 11 PacifiCorp is -- requests that this proceeding continue 12 reaching an agreement? 12 to be held in abeyance. We have our IRP we filed 13 13 notifying the Commission that -- or requesting MR. CALLAGHAN: I -- we had some communication through email yesterday. I don't think 14 additional extension to file the IRP. We think that the 14 we'll ultimately reach an agreement if -- if we were to 15 15 coal issues in the IRP will have some effect on this 16 16 proceeding. We do think there is still time because we recess, Your Honor. 17 17 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. did not request rates to be effective until 18 MR. MCVEE: Your Honor, I think maybe if we 18 January 1, 2021. We will be filing our general rate 19 could have ten minutes, we might be able to just discuss 19 case in mid December. And that is the effective date of 20 and make sure where all the parties are. I, you know, 20 the new depreciation -- depreciable lives from the study 21 agree with the attorney for Staff, that I'm not sure we 21 was going to be -- coincide with that rate effective 22 could get everyone, but it might just benefit all 22 date. 23 parties to -- to have maybe five minutes to talk to see 23 We would -- you know, we are open to 24 24 if there's a -- maybe we can develop some consensus or continuing settlement discussions to address certain 25 25 maybe there is a possible resolution. issues in this proceeding -- that have been raised by

Page 23

the parties in this proceeding during the abeyance period or while this is held in abeyance, and we do have an all-state meeting to try to resolve on a comprehensive basis with all of our states, the non-coal lives scheduled for October 22nd.

And so we're continuing to work through this proceeding to get the study and address the issues from representatives and stakeholders from all of our states, and we think that that process can continue to play out and would benefit this proceeding. And then after we go into the rate case, that would provide -- if we hold this proceeding in abeyance, that would allow for consolidation with the rate case, which would align all of the -- the rate effectives and allow all parties to raise issues and potentially reach a settlement.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. You mentioned the Company's IRP. When is that going to be submitted?

MR. CALLAGHAN: October 18th.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Okay. Well, I'm going to take that request into consideration when deciding how we're going to proceed in this matter. But I would like to hear from the other parties to hear their perspective, both on what Pacific Power just proposed and any other thoughts you have on the schedule.

moment and ask a question about the Company's planned GRC. Is there an estimated date when the Company expects to file?

Page 25

MR. MCVEE: Yes, at this point, December 13th is our expected filing date.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Ms. Suetake for Public Counsel?

MS. SUETAKE: Thank you, Your Honor. Public Counsel is concerned about a number of items. One is the need to accelerate coal depreciation, and I don't want to wait on at least that portion, if possible. However, I'm cognizant of the fact that the IRP -- past IRP is coming out and that might have an effect on all of -- everything that we're discussing here.

I am not ready to say yes, just wait until the GRC, but given that we have the all-state meeting coming up in October after the IRP is released, I would be interested in at least waiting until we -- we can talk to everybody, we can see the -- the IRP that's filed, and then maybe we have a little bit better idea of the landscape we're looking at. I feel like right now we're kind of fumbling around trying to make all the pieces fit without actually knowing what the pieces look like.

Oh, in addition, Your Honor, sorry, to add,

Page 24

Let's start with Staff. Mr. Callaghan?
MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
Commission Staff is in agreement. We would like this docket to remain suspended, and hopefully that would allow us to consolidate with the GRC when that is filed in December. There are a few reasons for that.

First, the -- the IRP has been continued.

Originally this docket was suspended in February.

Because the IRP had been continued, it's been continued again, so we think that that is a good reason to continue this out.

Another reason is the recent legislation that was passed this summer. Given that this changes some of the requirements for depreciation, this would mean if we set a separate schedule, procedural schedule in this case, that the Company would have to file supplemental testimony with regard to depreciation on especially some of the coal generation plants anyway.

We also think that if this was consolidated with the GRC, it would allow the parties to consider solutions that -- to the accelerated depreciation issue, which would not be available to us in this accounting petition. Thank you, Your Honor.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you, Mr. Callaghan. Mr. McVee, I need to come back to you for a

Page 26

we're also worried about the fact that this depreciation study was done in 2017, we wouldn't be implementing rates until 2021. There's this question of stale

rates until 2021. There's this question of stale
 information, stale data, and if we go forward with, you

know, waiting until the GRC, would there be an
 opportunity and requirement for the Company to update

the depreciation rates or explain how things have not changed, how and why?

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Well, I think that's a reasonable question to ask. Would the Company plan on updating the depreciation study in its GRC?

MR. MCVEE: I -- we need to discuss that internally within the Company. However, I just want to note that while it was based on the 2017 actual data, which was the -- the newest information when -- that the Company could use, the -- the most recent actual data that the Company could use when it was preparing this case, it was based on forecasts through December 31, 2020. So it's -- the -- the data was updated best based on the information that we had on the time and forecasted forward.

So I mean, as far as the -- the actual information being stale, I mean, it was still forecasted forward and, you know, the -- subsequent as we go through to this process, the subsequent depreciation

Page 27

studies are filed, that will generally kind of true-up those, you know, any -- any errors with the forecast that maybe have been included.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Coleman for Packaging Corporation of America?

MR. COLEMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. PCH shares many of the concerns expressed by Public Counsel. I think we -- we agree and share the concern with respect to the vintage of the -- of the study. We have a concern with respect to the continued delay of the implementation of the new rates and -- and sort of the -- the restriction of the time that that results in -- in the -- so amplification of the effect of the new rates on -- or of the new depreciation lives on -- on the -- and rates with respect to the -- the issues at hand.

I think we would share, to the extent possible, that -- the concept of we can maybe focus on some of the -- some of the coal assets and get -- get that up and -- up and running, we'd appreciate that. I think that -- I understand -- also, I think how much some of these issues overlap, but I'm not necessarily sure that when -- when historically decisions were made to -- to postpone that there was an understanding that

directed to accelerate coal depreciation. But given the IRP is slated to come out in just a little over a month and that -- that IRP might further change the -- how depreciation is handled for coal units, I think from Sierra Club's perspective, it makes sense to -- would make sense to have another status conference the last -- towards the end of October to revisit it.

Page 29

Page 30

With respect to suspending the case until the GRC is filed, at this time Sierra Club doesn't have a position on that. I'm not able to support that right now, but we can support an interim continued suspension until the end of October.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. So I'm going to think on the request whether to suspend -- continue the suspension of this procedural schedule until the next GRC as well as whether a more brief suspension would be justified until October. But at this conference, I want to make sure I understand all of the other positions regarding setting the procedural schedule. And I understand that the IRP is going to come out in October. With that understanding, I would like to hear from the parties who have to file responsive testimony, at least currently in this case, how long those parties need or want in order to file response testimony regarding the depreciation rates.

Page 28

that would also postpone -- postponing A would postpone B.

Not -- certainly not trying to impugn any sort of nefarious actions, but I'm not sure that -- that that was really a comprehensive understanding when the decision was made to, you know, postpone the IRP and then this would also continue to be postponed. And -- and we would just like to see some movement on this particular -- on this case.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: And does PCA have an opinion on whether to wait until after the multistate collaborative that the Company and Public Counsel mentioned?

MR. COLEMAN: I don't -- I can't say for -- I don't find that as like an offensive proposal. That might not be the right word, but so certainly open to the concept, but don't have a strong position on it.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And Sierra Club?
MR. GERHART: Thank you, Your Honor. So the
Sierra Club has a similar position to what I understood

Public Counsel's position -- position to be, which is we

would support continued suspension to wait until October, in particular for us to allow the IRP to be

filed in mid October. Sierra Club is definitely

interested in a prompt implementation of the statutory

Let's start with Staff.

MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. In the previous procedural schedule, the prehearing conference was on December 20th and the Staff, Public Counsel, intervenor's response testimony was due May 16th, so that is about five months. I don't know that we would need that long necessarily, but certainly we would need sufficient time to respond to any supplements that the Company files with respect to the changes in -- in these statutes.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Public Counsel?
MS. SUETAKE: Your Honor, Public Counsel -just so -- Public Counsel and PCA are jointly sponsoring
a witness for this proceeding. We haven't had a chance
to speak to him as to what his schedule looks like. I
don't think we need the entire five months that -- off
the top of my head. That's about as far as I could
promise at this point for the schedule.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And PCA?
MR. COLEMAN: As she -- as noted, we -- we have the same question, we're sharing the -- sharing the same witness, so not -- not sure the lead time that we would need. May need to just sort of check with his -- with his availability. Five months does seem on the long side.

4 (Pages 27 to 30)

	Page 31		Page 33
1	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Sierra Club?	1	JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Sierra Club?
2	MR. GERHART: Thank you, Your Honor. Sierra	2	MR. GERHART: Your Honor, five months out
3	Club doesn't need a full five months because our the	3	from the IRP submittal to the evidentiary hearing would
4	scope of the issues that we're addressing is narrower	4	be fine for us.
5	than what I assumed was the scope for other parties. So	5	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Let me ask the
6	I'm sensitive to the fact that other parties are	6	Company. What does Pacific Power think?
7	interested in a much larger set of issues. We're	7	MR. MCVEE: I think we're in a similar
8	focused primarily on depreciation for the coal units, so	8	situation to PCA and Public Counsel, in that we do have
9	for us something on the order of two months would be	9	to coordinate with our our witnesses. We are using a
10	fine, but we're definitely not the limiting factor here	10	consultant, and so without discussing his schedule, we'd
11	and understand that other parties are going to need more	11	have to we we couldn't commit to any date. But
12	time than we do.	12	five months, we can work around that.
13	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Thank you.	13	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. So I think I
14	I I note that we suspended the schedule	14	understand everyone's all the parties' positions on
15	in this case February 22nd, which was about three months	15	how to proceed. I intend to issue an order early next
16	from the date that response testimony was due and that	16	week with the decision. Is there anything else that we
17	February 22nd was also about five months prior to the	17	need to discuss that the parties would like to add for
18	evidentiary hearing that we had set. If and thinking	18	my consideration?
19	about what procedural schedule we should adopt in this	19	MR. CALLAGHAN: Not at this time, Your
20	matter, if we decide that we want to set an evidentiary	20	Honor.
21	hearing, do the parties think that five months from the	21	MR. MCVEE: Nothing from PacifiCorp, Your
22	date of the IRP is appropriate? Is there should	22	Honor.
23	the should the parties need more time than that?	23	MS. SUETAKE: Nothing from Public Counsel.
24	MR. CALLAGHAN: Your Honor, I don't believe	24	MR. COLEMAN: And nothing from PCA, thank
25	that Staff would. It is the case that certain matters	25	you.
	Page 32		Page 34
1		1	_
1 2	in this case would change with the IRP, but also certain	1 2	JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Sierra Club?
2	in this case would change with the IRP, but also certain matters would stay the same. So I think that five	2	JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Sierra Club? MR. GERHART: Nothing further, Your Honor.
2	in this case would change with the IRP, but also certain matters would stay the same. So I think that five months would be appropriate.	2 3	JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Sierra Club? MR. GERHART: Nothing further, Your Honor. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And then with that,
2 3 4	in this case would change with the IRP, but also certain matters would stay the same. So I think that five months would be appropriate. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Public Counsel?	2 3 4	JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Sierra Club? MR. GERHART: Nothing further, Your Honor. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And then with that, I plan to issue an order early next week, maybe
2 3 4 5	in this case would change with the IRP, but also certain matters would stay the same. So I think that five months would be appropriate. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Public Counsel? MS. SUETAKE: Could I a clarifying	2 3 4 5	JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Sierra Club? MR. GERHART: Nothing further, Your Honor. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And then with that, I plan to issue an order early next week, maybe probably not Monday, but Tuesday or Wednesday. So with
2 3 4 5 6	in this case would change with the IRP, but also certain matters would stay the same. So I think that five months would be appropriate. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Public Counsel? MS. SUETAKE: Could I a clarifying question. Do you mean five months between when the IRP	2 3 4 5 6	JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Sierra Club? MR. GERHART: Nothing further, Your Honor. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And then with that, I plan to issue an order early next week, maybe probably not Monday, but Tuesday or Wednesday. So with that, we'll be off the record. Thank you all.
2 3 4 5 6 7	in this case would change with the IRP, but also certain matters would stay the same. So I think that five months would be appropriate. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Public Counsel? MS. SUETAKE: Could I a clarifying question. Do you mean five months between when the IRP comes out and evidentiary hearing, so it includes	2 3 4 5 6 7	JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Sierra Club? MR. GERHART: Nothing further, Your Honor. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And then with that, I plan to issue an order early next week, maybe probably not Monday, but Tuesday or Wednesday. So with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in this case would change with the IRP, but also certain matters would stay the same. So I think that five months would be appropriate. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Public Counsel? MS. SUETAKE: Could I a clarifying question. Do you mean five months between when the IRP comes out and evidentiary hearing, so it includes response testimony and rebuttal testimony in the five	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Sierra Club? MR. GERHART: Nothing further, Your Honor. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And then with that, I plan to issue an order early next week, maybe probably not Monday, but Tuesday or Wednesday. So with that, we'll be off the record. Thank you all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in this case would change with the IRP, but also certain matters would stay the same. So I think that five months would be appropriate. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Public Counsel? MS. SUETAKE: Could I a clarifying question. Do you mean five months between when the IRP comes out and evidentiary hearing, so it includes response testimony and rebuttal testimony in the five months?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Sierra Club? MR. GERHART: Nothing further, Your Honor. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And then with that, I plan to issue an order early next week, maybe probably not Monday, but Tuesday or Wednesday. So with that, we'll be off the record. Thank you all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in this case would change with the IRP, but also certain matters would stay the same. So I think that five months would be appropriate. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Public Counsel? MS. SUETAKE: Could I a clarifying question. Do you mean five months between when the IRP comes out and evidentiary hearing, so it includes response testimony and rebuttal testimony in the five months? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes, to have response	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Sierra Club? MR. GERHART: Nothing further, Your Honor. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And then with that, I plan to issue an order early next week, maybe probably not Monday, but Tuesday or Wednesday. So with that, we'll be off the record. Thank you all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in this case would change with the IRP, but also certain matters would stay the same. So I think that five months would be appropriate. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Public Counsel? MS. SUETAKE: Could I a clarifying question. Do you mean five months between when the IRP comes out and evidentiary hearing, so it includes response testimony and rebuttal testimony in the five months? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes, to have response testimony and rebuttal testimony, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Sierra Club? MR. GERHART: Nothing further, Your Honor. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And then with that, I plan to issue an order early next week, maybe probably not Monday, but Tuesday or Wednesday. So with that, we'll be off the record. Thank you all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in this case would change with the IRP, but also certain matters would stay the same. So I think that five months would be appropriate. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Public Counsel? MS. SUETAKE: Could I a clarifying question. Do you mean five months between when the IRP comes out and evidentiary hearing, so it includes response testimony and rebuttal testimony in the five months? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes, to have response testimony and rebuttal testimony, yes. MS. SUETAKE: Sorry, Your Honor, I was just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Sierra Club? MR. GERHART: Nothing further, Your Honor. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And then with that, I plan to issue an order early next week, maybe probably not Monday, but Tuesday or Wednesday. So with that, we'll be off the record. Thank you all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	in this case would change with the IRP, but also certain matters would stay the same. So I think that five months would be appropriate. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Public Counsel? MS. SUETAKE: Could I a clarifying question. Do you mean five months between when the IRP comes out and evidentiary hearing, so it includes response testimony and rebuttal testimony in the five months? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes, to have response testimony and rebuttal testimony, yes. MS. SUETAKE: Sorry, Your Honor, I was just looking at the old schedule.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Sierra Club? MR. GERHART: Nothing further, Your Honor. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And then with that, I plan to issue an order early next week, maybe probably not Monday, but Tuesday or Wednesday. So with that, we'll be off the record. Thank you all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in this case would change with the IRP, but also certain matters would stay the same. So I think that five months would be appropriate. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Public Counsel? MS. SUETAKE: Could I a clarifying question. Do you mean five months between when the IRP comes out and evidentiary hearing, so it includes response testimony and rebuttal testimony in the five months? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes, to have response testimony and rebuttal testimony, yes. MS. SUETAKE: Sorry, Your Honor, I was just looking at the old schedule. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Sierra Club? MR. GERHART: Nothing further, Your Honor. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And then with that, I plan to issue an order early next week, maybe probably not Monday, but Tuesday or Wednesday. So with that, we'll be off the record. Thank you all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in this case would change with the IRP, but also certain matters would stay the same. So I think that five months would be appropriate. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Public Counsel? MS. SUETAKE: Could I a clarifying question. Do you mean five months between when the IRP comes out and evidentiary hearing, so it includes response testimony and rebuttal testimony in the five months? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes, to have response testimony and rebuttal testimony, yes. MS. SUETAKE: Sorry, Your Honor, I was just looking at the old schedule. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Sure. MS. SUETAKE: I think that might work.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Sierra Club? MR. GERHART: Nothing further, Your Honor. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And then with that, I plan to issue an order early next week, maybe probably not Monday, but Tuesday or Wednesday. So with that, we'll be off the record. Thank you all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in this case would change with the IRP, but also certain matters would stay the same. So I think that five months would be appropriate. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Public Counsel? MS. SUETAKE: Could I a clarifying question. Do you mean five months between when the IRP comes out and evidentiary hearing, so it includes response testimony and rebuttal testimony in the five months? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes, to have response testimony and rebuttal testimony, yes. MS. SUETAKE: Sorry, Your Honor, I was just looking at the old schedule. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Sure. MS. SUETAKE: I think that might work. Again, we have we don't have any view into what our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Sierra Club? MR. GERHART: Nothing further, Your Honor. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And then with that, I plan to issue an order early next week, maybe probably not Monday, but Tuesday or Wednesday. So with that, we'll be off the record. Thank you all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in this case would change with the IRP, but also certain matters would stay the same. So I think that five months would be appropriate. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Public Counsel? MS. SUETAKE: Could I a clarifying question. Do you mean five months between when the IRP comes out and evidentiary hearing, so it includes response testimony and rebuttal testimony in the five months? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes, to have response testimony and rebuttal testimony, yes. MS. SUETAKE: Sorry, Your Honor, I was just looking at the old schedule. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Sure. MS. SUETAKE: I think that might work. Again, we have we don't have any view into what our witnesses schedule looks like.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Sierra Club? MR. GERHART: Nothing further, Your Honor. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And then with that, I plan to issue an order early next week, maybe probably not Monday, but Tuesday or Wednesday. So with that, we'll be off the record. Thank you all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in this case would change with the IRP, but also certain matters would stay the same. So I think that five months would be appropriate. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Public Counsel? MS. SUETAKE: Could I a clarifying question. Do you mean five months between when the IRP comes out and evidentiary hearing, so it includes response testimony and rebuttal testimony in the five months? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes, to have response testimony and rebuttal testimony, yes. MS. SUETAKE: Sorry, Your Honor, I was just looking at the old schedule. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Sure. MS. SUETAKE: I think that might work. Again, we have we don't have any view into what our witnesses schedule looks like. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And PCA?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Sierra Club? MR. GERHART: Nothing further, Your Honor. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And then with that, I plan to issue an order early next week, maybe probably not Monday, but Tuesday or Wednesday. So with that, we'll be off the record. Thank you all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in this case would change with the IRP, but also certain matters would stay the same. So I think that five months would be appropriate. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Public Counsel? MS. SUETAKE: Could I a clarifying question. Do you mean five months between when the IRP comes out and evidentiary hearing, so it includes response testimony and rebuttal testimony in the five months? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes, to have response testimony and rebuttal testimony, yes. MS. SUETAKE: Sorry, Your Honor, I was just looking at the old schedule. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Sure. MS. SUETAKE: I think that that might work. Again, we have we don't have any view into what our witnesses schedule looks like. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And PCA? MR. COLEMAN: Again, I I would share and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Sierra Club? MR. GERHART: Nothing further, Your Honor. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And then with that, I plan to issue an order early next week, maybe probably not Monday, but Tuesday or Wednesday. So with that, we'll be off the record. Thank you all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in this case would change with the IRP, but also certain matters would stay the same. So I think that five months would be appropriate. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Public Counsel? MS. SUETAKE: Could I a clarifying question. Do you mean five months between when the IRP comes out and evidentiary hearing, so it includes response testimony and rebuttal testimony in the five months? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes, to have response testimony and rebuttal testimony, yes. MS. SUETAKE: Sorry, Your Honor, I was just looking at the old schedule. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Sure. MS. SUETAKE: I think that that might work. Again, we have we don't have any view into what our witnesses schedule looks like. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And PCA? MR. COLEMAN: Again, I I would share and echo Public Counsel. Likely we likely can make it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Sierra Club? MR. GERHART: Nothing further, Your Honor. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And then with that, I plan to issue an order early next week, maybe probably not Monday, but Tuesday or Wednesday. So with that, we'll be off the record. Thank you all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in this case would change with the IRP, but also certain matters would stay the same. So I think that five months would be appropriate. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Public Counsel? MS. SUETAKE: Could I a clarifying question. Do you mean five months between when the IRP comes out and evidentiary hearing, so it includes response testimony and rebuttal testimony in the five months? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes, to have response testimony and rebuttal testimony, yes. MS. SUETAKE: Sorry, Your Honor, I was just looking at the old schedule. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Sure. MS. SUETAKE: I think that that might work. Again, we have we don't have any view into what our witnesses schedule looks like. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And PCA? MR. COLEMAN: Again, I I would share and echo Public Counsel. Likely we likely can make it work, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Sierra Club? MR. GERHART: Nothing further, Your Honor. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And then with that, I plan to issue an order early next week, maybe probably not Monday, but Tuesday or Wednesday. So with that, we'll be off the record. Thank you all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in this case would change with the IRP, but also certain matters would stay the same. So I think that five months would be appropriate. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Public Counsel? MS. SUETAKE: Could I a clarifying question. Do you mean five months between when the IRP comes out and evidentiary hearing, so it includes response testimony and rebuttal testimony in the five months? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes, to have response testimony and rebuttal testimony, yes. MS. SUETAKE: Sorry, Your Honor, I was just looking at the old schedule. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Sure. MS. SUETAKE: I think that that might work. Again, we have we don't have any view into what our witnesses schedule looks like. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And PCA? MR. COLEMAN: Again, I I would share and echo Public Counsel. Likely we likely can make it work, but JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Sierra Club? MR. GERHART: Nothing further, Your Honor. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And then with that, I plan to issue an order early next week, maybe probably not Monday, but Tuesday or Wednesday. So with that, we'll be off the record. Thank you all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in this case would change with the IRP, but also certain matters would stay the same. So I think that five months would be appropriate. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Public Counsel? MS. SUETAKE: Could I a clarifying question. Do you mean five months between when the IRP comes out and evidentiary hearing, so it includes response testimony and rebuttal testimony in the five months? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes, to have response testimony and rebuttal testimony, yes. MS. SUETAKE: Sorry, Your Honor, I was just looking at the old schedule. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Sure. MS. SUETAKE: I think that that might work. Again, we have we don't have any view into what our witnesses schedule looks like. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And PCA? MR. COLEMAN: Again, I I would share and echo Public Counsel. Likely we likely can make it work, but JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. MR. COLEMAN: Not not fully educated and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Sierra Club? MR. GERHART: Nothing further, Your Honor. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And then with that, I plan to issue an order early next week, maybe probably not Monday, but Tuesday or Wednesday. So with that, we'll be off the record. Thank you all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in this case would change with the IRP, but also certain matters would stay the same. So I think that five months would be appropriate. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Public Counsel? MS. SUETAKE: Could I a clarifying question. Do you mean five months between when the IRP comes out and evidentiary hearing, so it includes response testimony and rebuttal testimony in the five months? JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes, to have response testimony and rebuttal testimony, yes. MS. SUETAKE: Sorry, Your Honor, I was just looking at the old schedule. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Sure. MS. SUETAKE: I think that that might work. Again, we have we don't have any view into what our witnesses schedule looks like. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And PCA? MR. COLEMAN: Again, I I would share and echo Public Counsel. Likely we likely can make it work, but JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JUDGE O'CONNELL: And Sierra Club? MR. GERHART: Nothing further, Your Honor. JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. And then with that, I plan to issue an order early next week, maybe probably not Monday, but Tuesday or Wednesday. So with that, we'll be off the record. Thank you all.

	Page 35	
1	CERTIFICATE	
2		
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON	
4	COUNTY OF THURSTON	
5	·	
б	I, Tayler Garlinghouse a Certified Shorthand	
7	Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby	
8	certify that the foregoing transcript is true and	
9	accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.	
10		
11		
12 13	Tayler Garlinghouse, CCR 3358	
14	Tayler Garlingriouse, CCR 3336	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

A
a.m 15:15 18:2,8 approximately 18:8 29:23 31:15,25 commit 33:11 30:13 32:4,20 33:8,23 21:11,12 22:6,7 Assistant 19:7 assets 27:20 Assistant 19:7 assets 27:20 Assistant 19:7 assets 27:20 Assistant 19:7 assumed 31:5 certain 22:24 31:25 communication 20:14 Counsel's 28:21 ability 35:9 attempted 20:11 attorney 16:8,13 19:8 20:21 30:7 Certified 35:6 26:6,10,13,16,17 28:12 30:9 33:6 Company 15:6 18:23 24:16 25:2 29:23 accelerate 25:10 available 24:22 Avenue 15:22 Certified 35:6 Certify 35:8 Company's 18:11 18:12 23:17 25:1 data 26:4,14,16,19 accurate 35:9 accurate 35:9 B B B 28:2 change 15:7 18:11 19:16 29:3 32:1 concept 27:19 22:22 25:2,5 31:16,22 33:11 date 21:4,4 22:19 22:22 25:2,5 31:16,22 33:11 dates 21:3,5,6 Davison 17:4 19:15 December 22:19 24:6 25:4 26:19 24:6 25:4 26:19 30:4 decide 31:20 decide 31:20 decide 31:20
21:11,12 22:6,7 34:7 abeyance 22:12 ability 35:9 able 20:19 29:10 accelerate 25:10 29:1 accelerated 24:21 accounting 24:22 accurate 35:9 actions 28:4 actual 26:14,16,22 add 25:25 33:17 addition 25:25 additional 22:14 address 22:24 23:7 addressing 31:4 assets 27:20 Assistant 19:7 assumed 31:5 attempted 20:11 attorney 16:8,13 19:8 20:21 availability 30:24 available 24:22 Avenue 15:22 back 21:2,9,13 24:25 based 26:14,18,20 basis 23:4 behalf 18:24 19:8 assets 27:20 Assistant 19:7 assumed 31:5 attempted 20:11 attorney 16:8,13 19:8 20:21 availability 30:24 available 24:22 Avenue 15:22 accurate 35:9 actions 28:4 actual 26:14,16,22 add 25:25 33:17 addition 25:25 additional 32:14 address 22:24 23:7 addressing 31:4 assets 27:20 Assistant 19:7 assumed 31:5 attempted 20:11 attorney 16:8,13 19:8 20:21 availability 30:24 certain 22:24 31:25 32:1 CCR 15:20 35:13 certain 22:24 31:25 32:1 Company 15:6 18:23 24:16 25:2 26:6,10,13,16,17 28:12 30:9 33:6 Company 15:6 18:23 24:16 25:2 26:6,10,13,16,17 28:12 30:9 33:6 Company 15:6 18:23 24:16 25:2 26:6,10,13,16,17 28:12 30:9 33:6 Company's 18:11 18:12 23:17 25:1 comprehensive 23:4 28:5 concept 27:19 22:22 25:2,5 31:16,22 33:11 dates 21:3,5,6 Davison 17:4 19:15 December 22:19 24:6 25:4 26:19 30:4 decid 31:20 deciding 23:21
Assistant 19:7 assumed 31:5 attempted 20:11 attorney 16:8,13 19:8 20:21 accelerate 25:10 29:1 accelerated 24:21 accounting 24:22 actions 28:4 actual 26:14,16,22 add 25:25 additional 22:14 address 22:24 23:7 addressing 31:4 Assistant 19:7 assumed 31:5 attempted 20:11 attorney 16:8,13 19:8 20:21 30:7 Certainly 28:3,16 18:23 24:16 25:2 29:23 20:6,10,13,16,17 28:12 30:9 33:6 Company 15:6 18:23 24:16 25:2 29:23 20:6,10,13,16,17 28:12 30:9 33:6 Company's 18:11 18:12 23:17 25:1 change 15:7 18:11 19:16 29:3 32:1 comprehensive 23:4 28:5 concerpt 27:19 22:22 25:2,5 31:16,22 33:11 dates 21:3,5,6 Davison 17:4 19:15 December 22:19 24:6 25:4 26:19 30:4 dates 21:2,9,13 24:25 dates 23:4 dates 21:3,5,6 Davison 17:4 19:15 December 22:19 24:6 25:4 26:19 30:4 decide 31:20 decide 31:20 dates 23:21
abeyance 22:12 23:1,2,12 ability 35:9 able 20:19 29:10 accelerate 25:10 29:1 accelerated 24:21 accounting 24:22 accurate 35:9 actions 28:4 actual 26:14,16,22 add 25:25 33:17 addition 25:25 additional 22:14 address 22:24 23:7 addressing 31:4 assumed 31:5 attempted 20:11 attorney 16:8,13 19:8 20:21 30:7 Certified 35:6 certify 35:8 certify 35:8 change 30:14 change 15:7 18:11 19:16 29:3 32:1 changed 26:8 changes 24:13 30:9 check 30:23 circle 19:3 clarifying 32:5 clarify: 19:24 clarify: 19:24 clarify: 19:24 clarify: 19:24 conpany 15:6 18:23 24:16 25:2 29:23 29:23 D D D 18:4 data 26:4,14,16,19 date 21:4,4 22:19 22:22 25:2,5 31:16,22 33:11 dates 21:3,5,6 Davison 17:4 19:15 December 22:19 24:6 25:4 26:19 30:4 decide 31:20 date 31:20 dates 31:20 dates 21:3,5,6 Davison 17:4 19:15 December 22:19 24:6 25:4 26:19 30:4 decide 31:20 date 31:20
able 20:19 29:10 accelerate 25:10 29:1 accelerated 24:21 accounting 24:22 accurate 35:9 actions 28:4 actual 26:14,16,22 add 25:25 33:17 addition 25:25 additional 22:14 address 22:24 23:7 addressing 31:4 attempted 20:11 attorney 16:8,13 19:8 20:21 availability 30:24 certified 35:6 Company 15:6 18:23 24:16 25:2 26:6,10,13,16,17 28:12 30:9 33:6 Comprehensive 23:4 28:5 concept 27:19 24:6 25:4, 26:19 24:6 25:4, 26:19 24:6 25:4 26:19 30:7 Certified 35:6 certify 35:8 chance 30:14 change 15:7 18:11 19:16 29:3 32:1 concept 27:19 24:6 25:4, 26:19 22:22 25:2,5 31:16,22 33:11 dates 21:3,5,6 Davison 17:4 19:15 Club 17:8,10 19:24 availability 30:24 certify 35:8 concept 27:19 24:6 25:4, 26:19 30:7 Certified 35:6 Company 15:6 18:23 24:16 25:2 25:22 25:2,5 31:16,22 33:11 dates 21:3,5,6 Davison 17:4 19:15 availability 30:24 chance 30:14 availability 30:2
ability 35:9 able 20:19 29:10 accelerate 25:10 29:1 accelerated 24:21 accounting 24:22 accurate 35:9 actions 28:4 actual 26:14,16,22 add 25:25 33:17 addition 25:25 additional 22:14 address 22:24 23:7 addressing 31:4 ability 35:9 attorney 16:8,13 19:8 20:21 availability 30:24 availability 30:24 certainly 28:3,16 30:7 Certified 35:6 certify 35:8 chance 30:14 chance 30:14 change 15:7 18:11 19:16 29:3 32:1 change 26:8 changes 24:13 30:9 28:12 30:9 33:6 Company's 18:11 18:12 23:17 25:1 data 26:4,14,16,19 data 26:4,14,16,19 date 21:4,4 22:19 22:22 25:2,5 31:16,22 33:11 dates 21:3,5,6 Davison 17:4 19:15 concerned 25:9 check 30:23 circle 19:3 circle 19:3 clarifying 32:5 clarifying 32:5 Cleve 17:4 19:15 concerned 25:9 concerns 27:8 concerned 25:9 24:6 25:4 26:19 30:7 Certified 35:6 Company's 18:11 18:12 23:17 25:1 data 26:4,14,16,19 date 21:4,4 22:19 22:22 25:2,5 31:16,22 33:11 dates 21:3,5,6 Davison 17:4 19:15 Club 17:8,10 19:24 decide 31:20 decide 31:20 decide 31:20
able 20:19 29:10 accelerate 25:10 29:1 accelerated 24:21 accounting 24:22 accurate 35:9 actions 28:4 actual 26:14,16,22 add 25:25 33:17 addition 25:25 additional 22:14 address 22:24 23:7 addressing 31:4 19:8 20:21 availability 30:24 available 24:22 Avenue 15:22 16:14 B B 28:2 based 26:14,18,20 basis 23:4 behalf 18:24 19:8 19:8 20:21 availability 30:24 availability 30:24 available 24:22 Avenue 15:22 16:14 Certified 35:6 certify 35:8 chance 30:14 change 15:7 18:11 19:16 29:3 32:1 changed 26:8 changes 24:13 30:9 28:17 concern 27:9,11
accelerate 25:10 29:1 accelerate 24:21 accounting 24:22 accurate 35:9 actions 28:4 actual 26:14,16,22 add 25:25 33:17 addition 25:25 additional 22:14 address 22:24 23:7 addressing 31:4 availability 30:24 available 24:22 Avenue 15:22 16:14 B B B 28:2 back 21:2,9,13 24:25 based 26:14,18,20 behalf 18:24 19:8 Certified 35:6 certify 35:8 chance 30:14 change 15:7 18:11 19:16 29:3 32:1 changed 26:8 changes 24:13 30:9 28:12 30:9 33:6 Company's 18:11 18:12 23:17 25:1 data 26:4,14,16,19 date 21:4,4 22:19 22:22 25:2,5 31:16,22 33:11 dates 21:3,5,6 Davison 17:4 19:15 Cleve 17:8,10 19:24 decide 31:20 deciding 23:21
accelerated 24:21 accounting 24:22
Avenue 15:22
accounting 24:22 accurate 35:9 actions 28:4 actual 26:14,16,22 add 25:25 33:17 addition 25:25 additional 22:14 address 22:24 23:7 addressing 31:4 16:14 Change 15:7 18:11 19:16 29:3 32:1 change 26:8 changes 24:13 30:9 check 30:23 check 30:23 check 30:23 check 30:23 check 30:23
accurate 35:9 actions 28:4 actual 26:14,16,22 add 25:25 33:17 addition 25:25 additional 22:14 address 22:24 23:7 addressing 31:4 Total actual 26:14
actions 28:4 actual 26:14,16,22 add 25:25 33:17 addition 25:25 additional 22:14 address 22:24 23:7 addressing 31:4 B B 28:2 back 21:2,9,13 24:25 based 26:14,18,20 basis 23:4 behalf 18:24 19:8 B 28:17 changed 26:8 changes 24:13 30:9 check 30:23 circle 19:3 clarifying 32:5 Cleve 17:4 19:15 Club 17:8,10 19:24 changed 26:8 changes 24:13 30:9 check 30:23 concern 27:9,11 concerned 25:9 concerns 27:8 concerns
actual 26:14,16,22 add 25:25 33:17 addition 25:25 additional 22:14 address 22:24 23:7 addressing 31:4 B 28:2 changes 24:13 30:9 check 30:23 circle 19:3 circle 19:3 concerned 25:9 based 26:14,18,20 basis 23:4 behalf 18:24 19:8 clarifying 32:5 Cleve 17:4 19:15 conference 15:10 decide 31:20 decide 31:20 deciding 23:21
actual 26:14,16,22 add 25:25 33:17 addition 25:25 additional 22:14 address 22:24 23:7 addressing 31:4 back 21:2,9,13 24:25 based 26:14,18,20 basis 23:4 behalf 18:24 19:8 check 30:23 circle 19:3 clarifying 32:5 Cleve 17:4 19:15 Club 17:8,10 19:24 behalf 18:24 19:8 10:10 15 Club 17:8,10 19:24 concern 27:9,11 concerned 25:9 concerns 27:8 concerns 27:9,11 concerns 27:8 concerns 27:9,11 concerns 27:8 concerns
add 25:25 35:17 addition 25:25 additional 22:14 address 22:24 23:7 addressing 31:4 24:25 based 26:14,18,20 basis 23:4 behalf 18:24 19:8 10:10 15 Club 17:8,10 19:24 Concerned 25:9 concerns 27:8 c
additional 22:14 address 22:24 23:7 addressing 31:4 based 26:14,18,20 basis 23:4 behalf 18:24 19:8 clarifying 32:5 Cleve 17:4 19:15 Club 17:8,10 19:24 concerns 27:8 concerns 27:8 conference 15:10 18:9,16 29:6,17 decide 31:20 decide 31:20
address 22:24 23:7 addressing 31:4 basis 23:4 behalf 18:24 19:8 Cleve 17:4 19:15 Club 17:8,10 19:24 10:10 15 Club 17:8,10 19:24 18:9,16 29:6,17 decide 31:20 deciding 23:21
address 22:24 23:7 addressing 31:4 behalf 18:24 19:8 Club 17:8,10 19:24 10:10 15 decide 31:20 decide 31:20
addressing 51:4 10:10.15 Classified 20:10 10:5,10 20:0,17 deciding 22:21
1 A diagram of 24.7 17.10,13 /() /() / /() /() /() /() /() /() /() /() /
holiovo 20.7 21.24 20.20 desigion 20.6 22.14
honofit 20:10:22
13.12 10.2 10.19 22.10 Gibbs 20.74
adopt 31:19 heart 26:20:25:0
agree 20:21 27:9 botton 25:20 contact 25:20 35:10 delay 27:11
agreement 20:7,12 hig 20:2
20:15 21:2 24:3
align 23:13 blo@dyslaw.com
all-state 23:3 25:16 blc@dvclaw.com coincide 22:21 consolidation 23:13 depreciation 15:7
allow 23:12,14 24:5
24:20 28:23 Boise 19:17 19:14,14,19,22 Cont 17:1 24:17,21 25:10
America 17:3 19:13 bottom 19:4 27:5,7 28:14 continue 22:11 26:1,7,11,25
19:18 27:6 Box 16:9 30:20 32:19,23 23:9 24:11 28:7 27:15 29:1,4,25
amplification 27:14 Brent 17:4 19:14 33:24 29:14 31:8
Andrew 15:12 16:3 brief 29:16 collaborative 28:12 continued 24:7,9,9 develop 20:24
18:18 Buell 15:21 Colorado 17:11 27:11 28:22 29:11 directed 29:1
andrew.j.oconnel come 21:2,8 24:25 continuing 22:24 discuss 18:16 20:3
16:5 29:2,20 23:6 20:19 21:19 26:15
anyway 24·18 comes 32:/ conversation 22:3 33.17
appearances 18:22 33.1,1 coming 25:13,17 coordinate 33:9 discussed 20:4
Applicable 15:8 Catagoria 10.6 Commission 15:2 Corporation 17:2 discussing 21:3
appreciate 27:21 19:7,7 20:0,13 15:17 16:4,7 19:12,15,18 27:5 25:14 33:10
appropriate 22:1 23:18:24:1,2,24 18:13,20 19:8 correct 19:18,19 discussion 21:23
31:22:32:3 30.2:31.24:33.19 22:13:24:3 Counsel 16:12:19:9 discussions 22:24
approving 15:7 case 20:8 22:19 Commissioners 19:11 25:7,9 27:8 docket 15:4 18:10
23:11,13 24:16 24:4,8

Page 37

due 30:5 31:16	25:20 27:1 28:24	29:9,16	31:22 32:1,6 33:3	Light 15:5 18:10
	29:9	green 19:3	issue 24:21 33:15	limiting 31:10
E 16:1,1 17:1,1	files 30:9	H	34:4	little 19:3 25:20
18:4,4 35:1,1	filing 22:18 25:5	hand 27:17	issues 21:18 22:15	29:2
early 33:15 34:4	find 28:15	handled 29:4	22:25 23:7,15	lives 22:20 23:5
echo 32:20	fine 31:10 33:4	Harbor 17:5	27:16,23 31:4,7	27:15
educated 32:23	firm 19:14	head 30:17	it'll 19:3	LLC 15:21
effect 22:15 25:13	First 24:7	hear 22:2 23:22,22	items 25:9	Lockey 16:18 18:25
27:14	fit 25:23	29:21	- J	long 29:23 30:7,25
effective 22:17,19	five 20:23 30:6,16	hearing 21:5 31:18	January 22:18	longer 19:17
22:21	30:24 31:3,17,21	31:21 32:7 33:3	jointly 30:13	look 25:23
effectives 23:14	32:2,6,8 33:2,12	held 22:12 23:2	judge 15:12 16:2	looking 21:3 25:21
electric 15:8 18:12	focus 27:19	historically 27:24	18:6,19 19:2,9,12	32:13
email 20:14	focused 31:8	hold 23:11		looks 30:15 32:17
entire 30:16	forecast 27:2	Honor 20:6,16,18	19:16,20,23 20:2 20:9,17 21:1,13	Loop 15:17 16:4
enure 30:16 errors 27:2	forecasted 26:21,23	22:5 24:2,23 25:8	20:9,17 21:1,13	<u>M</u>
especially 24:18	forecasts 26:18	25:25 27:7 28:19	23:16,19 24:24	Matt 18:24 22:10
estimated 25:2	foregoing 35:8	30:2,12 31:2,24	25:16,19 24:24	matt.gerhart@si
Etta 16:18 18:25	forward 20:8 26:4	32:12 33:2,20,22	28:10,18 29:13	17:12
event 21:1	26:21,24	34:2	30:11,19 31:1,13	matter 15:4 18:14
	full 31:3		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
everybody 25:19 everyone's 33:14	fully 32:23	hope 20:11 hopefully 24:4	32:4,10,14,18,22 33:1,5,13 34:1,3	18:17,21 23:21 31:20
evidentiary 21:5	fumbling 25:22	порегину 24:4	justified 29:17	matters 31:25 32:2
31:18,20 32:7	further 29:3 34:2	I	Justineu 29.17	Matthew 16:18
33:3	G	idea 25:20	K	17:9 19:25
expected 25:5	G18:4	II 15:10	kind 21:5 25:22	matthew.mcvee
expected 25.3	Garlinghouse	implementation	27:1	16:21
explain 26:7	15:20 35:6,13	27:12 28:25	know 20:20 22:23	McVee 16:18 18:24
expressed 27:8	general 16:8,13	implementing 26:2	26:5,24 27:2 28:6	18:24 19:5 20:18
extension 22:14	19:8 22:18	impugn 28:3	30:6	21:15,17,22 22:5
extension 22.14 extent 27:18	generally 27:1	included 27:3	knowing 25:23	22:10,10 24:25
CAUCHU 4/.10	generally 27:1 generation 24:18	includes 32:7	knowledge 35:9	25:4 26:12 33:7
F	Gerhart 17:9 19:25	information 26:4		33:21
F 35:1	19:25 28:19 31:2	26:15,20,23	L	mean 24:15 26:22
fact 25:12 26:1	33:2 34:2	informed 32:24	Lacey 15:18 16:5	26:23 32:6
31:6	given 24:13 25:16	intend 33:15	18:1	meeting 23:3 25:16
factor 31:10	29:1	interested 25:18	landscape 25:21	mentioned 23:16
falling 21:5,6	go 19:4 23:10 26:4	28:25 31:7	larger 31:7	28:13
far 26:22 30:17	26:24	interim 29:11	law 15:12 16:2	microphone 19:3,4
February 24:8	going 21:3,23 22:21	internally 26:13	18:19 19:14	mics 21:19
31:15,17	23:17,20,21 29:13	internany 20:13	lead 30:22	mid 22:19 28:24
feel 25:21	29:20 31:11	IRP 22:12,14,15	legislation 24:12	minutes 20:19,23
file 22:14 24:16	good 18:7 24:10	23:17 24:7,9	let's 18:6,22 21:7	21:8,25 22:4
25:3 29:22,24	GRC 24:5,20 25:2	25:12,13,17,19	21:13 22:8 24:1	moment 25:1 32:25
filed 22:12 24:5	25:16 26:5,11	28:6,23 29:2,3,20	30:1	Monday 34:5
11104 22.12 21.3	23.10 20:3,11	20.0,25 27.2,3,20		Withinay 54.5

month 29:2
months 30:6,16,24
31:3,9,15,17,21
32:3,6,9 33:2,12
morning 18:7
motion 18:15
move 20:8
movement 28:8
multistate 28:11
Multnomah 16:19
Within than 10.19
N
N 16:1 17:1 18:4
name 18:18 19:16
narrower 31:4
Nash 16:8 19:7
nash.callaghan@
16:10
National 15:24
NE 16:19
necessarily 27:23
30:7
need 24:25 25:10
26:12 29:23 30:7
30:8,16,23,23
31:3,11,23 33:17
needed 21:19
nefarious 28:4
new 22:20 27:12,15
27:15
newest 26:15
Nina 16:13 19:10
ninas@atg.wa.gov
16:15
non-coal 23:4
note 19:16 26:14
31:14
noted 30:20
notifying 22:13
number 25:9
0
O 18:4
O'Connell 15:12

16:3 18:6,18 19:2

19:9,12,16,20,23

20:2,9,17 21:1,13 21:21 22:1,8 23:16,19 24:24 25:6 26:9 27:4 28:10,18 29:13 30:11,19 31:1,13 32:4,10,14,18,22 33:1,5,13 34:1,3 00o 18:3 October 23:5,18 25:17 28:23,24 29:7,12,17,20 offensive 28:15 Office 16:8,13 Oh 21:21 25:25 Okay 19:2,5,20,23 20:2,9,17 21:1 23:16,19,19 25:6 27:4 28:18 29:13 30:19 31:1,13 32:18,22 33:5,13 34:3 old 32:13 Olympia 15:23 16:9 open 22:23 28:16 opinion 28:11 opportunity 26:6 order 15:7 18:11,16 29:24 31:9 33:15 34:4 Oregon 16:20 17:5 Originally 24:8 overlap 27:23
<u>P</u>
P 16:1,1 17:1,1
18:4 Pacific 15:5 16:17
Pacific 15:5 16:17 16:19 18:10,15
10.19 10.10,13

19:1 23:23 33:6

PacifiCorp 18:25

Packaging 17:2

Pages 15:11

22:10,11 33:21

19:12,15,18 27:5

Paper 19:17 partake 22:3 particular 28:9,23 parties 20:4,7,9,20 20:23 21:16 22:2 22:9 23:1,14,22 24:20 29:22,23 31:5,6,11,21,23 33:17 **parties'** 33:14 party 21:23 **passed** 24:13 **PC** 17:4 **PCA** 28:10 30:13 30:19 32:18 33:8 33:24 **PCH** 27:7 **people** 21:19 **Pepple** 19:20 period 23:2 perspective 23:23 29:5 **petition** 15:4 18:11 24:23 **phone** 21:20 **pick** 21:9 pieces 25:23,23 **plan** 26:10 34:4 planned 25:1 **plants** 24:18 **play** 23:9 please 22:9 **PO** 16:9 **point** 25:4 30:18 **portion** 25:11 **Portland** 16:20 17:5 **position** 28:17,20 28:21,21 29:10 positions 29:18 33:14 possible 20:25 25:11 27:19 postpone 27:25 28:1,1,6

postponed 28:7 postponing 28:1 potentially 23:15 Power 15:5 16:17 16:19 18:10.15 19:1 23:23 33:6 prehearing 30:3 preparing 26:17 President 19:1 presiding 18:20 previous 30:3 primarily 31:8 **prior** 31:17 probably 34:5 procedural 18:13 18:17 20:4,5 24:15 29:15,19 30:3 31:19 **proceed** 23:21 33:15 proceeding 22:11 22:16,25 23:1,7 23:10,12 30:14 **process** 23:9 26:25 **promise** 30:18 **prompt** 28:25 property 15:8 18:12 proposal 28:15 proposed 23:24 **provide** 23:11 **Public** 16:12 19:9 19:11 25:7,8 27:8 28:12,21 30:4,11 30:12,13 32:4,20 33:8,23 0 **question** 25:1 26:3 26:10 30:21 32:6

R

R 16:1 17:1 18:4

35:1

raise 23:15

raised 22:25

rate 22:18.21 23:11 23:13.14 rates 15:8 18:12 22:17 26:3,7 27:12,15,16 29:25 reach 20:15 23:15 reaching 20:12 **ready** 25:15 realize 21:18 really 28:5 Realtime 15:21 reason 24:10,12 reasonable 26:10 reasons 24:6 **rebuttal** 32:8.11 recess 20:16 21:7 21:11 22:6 **record** 18:6 21:10 21:14 22:4.8 34:6 **regard** 24:17 regarding 18:10 29:19.24 regulation 19:1 reinitiation 18:16 released 25:17 remain 24:4 **REPORTED** 15:20 Reporter 35:7 Reporting 15:21 representative 19:21 representatives 23:8 representing 19:25 request 21:24 22:17 23:20 29:14 requesting 22:13 requests 22:11 requirement 26:6 requirements 24:14 resolution 20:25 resolve 23:3 respect 27:10,11,16 29:8 30:9 respectively 21:24

Page 39

respond 30:8	34:1	summer 24:13	33:6,7,13	V
response 21:4	similar 28:20 33:7	supplemental	thinking 31:18	Van 17:4 19:15
29:24 30:5 31:16	situation 33:8	24:17	thoughts 23:24	Vice 18:25
32:8,10	skill 35:9	supplements 30:8	three 31:15	view 32:16
responsive 29:22	slated 29:2	support 28:22	THURSTON 35:4	
restriction 27:13	solutions 24:21	29:10,11	time 18:8 20:10	vintage 27:10
results 27:13	sorry 25:25 32:12	sure 20:20,21 22:2	21:7,8 22:16	VOLUME 15:10
return 22:4	sort 27:12 28:4	27:24 28:4 29:18	26:21 27:13 29:9	W
revisit 29:7	30:23	30:22 32:14	30:8,22 31:12,23	wait 25:11,15 28:11
right 25:21 28:16	Southeast 15:17	suspend 29:14	33:19	28:22
29:10	16:4	suspended 18:13	today 18:7,9 20:3	= :
running 27:21	speak 21:19 30:15	24:4,8 31:14	top 30:17	waiting 25:18 26:5 want 20:3 25:11
rummig 27.21	_	suspending 29:8	_	
S	sponsoring 30:13	2	topic 20:3	26:13 29:17,24
S 16:1 17:1 18:4	Square 15:17 16:4 Staff 16:7 19:6,8	suspension 28:22	transcript 35:8 Transportation	31:20 Washington 15:1
schedule 18:14,17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	29:11,15,16	<u> </u>	Washington 15:1
20:4,5 23:25	20:21 24:1,3 30:1	SW 17:5	15:2,17 16:3	15:17,18,22 16:3
24:15,15 29:15,19	30:4 31:25	T	18:20	16:5,9,14 18:1,19
30:3,15,18 31:14	stakeholders 23:8	T 35:1,1	true 35:8	35:3,7
31:19 32:13,17	stale 26:3,4,23	take 18:22 21:7	true-up 27:1	Way 17:5
33:10	start 19:6 24:1 30:1	23:20	try 23:3	we'll 20:15 34:6
scheduled 23:5	starting 18:22	taken 21:11 22:6	trying 25:22 28:3	we're 18:9 23:6,21
scope 31:4,5	State 35:3,7	talk 20:10,11,23	Tuesday 34:5	25:14,21,22 26:1
•	statement 32:24	25:19	turn 19:2,3	30:21 31:4,7,10
Seattle 15:22,23 16:14	states 23:4,8		two 21:2,7 31:9	33:7
	status 15:10 18:9	Tayler 15:20 35:6 35:13	U	Wednesday 18:7
see 20:23 21:5	18:15 29:6			34:5
25:19 28:8	statutes 30:10	technical 21:18	UE-180778 15:4	week 33:16 34:4
sense 29:5,6	statutory 28:25	ten 20:19 21:7,25	18:10	White 19:17
sensitive 31:6	stay 32:2	22:4	ultimately 20:15	witness 30:14,22
separate 24:15	Street 16:19 17:10	testimony 21:4	understand 27:22	witnesses 32:17
September 15:14	strong 28:17	24:17 29:22,24	29:18,20 31:11	33:9
18:1,7	studies 27:1	30:5 31:16 32:8,8	33:14	Woodland 15:17
set 18:15 24:15	study 22:20 23:7	32:11,11	understanding	16:4
31:7,18,20	26:2,11 27:10	thank 19:2,5 20:2	27:25 28:5 29:21	word 28:16
setting 29:19	submittal 33:3	21:10 22:5 24:2	understood 28:20	work 23:6 32:15,21
settlement 22:24	submitted 23:17	24:23,24 25:8	unfortunately	33:12
23:15	subsequent 26:24	27:4,7 28:19 30:2	21:17,22	worried 26:1
share 27:9,18 32:19	26:25	31:2,13 33:24	units 29:4 31:8	wouldn't 26:2
shares 27:8	Suetake 16:13	34:6	unopposed 18:14	www.buellrealti
sharing 30:21,21	19:10,10 25:6,8	things 26:7	update 21:15 22:9	15:25
Shorthand 35:6	30:12 32:5,12,15	think 20:14,18 22:1	26:6	Wynkoop 17:10
side 30:25	33:23	22:14,16 23:9	updated 26:20	
Sierra 17:8,10	sufficient 30:8	24:10,19 26:9	updating 26:11	X
19:23 20:1 21:22	Suite 15:22 16:14	27:9,18,22,22	use 26:16,17	T 7
28:18,20,24 29:5	16:19 17:5,10	29:4,14 30:16	Utilities 15:2,17	Y 1 21 17 22 10
29:9 31:1,2 33:1	,	31:21 32:2,15	16:3 18:19	Yeah 21:17 22:10
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ı

Page 40

			Page 40
yesterday 20:14	4th 15:22 18:7		
$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$	5		
	503 16:20 17:6		
0	510 17:11		
	534-4863 16:10		
1	534-9066 15:23		
1 22:18	5th 16:14		
11:00 15:15 18:2,8			
11:05 21:8,11	6		
11:15 21:9,12	621 15:17 16:4		
11:16 22:6	7		
11:25 22:4			
11:26 22:7	8		
11:45 34:7	800 15:24 16:14		
1325 15:22	80202 17:11		
13th 25:5 15-35 15:11	813-5585 16:20		
1536 17:10	825 16:19		
16th 30:6	846-6989 15:24		
1750 17:5	847-7721 17:11		
1840 15:22			
18th 23:18	9		
10th 25.10	97201 17:5		
2	97232 16:20		
200 17:10	98101 15:22		
2000 16:14,19	98104 16:14		
2017 26:2,14	98503 15:18 16:5		
2019 15:14 18:1,7	98504 16:9		
2020 26:19			
2021 22:18 26:3			
206 15:23 16:15			
20th 30:4			
22nd 23:5 31:15,17			
241-7242 17:6			
287-9066 15:23			
3			
31 26:19			
3358 15:20 35:13			
360 15:23 16:10			
4			
4 15:14 18:1			
40128 16:9			
430-2422 16:15			
450 17:5			
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	 <u> </u>